

---

Subject: Re: Questions I would like to pose to athiests  
Posted by [fredcow9](#) on Thu, 26 Apr 2012 16:51:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony wrote on Wed, 25 April 2012 18:36 firstly, do you still think the following:

1. people who preach a different religion deserve to be killed

Never felt this way, I think its something you just assumed from talking about the israelites trip back to Canaan. If we are talking the afterlife and eternal torment? of course. If God is assumed to exist and made man ect, he would obviously have some sort of interaction with him. Why should those that didnt believe get the same fate as those who were tormented on earth and did believe.

Quote:2. it is permissible to deliberately slaughter children to frighten the adults in their society  
This tactic isnt even in the bible but I never felt this way to begin with. Im not so sure what reference of killing children to frighten adults in society would be tbh.

Quote:3. people who are not convinced in the truth of your religion deserve to be tortured  
ah I guess my answer to question 1 falls under this category. the relevance of my being ok with it is kind of moot although I am. Whats really relevant about this question is if thats the case and you are fully aware the christian God would do this then what is your excuse for not believing? (just hypothetically of course)

i've already responded to the crap from josephus etc, go back a page or two. eatcow couldn't come up with a single contemporary account of jesus' life; perhaps you can succeed where he failed? nothing you said in that post qualifies. written way too late, and would be unimpressive if true.[/quote]

Titus Flavius Josephus (37 – c. 100) - notice the recurring theme here? yet another source the best you can say of whom is that he is repeating what he'd heard.

Quote:and what is he repeating? this is the passage which most people think has been tampered with; maybe you're in the minority who thinks it hasn't? and the only unusual thing in the passage is that he had followers who considered him miraculous. given the time and place, i'm being generous when i call that unusual.

This is more than what he heard, its what he was made well aware of and felt important enough to include in his history writings. Do you think the government at the time for whom he worked (you do know he worked for the romans) would have accepted an account based on "what he heard"... If you think its been tampered with, what era did this occur? Who did the tampering or at least who had the most to gain by digging into his writings and changing the originals? Jesus died at 33 years of age. Josephus wrote these things 4 years after min. They coincide with the writings of tacitus who wrote during Christ life up to about the 40s ad. I will need some evidence for all these claims your making here.

Heres what you NEED to dispute. Christ lived amongst and showed himself to many peoples. thousands at times. He was rejected by the Jewish authority at the time and his life was pretty much constantly being sought out by them. The apostles lived with broke bread with slept and did everything with Jesus. If your looking for an eyewitness account they are the best ones your going to get. Note all the apostles were Jews and also note the ways in which they died as I stated above.

What in secular history DISCOUNTS the writings of Jesus, where are the contradictions of secular writings at the time e.g A man named Jesus existed, claimed to be the king of the Jews, he was killed and the Christians foolishly went around claiming he rose again kind of thing.

What is your biggest distrust in the gospels? assume they have no motive. what is their biggest error?

---