

---

Subject: As if we could pretend this wouldn't come around...

Posted by [Javacx](#) on Sun, 02 Jan 2005 23:28:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]No relevance whatsoever. Once again if the law is UN then the law is corrupt as the UN has become corrupt. <---Insert dumbass rebuttle like "Yea, but its still the law" after this post.

By that logic, if any part of a nation or agency is corrupt, its laws are corrupt. Which would blackmail just about every nation, yes, including America, to the same fate you've degraded the U.N. to.

Quote:I'm pretty sure cow already answered that.

If cowmisfit was referring to Iraq, which I doubt, then that number is wrong on all fronts. I'm better he was PROBABLY referring to the WTC incident. In which case that would not constitute invading Iraq and toppling its standing sovereign government as they had literally nothing to do with it. All that terrorist connections information that is spewwed around is, as far as I've seen and read, circumstantial and does not tie Iraq to the WTC incident.

That is not a threat to America. The information utilized on March 19th, 2003 would not support that allegation and the administration itself couldn't say for certain if Saddam played a role in WTC to tie with this ridiculous allegation you and cowmisfit are making.

I would really like to see what was used to justify the invasion in the first place. Because there was no imminent threat to America whatsoever on March 19th, 2003.

Quote:The only Liberal here that actually backs up his statements with clear info is Javacx.

I don't agree with you most of the time, but I am certainly not a liberal. You people need to stop assuming that whoever disagrees with you is on the opposite end of the spectrum.

---