
Subject: Re: The Pointfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:06:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46The only thing you have made clear is your true feelings towards the Jelly community and your anger at us for what appears to be refusing to apply the pointfix to our servers - rightly or wrongly, thats how it comes across.
All that really needs to be done in rebuttal to this is to underline the word "wrongly", and perhaps put it in size 7 red letters too.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46You must admit, you havent been at all open to discussion in this debate.
Compared to the Jelly representatives, I actually think I have.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46The only time you seemed to calm down a bit was when Jelly offerd to discuss giving you a nick for a pure server.
Are you seriously under the impression he meant that? And even if he did, it fails to address what I was actually criticising.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46The fact is, you have vehemently stated and restated your original post only evolving to include an actual threat to attack the Jelly community itself.
!?!?

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Fri, 02 October 2009 03:32Also, what you're doing (all of you ANTIpointfix ppl) is separating the community. This could cause EA not to support this patch. That will mean that people that care will have TT patch, but all the newbies (who would probably better off with TT patch would be stuck in sucky servers without TT patch.

Now in this thread....

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46I never did see any announcement from TT saying they had acquired approval from EA to patch renegade let alone manage the XWIS listings. So, which is it? Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?
I infer from Crimson's posts that yes we do.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46If you dont have any authority from EA then why do you feel the need to question Jelly's position in public and thereby assassinate the charater of our community by expressing your "opinions"?
Nobody at Jelly has any permission from EA, and yet they treat me despicably, for absolutely no justification. Perhaps they're the ones you should be talking to?

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46Also, it was stated on this thread that the original polls on the pointfix were split 50/50. As that is the sole source of evidence for a "world" opinion on the pointfix, then i could claim just as clearly that the anti-pointfix group are not in the minority.
The fact is, neither of us are correct due to lack of evidence.
This is irrelevant anyway, but the number of people who've vehemently complained about the

pointsfix are in a staggering minority. And yet I personally still think it's good to have it optional, even though many people on the anti-pointsfix side don't.

kadoosh wrote I have a decent question for you spoony.

You know damn good and well whenever you post something on Jelly's forums you will be flamed. You stand for one of the things they hate, the point fix being the one I'm seeing more than anything else. Yet you continue to post there, or about them. Do you have the right to express an opinion? Hell yes and I will defend your right to this to the death.

The question is, Knowing this why do you subject yourself to it? Why do you intentionally walk into a place where you know your ideas are hated and try to express them?

I've actually been asking the same question. I wonder: given the utterly contemptible way they've been treating me for months, despite the fact I've done absolutely nothing wrong, why do I keep looking out for their interests? Perhaps if the hand you're feeding keeps biting you you should stop feeding it, in which case I shouldn't look out for the interests of the anti-pointsfix crowd and make sure the pointsfix isn't compulsory for them.

But no. Getting the job done's important. I see the TT role much like I saw my clanwars admin post; you're absolutely guaranteed to draw flak from idiots, but no matter how pathetically they behave, you're still to do the job for everyone else's benefit.

masterkna wrote is this not exactly what you are doing? deciding that someone else should not have something you don't have?

11 pages on and there's still confusion over what I'm doing? I don't know how much clearer I can put it.

Someone must have this authority, and if someone does, it would be better in the hands of a group who are approved by EA and who are accountable to the community as a whole (we proved we are... Jelly representatives continually prove they do not consider themselves so)
