

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [SuperMidget](#) on Thu, 10 Feb 2005 19:42:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If you heard of this...  
What do you yankees think about it?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [emperorz0](#) on Thu, 10 Feb 2005 19:52:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:What do you yankees think about it?  
Yeah I heard about it. North Korea said that it can still discuss with other countryes about peace.  
But North Korea has bad friedlyship with USA.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 10 Feb 2005 23:29:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think they are probably trying to get them. I'd agree with suspicions over N. Korea. Iran on the other hand, I don't believe are after nukes.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2005 00:25:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hmm.. with those nukes, perhaps he won't be so "ronery".

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2005 00:49:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

LOL

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:30:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Isn't it more of a level playing field now?

---

Why don't you try disarming first before telling others to?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2005 03:49:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If we disarm first then others dont have to. IF they just nuke us rather than getting rid of them, they can keep them! Boom, new world power.

and no it isnt a level playing field because we wont ever use them, they're just there as a symbol of power, nothing more. We cant say the same for them, they could use them at any time.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2005 08:01:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My believe is apparently fact now. They do indeed have nukes.

[http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/ap/20050210/ap\\_on\\_re\\_as/nkorea\\_nuclear\\_21](http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/ap/20050210/ap_on_re_as/nkorea_nuclear_21)

[http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&ncid=721&e=1&u=/nm/20050211/wl\\_nm/korea\\_north\\_talks\\_dc](http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&ncid=721&e=1&u=/nm/20050211/wl_nm/korea_north_talks_dc)

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2005 14:36:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DaveGMMIsn't it more of a level playing field now?

How is it "more of a level playing field now", when we have almost 10,000 nuclear weapons, and they barely have a couple?

DaveGMMWhy don't you try disarming first before telling others to?

Lol... That's like going up to a police officer/soldier and telling him he should throw his gun away if he wants the criminal/enemy soldier to do the same.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 02:30:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DaveGMM

Why don't you try disarming first before telling others to?

In never-never land this would work perfect. But im afraid we (well mabey not you) but most of us

---

live in reality. That place were people are out to hurt us and we must defend ourselves.

All the more reason that we must go into North Korea as soon as we have enough forces free from Afganistan and Iraq.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 03:58:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

And get ourselves nuked? Hahaha... no offense but that would be a very large risk that we probably will not take.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 08:35:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

not to mention China wont put up with that. And a war with them would cause another world war.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 09:07:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

China is going to be crucial in this situation.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 12:02:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We might try to push Iran around...but I doubt we would want to mess with North Korea, especially since they have nukes and would likely use them.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 13:18:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]and no it isnt a level playing field because we wont ever use them, they're just there as a symbol of power, nothing more. We cant say the same for them, they could use them at any time.

Believe it or not... which country was the only country to ever use nuclear weapons on another

---

nation?

Am I supposed to not trust the North Koreans because they have a chance to use nuclear weapons, or not trust the Americans because they have and most likely will again use nuclear weapons?

Is easy to only look at your side of the equation... If Japan had won World War II you guys would've been viewed as monsters.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 14:40:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The nuclear weapons used there probably saved lives believe it or not, because if we would have invaded Japan, it would have been D-Day every day. Also, why wouldnt you trust the US with weapons? If we wanted to use them by now, we would have

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 14:48:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So basicly what you are saying, is you want to wait around until they get 50 or 60 nukes and then say its time to go instead of when they are at the begining of there program. Thats soooo smart.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:06:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitDaveGMM

Why don't you try disarming first before telling others to?

In never-never land this would work perfect. But im afraid we (well mabey not you) but most of us live in reality. That place were people are out to hurt us and we must defend ourselves.

All the more reason that we must go into North Korea as soon as we have enough forces free from Afganistan and Iraq.

If a Korean said what you just said, you'd call it terrorism.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:08:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

splnwezel Believe it or not... which country was the only country to ever use nuclear weapons on another nation?

Am I supposed to not trust the North Koreans because they have a chance to use nuclear weapons, or not trust the Americans because they have and most likely will again use nuclear weapons?

Is easy to only look at your side of the equation... If Japan had won World War II you guys would've been viewed as monsters.

Have you ever studied or even payed attention to anything about World War II? Japan was not going to give up until they were all dead, or we knocked some sense into their heads. On the island of Saipan the WOMEN AND CHILDREN committed suicide rather than be under American control. Not to mention that the Japanese government trained women and children to fight against the Americans. They taught them how to use sharp sticks and such.

Think of it this way, it was either drop the a-bomb or practically wipe out the Japanese population and lose hundreds of thousands of more men. Hmm...hard decision, eh? Not to mention that Japan was just about finished producing some more weapons for war like some newly designed planes, and they were sending submarines to the states when we dropped the a-bomb. Granted we didn't know about this at the time, but think of the outcome if we didn't drop the a-bomb and make them surrender.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Sponny\\_old](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:13:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 Japan was not going to give up until they were all dead, or we knocked some sense into their heads. On the island of Saipan the WOMEN AND CHILDREN committed suicide rather than be under American control.

And if an American soldier did such, what would that be in your eyes? Bravery? Defiance?

j\_ball430 Not to mention that the Japanese government trained women and children to fight against the Americans. They taught them how to use sharp sticks and such.

frightening thought, sharp sticks vs a nuke

j\_ball430 Not to mention that Japan was just about finished producing some more weapons for war like some newly designed planes, and some sharp sticks, no doubt.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:17:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If Americans committed suicide, I'd pity them for such stupidity. The end result of killing yourself is much worse than being under another nation's control.

The fact is, they were training the women and children to fight Americans.

Can't think of anything else to nitpick, so you're dwelling on your last point still?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:21:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430If Americans committed suicide, I'd pity them for such stupidity. The end result of killing yourself is much worse than being under another nation's control.

And what if they were going to get tortured?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:27:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Coming from a religious standpoint, it's still not as bad as committing suicide. I can see it \*being\* more justified, but I still think it'd be a stupid idea. Plus, it's not like the Americans would have tortured them anyways. At least, for the most part. I can't say for sure because I haven't come across any information on torturing in WW2, but, then again, I haven't tried either.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:48:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430Plus, it's not like the Americans would have tortured them anyways.

I daresay if your country had just been nuked, you wouldn't have such a clear certainty of how you'd be treated had you been taken prisoner of war.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 15:50:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm talking BEFORE we dropped the bomb. It's kind of hard to take POWs when you're no longer fighting a war.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 16:02:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430Have you ever studied or even payed attention to anything about World War II? Japan was not going to give up until they were all dead, or we knocked some sense into their heads. On the island of Saipan the WOMEN AND CHILDREN committed suicide rather than be under American control. Not to mention that the Japanese government trained women and children to fight against the Americans. They taught them how to use sharp sticks and such.

I think the best way to describe the situation is in fact to play through a game of Battlefield Veitnam and listen to the propaganda machine. In Japan, just about everything was controlled by the military up and including through the Pacific Campaign.

Quote:During the 1930s, the military established almost complete control over the government. Many political enemies were assassinated, and communists persecuted. Indoctrination and censorship in education and media were further intensified. Navy and army officers soon occupied most of the important offices, including the one of the prime minister.

I would highly doubt that even a large minority would know that "Americans are generally nice people and won't torture you". It's similar to the situation we have in Communist China now. The people "behind the wall" are told by their government that China donates millions of dollars worth of foreign aid to America under some pretense to put the Chinese government on a high pedistal that no one can dethrone because... well, they're communists, aren't they?

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 16:08:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eh that's true. I didn't really consider propaganda from the government as a factor.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 17:26:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430Have you ever studied or even payed attention to anything about World War II?

Ha, I think I could give you a run for your money...

If what you think is true, then shouldn't the question be: Why not just nuke Iraq? Forget about them not surrendering. Is easier to nuke the place and forget about resistance. Heck, nuke Afghanistan so we can bring our troops back...

Blind patriotism... had the Japanese launched nukes onto American soil via submarines (if they had the technology), could you feel the same way?

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 17:52:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

splnwezelf what you think is true, then shouldn't the question be: Why not just nuke Iraq? Forget about them not surrendering. Is easier to nuke the place and forget about resistance. Heck, nuke Afghanistan so we can bring our troops back...

That is a completely different situation here. Why would we want to nuke those who we're liberating? We weren't liberating Japan.

It's a completely different situation if they would have attacked us. No, not because I'm American, but rather because of the way that Americans operate. Our government wouldn't train the women and children to attack the enemy or feel that we're dishonoring the president by surrendering.

Let's say, hypothetically, that America was in the same mindset as Japan was. Of course, I wouldn't like the idea of an atom bomb being dropped on us, but from a military standpoint, it was the smartest thing they could do. The point of a war is not to die for your country, but make the enemy die for his (Yeah, I got it off of a quote).

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 18:49:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If N. Korea use nukes on the US, then China is gonna screw them over for attacking one of their major trading partners. I hope this incident causes a change in leadership.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 12 Feb 2005 23:35:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i think that to be true as well IRON, they only have false threats. Its best to just ignore them at this point I think, because them attacking us would be their own downfall.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 00:01:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

North Korea shouldn't have nukes (Kim Jong Il says, "thanks, Mr. Clinton!") because he would actually use them.

The U.S. should have nukes because it is the #1 target on any nation's "Who to nuke first" list; it has always been the policy of the United States since the beginning of the Cold War that nuclear weapons are to be used for defense in extreme circumstances. To think we'd be the first ones to push the launch button simply to start a war is just ludicrous.

---

---



Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 00:08:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Did Clinton give North Korea nuclear devices? Please, do tell.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:13:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, but why exactly is the US #1 on every country's "To Nuke List"?

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:17:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

splnwezelYes, but why exactly is the US #1 on every country's "To Nuke List"?

Because were the big dog , everyone wants to take the one on top down. And the fact that 3/4's of them are ungreatful bastards.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:37:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Keep telling yourself that, maybe it'll come true some day.

Not today though.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 05:37:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

simple jealousy and/or difference in opinions are the reason why we are on the #1 to nuke list.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 06:17:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's not jealousy in the slightest. Those terrorists don't like the way you run a population-- not because some of those within the population have a big screen TV.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 06:28:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gbulland/or difference in opinions

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Spoonny\\_old](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 11:45:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitsplnwezelYes, but why exactly is the US #1 on every country's "To Nuke List"?

Because were the big dog , everyone wants to take the one on top down.  
wow...

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 20:39:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

Because were the big dog , everyone wants to take the one on top down. And the fact that 3/4's of them are ungrateful bastards.

LOL

Yes you are #1 on the to nuke list because of something as trivial as that. What a joke. I'm gonna tell my friends that one.

Quote:

CENSUS OF THE WORLD

Please check one:

ARE YOU AN UNGRATEFUL BASTARD?

No, I love America

Yes.

That is all.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 21:30:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I hate you dumb fucks that take shit and turn it into something its not. (will explain in second when i get out of the shower.)

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 21:35:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitBecause were the big dog , everyone wants to take the one on top down. And the fact that 3/4's of them are ungreatful bastards.

Looks pretty straight forward to me.

Maybe you meant something you didn't say-- again.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 22:17:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Infering from iron-fart's post he said that Either you are not an ungreatful bastard and love america and if you don't no matter what your a ungreatful bastard. Which is something i didn't say.

I said theres quite a few countries who are currently anti-america that should be anything but. Take France for example, we saved them in WWI and WWII from being exterminated by Hitler, now look how they treat us only 50 some odd years after the fact. (Don't you even bring up the revolution, we've backed them up so many times and repaid for that its not even funny and has no relevince since it was over 100 years ago.)

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 22:23:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

France isn't anti-American. They are against your war. In case you've forgotten, and this is at least the 2nd time I've reminded you, but France is an ally.

You basing your accusation on the assumption that French people in France run around burning American flags. Canada doesn't care much for your war either, but does that mean Canada is anti-American? Hardly. These countries hold stinct differences in opinion and will go to point out the fallacy in your methodologies. If you think that means they are anti-American, well, there is nothing that anyone can do for you.

Oh, but don't get me wrong. There are definately anti-American people in those countries. There are in EVERY country-- even America. And no, they're not called democrats or liberals. They are those who have a disdain for America has a whole.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 22:58:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

and i am against those in america too. I think anyone who is living in America and hates it so bad can get the fuck out. I'd pay for them to leave if they promised to move to iraq and never come back.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 23:38:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JavacxYou basing your accusation on the assumption that French people in France run around burning American flags.

They have

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 23:40:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitl said theres quite a few countries who are currently anti-america that should be anything but. Take France for example, we saved them in WWI and WWII from being exterminated by Hitler, now look how they treat us only 50 some odd years after the fact.  
wow, you stupid, stupid fuck

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Javacx](#) on Sun, 13 Feb 2005 23:40:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I should rephrase... ALL French people.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 00:22:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Javacxl should rephrase... ALL French people.

In all of the TV News, News Articals and things on the net i've read / heard, i've never heard one good comment come out of someone from france about the US.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 00:24:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Have you ever thought that maybe the media likes to report negative things rather than the good?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 00:27:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitIn all of the TV News, News Articals and things on the net i've read / heard, i've never heard one good comment come out of someone from france about the US.

If you were to watch French TV and news, you'd see the same thing from the opposite direction.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 01:04:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitJavaxcxI should rephrase... ALL French people.

In all of the TV News, News Articals and things on the net i've read / heard, i've never heard one good comment come out of someone from france about the US.

Is this like your wonderful take on the BBC, you know, the one where you act extremely knowledgeable but can't present the tiniest shred of evidence to back it up?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 01:32:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'd like to see you prove the "fact" that 3/4ths of "them" country to be ungrateful bastards.

Quote:

I said theres quite a few countries who are currently anti-america that should be anything but. Take France for example, we saved them in WWI and WWII from being exterminated by Hitler, now look how they treat us only 50 some odd years after the fact. (Don't you even bring up the revolution, we've backed them up so many times and repaied for that its not even funny and has no relevince since it was over 100 years ago.)

LOL

If I had a peso for every time I heard this old argument, I'd have enough to buy 6800's for me and my family.

France is not anti american. They are still your allies. The only reason you hate them is because they didn't support your war, and your government got sore over that.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 01:46:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

IRON-FARTI'd like to see you prove the "fact" that 3/4ths of "them" country to be ungrateful bastards.

Quote:

I said theres quite a few countries who are currently anti-america that should be anything but. Take France for example, we saved them in WWI and WWII from being exterminated by Hitler, now look how they treat us only 50 some odd years after the fact. (Don't you even bring up the revolution, we've backed them up so many times and repaid for that its not even funny and has no relevince since it was over 100 years ago.)

LOL

If I had a peso for every time I heard this old argument, I'd have enough to buy 6800's for me and my family.

France is not anti american. They are still your allies. The only reason you hate them is because they didn't support your war, and your government got sore over that.

I haven't liked France for a while, it started long before that.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 02:04:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Love/hate relationships do exist, you know.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 02:27:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NodBuggerI haven't liked France for a while, it started long before that.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Ryan3k](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 03:07:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just curious... When/why did that start?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 03:42:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doesn't matter. Shameless bigotry against the French nation is perfectly acceptable, but legitimate criticism of anything American is reviled.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 03:57:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You can't dispute that America is too cool. I mean this country is P.I.M.P.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 04:02:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SpoonyDoesn't matter. Shameless bigotry against the French nation is perfectly acceptable, but legitimate criticism of anything American is reviled.

I can dislike any country I want. It doesn't make me a bigot. If you consider disliking a country bigotry, then the whole world was full of bigots from 1945-1989.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 04:46:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

what are you talking about J\_ball, like 3/4 of the world are bigots now! at least I heard that was the estimated ratio of people that hate the U.S. According to Spoony's false definition anyway.

according to this definition, we are all bigots, every one of us.

Dictionary.com One who is strongly partial to one's own group, religion, race, or politics and is intolerant of those who differ.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 04:48:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I love humans. Aren't they cool?

Guess I should be dragged through the streets for being a biggoted hateful creature. I bet PETA would love you.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:06:10 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]what are you talking about J\_ball, like 3/4 of the world are bigots now! at least I heard that was the estimated ratio of people that hate the U.S. According to Spoonys false definition anyway.

False? read any single post by Cowmisfit and tell me he isn't bigoted.

---

---

**Subject: Korea has nukes**

Posted by [knight1b](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:43:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As far as France and Iraq go lets take a good look at why the French where antiwar. Lets see here companys in france makeing money from sadam runing things I wont go into details on this point unless I need to.

Hum no other good reasons here they cant used the excuse of giveing diplomacy time to work 12 years is more than enough time for that. Cant use the excuse that he was a Great and good leader that was misunderstood He used chemical weapons on his own people for god sakes. In the end other than either money or the fact that most of Eroupe are becomeing peacemongers are the big top why dont we use force to solve the problem reasons. Dont get me wrong Im all for peace but sometimes you have to use force to make a point. And for those that dont know a peacemonger is someone that wants what they call peace at all cost includeing the cost of people being tortured raped and killed for no good reason. What they fail to understand is as long as stuf like that happens there can never realy be peace.

---

---

**Subject: Korea has nukes**

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 08:13:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It all comes down to economics... and that is a war in itself. I'm sure I could hijack this topic, but I'll simply state that the war on Iraq was more about oil than it was WMDs...

Other nations don't like the US meddling in their foreign affairs because it interferes with their trading with other nations. Especially when the commodity is oil.

---

---

**Subject: Korea has nukes**

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 12:19:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

splnwezelOther nations don't like the US meddling in their foreign affairs because it interferes with their trading with other nations. Especially when the commodity is oil.

Ya, the UN hates us huh

SpoonysFalse? read any single post by Cowmisfit and tell me he isn't bigoted.  
He may be a bigot, but so are you, and so am I for that matter. We all are:



dictionary.com One who is strongly partial to one's own group, religion, race, or politics and is intolerant of those who differ.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 13:19:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ryan3k Just curious... When/why did that start?

Well I wasn't born yet, but when I started learning things and I found out that they withdrew from the military portion of NATO.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 13:56:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

knight1b As far as France and Iraq go lets take a good look at why the French were antiwar. Lets see here companys in france making money from sadam runing things I wont go into details on this point unless I need to.

Please, go into detail. I'd like to see some evidence of this.

The US will make money once "sadam" isn't in charge, so by your own arguments, that is the sole reason for everything.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 19:22:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

splnwezellt all comes down to economics... and that is a war in itself. I'm sure I could hijack this topic, but I'll simply state that the war on Iraq was more about oil than it was WMDs...

Other nations don't like the US meddling in their foreign affairs because it interferes with their trading with other nations. Especially when the commodity is oil.

IT WASN'T ABOUT THE FUCKING OIL. K? K.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 20:01:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

^^^

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javacx](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 20:35:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It is ignorance to say that oil was not a factor in the war plan. I guess America just DONATED 200 billion dollars of money to Iraq and expected nothing in return? Now, that isn't to say that the main reason for the war was oil, because it wasn't. It was to disarm Saddam. That is all the president spoke about in his justification for the war. Now, TODAY, that is a different story, but in March of '03, it is as I stated.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 20:52:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony=[DT=gbull=[L]=]what are you talking about J\_ball, like 3/4 of the world are bigots now! at least I heard that was the estimated ratio of people that hate the U.S. According to Spoony's false definition anyway.

False? read any single post by Cowmisfit and tell me he isn't bigoted.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2005 23:35:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK, Aircraftkiller, explain logically why I am supposedly a bigot.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 00:03:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

One who is strongly partial to one's own group, religion, race, or politics and is intolerant of those who differ.

Gbull, not everyone falls under that.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 00:04:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony trying to say that America should disarm before anyone else would be an act of suicide.

---

Also, we have a system of checks and balances that your stupid ass doesn't understand that keeps us from firing a nuke for no reason. If the wacko dictator in N. Korea wants to launch a nuke no one will question his reasoning they will just do it.

As far as you even thinking that the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki were unjustified you are just plain wrong. You are like that professor that is trying to call the people that died in the 9/11 attacks Nazis.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [cowmisfit](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 00:37:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Javacxlt is ignorant to say that oil was not a factor in the war plan.

Yes, this war and every other war that has ever occurred economics are a part of the war plan and reason going to war. You just make a big deal out of this one because you're an idiot, and that's okay, just stop sharing with us.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 02:20:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cow, you aren't in a position to call people idiots. Certainly not Java. But as we've seen before, making personal insults to people is a trademark of yours.

Quote:

Spoony trying to say that America should disarm before anyone else would be an act of suicide.

America would be vulnerable if they can't compete on the same level as other countries if they disarmed with no guarantee they countries like N. Korea will too. Although I think that many countries should disarm to some extent.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Alkaline](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 02:54:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's simple really...

MAKE nukes with long range capabilities and the USA won't mess with you.

So ya, it's for defense purposes

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 02:55:38 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nukes don't scare me, it is the people in control of them.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Alkaline](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 02:58:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

a crazy man with a gun is worse than a crazy man.  
same applies to countries, take thier toys away and they won't be able to play.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 03:03:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cowmisfitYes, this war and every other war that has ever accured economics are a part of the war plan and reason going to war. You just make a big deal out of this one because your an idiot, and thats okay, just stop sharing with us.

There is a difference between making a big deal out of something and stating facts. Facts that YOU apparently agree with.

I do not believe, nor had ever believed the war for primarily for oil. I believe oil was calculated into the war plan (just like you) as a way to pay for it, and possibly in the future make a profit, but I do not peg your President as that kind of greedy fool.

Please stop making typical assumptions when you have no frame of reference OR evidence to back up your claims.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Spoony\\_old](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 03:15:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DarkDeminSpoony trying to say that America should disarm before anyone else would be an act of suicide.

When did I say America should do that? Oh wait... I didn't.

DarkDeminAs far as you even thinking that the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki were unjustified you are just plain wrong.

Again, another quote which has not even the slightest relation to anything I've said.

All in all, an excellent job.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 07:44:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

One who is strongly partial to one's own group, religion, race, or politics and is intolerant of those who differ.

IRON-FARTGbull, not everyone falls under that.

to some degree, we all are. Whos to say what "Strongly" is? Even you, are partial more towards the left than to the right, and I respect that, but at the same time I am intolerable of that. Does that make sense?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [knight1b](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 08:52:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not one bit gbull but then again what dose make sense?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:41:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Then could someone please explain to me the primary reason for going to war with Iraq? Was it because of the potential of WMDs existing in an anti-American country? No, I think there were more probable targets (ie: North Korea). Was it because their leader treated the people like garbage? Again, other countries more targetable. For terrorists? Why not attack Saudi Arabia? How about because their leader tried to kill daddy? LOL... quite possibly. But in my eyes, the Americans would've never tackled this project unless there was something to gain financially - without the support of the UN (duh, the Russians and French are loving this trade relationship with Iraq) and whilst still in the middle of the Afghanistan campaign.

But back to the topic at hand, I do believe that the North Koreans pose more of a threat to their Asian neighbours, and that a full scale assault on the US is highly improbable. And forget about America disarming. They would never give up that tactical edge under their own power.

DarkDeminAs far as you even thinking that the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki were unjustified you are just plain wrong. You are like that professor that is trying to call the people that died in the 9/11 attacks Nazis.

I'm sorry... I am not sure I understand... perhaps you think murdering civilians is right? I'm sure you'd also be making the same choice to Agent Orange all of Vietnam as your American military did. Please explain so that I can see if you have a point to any of this.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:10:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

1. WMD concerns, which were proven valid with finding nerve gas in artillery shells. I've also heard reports that Iraqi soldiers were dumping chemical weapons into the Euphrates river before US forces arrived.

2. Terrorism links. Remember that Al Qaeda is not the end all of terrorism. There are more terrorist organizations than that one.

3. UN security resolution violations, 12 in all.

4. Humanitarian concerns.

You honestly find something wrong with getting something in return for the side-effect of liberating a country from a dictator? If we want oil from there afterward, I see no reason why it would be a problem. War is always fought for resources, land, politics, or a combination of those reasons.

As for the "tried to kill daddy" remark... Don't be a holier-than-thou asshole please. Attempting assassination of a US president, sitting or former, is something we as a nation are not very fond of. Assassination of leaders is also considered an act of war, is it not? Why is it invalid, or even able to be mocked?

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

1. WMD concerns, which were proven valid with finding nerve gas in artillery shells. I've also heard reports that Iraqi soldiers were dumping chemical weapons into the Euphrates river before US forces arrived.

GOM GOM GOM! Add Eco terrorism to that list ;P. How can you liberals oppose the war NOW!?

IRAQ THREATENED THE ECO SYSTEM OF THE REGAL... EUPHRATES WATER MITE!

Also, what side effect? The gas is STILL as expensive as before the war. We didn't get any extra oil out of this. We just got another thing to brag about.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:26:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

AirCraftKiller1. WMD concerns, which were proven valid with finding nerve gas in artillery shells. I've also heard reports that Iraqi soldiers were dumping chemical weapons into the Euphrates river

---

before US forces arrived.

2. Terrorism links. Remember that Al Qaeda is not the end all of terrorism. There are more terrorist organizations than that one.

3. UN security resolution violations, 12 in all.

4. Humanitarian concerns.

You honestly find something wrong with getting something in return for the side-effect of liberating a country from a dictator? If we want oil from there afterward, I see no reason why it would be a problem. War is always fought for resources, land, politics, or a combination of those reasons.

As for the "tried to kill daddy" remark... Don't be a holier-than-thou asshole please. Attempting assassination of a US president, sitting or former, is something we as a nation are very fond of. Assassination of leaders is also considered an act of war, is it not? Why is it invalid, or even able to be mocked?

^^

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [SuperMidget](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 18:38:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree with ACK.

Wow, its great forgetting about your topic then coming back couple days later to then have to read four pages of posts!

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 19:16:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

splnwezell'm sorry... I am not sure I understand... perhaps you think murdering civilians is right? I'm sure you'd also be making the same choice to Agent Orange all of Vietnam as your American military did. Please explain so that I can see if you have a point to any of this. Sure, just ignore everything I said. As long as you're happy because as we all know, ignorance is bliss.

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 20:11:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

to some degree, we all are. Whos to say what "Strongly" is? Even you, are partial more towards the left than to the right, and I respect that, but at the same time I am intolerable of that. Does that make sense?

I'm not strongly partial to anything...At least not anything that relates to this topic. But I am tolerant of others.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 22:29:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

didnt say you were strongly partial, and I also didnt say you werent intolerant of others. But even if you were to reply to someones post disagreeing with it, there is a certain level of intolerance albeit very small. The point I am trying to make is that there isnt a clear definition for what Strongly is, nor is there one for intolerance as both are expressed differently by different people, and something that is Strong for some people, may be a weak statement to others. Im not trying to prove anyone wrong or accuse anyone of anything, Im just making a statement.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:17:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good points ACK... but I still think there are more dangerous countries posing a threat to the US right now than Iraq... terrorists included, because they come from countries we consider ourselves allies with, and I hardly doubt that terrorist activity has decreased in Iraq since US occupation.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:15:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yes but with their support and the anti-american sentiment going around, it would be difficult to bring support with us to stop such countries as Saudi Arabia. Because if we waged war through Mecca, ALL of the Islamic Nations would be uber pissed, and We cannot fight them all at once. Best to spread freedom in baby steps.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Wed, 23 Mar 2005 20:41:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Operation Iraqi Liberation

:rolleyes:

---

---



Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [killa2yo](#) on Fri, 08 Apr 2005 17:50:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I got to get into this.

First off, with the China thing, they will not get involved. their economy relies to much on the USA to do much of anything but shove a few rifles through their backdoor to north korea. Second, we have nothing to worry about yet, the korean delivery system sucks, when the rockets to not blow up on the launching pad, they cannot get very far, they are not close to even reaching our coast, as of yet.

then, if we disarm, we still have more working proven missles then anyone else, it's called submarines. We can put a missle in the air with less then 5 minutes travel time into korea. that is an advantage that one does not get up. Depending on where the submarine is. When we detect them launching missles, then you can bet that north korea has around 10-12 minutes to say good bye to their loved ones, if they actually launched a missle, then we would take care of them once and for all and be damned with the results.

We are the bully of the world at this moment in time, and are picking fights with everyone. north korea is going to find out the hard way that you do not f\$\$k with the USofA

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 08 Apr 2005 20:21:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i wouldnt say bully....but you got a point with the subs

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Sat, 09 Apr 2005 00:56:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We just need to drop pamphlets. Get the fuck out of N. Korea. Go anywhere but here. And then completely sink that entire isthmus and make S. Korea an island. I'm talking nothing left, blow the fuck out of every acre and be done with all this dicking around. Saves time and money and gets results.

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Sat, 09 Apr 2005 01:07:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Amen, Doitle!

---

---

Subject: Korea has nukes  
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 09 Apr 2005 03:19:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

lol

---