

---

Subject: Re: Questions I would like to pose to athiests

Posted by [shippo](#) on Sat, 16 Apr 2011 17:37:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

snpr1101 wrote on Mon, 31 January 2011 00:33

You have this rational group of people; and then you have a group of people who at the same time, claimed a God of some form existed without any scientific evidence at all.

keeping in mind about the definition of science

Science (from Latin: scientia meaning "knowledge") is an enterprise that builds and organizes knowledge in the form of testable explanations and predictions about the world

I'm not sure I understand your graph. If science is the study of testable explanations, why is it being depicted or thought of as a separate "theory" vs "The Theory of God"? What I mean by this is, as an example, "The Theory of Evolution" is thought of by many as being "science" and the "Theory of Creation" as "non science". Both are theories on how the world began, and since neither can be physically tested, or are repeatable, neither can be called true science.

To me it is easier to believe that God created us and people come from people, than to believe that people came from rocks.

---