Subject: Re: US bashing (I strike back) Posted by Starbuzz on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 04:42:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 19:42OMG! I forgot!

Going back all the way up to Starbuzz's post about me getting "owned" and then saying get an education by going to wikipedia.

L.O.L.

That.. that is really all I wanted to say about that.

What is this nonsense? I doubt you will ever leave your little den and go pick up a book. Best way for you to learn is Wikipedia. Then you can graduate to Encarta to attain even more knowledge.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 21:27

Since the word "bashing" doesn't mean as what it would in a dictionary, at least in terms of its use on the internet, it would be safe to assume that "bashing" is the negative extreme of criticizing.

Tell me when me or Dover went over to that "negative extreme" of criticizing?

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 20:42Now Starbuzz on the other hand, bashes everything that he doesn't agree with.

What are you aiming for now? Personal insults?

Let me tell you: I don't let personal preferences get in my way when dealing with other matters. I DO NOT think in the "agree/disagree" method. I use crucial evidence, knowledge, and thinking to rationally solve any argument.

Seriously! You were the one who did not agree and stubbornly insisted that Soviet's put a nuke in Cuba to nuke America. Me blasting that false information with history is equal to insults?

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 20:42Much like he will find a way to bash what I am saying now.

This is a perfect example of "internet insurance." Sorry, my friend, I am slow to anger but fast to think rationally.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 20:42No, I'm not confusing bashing and flaming, because flaming is mindlessly insulting a person on the internet...

Flaming = bashing. Your point is irrelavent.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 20:42where as he is using different faults and proofs to, not make the situation better or worse of, but to single out someone...

WOW @ your childishness. What "situation" are we talking about? We were debating history (we can't change that) and I brought up VALID, CREDIBLE, and TRUE information that can be found in any public township library to prove my points. How is it an insult?

When did I ever use "faults" to prove my point? And WHO did I single out?

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 20:42and flat out insult them for things that have either been said before from the victim, or just because he doesn't like the person. (Which is plainly obvious)

"Flat out"? Give me an example. Give me an example where I "flat out insulted" anybody in this thread. And who don't I like in this thread? You think I hate you?

Do you even know my stance on the Iraq War? Do you think I am a supporter of terrorists? Do you think I hate America? Do you think I sympathize with the beheaders? Do you think I am a criminal? Do you think I am a Communist? Do you think I am a terrorist because I am not American? Do you think I am an immigrant who needs to be deported?

You don't know do you? You have not a clue.

Seriously, my friend, you have gone TOO FAR with your blatant and criminal false accusations of me.

Stop right NOW, and think on what you are doing before carrying out this unholy triade against me.

NOTE: In all that I have seen so far, it is clearly obvious that Razor is unfit to argue in this sub-forum and this thread proves it.

EDIT!

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 21:55I.E. taking points in history and using them to make the US look bad as well as those who live in it.

LMAO. You are such a little kid. You keep going back to your old posts and adding new things after we post. Shows how unstable you are.

Thanks to you Dover. And yes, I agree, this thread is over and some immature people are clearly upset at their "e-honor" being tarnished.

BRACE FOR INCOMING PLECOS!