
Subject: Re: Pat Robertson and his ilk
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2010 20:33:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

three more things.

firstly, i've just checked the dates... it seems the haiti revolution was just after the french revolution, meaning france was no longer a christian dictatorship (the revolution quickly went wrong, though, of course) and indeed had just executed its christian leaders at the time.

so, my first question for pat is: which of these two revolutions did you think was better:

1. a successful slave revolt that kicked out an "atheistic" dictatorship that itself had just executed its christian leaders
2. a struggle of secular democracy to kick out a christian theocracy

in my case i'd be on the side of the haitis in number 1 and the americans in number 2, but i guess a lunatic christian like pat would think differently.

my second question relates to his actual quote.

Quote:And you know Christy, something happened a long time ago in Haiti and people might not want to talk about it. They were under the heel of the French, uh you know Napoleon the third and whatever. And they got together and swore a pact to the devil. They said we will serve you if you'll get us free from the French. True Story, and so the Devil said OK it's a deal. And they kicked the French out. You know, the Haitians revolted and got themselves free. But ever since they've been cursed by one thing after the other desperately poor. That island is Hispaniola is one island. It's cut down the middle. On one side is Haiti on the other side is the Dominican republic. Dominican Republic is prosperous, healthy, full of resorts, etc.. Haiti is in desperate poverty. Same island. we'll leave aside the fact he got the wrong napoleon and he was probably wrong about the deal with the devil too, but let's assume the devil deal was actually true.

haiti was cursed, pat? well, what exactly are you saying here? the earthquake was caused by the devil? or was it caused by god as rather belated revenge on the innocent descendants of those who made the deal? or perhaps simply caused by plate tectonics and not stopped by god when perhaps he might have otherwise chosen to spare the people?

and when you've told me which of those three things you're actually saying, my third question is "how the fucking hell do you know that?" or, let me rephrase the question if it's too antagonistic... "why the fucking hell do you think that?"

(i ask that every time i hear theological drivel, by the way)
