

---

Subject: Litmus test for liberals

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Tue, 17 Aug 2004 22:56:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warrantoNodbuggerHow am I ignorant.

If the UN cannot enforce its laws there is no point to it, if they cannot stop the truly evil people in the world why should we listen to them on how we do things? They say it needs to be done yet they do nothing about it. We stood up and did something about it. We don't care what the UN says anymore. It may be OK for you dumb asses to be UN pussies but I don't want to be.

And Putin warned of an attack by Iraq. Besides, that is stupid logic that we cannot defend against a possible attack. If you knew Pearl Harbor was going to happen would you say we couldn't attack Japan until they attack us? HELL NO! Nobody is that fucking stupid to wait until they get hit to strike. It is not against US law to strike first in a fight. If you feel threatened and you believe you can stop a fight before one happens you have the right to prevent bodily harm to yourself.

If the UN cannot enforce its laws.. wait, your saying there are laws that prevented America from attacking? Well, thank you for proving my point that it was illegal!

Just because someone fails to uphold the law does not mean it's legal to break the law.

Sure, you have the right to defend yourself from danger, however there was no threat of danger. You yourself said there was no real proof for or against the existence of WMD's, so that excuse is not valid as "self defence". In order for it to be construed self-defence, one thing must be present. A real and imminent danger. So using this, please tell me the real and imminent danger that Iraq posed to America. Remember, you yourself said there is no proof for or against the existence of WMD's, don't rely on that for a reason.

Japan did not need WMD to attack us. To assume the only why to attack is WMD is just stupid.

Do you people really just have a one track mind?

And no, it was not illegal.

---