
Subject: NOD32

Posted by [spazbeast](#) on Sun, 25 Sep 2005 23:17:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It is much better than AVG. Sorry for the arguments I put up. AVG caught 4 viruses and NOD32 caught about 17 at the 32% point. I declare myself lucky this computer hasn't been killed!

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [deerwalk](#) on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 00:43:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Would mcafee be about the same?

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 01:31:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mcafee sucks. Norton is better than Mcafee, and i Norton.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [exnyte](#) on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 01:32:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

From experiences I've seen, McAfee isn't much better than AVG. NOD32 is plain and simply the best AV I've seen in recent memory.

[Edit- someone posted when I did so I'll address them too. Norton sucks also. It doesn't miss as many as the previous two, but in doing so it still doesn't seem to catch as much as NOD32, and it eats resources like mad.]

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 01:42:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, I know. Norton crashed my old '98 when I took it off, and it wouldn't start for over two months. After that we couldn't get any games to run, and when we could get the games to run, there wasn't an Internet connection. I've got Avast! now, which can get annoying because of that "Virus Database Updated" message it has, that always pops up in the middle of a game.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 02:04:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ever have McAfee and Norton on you computer at the same time? I heard it crashes your computer upon startup because they see each other as Viruses. I don't know if its true though.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 02:55:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had pieces of Norton on my computer and McAfee at the same time, does that count?

And no, it didn't crash the computer.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 27 Sep 2005 00:42:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I got an error when I installed NOD32 on my computer; namely, as soon as I turned on my computer and logged into Windows I would get a "Fatal Error" or something and Windows Explorer would shut down after about 60 seconds. I had to race about 5 times to uninstall NOD32 in the timeframe while my computer was loading up and before it shut down. I think a virus was at fault, but it was kind of an annoying experience.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [AADude7](#) on Mon, 03 Oct 2005 19:04:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I got Norton

It detects anything...

Like this program I had it said it had a virus...

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Jokah](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2005 16:15:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh my, good for you.

My NOD32 did detect so much more then norton.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Sun, 06 Nov 2005 00:30:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's a different Norton that is simple and works well. You guys are probably thinking of the Norton that has all of the useless shit such as anti-spyware and firewalls. I have the corporate edition, which is anti-virus and NOTHING else. I never have to do long annoying scans because it catches viruses RIGHT when you get them. It has yet to fail me, and I've been using it for over two years now.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 08 Nov 2005 07:52:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

icedog90 wrote on Sat, 05 November 2005 19:30: There's a different Norton that is simple and works well. You guys are probably thinking of the Norton that has all of the useless shit such as anti-spyware and firewalls. I have the corporate edition, which is anti-virus and NOTHING else. I never have to do long annoying scans because it catches viruses RIGHT when you get them. It has yet to fail me, and I've been using it for over two years now.

Two years? That means that if you use it legally, it has cost you 100 € now. The corporate edition is as bad as the others: it doesn't catch all viruses, catches no spyware at all and uses a lot of resources. NOD32 costs 39 \$ for a year, so that would make about 70 € for 2 years and does protect against viruses and other threats and does so while using way less resources.

Then again, the marketing budget of Norton Antivirus is waaaaaaaaay bigger than that from NOD32, hence most people have Norton (just like Windows which was also pushed in the market).

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2005 00:42:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Mon, 07 November 2005 23:52: icedog90 wrote on Sat, 05 November 2005 19:30: There's a different Norton that is simple and works well. You guys are probably thinking of the Norton that has all of the useless shit such as anti-spyware and firewalls. I have the corporate edition, which is anti-virus and NOTHING else. I never have to do long annoying scans because it catches viruses RIGHT when you get them. It has yet to fail me, and I've been using it for over two years now.

Two years? That means that if you use it legally, it has cost you 100 € now. The corporate edition is as bad as the others: it doesn't catch all viruses, catches no spyware at all and uses a lot of resources. NOD32 costs 39 \$ for a year, so that would make about 70 € for 2 years and does protect against viruses and other threats and does so while using way less resources.

Then again, the marketing budget of Norton Antivirus is waaaaaaaaay bigger than that from NOD32, hence most people have Norton (just like Windows which was also pushed in the market).

I have it legally, for free. My dad gets it from his work completely free. And how do you know it doesn't catch all viruses? That's just a myth that you made up. Chances are you've never tried corporate, but have only heard rumors about it from people who fail to use it correctly. I don't want an anti-virus program to search for spyware, I use a different program than that, and I use Firefox. If Norton doesn't catch all of the viruses, how come I've been perfectly fine for two years without any noticeable performance hits/crashes that could come from viruses? PLEASE THINK BEFORE YOU SUBMIT YOUR POSTS, THANK YOU. Also, it uses 4mb of my 1024mb of memory. WOW, what a performance hit... not. Thanks for the advice, but I'm not taking it.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2005 11:01:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Try using it on a laptop and play a game, ow let's say renegade?

It uses enough CPU to make my AMD 3000+ get constant FPS-spikes. And yes I used it. And yes, it has let virusses get passed on me.

Maybe you think and read before you post?

And maybe you could think we aren't all that lucky to get software for free?

You are completely free not to take my advice, that's why it's called advice.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [JPNOD](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2005 21:11:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nod32 for teh win

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 00:11:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nortan Anti-Virus sucks, im not saying its the worst because its not but it is still pretty bad, personally ive never heard of this NOD32 let alone use it so i really cannot comment on that.

Last year some people actually tested out all the AV's that were released and out of 100 i think Nortan came 86th best AV..

The AV i use came 3rd it detected and deleted 99.8% of the virus they put on the computers to test. Now 99% is WAYYYYY better then having a really low score and becoming 86th.

I suggest that people who like to keep they computer clean from virus is to download "Kaspersky AV 5 Personal" (Not the Pro)

You can have choice to have it running in 'Real Time' which means it is ready for detection 24/7, meaning as soon as the virus has entered anywhere on the NTFS or FAT32 table KAV will detect it. Or you have a choice to leave real mode off and scan the computer when ever you feel the need, which is what i do myself.

****Note** If you have big HDD's saying around 100GB or less you will have to run the AV for atleast 1-2 days depending on your comp specs, ANYthing higher then 100GB i would seriously recommend on using the real mode function otherwise your gonna have a seriously long wait.

If you dont want to pay for the software then give me a message on MSN (homie.de@gmail.com) and ill hook you up with the needed key.key

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 01:23:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Wed, 09 November 2005 03:01Try using it on a laptop and play a game, ow let's say renegade?

It uses enough CPU to make my AMD 3000+ get constant FPS-spikes. And yes I used it. And yes, it has let virusses get passed on me.

Maybe you think and read before you post?

And maybe you could think we aren't all that lucky to get software for free?

You are completely free not to take my advice, that's why it's called advice.

Then I guess you are the unlucky one. Sorry, but it works fine for me. It uses absolutely no CPU for me, I suppose your computer just sucks. And again, read my post before you contradict yourself saying "OMKLG YOU DIDNTT RESD MY POST", I said I have had absolutely NO HISTORY of viruses slipping through. It is one of those problems that exists between the keyboard and the chair. I can see where you're trying to attack me. You took one basic thing I said, and attacked it. That one basic thing was correcting you that I got it for free, then you immediately attack me on that. Getting desperate? I would think so. No thanks, I'm not going to run Norton on a laptop, I like my computer JUST fine how it is.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 07:44:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well actually, you had no problems and I did so I guess that explains why you use it and i don't...

The fact that an independant test gave it "only" 86 out of 100 goes past you as you never had any problems.

Useless discussing any further.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 08:16:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree.

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 08:44:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

icedog90 wrote on Thu, 10 November 2005 03:16I agree.

See, i'm pretty sure we can become good buddies

Subject: Re: NOD32

Posted by [light](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 10:22:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Using AVG I haven't had any problems.

I believe I have had no viruses, as nothing except spyware has tried to make it past my firewall.

I guess it's just a case of luck, until all the AV companies work together, each will have their own database of virii it detects.

To that end, I have always tried to run more than one, and since they are both free, and the second only costs time whilst it is scanning (first stays passive), it is not hurting my PC by being there.
