
Subject: Damn that's a big protest.
Posted by [ViperFUD](#) on Mon, 30 Aug 2004 12:13:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wh3333333 for off topic.

Umm ... yay for protesters excersizing their constitutional right to free speech. Let's all hope there's no violence.

Now, on to why nodbugger is buggered:

NodbuggerNo one broke any international laws. Well Saddam broke 14 security resolutions and countless laws, but you don't care about going after the real criminals.

...
We have never broken a UN Resolution
Now, despite the fact that java has posted MULTIPLE TIMES the resolution that the United States broke, and that everyone else (crimservative included) agrees that we did, you still refuse to acknowledge it because ... why? Are you just to stupid to think?

NodbuggerAs I said, go live in Iran, Libya, pre-war Iraq, North Korea, China, or Cuba then come back and tell me who the criminals are.
Now, after he posts this challenge, and is answered according to it, what does he say?

NodbuggerWell she is an Iranian, what do you expect her to say? She is brainwashed and she obviously brainwashed you.

As a western citizen you must be a fucking idiot to compare Bush to the leaders of Iran and then say Bush is a war criminal. you have been brainwashed and hopefully you will get caught in Iran when we bomb it.
The fuck?

He says "go see how it is." Once they see how it is, and still don't agree with him, he says "She is brainwashed."

Can this guy even be taken seriously? What happens when another person answers his challenge?

NodbuggerOr are you going to the nice China: Tourists edition? China is just like Jamaica. You have fun in the sun Jamaica and stabbing Jamaica.
Interpretation: even though you were there, you still didn't see the truth cause you disagree with me.

At this point, I'm forced to conclude that you lose.

You offer a challenge, it is met, and you are beaten. Rather than accepting your defeat with honor, you instead scream "NO ITS NOT RITE CUZ I NO HOW IT IS EVEN THO I NEVER BEN THEER!!"

NodbuggerFor a protest like that a permit is required. And there were no permits given out for the event. Not to mention the millions of dollars in taxes wasted and the police/fire/ambulance personnel diverted to the area. You can also put in there they pissed off a lot of New Yorkers. Taking all those resources away from a city like New York is a terrorist attack in itself. Interesting ... I've never heard that you need a permit to excersize your first ammendment rights ... but I digress.

I was watching the news. Fox News, as a matter of fact, and the they were interviewing people in New York. Most or the New Yorkers were not pissed at the protesters. They were pissed off at the Republicans. "Why are they having their convention here? We're primarily Democrat! We don't want them here."

So does that mean that the Republicans are terrorist attack in themselves?

NodbuggerYou can interpret it how ever you want, but at the end of the day when the people in charge of enforcing the laws don't say anything, it is OK.

And don't give me that crap about if they don't say anything that doesn't mean it is legal. OF COURSE IT MEANS IT IS LEGAL! I cop doesn't pull you over to tell you that you are abiding the law. They only tell you when you are breaking it.

NodbuggerI never said it isn't illegal if you get caught.

If we were speeding and ever cop in the world knew about and they did nothing then there isn't a problem.

See, you said it was legal if you don't get caught; or rather, that it isn't illegal if no charges are pressed.

Let me give you a hypothetical situation:

If Seal breaks into your house and puts a bullet in your brain, and I know he did it, but I don't press charges against him, does that mean it was legal for him to do that? Think really hard before you answer this, cause it is directly relevant to both your argument and your continued existance.

NodbuggerAs of now they are completely independent and they have always been a territory existing as an independent state.

So when Bush himself said, "We are returning Iraq's sovereignty," what he meant was, "Even though they've always been sovereign and we're not returning anything cause you can only return something you've taken and we obviously didn't do that. Obviously." Is that what you meant?

Cause it looks to me like you're the biggest idiot to ever live.
