
Subject: Re: hope none of you are them
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 28 Jul 2006 19:30:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, I'm not saying to take the deity that a particular religion "created", I'm just saying the deity itself.

Think of it like this: Just for the sake of argument, assume that everything I'm about to state is true.

God exists and created the universe and -directly or indirectly- everything in it. Eventually some people come along and start wondering about the creator. They decide he must have acted a certain way, and wanted us to do certain things. Eventually this small group tell others about their view, and get them to believe the same thing. Soon, someone doesn't like that idea, and thinks a few things are wrong, so he goes out and starts his own belief system.

Time passes.

A new concept of this creator develops and is held as the true way. The old view is deemed obsolete, and is abandoned as heracy. Science eventually proves that even this way is the false way of interpreting God.

/End

Ok, now this is what I am getting at. It was proven that the ways of interpreting God have been false. However, one thing still exists. Read the first two words of that example and you'll (hopefully) see what I am getting at about the two being seperate.
