

---

Subject: Re: Another One Bites the Dust  
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Wed, 05 Oct 2005 02:46:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I could have sworn on many, MANY occasions it has been affirmed that the reasons to going to war (the ones in question; circa 2003) was all but exclusively the supposition that Saddam had WMD and that he posed a "real threat" to the United States.

The problem you seem to have is (and while I do single you out here, this wholeheartedly applies to your ilk) that you see peripherals as themselves that which they are not. Your Congress is (thankfully) smart enough to know what is fully legal and what is fully illegal. Your "supplimentary" reasons for going to war are very much illegal in every single intelligable nation on the face of this planet. That's why the bill passed ALLOWING the war didn't mention anything save the alledged "threat" Iraq posed to the United States.

Since you, curiously enough, try and negate the other side of your argument by... deviating from the topic, I feel I ought to point out that the validation of the war matters insomuch that Hydra stated that it would be vindicated. I say otherwise. I am also fully capable to not only prove it, but squelch your redundant, self-validating, and at this point over 2 years later, seemingly random attempts at a rebuttal. Call it arrogance if you want to (as you undoubtably will continue you do), but I still invite you to prove me wrong. Something, by the way, you have yet to do.

---