
Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 02:06:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 20:59j_ball430 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:52His post obviously offended people, therefore it "hurts" them. It may be the internet, but it's still people reading it, and it still references a horrible situation in the real world. Internet or not, it was inappropriate, so we decided to turn the sadistic topic into something random.

The post shouldn't have offended anyone, it is just words. It is only offensive to people who share your cultural and moral background, and think that things written on the internet should be taken seriously. Whether it references something real, bad, good, or whatever, it doesn't matter; if you don't like it don't read it.

We are damn well aware of what we're doing. We're simply taking a stupid topic someone else made and turning it into a stupid topic that we made. Just... more entertaining. Crimson asked us to stop, and guess what? We stopped. Imagine that.

It's about time, I'm glad you listen to someone.

Because we see a stupid topic and decide to derail it, we're egocentric? It's called "hijacking". You said it yourself. It's just the fucking internet. If someone is "embarrassed" because their thread is "hijacked" then they shouldn't be on the fucking internet.

You are embarrassing everyone, not just the thread starter.

You are embarrassing yourselves by doing it in the first place, you are embarrassing the whole Renegade Forums community because they have to see it, and you are embarrassing and probably scaring off newcomers who see that this activity is even allowed on here.

Who said he was copying the exact level that the terror alert is at? He's just copying the concept. Duh?

His Terror Alert of "Red" simply states that we're on the loose and "dangerous".

Again, I already mentioned that anyone who would steal a concept from the DHS has an IQ automatically below 75. But I guess I shouldn't be surprised that you don't remember me saying that.

I'll give you guys some time now, a good 12 hours or so. While I'm gone try to come up with some good arguments because you are pretty weak as far as debaters go.

"TheKing'sThrone" If their opposition defeats them in an argument, no matter how badly wounded they may be, idiots will strike back in a futile attempt to regain any ego, dignity, and territory lost in the argument. This is what's known as the "Adamian Principle". They will continue to dig themselves deeper and deeper into a hole without realizing it in an attempt to try outwit and/or outlast their opposition. 99% of the time, this results in failure and humiliation for the idiot. Though the argument may be over and the idiot defeated, they will still try and maintain dignity by claiming a false victory over their opposition.
