

---

Subject: As if we could pretend this wouldn't come around...

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 04 Jan 2005 08:18:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]warranto, put the names of the people who said the quotes, it makes it easier on me lol.

warrantolraq is the ONLY terrorist group in the world

i never saw cow say that, but its late and i might have missed it, correct me if im wrong.

Quote:Gasp! You only qualify as a terrorist if you harm American Citizens!

to an American, yes. to you, No. The point is we dont take crap from people who harm our citizens. And no matter what your definition is, we dont care, a terrorist to us is someone not in uniform from an antiamerican sentiment that harms america. He may be someone's freedom fighter, but not ours. So if you cant handle that we are going to get him before he gets us, then keep your nose out of it. Its not your business. They started it, we'll end it, with the aid of our TRUE allies: The Coalition of the Willing.

warrantoYou mean like America did for Al Queda in Afganistan?

A mistake yes, but not of our time. It may be true that we are paying for it now, but at the time the intentions were just to resist the Communist Juggernaut from overwhelming what we thought to be resistance. And at the time they were a resistance group fighting for their freedom. But that freedom was corrupted and thus, a terrorist regime is born, hell bent on destruction not for overtaking opressors but for the sole purpose of harming America.

To the first request:

I said: "shoot Americans! They support Terrorism!"; In relation to all terrorists past and present

Cow states: " the ones that actually act and go to iraq and help train / fund / give intelligence to the enemy"; specifying only Iraq as have ever existing as a potential terrorist agency

second quote:

Quote:to an American, yes. to you, No.

Thats exactly the type of flawed arguement I'm opposing, simply because using arguements such as this give justification to what the real terrorists are doing, simply because they have the same mentality, which, by using these flawed arguements, you are supporting.

Third quote:

Again, the flawed logic that I'm opposing. The point I'm trying to make is that Americans think that the action were necessary at the time, therefore ok to do (generalizing here, as there is definatly more to it... but just to keep the explanation brief). The Terrorists and those assisting them that

America is fighting now have the exact same view point. Once again, you justify this by justifying the actions done in the past. This "It's ok if we do it, but not if you do it" mentality does nothing to help a worthy cause.

---