

---

Subject: Re: The Pointfix and the Game Listings  
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:31:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:30CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:26Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:23CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:21Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!  
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Your argument is that there is no higher authority, so that there is no obligation at all to do anything? Nice morals. (Not a personal comment, just pointing out that those should always be present)

Except morals aren't a factor if we're questioning the fairness of the situation now are they?

Pardon? How else is one judging fairness?

Fairness can be defined in a few ways, so sorry; I'm going by the fact that it was in accordance with the rules, because it was; whether or not it was immoral seems to me like it would be beyond the scope of the argument, because it isn't anyone but EA's choice to make.

You're confusing "fair" with "legal". They aren't the same thing.

---