
Subject: Re: Questions I would like to pose to athiests

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 09:30:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

shippo wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 20:10Abiogenesis is an interesting subject. I think that if they could get this to work we could do a lot of things with this for the benefit of the medical field.

However keep in mind that they still can't get a living cell yet and that's with them knowing what they are trying to create. With evolution, it is random. So trying to create something as complicated as a living cell randomly, that's preaty difficult.

Sure. But according to what you've said so far, the origin of life is however this god of yours came into being; you haven't yet said how you think that happened. If you find it difficult to believe that a single cell could arise, I'm not sure why you find it easier to account for an enormously powerful and super-intelligent entity instead.

Quote:Quote:why do you think that?

Well I haven't found any thing to prove it not being 100% accurate.
that's a really bizarre way to reason.

firstly there are a whole lot of books in the bible, written by different authors - in most cases, almost nothing is known about those authors. and which books were included in the bible and which weren't (lots of them were rejected) was decided by a group of bickering politicians and religious leaders well over a thousand years ago, but hundreds of years after Jesus and thousands of years after Moses (if there was such person as either). if you want to say you trust this bickering group of men, at least some of whom clearly had vested interests in the question, to decide which books were "inspired by God" and which were not, go ahead - you're then left with the question of proving there's a god at all, then that these books really were inspired by that god, then that this god is right all the time.

instead you seem to be taking the position of swallowing this 1000-page book in its entirety until someone can point out a flaw.

well, OK then. two things. you said a few posts ago that you not only think it's 100% accurate, but that we should live our lives by it and reject other sources in favour of the Bible. OK then. i'll take one point about the supposed 'truth' of the books and one point about the way we should live our lives.

truth first. who was Joseph's father? two of the gospels give entirely different answers to this question. (joseph referring to jesus's father, not the technicolor dreamcoat guy in Genesis)

now, on the way we should live. here's a question: what should we as a society do when a man commits the crime of rape against a woman? what should the laws be?

i say the man should be put in prison for a long time, and the woman should have counselling available if she wants it.

the bible says the victim is forced to marry her attacker.

which of these two is better? or do you have a better idea than either?

i say that if that really did come from a god, he has no fucking idea.
