
Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [snipbravo](#) on Wed, 05 May 2004 01:05:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

THESE NOOBS! they think that just because a helicopter, buggy etc.. is faster and has a better gun then a sniper that they should be invulnerable to sniper fire. NOT TRUE. in real life, if a sniper shot an armor piercing round or jet- propelled round at a lightly armored vehicle, the shot would be in and out. The people who think otherwise are WRONG. If you buy a helicopter and are gettin shot at, if u aren;t able to determine the direction the shot is comin from, then dont even buy a vehicle. If the orca, apache,etc... was invulnerable to sniper fire, then IT WOULD RULE THE BATTLEFIELD. Good job westwood!

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 05 May 2004 06:06:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

:rolleyes:

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Thu, 06 May 2004 14:02:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He's quite right about the rule the battlefield part. The one time this invulnerability theory was tried out, rocketeers were supposed to be the anti aircraft units, and their missiles could heat seek (the map was called City Flying Exp). That didn't seem to stop orca/apache domination on the field, and it was all anyone bought to sum it up.

While we're on the subject, transport choppers don't have enough armour/protection. It's too easy to die, which doesn't equal their abilities if they made their goal. They're not much different than APCs, and much less cheaper because they can't run around killing every soldier that moves. Absolutely anything can attack them and they're defenceless, aside for being able to crush infantry. You see, they were designed n00b-friendly so that "snipers" could shoot them down 7 seconds after they're exposed and have a good laugh out of watching them fall, whilst honing their skills trying to hit the pilot as he falls.

Hell, even thinking about it makes me laugh.

Subject: Re: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHI

Posted by [Zep](#) on Thu, 06 May 2004 16:05:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipbravoTHESE NOOBS! they think that just because a helicopter, buggy etc.. is faster and has a better gun then a sniper that they should be invulnerable to sniper fire. NOT TRUE. in real life, if a sniper shot an armor piercing round or jet- propelled round at a lightly armored vehicle, the shot would be in and out. The people who think otherwise are WRONG. If you buy a helicopter and are gettin shot at, if u aren;t able to determine the direction the shot is comin from, then dont even buy a vehicle. If the orca, apache,etc... was invulnerable to sniper fire, then IT WOULD RULE THE BATTLEFIELD. Good job westwood!

YA LEIK WTF!?! Y ISNT DIS GAME XACTLY LEIK REEL LIFE! OMG!
I CNT BELEAVE PPL CANAUGHT MAK GAMEZ RITE!

... :rolleyes:

Subject: Re: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHI

Posted by [Majiin Vegeta](#) on Thu, 06 May 2004 16:42:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipbravoTHESE NOOBS! they think that just because a helicopter, buggy etc.. is faster and has a better gun then a sniper that they should be invulnerable to sniper fire. NOT TRUE. in real life, if a sniper shot an armor piercing round or jet- propelled round at a lightly armored vehicle, the shot would be in and out. The people who think otherwise are WRONG. If you buy a helicopter and are gettin shot at, if u aren;t able to determine the direction the shot is comin from, then dont even buy a vehicle. If the orca, apache,etc... was invulnerable to sniper fire, then IT WOULD RULE THE BATTLEFIELD. Good job westwood!

what you just said made no sense to me whatsoever O_o

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [kooleo](#) on Thu, 06 May 2004 22:52:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I hate it when I am just innocently flying with my brand new orca and 500 n00bjeters come out and kill my orca! NOW, I think that the power of the Ramjet and Sniper Rifel should be REDUSED but NOT completly! I mean when you are snipeing and if a orca comes and attacks you, you would want to defend youself wouldn't you, i would.

sry i could not explain it well :rolleyes:

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST

VEHICLES

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 06 May 2004 22:59:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol when you say n00b do you mean yourself?

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Majiin Vegeta](#) on Fri, 07 May 2004 02:33:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

learn to fly and stop crying...

ive killed many snipers before they have killed my orca.. you just gotta know how to use it

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Fri, 07 May 2004 19:13:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've always considered APCs and Laser Chaingunners more dangerous to aircraft than snipers or PIC/Railguns. APCs have enough armor to outlast and range to outshoot an aircraft, and Laser Chaingunners can dominate them faster than almost any other infantry type. A Ramjet takes 5 shots to kill an orca/apache, making a single reload necessary. A LCG can down an orca/apache in 1 clip, with 8 shots remaining.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Homey](#) on Sat, 08 May 2004 14:56:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

flyingfoxHe's quite right about the rule the battlefield part. The one time this invulnerability theory was tried out, rocketeers were supposed to be the anti aircraft units, and their missiles could heat seek (the map was called City Flying Exp). That didn't seem to stop orca/apache domination on the field, and it was all anyone bought to sum it up.

While we're on the subject, transport choppers don't have enough armour/protection. It's too easy to die, which doesn't equal their abilities if they made their goal. They're not much different than APCs, and much less cheaper because they can't run around killing every soldier that moves. Absolutely anything can attack them and they're defenceless, aside for being able to crush infantry. You see, they were designed n00b-friendly so that "snipers" could shoot them down 7 seconds after they're exposed and have a good laugh out of watching them fall, whilst honing their skills trying to hit the pilot as he falls.

Hell, even thinking about it makes me laugh.
I agree with the transports need more armor...

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [spoonyrat](#) on Sat, 08 May 2004 19:56:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What would be good is if one of the passengers could shoot from the chinook with whatever weapon he has

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Sun, 09 May 2004 05:41:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's fine if you have an opinion, but WHY THE HELL did you post three separate topics about this?

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [kooleo](#) on Sun, 09 May 2004 15:57:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am NOT a n00b and I am one of the BEST dam snipers out there!

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sun, 09 May 2004 16:23:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Intelligence lies not in knowing everything, but in knowing that you do not know everything."

It's very rare to see a person who can claim to be the best at something who actually is the best at it. If you believe yourself to be unbeatable, you're in for one hell of a bad day when someone beats you. Always assume that your opponent is better than you are. If there's one thing I've learned in gaming, it's that the best players are usually those that do not brag about their skill.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [kooleo](#) on Sun, 09 May 2004 20:27:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kooleo one of the BEST dam snipers out there! If you were to READ that quote correctly the first tiime you should of noticed that i said "one of the BEST" I never said that i was the best! Because some people can owne me still. and that is not alot of people.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Sun, 09 May 2004 23:58:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In Single Player there are Transports with Chainguns on the side, wonder why the didn't implement that.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [blackhand456](#) on Mon, 10 May 2004 19:34:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitlol when you say n00b do you mean yourself?

YES he does

This post is stupid you really think your going to be able to convince people that snipers should be effective against aircraft. To alot of people, Renegade is an AOW game with snipers included. NOT a snipers only game. Thats why i enjoy RenAlert its not as much as a snipers only game. Actually i've never seen snipers only matches on RenAlert

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Mon, 10 May 2004 19:43:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kooleol am NOT a n00b and I am one of the BEST dam snipers out there!

HAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA THIS GUY IS FUNNY AS SHIT.

lol, im you keep tellin yourself that buddy. I bet your not even in the top 200.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [blackhand456](#) on Mon, 10 May 2004 19:50:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitkoooleol am NOT a n00b and I am one of the BEST dam snipers out there!

HAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA THIS GUY IS FUNNY AS SHIT.

lol, im you keep tellin yourself that buddy. I bet your not even in the top 200.

Ehh i dont kno all i kno is he goes to my school and likes to shoot people. So does snipbravo but hehe lets not mention that. theres someone else but he doesn't want to be mentioned, ORCA.

Edit: Animals Animals not people

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [kooleo](#) on Thu, 13 May 2004 21:02:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I will tell you all right now, blackhannd456 above, goes to my school and so does snipbravo and they can tell you all that i am ONE, and I stress that ONE of the Best. ALSO i agree with blackhannd456 that snipers should not be effective angainst airrafe BUT, I think that a snipers should be able to shoot through the windoes of the aircrafts to make a kill.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 14 May 2004 00:21:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipbravoin real life, if a sniper shot an armor piercing round or jet- propelled round at a lightly armored vehicle, the shot would be in and out.

Quite true. Unless of course it hits some armor plates or kevlar inserts. Also, bullets don't explode. They enter and exit. Also, if vehicles guns were anywhere near realistic, 1-3 shots would kill any "character".

Just so you know.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [kooleo](#) on Wed, 19 May 2004 22:51:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i agree

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Sat, 05 Jun 2004 21:08:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My reasoning:

When was the last time five sniper-caliber bullets were able to destroy any vehicle? Even a car can still go with five/ten/fifteen/whatever holes in it, and the MRLS is gonna be better-armored than a car.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [JPNOD](#) on Sun, 06 Jun 2004 17:14:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, i agree with somethings but they should just get 2 minnigunners with a accuracy and firepower of the orca/apache gun and More armor. since its realy usseles in bigger games

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 06 Jun 2004 17:19:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kooleol am NOT a n00b and I am one of the BEST dam snipers out there!
He's the BEST at sniping large flying vehicles or buggys.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 12:20:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kooleo, just to check...

You're one of the best snipers? What are you going to do when I spot you? Run? you should

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [snipbravo](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 01:25:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL!! You think you can kill k00leo? :rolleyes: I could probly own you , and k00leo can beat me 2 out of 3 times.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 02:40:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh my god, that may be the most scientific measurement of all time!

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 11:50:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not at all, snipers are my bugbear for these reasons.

1. You don't see them, you just get shot.
2. When you spot them and try to take them down, it's difficult because of the place they pick.
3. When they know you're firing at them, they stay there. Now correct me if I'm wrong, but that's hillcamping and against the rules of most servers.
4. I was forced out of a game just this week as I couldn't even get to the end of my base without one of the SEVEN snipers who were camped outside picking me off.

That's why you should move when I find you..

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 23:53:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:1. You don't see them, you just get shot.

So find them first.

Quote:2. When you spot them and try to take them down, it's difficult because of the place they pick.

Any good sniper doesn't pick one hide to chill the whole game, he makes himself an easy target once he becomes more than an annoyance. Therefore these are bad snipers. Kill them.

Quote:3. When they know you're firing at them, they stay there. Now correct me if I'm wrong, but that's hillcamping and against the rules of most servers.

Since when was it comon rule to be required to change your position if you are discovered and fired upon?

Quote:4. I was forced out of a game just this week as I couldn't even get to the end of my base without one of the SEVEN snipers who were camped outside picking me off.

So don't let them see you.

Sheesh.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [DragonSniper](#) on Sat, 03 Jul 2004 10:27:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LMFAO as smart*ss as tarsonis was he is absolutely right lol well put tarsonis. o and if you cant even get outside your OWN base because of ONLY snipers u suck and should probably practice more, the only thing that keeps me in my base is.....well nothing cause i know how to get out and around unspotted and unscaved.....

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Thu, 08 Jul 2004 16:32:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not trying to be a smartass, I'm trying to get him to shut up. He pretty much whined about how other people were better than him, and how he didn't like that.

Anyways, moving on...

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 09 Jul 2004 16:49:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You must be an idiot if you think that a n00b cannon, or even seven of them, won't be able to see you when you have a basic soldier inside your base. They are only there to get cheap kills against basic soldiers and destroy any aircraft, they lack the skill necessary to be a valuable player.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Sun, 11 Jul 2004 21:53:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:You must be an idiot if you think that a n00b cannon, or even seven of them, won't be able to see you when you have a basic soldier inside your base. They are only there to get cheap kills against basic soldiers and destroy any aircraft, they lack the skill necessary to be a valuable player.

Fair enough, still, I haven't been on Renegade for almost a year now, I'll assume times have changed.

Cheers.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Sat, 17 Jul 2004 10:54:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tarsonis9Quote:1. You don't see them, you just get shot.

So find them first.

Quote:2. When you spot them and try to take them down, it's difficult because of the place they pick.

Any good sniper doesn't pick one hide to chill the whole game, he makes himself an easy target once he becomes more than an annoyance. Therefore these are bad snipers. Kill them.

Quote:3. When they know you're firing at them, they stay there. Now correct me if I'm wrong, but that's hillcamping and against the rules of most servers.

Since when was it comon rule to be required to change your position if you are discovered and fired upon?

Quote:4. I was forced out of a game just this week as I couldn't even get to the end of my base without one of the SEVEN snipers who were camped outside picking me off.

So don't let them see you.

Sheesh.

- 1.Hehe, would be easier if they wore hi-vis jackets.
2. Exactly, and that's what I'm bothered about. Some people are one-trick noobs who don't appear to be able to do anything else, whereas I'm pants with every character.
3. Er, dunno, but it's generally a bad idea to go straight back to where they know you were...

4. Easier said than done, some people seem to be able to spot cloaked people/vehicles from half a mile off..

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [snipbravo](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 01:03:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Aircraftkiller" They lack the skill necessary to be a valuable player. Are you a valuable player johnny? I would like to re-iterate the fact that you spend ALL your time here and dont even play the game. if you did your rank would be 300000..... my rank is really good, but i dont play anymore because i have so much better stuff to do w/my time then argue with people who spend their lives argueing.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Renx](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 01:56:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uuum, he does play, and his rank is 299 :rolleyes:

Posting on a forum saying how much you "own" people doesn't prove anything other than the fact that you're a fucking moron. If you want to make a name for yourself, actually play the damn game..

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [blackhand456](#) on Tue, 27 Jul 2004 01:11:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngi Oh my god, that may be the most scientific measurement of all time!

Your wrong and he's wrong k00leo could own snipbravo's ass 7 out of the 7 days of the week and also 2 out of 3 isn't scientific 3 out of 3 is

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 27 Jul 2004 01:40:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That wasn't not my point unexactly but not.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 27 Jul 2004 19:06:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipbravoAircraftkillerThey lack the skill necessary to be a valuable player.

Are you a valuable player johnny? I would like to re-iterate the fact that you spend ALL your time here and dont even play the game. if you did your rank would be 300000..... my rank is really good, but i dont play anymore because i have so much better stuff to do w/my time then argue with people who spend their lives argueing.

Most kills:

<http://renegade.the-pitts.net/gamestats/playerStats.cfm?styp=totkills&shw=20&wol=1&sdate=%7Bts%20%272004%2D07%2D01%2000%3A00%3A01%27%7D&edate=%7Bts%20%272004%2D07%2D27%2016%3A05%3A00%27%7D>

3268 kills in 154 games.

Kill\death ratio:

6.98 out of 154 games.

And the rest?

<http://renegade.the-pitts.net/gamestats/playerStats.cfm?wol=1&player=JupitersK&sdate=%257Bts%2520%25272004%252D07%252D01%252000%253A00%253A01%2527%257D&edate=%257Bts%2520%25272004%252D07%252D27%252016%253A05%253A00%2527%257D>

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [spoonyrat](#) on Tue, 27 Jul 2004 19:30:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't agree with ACK's suggestion at first, but I do now.

By the way, Snipbravo, aren't you the one who posted a 1v1 challenge thread saying you can own everyone, then when you get two clear acceptances from players who - let's face it - will rape you without trying, you're never seen again? :rolleyes:

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Mon, 02 Aug 2004 20:55:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...Raped so bad it will forcibly change his gender...

Sorry, couldn't resist.

Subject: aircraft killer

Posted by [snipbravo](#) on Mon, 09 Aug 2004 20:00:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraft killer probably has a good rank only because he bribes the other noobs to elevate his rank. I could still take anyone (including aircraft killer) in renegade, but as i said, i have so much better stuff to do with my time. I'm not a computer geek like aircraft killer or any of u other people who spend your time here on this useless website rather than playing the game for what it was released for. If i ever get to the point where i play renegade anymore, k00leo will have to sit in and make sure u all dont CHEAT. I'm not a computer geek like aircraft killer or any of u other people who spend your time here on this useless website rather than playing the game for what it was released for.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [spoonyrat](#) on Mon, 09 Aug 2004 20:10:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

^^ This completely contradicts itself.

Firstly Aircraftkiller doesn't even play renegade anymore

Secondly if we should "play the game" instead of sitting around on a forum, how about you play me or IceSword7? I seem to recall YOU making the challenge, not the other way around, and since we accepted all you've done is hang around on a forum.

Thirdly if you think you can "take anyone in renegade"... let's go biatch

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Tue, 10 Aug 2004 18:41:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I actually laughed out loud (lol'd) when this guy said he wasn't a computer geek. Ok buddy, since you seem to be in a bragging mood right now, lets hear about all of the un-geeky things you do. Got a mile time? How 'bout a max on the bench? How 'bout a life?

Your previous posts suggest otherwise bucko, if you're gonna bullshit us, at least have the smarts to stick to the story.

Why am I getting involved in this?

Eh, something to do when I'm bored.

Carry on.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 03:21:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kooleol will tell you all right now, blackhannd456 above, goes to my school and so does snipbravo and they can tell you all that i am ONE, and I stress that ONE of the Best. ALSO i agree with blackhannd456 that snipers should not be effective against airframe BUT, I think that a snipers should be able to shoot through the windows of the aircrafts to make a kill.

If that snipbravo idiot goes to your school give him a damn good kicking for me. The tosser doesn't deserve the right to live, with comments like it gives in the topic "U people"

Also pointing and clicking is not such an achievement, why is there such greatness put upon snipers? I'm by no means the best at anything, but at least I can change my gameplan.

One trick sniper noobs piss off to the sniper servers

Subject: lol

Posted by [snipbravo](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 01:30:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lets hear about ur life geek? U have a girlfriend? U start on the varsity team? U do anything other than renegade? U have any friends other than the cookie jar or ur keyboard?

I dont play renegade either. I have IMPORTANT stuff to do. k00leo is not gina kick me either. first off, he couldnt if he tried, and second off, he wouldnt even try because hes a good friend and, we both have more important stuff to do than fight each other over fat, lazy idiots who spend their entire life arguing, hot doggin, and eating until they cant reach around the blob on the gut to type.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [U927](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 01:54:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Robert 'redpaw' Jung Welcome to the Internet.

No one here likes you.

We're going to offend, insult, abuse, and belittle the living hell out of you. And when you rail against us with "FUCK YOU YOU GEEK WIMP SKATER GOTH LOSER PUNK FAG BITCH!1!!", we smile to ourselves. We laugh at you because you don't get it. Then we turn up the heat, hoping

to draw more entertainment from your irrational fuming.

We will judge you, and we will find you unworthy. It is a trial by fire, and we won't even think about turning down the flames until you finally understand.

Some of you are smart enough to realize that, when you go online, it's like entering a foreign country ... and you know better than to ignorantly fuck with the locals. You take the time to listen and think before speaking. You learn, and by learning are gladly welcomed.

For some of you, it takes a while, then one day it all dawns on you - you get it, and are welcomed into the fold.

Some of you give up, and we breathe a sigh of relief - we didn't want you here anyway. And some of you just never get it. The offensively clueless have a special place in our hearts - as objects of ridicule. We don't like you, but we do love you.

You will get mad. You will tell us to go to hell, and call us "nerds" and "geeks". Don't bother ... we already know exactly what we are. And, much like the way hardcore rap has co-opted the word "mean mean black person", turning an insult around on itself to become a semiserious badge of honor, so have we done.

"How dare you! I used to beat the crap out of punks like you in high school/college!" You may have owned the playing field because you were an athlete. You may have owned the student council because you were more popular. You may have owned the hallways and sidewalks because you were big and intimidating. Well, welcome to our world.

Things like athleticism, popularity, and physical prowess mean nothing here. We place no value on them ... or what car you drive, the size of your bank account, what you do for a living or where you went to school.

Allow us to introduce you to the concept of a "meritocracy" - the closest thing to a form of self-government we have. In The United Meritocratic nation-states of the Internet, those who can do, rule. Those who wish to rule, learn. Everyone else watches from the stands.

You may possess everything in the off-line world. We don't care. You come to the Internet penniless, lacking the only thing of real value here: knowledge.

"Who cares? The Internet isn't real anyway!" This attitude is universally unacceptable. The Internet is real. Real people live behind those handles and screen names. Real machines allow it to exist. It's real enough to change government policy, real enough to feed the world's hungry, and even, for some of us, real enough to earn us a paycheck. Using your own definition, how "real" is your job? Your stock portfolio? Your political party? What is the meaning of "real", anyway?

Do I sound arrogant? Sure ... to you. Because you probably don't get it yet.

If you insist on staying, then, at the very least, follow this advice:

1) No one, ESPECIALLY YOU, will make any law respecting an establishment of religion, or

prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

2) Use your brain before ever putting fingers to keys.

3) Do you want a picture of you getting anally raped by Bill Clinton while you're performing oral sex on a cow saved to hundreds of thousands of people's hard drives? No? Then don't put your fucking picture on the Internet. We can, will, and probably already HAVE altered it in awful ways. Expect it to show up on an equally offensive website.

4) Realize that you are never, EVER going to get that, or any other, offensive web page taken down. Those of us who run those sites LIVE to piss off people like you. Those of us who don't run those sites sometimes visit them just to read the hate-mail from fools like you.

5) Oh, you say you're going to a lawyer? Be prepared for us to giggle with girlish delight, and for your lawyer to laugh in your face after he explains current copyright and parody law.

6) The Web is not the Internet. Stop referring to it that way.

7) We have already received the e-mail you are about to forward to us. Shut up.

8. Don't reply to spam. You are not going to be "unsubscribed".

9) Don't ever use the term "cyberspace" (only William Gibson gets to say that, and even he hasn't really used it for two or three books now). Likewise, you prove yourself a marketing-hype victim if you ever use the term "surfing".

10) With one or two notable exceptions, chat rooms will not get you laid.

11) It's a hoax, not a virus warning.

12) The internet is made up of thousands of computers, all connected but owned by different people. Learn how to use *your* computer before attempting to connect it to someone else's.

13) The first person who offers to help you is really just trying to fuck with you for entertainment. So is the second. And the third. And me.

14) Never insult someone who's been active in any group longer than you have. You may as well paint a damn target on your back.

15) Never get comfortable and arrogant behind your supposed mask of anonymity. Don't be surprised when your name, address, and home phone number get thrown back in your smug face. Hell, some of us will snail-mail you a printed satellite photograph of your house to drive the point home. Realize that you are powerless if this happens ... it's all public information, and information is our stock and trade.

16) No one thinks you are as cool as you think you are.

17) You aren't going to win any argument that you start.

18. If you're on AOL, don't worry about anything I've said here. You're already a fucking laughing stock, and there's no hope for you.

19) If you can't take a joke, immediately sell your computer to someone who can. RIGHT NOW.

Pissed off? It's the TRUTH, not these words, that hurts your feelings. Don't ever even pretend like I've gone & hurt them.

We don't like you. We don't want you here. We never will. Save us all the trouble and go away.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 01:57:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipbravo in short: (not necessarily in order)

I challenge you all to a game!

(a number of people agree)

You probabl ycheat, so I'm going to avoid the challenge!

I challenge you to a game!

(same people agree)

I don't play the game anymore because I have a life!

I challenge you all to a game!

(yet again the same people agree)

You will probably cheat, so someone would have to moderate!

I don't play Renegade anymore, I have a life!

PM me if you want to have a match!

Someone is better than me, he must bribe server owners to boost his stats!

NOW all you clanwars people can use that dodging comment you seem to like so much

Subject: Re: lol

Posted by [PermaGrin](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 05:51:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipbravoLets hear about ur life geek? U have a girlfriend? U start on the varsity team? U do anything other tahn renegade? U have any firends other then the cookie jar or ur keyboard? I dont play renegade either. I have IMPORTANT stuff to do. k00leo is not gina kick me either. first off, he couldnt if he tried, and second off, he wouldnt even try becuase hes a good freind and, we both have mopre important stuff to do then fight each other over fat, lazy idiots who spend their entire life arguing,hot doggin,and eatin until they cant reach around the blob on the gut to type.

Dumb fucking jock. If you dont play any more and got all these better things to do, why the hell are you defending yourself to people about a game you dont even play?

Oh wait, just answer my own question.

Dumb fucking jock.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [spoonyrat](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 10:46:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Page three.

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [tarsonis9](#) on Fri, 13 Aug 2004 23:55:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The madness never ends!

Hee Hee!

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VEHICLES

Posted by [AlostSOul](#) on Sun, 15 Aug 2004 00:19:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

flyingfoxHe's quite right about the rule the battlefield part. The one time this invulnerability theory was tried out, rocketeers were supposed to be the anti aircraft units, and their missiles could heat seek (the map was called City Flying Exp). That didn't seem to stop orca/apache domination on the field, and it was all anyone bought to sum it up.

While we're on the subject, transport choppers don't have enough armour/protection. It's too easy to die, which doesn't equal their abilities if they made their goal. They're not much different than APCs, and much less cheaper because they can't run around killing every soldier that moves. Absolutely anything can attack them and they're defenceless, aside for being able to crush infantry. You see, they were designed n00b-friendly so that "snipers" could shoot them down 7 seconds after they're exposed and have a good laugh out of watching them fall, whilst honing their skills trying to hit the pilot as he falls.

that's why there is the VTOL Orca/Apache Icon!!

Subject: SNIPERS SHOULD AND ALWAYS WILL BE EFFECTIVE AGAINST
VEHICLES

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Sun, 15 Aug 2004 01:51:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if you can fit 4 people inside a single VTOL, I'll give you an apple for each
