

---

Subject: Re: Who's the biggest retard on Renforums?  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 18 Jan 2007 21:24:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Whoops, I guess I'm at fault for forgetting that Canada has different legal definitions than we do. Sorry about that.

Meh, I'm just as much at fault for not realizing America had a different definition.

Quote:Technically, even though EA hasn't pursued any legal action against BHS, server owners, and mod makers for creating scripts.dll modifications/core patches/RenGuard/serverside mods/etc., or for creating/using cheats to ruin games, they could if they felt it was worth their time and expense, which I believe is not currently the case. EA could also formally endorse certain modifications and their use/incorporation, but I have yet to see any such endorsement. Also, should EA ever wish to have knowledge of the internals of RenGuard or any serverside modification that may include code intended for improper use (since their mere existence is a violation of the license agreement), or any information regarding derivative issues (e.g. hidden agendas, backdoors, exploits), if someone receives a court order requesting the production of documents, withholding such information would indeed constitute an Accessory and/or Obstruction charge. However, there is no telling whether it would ever come to that, and although possible, it's unlikely.

Oh, all that is true, and the logic is not flawed. There may be some exceptions to that rule, relating to the "greater benefit of enjoyment" (my own term, I forget the more appropriate one) but I don't want to get into semantics like that. The same with dealing with Internet-based jurisdictions/registered offices, etc. When no crime has actually been committed (I know that we are using criminal examples, but at the end of the argument they mean nothing other than to illuminate like-circumstances)

All I'm trying to argue is that despite what SK may have done, should BHS be punished for SK's actions as well?

Quote:Are you going to quote yours truly to prove me wrong or do you acknowledge that I won an internet?

I didn't forget about you, I just missed the post.

Quote>Please quote where I say so. I'm talking about losing the trust of the people, not legal actions.

I'm not specifying legal actions (that's just a similar circumstance that relates to this), I'm talking about blame, be it in the form of lost trust, legal actions, or any other sort of punitive act.

But to answer your question directly, you gave the "improved" analogy, and ended off by stating "I sure as hell wouldn't buy there."

You're putting the blame on the business for the actions of a person who happens to work there.

I'm saying that there is no blame to be put on the business as the person did not do anything wrong in the name of the business. Did something wrong, yes... but not under the guise of the business he works for.

---