

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 22:13:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:One exception? I think not.

I see nothing in that link that suggests other religions do not believe in God.

Quote:I've never met a light bulb who's function wasn't to create light.

Ugh.. read what I write. the FUNCTION is not in question. the WHY/HOW it does what it does, is.

Quote:Scientology doesn't have a God.

Oh, really?

Quote:Does Scientology have a concept of God?

Most definitely. Scientology affirms the existence of a Supreme Being, although its dogma is unique and does not include the worship of one.

[http://www.scientology.org/en\\_US/news-media/faq/pg015.html](http://www.scientology.org/en_US/news-media/faq/pg015.html)

Quote:But the details matter.

Nope, the details don't. All the details do is dictate how one is different from the other. They all (I know, I know) believe in God.

Quote:Fine. I guess I'm forced to admit that there is a slight possibility that there's a worldwide conspiracy involving the true functionality of the radio. I don't believe it, but it's possible.

But, if you have no proof, why believe?

Quote:But as Crimson said in a reply above mine, the burden of proof doesn't rest with the atheists,

It does once the athiest states that he/she "knows" the answer.

Quote:When people have thought processes, certain chemical reactions are present. When people don't have thought processes, certain chemical reaction are absent.

Is that ALL it is though? Science has yet to prove even that. True that chemicals are part of the equations, but is it all the equation?

Quote:Too bad that theory has soon measureable, touchable, feelable, tasteable, concrete proof behind it, eh?

Too bad it's still a theory, huh? And one that is not as of yet "measureable, touchable, feelable, tasteable, concrete proof behind it,"

And remember, the idea that is may be provable sometime in the future has no bearing on it being real or not. It's whether or not it's provable "now", correct? After all, that's your arguement against the lack of proof of God, right? No measurable proof at this time?

---