
Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 05:21:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://flounder.com/bush2.htm>

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 21:25:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 22:20:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not of a skill level in equality with the author of this site to argue his point, so I suppose I must concede.

What I would like to know is, if these documents are apparently false, then why did so many people come out saying they were false because of Superscript, which is obviously not the case?

Oh, and my dad thinks that if these documents were indeed fakes, chances are Karl Rove planted them. Because Karl Rove really is that tricky.

Next time, please, just summarize sites like this. Stuff like this is really too long to read in a timely manner.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 22:33:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngi

Oh, and my dad thinks that if these documents were indeed fakes, chances are Karl Rove planted them. Because Karl Rove really is that tricky.

How many times do i have to say it.

Your dad is a moron, stop listeing to him , he's brain washing you to be a failer and laughing stock of all normal minded people everywhere.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 22:35:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, shut up.

By the way, your "blog" isn't funny at all.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 23:16:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiOh, shut up.

By the way, your "blog" isn't funny at all.

lol shut up hahahah thats great.

By the way, i started that blog a full 2 days ago, as you can so clearly tell i've had plenty of time to post shit that may be slightly funny. And i wouldn't expect someone of your intelligence to find it funny at all, your one of those REALLY nerdy type people not just a normal nerd like me, your one of thsoe that never laughs i'd bet, you take EVERYTHING seriously, and basicly a dick to be around.

Okay enough pounding your sole for now.

Quote:At my school there is 5 - 10 of these "goths" (The only goths I've probably ever seen that attend church every sunday

Thats funny bitch, o ya its funny (I don't care if teh net people think its funny at all, i type shit i think my freinds would think is funny, now shut up tool.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 23:31:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Your dad is a moron, stop listeing to him , he's brain washing you to be a failer and laughing stock of all normal minded people everywere.

Leave personal insults out of it.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 25 Jan 2005 23:32:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cow, I spend 4-5 hours a week making independent films with my friends solely intent on being "funny."

Saying I never laugh is a bit of a misunderestimation.

But let's stop poking fun [pun intended] at our personal lives.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 00:34:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i agree, personal insults aren't needed, but planting evidence? please...

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Fabian](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:13:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Your dad is a moron, stop listening to him

He's right, SFE. Don't listen to anyone.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 01:32:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]i agree, personal insults aren't needed, but planting evidence? please...

It's not an insult if it's true.

He's always saying "Let me go ask dad" "Well, my dad said" "well dad this dad that blah blah blah. And everytime he comes back from talking with "dad" with some stupid comment.

His views are all his dad's, he never sat down once i think and thought about how stupid they are.

So my comment stands.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 02:06:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfit, only on rare occasions do I ever have a word with my dad, that's on IRC, and it's when I'm talking to someone actually capable of thought-provoking ideas, namely Crimson and about 1-2 other people. No, not you.

gbull - Karl Rove once planted a spy microphone in his own office and then "discovered" it and claled the police, trying to get his opponent in trouble. In one of the few cases of his career, got caught red-handed and lost his candidate's election.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 03:22:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Its not an insult if its true.

It can be interpreted as insulting. In this case its insulting anyway you look at it because its your opinion. Moron, brought up to be a faliure, and normal minded.....You have your own definitions for each of those.

I'm hijacking another thread! Yay!

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:05:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngi I'm not of a skill level in equality with the author of this site to argue his point, so I suppose I must concede.
Owned.

Quote: Oh, and my dad thinks that if these documents were indeed fakes, chances are Karl Rove planted them. Because Karl Rove really is that tricky.
Your dad needs to come to terms with reality; I see where you get your blind, intense hatred for George W. Bush and his administration.

You just can't accept the fact a Democrat forged those documents in an effort to hurt George W. Bush's record, can you?

cowmisfit Your dad is a moron, stop listeing to him , he's brain washing you to be a failer and laughing stock of all normal minded people everywhere.

I'm sure his father isn't a moron; he just has a serious lack of common sense (as most Democrats seem to have).

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2005 22:01:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tell me, hydra, what would you have me do in this position? I could no longer defend my case, so I yielded the high ground. Isn't that exactly what you claim I'm incapable of doing, seeing another person's standpoint?

And hydra, what reason is there to believe that a Democrat forged these documents over Karl Rove? Let me tell you my point of view. Rove actually has a track record of doing things like this. Like the time he put a bug in his own office and called the police, trying to blame the other candidate.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Panther](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 00:10:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitYour dad is a moron, stop listeing to him , he's brain washing you to be a failer and laughing stock of all normal minded people everywere.

Hmm... he's brainwashing superflyingengi to be a "failer"... well. That's just great.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:36:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:And hydra, what reason is there to believe that a Democrat forged these documents over Karl Rove?

Because that's what actually happened!!!

A man in Texas forged the documents and passed them off to CBS in an effort to hurt George W. Bush's reputation (I can't remember his name right now). If I remember correctly, he even confessed to forging the documents!!

Karl Rove had nothing to do with it no matter how much you want to believe he did. It's the truth; accept it, tool.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:58:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If it's the truth, then prove it.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 04:43:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would like to aplause SFE, his signature finally shows some pride in his country.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 21:43:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hee hee.

I actually think that passage of the Star Spangled Banner symbolizes how America will recover no matter what economic horrors George Bush wreaks upon it.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 22:19:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiHee hee.

I actually think that passage of the Star Spangled Banner symbolizes how America will recover no matter what economic horrors George Bush wreaks upon it.

:rolleyes: You need to think before you take something as sybolic as our natinal anthem and attribute it to something like that. Jesus chirst your dumb.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2005 23:27:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dissapointment.....

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Panther](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 00:34:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfit :rolleyes: You need to think before you take something as sybolic as our natinal anthem and attribute it to something like that. Jesus chirst your dumb.

I can't believe you're calling someone dumb... i mean... our sybolic natinal anthem is real great and all....

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 00:48:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Panthercowmisfit :rolleyes: You need to think before you take something as sybolic as our natinal anthem and attribute it to something like that. Jesus chirst your dumb.

I can't believe you're calling someone dumb... i mean... our sybolic natinal anthem is real great and all....

Shut the fuck up lamer. I can't spell for shit, get over it i have so many other faults find one.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 01:15:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

About this forumTry to avoid name calling. If you believe in your stance and what you're saying enough, you should be able to state it without personal insults...

...Though these forums, and also the internet, do not guarantee you freedom of speech, American or not, you will pretty much have it in here, so long as it's not abused. You will be contacted privately if you get out of hand.

Crimson, might I suggest enforcement? cowmisfit can barely go a single post without getting crude and slanderous.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 01:23:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hes not the only one. it goes BOTH ways, i even do it sometimes.

and yes, i blew it again Spoonny :twisted: :twisted: :twisted:

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 01:42:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiAbout this forumTry to avoid name calling. If you believe in your stance and what you're saying enough, you should be able to state it without personal insults...

...Though these forums, and also the internet, do not guarantee you freedom of speech, American or not, you will pretty much have it in here, so long as it's not abused. You will be contacted

privately if you get out of hand.

Crimson, might I suggest enforcement? cowmisfit can barely go a single post without getting crude and slanderous.

ROFL, so someone makes some smartass comment to me, and you expect me not to say anything eh? I suppose thats the normal liberal approach.

And my "slander" against you is true. You DO get your views from your father , YOUR FATHER IS MIS-GUIDED AND WRONG AND INSTILLING VALUES OF HATE FOR YOUR OWN COUNTRY AND ITS POLICIES INTO YOU.

Its not slander or insulting if its true.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:01:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subjective reality should not be portrayed as an absolute truth.

And by the way, in case my point went right over your head, I was referring to your excessively crude choice of language and name-calling.

Oh, and you obviously highly misinterpreted what I said. Read you first sentence. No, read it 15 times. Then read what I said. Repeat until you can contrast the obvious errors.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:19:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiSubjective reality should not be portrayed as an absolute truth.

And by the way, in case my point went right over your head, I was referring to your excessively crude choice of language and name-calling.

Oh, and you obviously highly misinterpreted what I said. Read you first sentence. No, read it 15 times. Then read what I said. Repeat until you can contrast the obvious errors.

awww is the baby offended by my naughty words?? I think it helps to get my point accross. If i say "Liberal Tool you are such a silly guy" it wouldn't give it the same effect as if i said "Liberal Tool your a worthless excuse for a American you dumb fucker"

Ya see my point?? Didn't think so.

Read my first sentence?? I already did , whats wrong with it? Can't face truth? Ah, I don't expect

much from you so im not all that disappointed.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Panther](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:25:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitSuperFlyingEngiSubjective reality should not be portrayed as an absolute truth.

And by the way, in case my point went right over your head, I was referring to your excessively crude choice of language and name-calling.

Oh, and you obviously highly misinterpreted what I said. Read you first sentence. No, read it 15 times. Then read what I said. Repeat until you can contrast the obvious errors.

awww is the baby offended by my naughty words?? I think it helps to get my point accross. If i say "Liberal Tool you are such a silly guy" it wouldn't give it the same effect as if i said "Liberal Tool your a worthless excuse for a American you dumb fucker"

Ya see my point?? Didn't think so.

Read my first sentence?? I already did , whats wrong with it? Can't face truth? Ah, I don't expect much from you so im not all that disappointed.

The "baby" is sick and tired of your idiocy. Why don't you just try to calm down your stupidity to the 4th grader level so we can start having constructive arguments here?

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:25:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitawww is the baby offended by my naughty words?? I think it helps to get my point accross. If i say "Liberal Tool you are such a silly guy" it wouldn't give it the same effect as if i said "Liberal Tool your a worthless excuse for a American you dumb fucker"

The effect is the same. They're both ad hominem. One of your stronger traits-- which is not a good thing.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:30:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And of course, NEEDING to resort to foul language in the first place is a sign of unintelligence any ways.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:35:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantoAnd of course, NEEDING to resort to foul language in the first place is a sign of unintelligence any ways.

and of course avoiding subjects is a sign of being a liberal. And of course doing everything and anything to get someone who overwrites your every post suspended or banned or w/e is a sign of weakness. And of course Tool is a horrible American. And of course im wrong by saying he's an idiot by taking something as the United States of America Natinal Anthem and turning it into some stupid pointless other meaning.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:35:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PanthercowmisfitSuperFlyingEngiSubjective reality should not be portrayed as an absolute truth.

And by the way, in case my point went right over your head, I was referring to your excessively crude choice of language and name-calling.

Oh, and you obviously highly misinterpreted what I said. Read you first sentence. No, read it 15 times. Then read what I said. Repeat until you can contrast the obvious errors.

awww is the baby offended by my naughty words?? I think it helps to get my point accross. If i say "Liberal Tool you are such a silly guy" it wouldn't give it the same effect as if i said "Liberal Tool your a worthless excuse for a American you dumb fucker"

Ya see my point?? Didn't think so.

Read my first sentence?? I already did , whats wrong with it? Can't face truth? Ah, I don't expect much from you so im not all that disappointed.

The "baby" is sick and tired of your idiocy. Why don't you just try to calm down your stupidity to the 4th grader level so we can start having constructive arguments here?

Its okay, your designs are not that bad, its okay. Focus your anger elsewhere, you know like before you started coming to this forum simply to bash me and not make any constructive points.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:37:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxThey're both ad hominem.

What the hell did you just say? Please define what that means, i've asked 5 or 6 people and they've never heard that.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:37:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitand of course avoiding subjects is a sign of being a liberal. And of course doing everything and anything to get someone who overwrites your every post suspended or banned or w/e is a sign of weakness. And of course Tool is a horrible American. And of course im wrong by saying he's an idiot by taking something as the United States of America Natinal Anthem and turning it into some stupid pointless other meaning.

His understanding of your anthem is different than your's. So what? Stop whining about it.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:40:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitJavaxcxThey're both ad hominem.

What the hell did you just say? Please define what that means, i've asked 5 or 6 people and they've never heard that.

<http://www.google.com>

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:40:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Javaxcxcowmisfitand of course avoiding subjects is a sign of being a liberal. And of course doing everything and anything to get someone who overwrites your every post suspended or banned or w/e is a sign of weakness. And of course Tool is a horrible American. And of course im wrong by saying he's an idiot by taking something as the United States of America Natinal Anthem and turning it into some stupid pointless other meaning.

His understanding of your anthem is different than your's. So what? Stop whining about it.

No, its down right stupid. Its nothing but bullshit that constintly flows from his finger tips as he hits the keys. Its not a different understanding that a reasonable person would come up with.

If he were to say "Yea I really like the message that gives and reflects on our great nation" or something of that sort. Cool. But no, he turns everything and anything against bush , even the god damn anthem.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:42:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxcowmisfitJavaxcxThey're both ad hominem.

What the hell did you just say? Please define what that means, i've asked 5 or 6 people and they've never heard that.

<http://www.google.com>

Translated from Latin to English, "Ad Hominem" means "against the man" or "against the person."

An Ad Hominem is a general category of fallacies in which a claim or argument is rejected on the basis of some irrelevant fact about the author of or the person presenting the claim or argument. Typically, this fallacy involves two steps. First, an attack against the character of person making the claim, her circumstances, or her actions is made (or the character, circumstances, or actions of the person reporting the claim). Second, this attack is taken to be evidence against the claim or argument the person in question is making (or presenting). This type of "argument" has the following form:

1. Person A makes claim X.
2. Person B makes an attack on person A.
3. Therefore A's claim is false.

The reason why an Ad Hominem (of any kind) is a fallacy is that the character, circumstances, or actions of a person do not (in most cases) have a bearing on the truth or falsity of the claim being made (or the quality of the argument being made).

No no no, your missing the point here.

Im telling the truth, he's father is instilling values that make him a horrid excuse for an American. His claim doesn't make mine false. I win.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:42:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, HIS UNDERSTANDING is different than your's. To YOU it's stupid but to him it reflects his personal concept of his patriotism. I, or you, don't necessarily have to agree with it, but to scorn it is just as bad as what you accuse him of.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:44:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxNo, HIS UNDERSTANDING is different than your's. To YOU it's stupid but to him it reflects his personal concept of his patriotism. I, or you, don't necessarily have to agree with it, but to scorn it is just as bad as what you accuse him of.

So your saying i shouldn't say anything scornful about his opinon, not say / do anything.

Kinda the same approch people like him took on Iraq. "you know, saddam is a really big menie, but we shoudn't scorn his point of view or actions, that would be wrong, even if he is killing thousands of woman and children, there only iraqi what do we care."

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:47:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitNo no no, your missing the point here.

Im telling the truth, he's father is instilling values that make him a horrid excuse for an American. His claim doesn't make mine false. I win.

No, THESE are examples of ad hominem:

Quote:Jesus chirst your dumb.

Quote:awww is the baby offended by my naughty words?? I think it helps to get my point accross.

Quote:Ya see my point?? Didn't think so.

Quote:

Ah, I don't expect much from you so im not all that disappointed.

Ad hominem is, in laymen's terms "attacking the person but not the issues". Which is something you are known for.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:47:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitJavaxcxNo, HIS UNDERSTANDING is different than your's. To YOU it's stupid but to him it reflects his personal concept of his patriotism. I, or you, don't necessarily have to agree with it, but to scorn it is just as bad as what you accuse him of.

So your saying i shouldn't say anything scornful about his opinon, not say / do anything.

Kinda the same approch people like him took on Iraq. "you know, saddam is a really big menie, but we shoudn't scorn his point of view or actions, that would be wrong, even if he is killing thousands of woman and children, there only iraqi what do we care."

Of course, not. Then that would mean we're taking action because of our morals, but we all know what morals lead to... sex because we all know that everything leads to sex.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:49:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitSo your saying i shouldn't say anything scornful about his opinon, not say / do anything.

Kinda the same approch people like him took on Iraq. "you know, saddam is a really big menie, but we shoudn't scorn his point of view or actions, that would be wrong, even if he is killing thousands of woman and children, there only iraqi what do we care."

There is a difference between addressing someone's opinion and going the extra mile to condescend them for it, based on an assumption or your OWN opinion in most cases.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:52:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitwarrantoAnd of course, NEEDING to resort to foul language in the first place is a sign of unintelligence any ways.

and of course avoiding subjects is a sign of being a liberal. And of course doing everything and anything to get someone who overwrites your every post suspended or banned or w/e is a sign of weakness. And of course Tool is a horrible American. And of course im wrong by saying he's an idiot by taking something as the United States of America Natinal Anthem and turning it into some stupid pointless other meaning.

hmm.. avoiding the topic of resorting to foul language being a sign of unintelligence.

You must be a liberal!

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:54:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxcowmisfitNo no no, your missing the point here.
Im telling the truth, he's father is instilling values that make him a horrid excuse for an American.
His claim doesn't make mine false. I win.

No, THESE are examples of ad hominem:

Quote:Jesus chirst your dumb.

Quote:awww is the baby offended by my naughty words?? I think it helps to get my point accross.

Quote:Ya see my point?? Didn't think so.

Quote:

Ah, I don't expect much from you so im not all that disappointed.

Ad hominem is, in laymen's terms "attacking the person but not the issues". Which is something you are known for.

I add these "Ad Hominem" things around me attacking the issues. I attack the issues i just add little insults into for effect. (o and before you post again, i dont' give a shit if you don't think i need the insults, its just me, if your dumb im gonna say your dumb, if your views suck, im going to say your views suck. get over it.)

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:55:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantocowmisfitwarrantoAnd of course, NEEDING to resort to foul language in the first place is a sign of unintelligence any ways.

and of course avoiding subjects is a sign of being a liberal. And of course doing everything and anything to get someone who overwrites your every post suspended or banned or w/e is a sign of weakness. And of course Tool is a horrible American. And of course im wrong by saying he's an idiot by taking something as the United States of America Natinal Anthem and turning it into some stupid pointless other meaning.

hmm.. avoiding the topic of resorting to foul language being a sign of unintelligence.

You must be a liberal!

YOU CAUGHT ME, IM A LIBERAL PEICE OF SCUM I ADMIT IT, DAMN YOU!

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javafx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:58:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitI add these "Ad Hominem" things around me attacking the issues. I attack the issues i just add little insults into for effect. (o and before you post again, i dont' give a shit if you don't think i need the insults, its just me, if your dumb im gonna say your dumb, if your views suck, im going to say your views suck. get over it.)

Those "little insults" are what make you out to be an idiot when you post. Probably because when you "attack" people's positions you can't back up your own argument. Remember your little tirade on BBC? The one where you couldn't produce a single piece of evidence but you attacked them anyway?

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 03:00:32 GMT

JavaxcxcowmisfitI add these "Ad Hominem" things around me attacking the issues. I attack the issues i just add little insults into for effect. (o and before you post again, i dont' give a shit if you don't think i need the insults, its just me, if your dumb im gonna say your dumb, if your views suck, im going to say your views suck. get over it.)

Those "little insults" are what make you out to be an idiot when you post. Probably because when you "attack" people's positions you can't back up your own argument. Remember your little tirade on BBC? The one where you couldn't produce a single piece of evidence but you attacked them anyway?

Name one other time when i didn't back up my argument. YOur just so blinded by your always looking for new ways to attack me that you don't read me backing up my views or something. Becuase i almost always provide background or something to try and make you understand. Sadly you have the comprehension skills of a 2 year old when it comes to polotics (oops a ad homo)

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 03:13:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfit attacks the issue while attacking the person. so does that negate your ad hominem claim? and the BBC was 1 instance, there were no others.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Panther](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 03:43:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitName one other time when i didn't back up my argument. YOur just so blinded by your always looking for new ways to attack me that you don't read me backing up my views or something. Becuase i almost always provide background or something to try and make you understand. Sadly you have the comprehension skills of a 2 year old when it comes to polotics (oops a ad homo)

People like you shouldn't be allowed to communicate.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 03:50:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitName one other time when i didn't back up my argument. YOur just so blinded by your always looking for new ways to attack me that you don't read me backing up my views or something. Becuase i almost always provide background or something to try and make you

understand. Sadly you have the comprehension skills of a 2 year old when it comes to polotics (oops a ad homo)

I asked you to prove that Saddam threatened the U.S. with nuclear weapons or other WMD varients, TWICE. You BOTH times did not do so.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 04:26:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is it just me or do others think that cow is an angry person?

He seems to attack the issues, the person talking about the issues, his pets and then his neighbors.

Don't add little insults "for effect." The only "effect" they have is to make you look bad. Like I said on the first page: "Leave personal insults out of it."

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 04:37:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the sole purpose of "dethroning" sadaam was not to purely to stop an impending nuclear attack.

PantherPeople like you shouldn't be allowed to communicate.

JavacxAd hominem is, in laymen's terms "attacking the person but not the issues".

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 11:52:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxcowmisfitName one other time when i didn't back up my argument. YOur just so blinded by your always looking for new ways to attack me that you don't read me backing up my views or something. Becuase i almost always provide background or something to try and make you understand. Sadly you have the comprehension skills of a 2 year old when it comes to polotics (oops a ad homo)

I asked you to prove that Saddam threatened the U.S. with nuclear weapons or other WMD varients, TWICE. You BOTH times did not do so.

Okay, i already told you. If your that fucking stupid as to think Saddam never threatened the US

with WMD's im not even going to spend my valuable time looking for the documents. Thats just plain sad, even liberals say that he threatned us numorus times over the past 20 years. Your supposable an "adult" you should know these things.

No Iron-Fart, im not an angry person. Im actually known as a very funny ass kid at school almost a class clown. I however hate blind stupidity when it comes to polotics, i hate liberals. I hate them. I hate them.. I hate them. I made 3 cry last week during the inagriation. Those little pussys.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 11:52:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PanthercowmisfitName one other time when i didn't back up my argument. YOur just so blinded by your always looking for new ways to attack me that you don't read me backing up my views or something. Becuase i almost always provide background or something to try and make you understand. Sadly you have the comprehension skills of a 2 year old when it comes to polotics (oops a ad homo)

People like you shouldn't be allowed to communicate.

lol, thats all you got? I already said my opinion on you. Its okay man. Its okay.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 14:22:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

comisfitl made 3 cry last week during the inagriation. Those little pussys.

LOL

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 15:07:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only threats I can find are those delivered stating that deah wil come to those who attack Iraq.

"The forces of evil will carry their coffins on their backs to die in disgraceful failure, taking their schemes with them, or to dig their own graves after they bring death to themselves on the Arab or Muslim soil against which they perpetrate aggression, including Iraq, the land of Jihad and the banner."

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 16:19:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitOkay, i already told you. If your that fucking stupid as to think Saddam never threatened the US with WMD's im not even going to spend my valuable time looking for the documents. Thats just plain sad, even liberals say that he threatned us numorus times over the past 20 years. Your supposable an "adult" you should know these things.

No Iron-Fart, im not an angry person. Im actually known as a very funny ass kid at school almost a class clown. I however hate blind stupidity when it comes to polotics, i hate liberals. I hate them. I hate them.. I hate them. I made 3 cry last week during the inagriation. Those little pussys.

I don't care how stupid YOU think it is. I asked you to prove something to back up your position. And you STILL have not. Are you THAT afraid of looking information up?

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [TankClash](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 16:53:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfit, stop attacking people and just prove your god damn point(s)...

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Panther](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 21:59:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cowmisfitlol, thats all you got? I already said my opinion on you. Its okay man. Its okay.

Is that all I have? No. I don't feel that I really need to waste the effort to define all of your weak points, but I have stated my opinion.

Your persistence only really proves your inability to admit failure-- it usually roots from immaturity.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2005 23:15:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Panther only says, but cannot do.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 00:23:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Panthercowmisfitlol, thats all you got? I already said my opinion on you. Its okay man. Its okay.

Is that all I have? No. I don't feel that I really need to waste the effort to define all of your weak points, but I have stated my opinion.

Your persistence only really proves your inability to admit failure-- it usually roots from immaturity.

Ouch, man im hurt im gonna have to go take an asprin or something after that.

You caught me, im a totally failure, oh and im SOOO immature because i refuse to be a stuck up prick like yourself.

:rolleyes:

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Panther](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 00:27:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I resign from this pathetic argument. It's obvious that you're too ignorant to listen. You've already proved that you're very foolish and immature. Trying to make you understand this concept could be compared to demolishing a brick wall with fists-- just about impossible.

Go ahead and romp in your "victory". It's about all the "success" you'll have these days.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 00:30:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Panther! resign from this pathetic argument. It's obvious that you're too ignorant to listen. You've already proved that you're very foolish and immature. Trying to make you understand this concept could be compared to demolishing a brick wall with fists-- just about impossible.

Go ahead and romp in your "victory". It's about all the "success" you'll have these days.

I don't consider "being victorious" In arguments on the internet something to be proud of, it means nothing.

But since you told me too.

I win, and yes, its pretty damn hard to break my ego, my net penis stretches to the sun and back and my real life ego is pretty damn close to that as well.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 00:34:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PantherI resign from this pathetic argument. It's obvious that you're too ignorant to listen. You've already proved that you're very foolish and immature. Trying to make you understand this concept could be compared to demolishing a brick wall with fists-- just about impossible.

Go ahead and romp in your "victory". It's about all the "success" you'll have these days. You were a part of the argument? Usually that means you're trying to actually participate, I must have missed your points inside all of the "blah blah blah blah" I was seeing.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 01:54:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Panther, you have to say something for us to listen.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 04:39:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngilf it's the truth, then prove it.
<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,133467,00.html>

Open your eyes to the truth, SuperFlyingLiberalTool. Karl Rove had nothing to do with it no matter how much you want him to have had; the REAL culprit was a Democrat and John Kerry supporter (there are even supposed connections between Burkett and the Kerry campaign (I, however, am not going to pull a you and blindly accuse the other side without knowing the full story)). Accept it.

Subject: To: SuperFlyingEngi - Please refute this
Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Sun, 30 Jan 2005 06:43:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do we really know who forged the documents? I don't think we can make assumptions until we know who actually did it. Sure, there's a good chance that it was, in fact, a Democrat, but maybe it was some crazy from the Green party or something. Unless we know who forged the documents, it's unfair to call it Democratic propaganda. If someone is anti-Bush, they're not necessarily Democrats -- I know plenty of people who voted for Kerry on the "I hate Bush" platform. Not very many of them associated themselves with the Democratic party, or even held Democratic ideals.
