

---

Subject: Capital Punishment Views??

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 03:37:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Its called disabling someone. If someone holds a gun to your head, you hit their hand or gun to point in a safe direction then pry the gun off them, dispose of it, or use it against them You don't neccessarily have to shoot them.

If you do shoot someone, however, you don't have to shoot to kill. Police learn to aim for non-vital, but disabling parts of the body to shoot.

If someone attacks me with their bare fists, knife, gun whatever, I get the better of them so they can't harm me in any way, I'm NOT going to proceed and beat the shit out of them untill they die.

Quote:After not playing football for a year i got alota pent up rage anyway, it would be great to take it out on a murderer. The thing about football is, when you produce that much adrenaline and beat the shit out of someone every day of the year, going cold turkey is tough.

If it costs less than a dollar to kill an attacker, it costs less not to kill him. If you defend yourself against an attacker, but proceed to kill him, then that could be deemed as "overkill" (non legal term btw). You could be liable for that.

The idea is to send people to prison and rehabilitate them. Not just kill them on the spot. Thats why prisons have libraries, teachers, and thats why you can learn a trade if you are in prison long enough so when you are released, you won't resort to crime.

---