

---

Subject: Re: Best Article EVAR!

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 25 Nov 2008 17:33:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

pawkyfox wrote on Tue, 25 November 2008 08:54R3, I am sick of your liberalism. You don't get it do you? Child porn requires REAL children to perform the hideous acts. Think man.

No, you don't get it. All he's saying is that he doesn't see why the viewer should be punished for an act that does NOT affect anyone else but him. Murder is illegal, but there are surveillance videos of people murdering others. Should watching these videos be illegal as it perpetuates a demand for such videos? Yes, I realize that the purpose of child porn and surveillance videos are distinctly different, but the act (not purpose) of watching said videos isn't.

While, I do agree that watching such videos is essentially condoning the act, it is still not COMMITTING the act. I would argue that the viewer of child pornography is just as fucked up as the person creating it, but the actions are what separate it from being a crime and an immoral perversion.

---