Subject: Re: Proof God DOESN'T Exist Posted by Spoony on Thu, 05 Feb 2009 09:41:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05I can't demonstrate how the entire book of Exodus was proven through scientific means. How unexpected.

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05If you want to know why I mentioned that, then pull up the documentary on it that was broad casted on the History Channel. You pull it up.

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05As for not knowing where moses "tomb" is, you need to be careful. The Bible does not state that Moses had a tomb. You are correct that it says nobody knows where he was buried.

Yes, and isn't that rather odd? We have speeches of his last words, and we have the assertion that he was buried. So who buried him, and how do we know his last words?

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05You also have to take into consideration that the Bible was written thousands of years ago

I've never failed to take that into consideration; it's always worth the reminder every time someone refers you to its pronouncements on morality and science (i.e. all the time). It's always worth reminding yourself that it was written at a time when we knew very little at all about the world, the universe, and ourselves.

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05You also have to take the words in the Bible with an open mind

Yes, that's precisely why I question them.

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05We do not understand everything, and what we do, we must consider that we really don't. Yes, that's precisely why I question it.

Science is how we understand things we don't understand yet. Religion isn't; it just tries to fill in any gaps in our understanding by saying "God did it, case closed"

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05Though Spoony, I'm not going to discuss this with you.

It's surprising how many people say this, usually in the same post as when they attempt to.

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05In every argument I have ever seen you partake in on these forums, it is very clear that you do not enter discussions to discuss issues, rather to oppress and force upon others what you believe.

You must open your mind and take in both sides, do the research, and seek the truth yourself. Rhetoric. There's no sense here. Why do you say I'm not "discussing issues" when that is clearly exactly what I am doing? What "oppression" is going on? What am I "forcing upon others"? How is my mind not "open" and "not taking in both sides" when almost every post of mine is a direct quote of people I'm debating against, and a rebuttal against everything they say?

There you are, see if you can "start your own journey to the truth" by answering those questions. Unless, of course, you're "not going to discuss this with me".

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05It is very good to ponder your own assumptions, but spreading assumptions as truth because it is what you strongly believe, is not the right way to go about it.

Yes, that's why I argue against that sort of thing, since religion does it all the time. I'm not exactly sure why you're aiming the condemnation in my direction...

DeadX07 wrote on Wed, 04 February 2009 18:05Do the research, and start your own journey to seek the truth.

I wish you the best of luck to you if you decide to seek truthful knowledge, and not assumptions and personal belief-based ideas and opinions.

Which "truth", hmmm? And what's wrong with assumptions and personal beliefs? What can be asserted without evidence can be dismissed without evidence, and I don't even need that loophole.