
Subject: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 01:58:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you EA, for paying for a site running a half-working WOL and using innapropriate pop-ups ads.

Thanks for supporting a buggy, shitty ladder site that isn't any different from the 1000 other ladder sites online.

Thank you so much!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 01:59:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 02:16:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They need to take a moment and reconsider where they should drop their cash.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 02:22:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You raise a good point, althought I don't suggest EA should be harsher on XWIS, just alil more moderation (but like they care anyway)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 08:58:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Moreover, Xwis' strike team shouldn't need advertisements at all. The servers that run Xwis are paid for by EA. They state they kept the adds to pay for sending costs for prices for the ladder winners. Considering Renegade still has no ladder and if it has, couldn't possibly be subject for a price winner for the ladder as Xwis does nothing at all about cheaters on Renegade (so a cheater could easily win the prices), I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY I WOULD HAVE TO BEAR WITH

THE ADDS.

As for clanwars.cc, a downtime can happen to anyone, I guess.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [light](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 09:25:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why was my post deleted? Sure it didn't really focus on the topic but I find it strange that it was removed without any notice.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 09:36:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 09:47:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Thanks for supporting a buggy, shitty ladder site that isn't any different from the 1000 other ladder sites online.

We had a good half hour's downtime. The thing about our ladder, compared to the original WOL ladder, is that when our ladder suffers brief downtime, game data is not lost - games are still reportable when the site is operational again.

Our ladder VERY RARELY suffers downtime. It certainly suffers less downtime than the Renegade servers themselves, under either EA or XWIS's management. It also suffers downtime on a rarer basis than the official Renegade forums, the ones on which we are currently posting. The old WOL ladder suffered downtime and erratic behaviour on a weekly basis, and when it did, game data was lost forever and the only way you could get games added was - wait for it - on the unofficial Clanwars.cc ladder. Once again we're the only ones who can help you out clan-ladder-wise, just like we are now and have been for ten months and counting.

As for it being "no different from the 1000 other ladder sites online", could you give me an example of a website that's been hosting a consistent Renegade league for as long as and with the same level of functionality and professionalism that Clanwars.cc has?

Thanks,
Spoony @ Clanwars.cc.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 09:51:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 03:58The servers that run Xwis are paid for by EA. They state they kept the adds to pay for sending costs for prices for the ladder winners. Considering Renegade still has no ladder and if it has, couldn't possibly be subject for a price winner for the ladder as Xwis does nothing at all about cheaters on Renegade (so a cheater could easily win the prices), I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY I WOULD HAVE TO BEAR WITH THE ADDS.

Indeed. At CW we have two prize brackets for winners - free EA games (EA handle that, obviously) and some C&C collectible items. I pay for those out of my own pocket, including the shipping, and have never asked anyone for donations or ads to support that cost. I'll also make damn sure they don't get sent to a cheater...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 10:01:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 02:47It also suffers downtime on a rarer basis than the official Renegade forums, the ones on which we are currently posting.

Funny, according to the logs that my monitoring software keeps, RenegadeForums and all other BHS sites have 99.81% uptime, leaving 11 hours, 6 minutes, and 53 seconds of downtime this entire year, INCLUDING the times when my own cable internet was down which would easily encompass most of that time.

However, if you're talking about the 2-3 minutes of "hang time" every 2 hours, that would be because the database is locked every other hour, on the hour, for database backups, which are necessary to retain most of our data in the event that some child decides he wants to mess with us.

What are your stats? Or do you even have them? Don't open your mouth and make accusations like that unless you're prepared to prove it.

Availability Report
Jan 09 2006 - Sep 09 2006

70.84.132.91 - http

Availability: 99.81%
Green Yellow Red Purple Clear Blue
24x7 98.85% 0.00% 0.19% 0.00% 0.80% 0.17%

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 11:56:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01 Funny, according to the logs that my monitoring software keeps, RenegadeForums and all other BHS sites have 99.81% uptime, leaving 11 hours, 6 minutes, and 53 seconds of downtime this entire year
That's more downtime than our renegade league has had this year. I can't prove this with statistics, but I know it for certain simply because I've been consistently overseeing.

Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01 Don't open your mouth and make accusations like that unless you're prepared to prove it.
Rich coming from yourself, considering the amount of idiotic and baseless public accusations you've made about my website... that thread on XWIS would be an excellent example, as would be our recent conversation by e-mail about your ladder project. Another shining example, while we're on the subject of people messing with your forums, how about when you were absolutely dead sure that *I* hacked this site (and your proof was an irrelevant comment which you twisted and took out of context), and it turned out to be nothing whatsoever to do with me at all?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 14:19:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 06:56 blah blah blah. This is an attempt to make an argument without the required evidence.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 18:11:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're a pathetic hypocrite. You're not only avoiding everything I just said, you also COMPLETELY fail to prove your original statement:

Quote:buggy
I've already asked you to justify this and you clearly couldn't.

Quote:shitty ladder
Again, I asked you to justify this too... again, you couldn't.

Quote:isn't any different from the 1000 other ladder sites online.
third time and you still can't back up a word you say...

In other words, your entire "contribution" to this thread in regards to Clanwars.cc is Quote:an

attempt to make an arguement without the required evidence.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 18:43:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:You're a pathetic hypocrite.
Is this to snipler or Crimson???

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 18:49:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 14:43Quote:You're a pathetic hypocrite.
Is this to snipler or Crimson???

If you would've read the first post that would be apparent.

(snipler)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:20:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Personally, I think the main people to blame here are EA.
Although, I do think that there are overreactions on both sides here, but I dont intend to join the argument. I just think things could be done better from all sides.

Nobody is perfect!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:41:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:51:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Then again, I cant help but jump on the bandwagon...

I really hate sites that cant be bothered to make themselves multi-browser compatible...
And also, I dislike sites that are made almost entirely of graphics, like that one. I might have Megabit DSL, but they still break up the page while loading. This is probably just me griping though.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:52:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL Jonty.

Btw, go OpenTTD.

Just to touch off on this:

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 20:04:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 20:52LOL Jonty.

Btw, go OpenTTD.
Why, what an immense coincidence.
Nevertheless, no.

[/OT]

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 20:08:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol!
I like that spiderman pic

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 20:18:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 14:41buggy
On the grounds of half an hour's downtime?

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 14:41For those of you who think clanwars in the only ladder on the net THINK AGAIN.

You either have severe difficulty with the English language or you simply are too ignorant to actually read what I'm saying.

Try this statement of mine and read each word carefully. The key word here is an eight-letter word beginning with 'R'

Quote:could you give me an example of a website that's been hosting a consistent Renegade league for as long as and with the same level of functionality and professionalism that Clanwars.cc has?

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 14:41ad usage

I don't know how many times I need to rip this argument to little shreds before idiots like you realise you've been proved wrong...

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 14:41unclear layout

What's unclear? You click the league you want to use... a chimpanzee could figure it out. You see where it says "Official Renegade"? I realise this is probably beyond your intellectual capacity, but clicking that link takes you to the Renegade League.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 20:31:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I cant help but notice how you apparently dont mention the fact that the website doesnt render correctly in Firefox.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [xptek](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 20:58:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jonty wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 16:31I cant help but notice how you apparently dont mention the fact that the website doesnt render correctly in Firefox.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:26:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jonty wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 15:31 I cant help but notice how you apparently dont mention the fact that the website doesnt render correctly in Firefox.
I use Firefox and it's always appeared correctly for me, so I really don't know what to tell you.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 01:12:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 13:41

You have no idea what you are talking about. If you had any knowledge of ASP.NET and how it works then you would know that that is a client side problem, and not server side. So don't go blaming the clanwars.cc server for a problem that is on your end.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 01:22:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't pretend to know anything about how to code a website, but our league was indeed down for a good half hour or so.... congratulations, he's right about ONE thing, if a little over-dramatic at the same time...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 01:29:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Anderson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 20:12snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 13:41

You have no idea what you are talking about. If you had any knowledge of ASP.NET and how it works then you would know that that is a client side problem, and not server side. So don't go blaming the clanwars.cc server for a problem that is on your end.

Actually if you had ANY idea what YOU were talking about, you would know that their IIS config file was fucked up and caused the downtime. See Maiden's post you fucking moron.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Matix](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 01:36:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I disagree with all the rude comments towards clanwars. Shit happens, and if a site goes down, you can't really do anything about it, you can blame Intel for downtimes

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 01:46:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 20:29 Actually if you had ANY idea what YOU were talking about, you would know that their IIS config file was fucked up and caused the downtime. See Maiden's post you fucking moron.
If at first logic doesn't succeed, try anger!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 01:53:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 19:29 Dave Anderson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 20:12 snipler wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 13:41

You have no idea what you are talking about. If you had any knowledge of ASP.NET and how it works then you would know that that is a client side problem, and not server side. So don't go blaming the clanwars.cc server for a problem that is on your end.

Actually if you had ANY idea what YOU were talking about, you would know that their IIS config file was fucked up and caused the downtime. See Maiden's post you fucking moron.

Okay, I have to correct my own post here. It was a server side problem, but how does that contribute to clanwars.cc being crap? It doesn't. Every server has its problems one day or another, and its people like you who jump on it when these mishaps do happen. clanwars.cc is not crap, and atleast they are contributing to the Renegade community. If it wern't for clanwars.cc, there would not be a decent clan/ladder system. Aside from that, if you really think that clanwars.cc is complete and utter crap, I would love to sit back and watch you create a clan/ladder system like they have and prove to everyone that is, indeed, better.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Matix](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 02:10:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They would prob hire somone to make a ladder 1/10 as sucessful as clanwars.

clanwars is a very sucessful ladder system, which if I am not mistaken, has enough decency to have EA atleast donate to them, I want to see anyone get enough respect to get a huge company

to donate atleast \$10 to them.

As for the advertisements, I really don't care, and I don't know if clanwars does have ad's or not, but if you have those kind of ads on your pc and no one else does, try lowering the ammount of porn on your computer

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 02:33:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EA supply us with hundreds of dollars' worth of prizes every month for players, and they (and we) ask nothing in return from the players other than that they behave themselves and follow the rules.

Various other companies offer prizes too.

I know it's "cool" to bash EA and everything, but what they're doing is brilliant.

As for ads, we have a small google banner which takes up a fractional part of the league page, and they are typically related to gaming servers etc... therefore being of potential benefit to players. They don't impose, they don't pop out at you.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 04:06:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Basic marketing appears to be anything but brilliant to me.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Ryan3k](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 06:05:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LESS DISCUSSION, MORE PICTURE WARS.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 06:31:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 21:58

Thats a different page.

Oh, and Im not actually using MacOS there, thats Ubuntu with a MacOSX Compiz theme. Nevertheless, the firefox rendering engine is the same on Windows, Mac and Linux so I dont know where the problem is coming from...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 06:32:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 06:40:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

moarrrrrrrrr

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 06:49:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 23:06 Basic marketing appears to be anything but brilliant to me.

Then pray tell me: what do you do for the community that's better than this:
Quote:EA supply us with hundreds of dollars' worth of prizes every month for players, and they (and we) ask nothing in return from the players other than that they behave themselves and follow the rules.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 07:02:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about patching the God damn game instead of wasting (not really, since you're buying into it) the money on cheap marketing tactics/moves (involves prizes and whatever else) to reinvigorate their image and augment their sales?

(EA handing its services over to the community isn't something you should see as good in the first place)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 07:08:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 01:49pray tell me: what do you do for the community that's better than this:

Quote:EA supply us with hundreds of dollars' worth of prizes every month for players, and they (and we) ask nothing in return from the players other than that they behave themselves and follow the rules.

Don't dodge my question. Thanks.

As for EA handing services over to the community, well, putting aside the other aspects of "services" which have been handed over to groups such as XWIS and BHS, we are perfectly fine with handling the clan ladder. It's not simply a replacement to the original WOL ladder - it's better than the original WOL ladder, and I say that without a hint of ego or bias.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 07:11:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 02:02How about patching the God damn game instead of wasting (not really, since you're buying into it) the money on cheap marketing tactics/moves (involves prizes and whatever else) to reinvigorate their image and augment their sales?

I see you edited this post - and all I can say is, are you a communist by any chance? OMFG, A COMPANY WANTS TO PROMOTE A GOOD IMAGE OF THEMSELVES AND THEREBY INCREASE THEIR SALES, UNACCEPTABLE!

They're doing a really, really good thing and you're belittling that generosity because it will improve their image? What the fuck? What would you rather they spent those hundreds of dollars a month on? Some form of advertising, perhaps? That would have roughly the same effect except for the fact players wouldn't getting free games

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Aprime](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 07:25:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:11Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 02:02How about patching the God damn game instead of wasting (not really, since you're buying into it) the money on cheap marketing tactics/moves (involves prizes and whatever else) to reinvigorate their image and augment their sales?

I see you edited this post - and all I can say is, are you a communist by any chance? OMFG, A COMPANY WANTS TO PROMOTE A GOOD IMAGE OF THEMSELVES AND THEREBY INCREASE THEIR SALES, UNACCEPTABLE!

They're doing a really, really good thing and you're belittling that generosity because it will improve their image? What the fuck? What would you rather they spent those hundreds of dollars a month on? Some form of advertising, perhaps? That would have roughly the same effect except for the fact players wouldn't getting free games

Yes, sorry about the edit, I wasn't expecting you to read or reply so quickly.

No, I'm not a Communist, they have indeed the right to do this, you qualified their move(s) as brilliant, which is by far, false.

Brilliant would be actual product support, and apart from the "new" (repackaged (or whatever the correct term is to define it) version of the recent one that excluded (yes) Renegade) version of the Level Editor (or those prizes, which are technically worthless), EA has failed their duty to support this game.

"What would you rather they spent those hundreds of dollars a month on?"

Read: Fixing the game.

Sure we're doing a better job than they did, sure! But the truth is, it's not even our job, it's theirs.

I feel bad for all the people who bought Earth and Beyond, seriously.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [DreamWraith](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 10:18:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25
I feel bad for all the people who bought Earth and Beyond, seriously.

hear hear.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [troopr02](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 14:10:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ryan3k wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 08:05LESS DISCUSSION, MORE PICTURE WARS.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 14:39:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Plz DO NOT speak bad about communism. Communists have done nothing to you, so you got no right to get them into all of this. Thank you.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 14:55:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 15:39Plz DO NOT speak bad about communism. Communists have done nothing to you, so you got no right to get them into all of this. Thank you.
DreamWraith wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 11:18
hear hear.

Oh; and about the rest: I would definitely rather have EA fixing Renegade and making it better than giving out prizes to about 2 people every month, how about everyone else?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [terminator 101](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 16:02:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25
I feel bad for all the people who bought Earth and Beyond, seriously.
Me too, because I bought it some time ago and when I finally wanted to try it(2 years ago in summer), it would no longer work.

Well, at least I got it in a cheap bundle with C&C Renegade, Need for Speed(can't remember which one), and Freedom Force.

How was the game anyway? Was it worth it?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 17:49:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25you qualified their move(s) as brilliant, which is by far, false.

You don't think being able to win free games simply by playing a game you like is brilliant? Let's agree to disagree on that, but don't just say "it's false" on a matter of opinion.

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25Brilliant would be actual product support, and apart from the "new" (repackaged (or whatever the correct term is to define it) version of the recent one that excluded (yes) Renegade) version of the Level Editor
I have no idea what you're talking about.

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25or those prizes, which are technically worthless ...worthless? Any game might grip you the way Renegade has gripped the majority of people on this forum at some point. I guess Renegade is "technically worthless" as well, then?

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25"What would you rather they spent those

hundreds of dollars a month on?"

Read: Fixing the game.

Then why don't you get off your lazy ass and do something about it instead of whining? Draw up a proposal and petition EA about it.

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25 Sure we're doing a better job than they did, sure! But the truth is, it's not even our job, it's theirs.

Who's "we"?

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 03:25 I feel bad for all the people who bought Earth and Beyond, seriously.

Again, don't know what you're talking about.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Aprime](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:17:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You don't think being able to win free games simply by playing a game you like is brilliant? Let's agree to disagree on that, but don't just say "it's false" on a matter of opinion.

Alright, but the fact is that the whole idea is similar to handing out t-shirts (and perhaps other free 'goodies') to people in a convention.

"I have no idea what you're talking about."

You can't possibly be serious.

PlanetC&CRenegade SDK

14:02 PST | [Dario](#) | [Print News](#) | [Mail News](#)

According to Red Alert: A Path Beyond (and later confirmed by EA's Predator at CnC Den), EA will be releasing a new Renegade SDK. Basically it's a rehash of the tools that came with BfME 2 (something that Saberhawk has already done in July), but officially released by EA.

Who's "we"? Simply the community, isn't that rather obvious?

"...worthless? Any game might grip you the way Renegade has gripped the majority of people on this forum at some point. I guess Renegade is "technically worthless" as well, then?"

I wasn't targetting any game (hell, I didn't even know what they were (specifically) handing out to you, but I assumed it included t-shirts, games, etc.). Worthless because other than making a few morons happy and... Oh yeah, maybe it's brilliant after all, because they can get away with not doing their work, as it makes people like you apparently happy.

Yes, we all know that online petitions work!

About Earth and Beyond: They bought a game and what, like, a year after their purchase EA shuts it down (unprofitability leads to loss of support when consumers are dealing with Electronic Arts).

I could compare this to Dell not recalling a certain battery from a laptop that is affected by the current "lol exploding battery" drama because it didn't get enough sales.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 20:51:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I want a free Renegade Mouse Pad!

No, seriously, I don't want a mouse mat.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 06:14:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 13:17 Alright, but the fact is that the whole idea is similar to handing out t-shirts (and perhaps other free 'goodies') to people in a convention. ...? What can POSSIBLY be bad about winning free games, and not having to expend any money or much effort in doing so, other than the minimal amount of effort that following the league rules takes?

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 13:17 "I have no idea what you're talking about." You can't possibly be serious.

PlanetC&CRenegade SDK

14:02 PST | Dario | Print News | Mail News

According to Red Alert: A Path Beyond (and later confirmed by EA's Predator at CnC Den), EA will be releasing a new Renegade SDK. Basically it's a rehash of the tools that came with BfME 2 (something that Saberhawk has already done in July), but officially released by EA.

I meant what I said - I have no idea what this is, nor am I terribly bothered.

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 13:17 Who's "we"? Simply the community, isn't that rather obvious?

You said "we're doing a great job of what EA are supposed to be doing". So answer my question: what have you done?

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 13:17 "...worthless? Any game might grip you the way Renegade has gripped the majority of people on this forum at some point. I guess Renegade is "technically worthless" as well, then?"

I wasn't targetting any game (hell, I didn't even know what they were (specifically) handing out to you, but I assumed it included t-shirts, games, etc.)

Free games of the players' choice. Simple as that.

Surprising you saw fit to argue this far without knowing that...

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 13:17Worthless because other than making a few morons happy and...

So anyone who plays this game competitively is a "moron"? Is that what you're saying?

Comrade wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 13:17Yes, we all know that online petitions work! Worked for us on several RECENT occasions, so quit making excuses.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 06:15:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jonty wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 15:51I want a free Renegade Mouse Pad!

No, seriously, I don't want a mouse mat.

Hey, we (or more correctly: I) give those out too, and once again, ask nothing in return other than adherence to league rules.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 06:50:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1. Can't be arsed, won't lead to anything.
2. lol
3. I was talking about the community as a whole, and as far as I know, I'm part of the community. I haven't done much that is worth talking about, but I've collaborated.
4. k.
5. If you see it like that, sure.
6. Good for you.

One last request: take off your pants and bend over.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 07:04:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 01:501. Can't be arsed, won't lead to anything.

2. lol
3. I was talking about the community as a whole, and as far as I know, I'm part of the community. I haven't done much that is worth talking about, but I've collaborated.
4. k.
5. If you see it like that, sure.
6. Good for you.

It isn't obvious which parts you're replying to here...

Comrade wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 01:50 One last request: take off your pants and bend over.

Well, you sure know how to debate, don't you? Is this how you want to help out a dying game - by flaming and belittling those of us who are actually doing something about it?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [DreamWraith](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 07:13:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Running a ladder doesn't do anything to fix a game, or get EA to do it's job. It merely allows EA to NOT perform their job.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 08:36:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DreamWraith wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 02:13 Running a ladder doesn't do anything to fix a game

I don't claim to be "fixing the game", I said we were continuing to support a game even though it's old and buggy. I'm of the opinion that if people here spent less time bitching at EA and XWIS and more time actually making themselves useful in some way, everyone would be better off.

DreamWraith wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 02:13 It merely allows EA to NOT perform their job.

..."allows"? We've had this league since... uh, I think summer 2002. I really doubt anyone from Westwood/EA has ever looked at our ladder and thought "AWESOME! We don't have to run a clan ladder now!" The Clanwars.cc Renegade league was set up, in part, because of the fact the old WOL auto ladder had so many things wrong with it.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 14:57:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 09:36...
Interesting, I just realised you're from the UK and your ISP is Tiscali.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 15:02:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you want a biscuit?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jonty](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 15:10:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wouldn't mind one, seeing as you probably won't give me the mouse mat...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [futura83](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 17:58:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 09:36DreamWraith wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 02:13Running a ladder doesn't do anything to fix a game
I don't claim to be "fixing the game", I said we were continuing to support a game even though it's old and buggy. I'm of the opinion that if people here spent less time bitching at EA and XWIS and more time actually making themselves useful in some way, everyone would be better off.

DreamWraith wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 02:13It merely allows EA to NOT perform their job.

..."allows"? We've had this league since... uh, I think summer 2002. I really doubt anyone from Westwood/EA has ever looked at our ladder and thought "AWESOME! We don't have to run a clan ladder now!" The Clanwars.cc Renegade league was set up, in part, because of the fact the old WOL auto ladder had so many things wrong with it.

the problem with the old ladder is what they are getting at - because the oldone didnt work, you got it. this shows laziness on EA's part, cos instead of fixing the ladder, they just handed it over for someone else to deal with.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 18:22:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What has comrade aka aprime1 aka some other retarded name done?

He's made shitty photomanipulations thinking he's clever or something

he's visited these forums for years to spam and write stupid shit but hasn't contributed anything but grief

He's made a bunch of failed websites with fashion-bitch graphics

He's trolled forums and made a general ass of himself, not knowing anything about social interaction, whereas I choose to make an ass of myself because I don't want to be nice here... for the most part, anyway

I think these forums would be better off without him but it's not my call.. i'm glad he's gzlined

permanently from n00bstories IRC so he can't ruin it anymore with his putrescent sack of shit people call a "personality"

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 18:26:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 13:58the problem with the old ladder is what they are getting at

hold on... are you saying people do want a clan ladder? I could've sworn nobody other than the clans in the Clanwars.cc league gave a flying fuck about providing clan support to Renegade. It's certainly the impression I've gotten from this forum so far.

the17doctor wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 13:58because the oldone didnt work, you got it. no...

the old one was crap ever since I got the game, and we weren't the official league then...

the17doctor wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 13:58this shows laziness on EA's part, cos instead of fixing the ladder, they just handed it over for someone else to deal with.

Who cares, if ours is better than the old auto league? Isn't that a little bit more important than who runs it? You're focusing on all the wrong details here...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [futura83](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 18:30:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what im getting at, is, instead of fixing bugs, they hand things to the community. im not saying this is a problem that the community has control, but ea could have at least fixed all the bugs before they handed it over(bugs i am on about are things like the blue hell glitch and so on)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Ma1kel](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 18:35:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 14:22What has comrade aka aprime1 aka some other retarded name done?

He's made shitty photomanipulations thinking he's clever or something

he's visited these forums for years to spam and write stupid shit but hasn't contributed anything but grief

He's made a bunch of failed websites with fashion-bitch graphics

He's trolled forums and made a general ass of himself, not knowing anything about social interaction, whereas I choose to make an ass of myself because I don't want to be nice here... for the most part, anyway

I think these forums would be better off without him but it's not my call.. i'm glad he's gzlined permanently from n00bstories IRC so he can't ruin it anymore with his putrescent sack of shit people call a "personality"

Wow, that sounds similiar...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:25:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It sounds similar to you maybe?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 21:54:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade = retard
Snipler = retard

Pull your heads out of your asses

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 23:27:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 14:22What has comrade aka aprime1 aka some other retarded name done?

He's made shitty photomanipulations thinking he's clever or something

he's visited these forums for years to spam and write stupid shit but hasn't contributed anything but grief

He's made a bunch of failed websites with fashion-bitch graphics

He's trolled forums and made a general ass of himself, not knowing anything about social interaction, whereas I choose to make an ass of myself because I don't want to be nice here... for the most part, anyway

I think these forums would be better off without him but it's not my call.. i'm glad he's gzlined permanently from n00bstories IRC so he can't ruin it anymore with his putrescent sack of shit people call a "personality"

Yes, all my other nicknames suck.

I rarely criticize my own work.

Stop pretending you know anything about me.

I said it wasn't worth mentioning and the other websites have nothing to do with this, oh, and you forgot to mention the shit heightfield maps, oh, and I was never you moron, I even got in #n00bstories the other day by accident without anybody noticing.

Also AIDS fairies.

MaidenTy1 wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 03:04Comrade wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 01:50One last request: take off your pants and bend over.

Well, you sure know how to debate, don't you? Is this how you want to help out a dying game - by flaming and belittling those of us who are actually doing something about it?

The subject doesn't hold enough importance to me to debate over it, I think it's rather obvious.

Anyway, I just contributed to something and I can't tell you what it is until it's finished. All I can say is that most people will likely enjoy it.

And Jimbo: gg.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 03:52:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 13:30what im getting at, is, instead of fixing bugs, they hand things to the community. im not saying this is a problem that the community has control, but ea could have at least fixed all the bugs before they handed it over(bugs i am on about are things like the blue hell glitch and so on)

MaidenTy1 wroteWho cares, if ours is better than the old auto league? Isn't that a little bit more important than who runs it? You're focusing on all the wrong details here...
let me put this as plainly as I can.

Clanwars.cc is all about running clan ladders. EA isn't. Hence, we run clan ladders better than EA. They won't mind me saying that. So if they want a clan ladder run, why is it such a bad thing to engage the services of a group who can do it way better than EA could? I simply don't understand what your problem is with this.

Comrade wroteThe subject doesn't hold enough importance to me to debate over it, I think it's rather obvious.

Evidently. You've only posted, what, ten times so far in a three-page topic?

Comrade wroteAnyway, I just contributed to something and I can't tell you what it is until it's finished. All I can say is that most people will likely enjoy it.

wow, the suspense is killing me. I wish I ever did anything important enough to have an NDA.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [pvtschlag](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 08:27:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 19:27Anyway, I just contributed to something and I can't tell you what it is until it's finished. All I can say is that most people will likely enjoy it.

I can vouch for that.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 13:48:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if it's anything like crunge then i doubt that highly

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 15:12:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 23:52the17doctor wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 13:30what im getting at, is, instead of fixing bugs, they hand things to the community. im not saying this is a problem that the community has control, but ea could have at least fixed all the bugs before they handed it over(bugs i am on about are things like the blue hell glitch and so on)
MaidenTy1 wroteWho cares, if ours is better than the old auto league? Isn't that a little bit more important than who runs it? You're focusing on all the wrong details here...
let me put this as plainly as I can.

Clanwars.cc is all about running clan ladders. EA isn't. Hence, we run clan ladders better than EA. They won't mind me saying that. So if they want a clan ladder run, why is it such a bad thing to engage the services of a group who can do it way better than EA could? I simply don't understand what your problem is with this.

Comrade wroteThe subject doesn't hold enough importance to me to debate over it, I think it's rather obvious.

Evidently. You've only posted, what, ten times so far in a three-page topic?

Comrade wrote Anyway, I just contributed to something and I can't tell you what it is until it's finished. All I can say is that most people will likely enjoy it.
wow, the suspense is killing me. I wish I ever did anything important enough to have an NDA.

im not saying the problem is you, i am saying that the problem is EA, who wont fix a game that is really buggy, and probably will abandon all games they make once the games reach a certain age.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 15:22:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 17:12 MaidenTy1 wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 23:52 the17doctor wrote on Tue, 12 September 2006 13:30 what im getting at, is, instead of fixing bugs, they hand things to the community. im not saying this is a problem that the community has control, but ea could have at least fixed all the bugs before they handed it over (bugs i am on about are things like the blue hell glitch and so on)
MaidenTy1 wrote Who cares, if ours is better than the old auto league? Isn't that a little bit more important than who runs it? You're focusing on all the wrong details here...
let me put this as plainly as I can.

Clanwars.cc is all about running clan ladders. EA isn't. Hence, we run clan ladders better than EA. They won't mind me saying that. So if they want a clan ladder run, why is it such a bad thing to engage the services of a group who can do it way better than EA could? I simply don't understand what your problem is with this.

Comrade wrote The subject doesn't hold enough importance to me to debate over it, I think it's rather obvious.
Evidently. You've only posted, what, ten times so far in a three-page topic?

Comrade wrote Anyway, I just contributed to something and I can't tell you what it is until it's finished. All I can say is that most people will likely enjoy it.
wow, the suspense is killing me. I wish I ever did anything important enough to have an NDA.

im not saying the problem is you, i am saying that the problem is EA, who wont fix a game that is really buggy, and probably will abandon all games they make once the games reach a certain age.

You don't know anything about business do you?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 15:26:50 GMT

no i dont

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:19:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, but so many of you retards who post on these forums simply cannot grasp the truth here.

THERE IS NO OTHER LADDER THAN THE CLANWARS.CC LADDER. I can guarantee that NONE of you could send me a link to a working renegade clanwars ladder OTHER THAN clanwars.cc.

Furthermore, the clanwars.cc ladder is fully functional. Allow me to expand on this. It has:

1. The BEST clans on renegade competing monthly for prizes.
2. Extremely easy to use and self-explanatory interface.
3. Excellent moderators/admins that process requests the day they are issued. Also, they have caught and banned several people for the use of radar hack (undetectable by RG) in clan games.
4. A flawless system of manual reporting that has been working with no problems for several months.
5. No downtime except on extremely rare occasions. I would know this as I visit the site daily.

And I really don't feel the need to type any more.

I don't know whether it's the fact that you're jealous of cw.cc, or if it's just simply ignorance, but for whatever reason, so many unintelligent and thickheaded people on these forums (including even Crimson, it appears) cannot grasp the fact that clanwars.cc is actually a 100% legitimate, working, intact website that serves every decent clan on renegade.

For those of you who are so vehemently opposed to clanwars.cc, I suggest you try it out before you continue to judge it.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:22:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 09:48if it's anything like crunge then i doubt that highly
I'd rather you not look down your nose at my network or anything that my network is associated with.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 20:00:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:that serves every decent clan on renegade1 can positively say that is incorrect. Unless CW.cc serves every decent clan because a clan isn't decent if it doesn't play at CW.cc (in your mind). Then you are proving your own point, which obviously for any intelligent being seems flawed.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 20:50:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1) One only has to visit the cw.cc forums to see what the so-called "decent clan community" looks like.

2) There WILL be another ladder, I daresay it will be up within the next 3-4 months.

This thread has been moved to the Hot Topics forum where it seems to belong.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 22:06:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

this rofl:

File Attachments

1) [cw.JPG](#), downloaded 992 times

Microsoft Internet Explorer window showing the website **Cw.cc** (http://www.cw.cc/). The page features a navigation menu with categories like Online Game, Computer Game, Pc Game, Games, Cc Stunde Null, Cc Generle Stunde Null, and Mortgage. A sidebar lists Popular Links for these categories. The main content area displays Popular Categories (Online Game, Computer Game, Pc Game, Games, Cc Stunde Null, Mortgage) and Favorite Categories (Travel, Finance, Home, Business, Entertainment). A search bar is present at the bottom of the main content area. A sidebar on the right promotes 'FREE Screensavers!' with an image of a woman in a bikini and a button labeled 'FREE Screensavers!'. The Windows taskbar at the bottom shows the Start button and several open applications: 'cw - Microsoft In...', 'http://b.casalemedia...', 'HL-Bot - Visual C...', 'NextGen.aohost...', and 'release'.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
 Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 22:13:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Matix](#) on Wed, 13 Sep 2006 23:01:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he just pwnt himself....

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 00:50:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If EA was actually going to do something in renegade, the last thing they would probably care to fix would be the clan ladder. So using the excuse that since there's an alternative ladder, they decided not to do anything to fix the clan ladder, is just pretty stupid. If EA really cared, they'd fix major problems like ob walking and what not. Also it does seem a bit strange that only after some YEARS of the clan ranking not working, it suddenly becomes a priority. I would work more towards the new RG than fixing the clan ladder when there's already an alternative. I mean I know it takes a lot of work to completely rewrite it or whatever you had to do, but I don't think the original RG took this long. Then again, that's just me.

By the way there *is* an alternative to clanwars.cc - there's the league called ESL, which is primarily european, and one of the clans I know who was in it caught a clan cheating and the ESL admins did nothing. Oh wait, yeah they did, they banned the clan that exposed the cheaters. Awesome alternative. Don't know if it's active anymore, though

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 01:03:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 16:00Quote:that serves every decent clan on renegadeI can positively say that is incorrect. Unless CW.cc serves every decent clan because a clan isn't decent if it doesn't play at CW.cc (in your mind). Then you are proving your own point, which obviously for any intelligent being seems flawed.

I know for a fact that there isnt a single clan on renegade thats half decent that does not participate in clanwars.cc

Name one that could compete with the clans on clanwars.cc. Then have them play us to back it up.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 01:18:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 16:501) One only has to visit the cw.cc forums to see what the so-called "decent clan community" looks like.

2) There WILL be another ladder, I daresay it will be up within the next 3-4 months.

This thread has been moved to the Hot Topics forum where it seems to belong.
A working auto-report ladder. In all honesty, that would be great.

However, let's stop and reflect for a second on what you just said.

"There WILL be another ladder"... Okay. That is then, this is now. For the next few months at least, clanwars is THE ladder. God, it must KILL you that EA actually endorses us. You seem so intent on killing clanwars.cc. (the sad thing is everyone automatically agrees with you)

"I DARESAY...3-4 months"... You don't sound too sure of yourself on this. What even is the necessity for another clan ladder when the current one works fine? Look at C&C generals, for instance. It's manual ladder has been working for years. No reason that renegade's would fail.

Let's be honest, Crimson. Your hate for clanwars.cc came from the early days when WL played CW in clanwars. I wasn't on WOL then, so I don't know the details. But I do know that some of the players from [CW] got on your nerves. Furthermore, you seem to get thoroughly irritated by a few "immature" people on clanwars, like wrs or soul for instance. Just ignore them ffs. The majority of people on clanwars forums are fine.

We can all get along. I know we can.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 06:46:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 15:501) One only has to visit the cw.cc forums to see what the so-called "decent clan community" looks like.

I never tire of hearing this bullshit from you, and the fact you simply do not realise how horribly flawed it is.

Shall I copy-paste our recent email conversation about your auto ladder project? You said you'd love my help but didn't want to involve "the clanwars.cc people" on the grounds that they're all immature.

Now, I challenged you to explain exactly who you mean by this - you couldn't think of a response, but I'll happily give you another go. It can't be the admins in charge of Renegade, because we administrate the Renegade league in a mature and professional manner. It can't be any specific player because why would you need their involvement?

So what did your statement mean?

If your entire anti-CW argument is based on the fact that there is a minority of idiots who use it, then by the same "logic" Renguard, XWIS, Gamespy, Renegadeforums.com and every single public server is equally guilty.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 15:50:2) There WILL be another ladder, I daresay it will be up within the next 3-4 months.

Thank god. You've only neglected it for ten months and counting, so thank god. You never gave a shit about providing clan support to Renegade until I posted that thread on XWIS. Go ahead and pretend that's untrue, I dare you. Considering how often you've publicly stated that clans and a clan league are a waste of time, etc. It's plain to me that you couldn't give two hoots whether there's a functional league, you just can't handle Clanwars.cc getting rightful credit for something.

On my watch as admin/overseer of the renegade league, there has never been a single 24-hour stretch where either myself or a fellow admin wasn't there to add peoples' games, answer questions, etc. Compare that to your ten-month stretch of utter neglect.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 15:50: This thread has been moved to the Hot Topics forum where it seems to belong.

Fine by me. Way to dodge my earlier statement directed at you, by the way. Reminds me of Snipler or whatever the hell his/her name was, because he/she still hasn't had the common decency to admit he/she was absolutely dead wrong about every feeble point he/she attempted to make.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 06:47:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jimbo27 wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 20:18: Let's be honest, Crimson. Your hate for clanwars.cc came from the early days when WL played CW in clanwars. I wasn't on WOL then, so I don't know the details.
I do know the details, even though I wasn't around then.

The absolute worst anyone from [CW] did was a little forum flaming. You'd probably be quite surprised about some of the stuff WL did... it's quite funny, some of it.

Everyone, and I mean everyone from [CW] back then got over all the fucked up shit that WL did A LONG time ago. Crimson is still angry at [CW] even though they didn't do anything. Go figure.

I withdraw my offer to assist your ladder project, by the way.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:06:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:03Goztow wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 16:00Quote:that serves every decent clan on renegadeI can positively say that is incorrect. Unless CW.cc serves every decent clan because a clan isn't decent if it doesn't play at CW.cc (in your mind). Then you are proving your own point, which obviously for any intelligent being seems flawed.

I know for a fact that there isnt a single clan on renegade thats half decent that does not participate in clanwars.cc

Name one that could compete with the clans on clanwars.cc. Then have them play us to back it up.

Click at my siggy.

And before you ask: HR challenged us and got beaten up quite badly. You asked me to name one, and i gave you one.

We got beaten up by GW but then again: not many can say they haven't, can they?

I remember MrPirate challenging us once but we never played him because we simply don't play people which show bad attitude.

Sure, we play for fun, not in competition but we can put up a good fight against most clans. Ask PApirate.

If you want to do a funwar with us, go to our forums and ask for one in a decent way, then we will arrange a date, time, number of players and maps. Might not be in a couple of days though, we try to keep it to 1-2 funwars per week and we already have some appointments.

And don't give me the "I only play for CW.cc points" thing, please .

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:10:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tbh even though I <3 Jimbo I don't agree with the whole "only Clanwars.cc clans are any good" angle. At least, I believe it is LARGELY true (though not completely) but I don't find it a very tasteful statement.

He's bang on about everything else.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:15:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 09:10Tbh even though I <3 Jimbo I don't agree with the whole "only Clanwars.cc clans are any good" angle. At least, I believe it is LARGELY true

(though not completely) but I don't find it a very tasteful statement.

He's bang on about everything else.

You are probably right because exercise makes clans good but there are other ways to exercise, though you need to have many community contacts to get in contact with enough other worthwhile clans. clanwars.cc makes that easier.

However, as anyone can join up at cw.cc, it's normal that you must also have beginning clans that aren't good.

I think a statement like "you can find many good clans at clanwars.cc" would be more appropriate.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:15:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 23:46

Shall I copy-paste our recent email conversation about your auto ladder project? You said you'd love my help but didn't want to involve "the clanwars.cc people" on the grounds that they're all immature.

Now, I challenged you to explain exactly who you mean by this - you couldn't think of a response, but I'll happily give you another go. It can't be the admins in charge of Renegade, because we administrate the Renegade league in a mature and professional manner. It can't be any specific player because why would you need their involvement?

So what did your statement mean?

Maybe YOU need to check your inbox, because I replied to you and you never wrote back:

Quote:----- Original Message -----

From: Christine Korza

To: spoon@clanwars.cc

Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2006 3:34 PM

Subject: Re: VPC Consultant

I think you misunderstand me. I recognize that the people who have the most knowledge about clanning in Renegade are members of the cw.cc community. As a result, I had always intended to approach you guys for your input on this project. Hence, I'm glad that you are interested in this project.

-Christine

----- Original Message -----

From: Will Rose

To: crimson@blackhand-studios.net

Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2006 3:17 PM

Subject: Re: VPC Consultant

So "most of them" refers to a small minority who in no way represent the site, and that's why CW is unsuited for such a project? Stop me if I'm misinterpreting your statement somehow.

Quote:Thank god.

You're welcome.

Quote:You never gave a shit about providing clan support to Renegade until I posted that thread on XWIS. Go ahead and pretend that's untrue, I dare you.

100% bullshit. You can't claim to know my plans or my thoughts. I don't like to announce things before they're at least starting to come to fruition. We are currently running a successful test of the new ladder system. All that's left is the database components.

Quote:Considering how often you've publicly stated that clans and a clan league are a waste of time, etc.

I did? When? I used to be in a clan... just because I don't have the time to be in a clan at the moment doesn't mean I think they're a waste of time.

Quote:On my watch as admin/overseer of the renegade league, there has never been a single 24-hour stretch where either myself or a fellow admin wasn't there to add peoples' games, answer questions, etc. Compare that to your ten-month stretch of utter neglect.

Well, on my watch as admin/overseer of the Renegade Forums, the RenGuard network, the n00bstories Renegade server, the n00bstories IRC network, and the n00bstories website, there has never been a 24-hour stretch where I wasn't there to answer questions or emails or private messages. Is that a worthwhile comparison?

Quote:Way to dodge my earlier statement directed at you, by the way.

Sorry, but most of the time I can't bring up the energy to respond to shit when you seem to ignore that I ever said anything later.

Withdraw your request... I don't mind. You're the one who came to me, remember?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:19:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, crap, I have to repeat myself again. I will continue to stand by my stance that the CW clan was in the wrong in their whining about the clan matches between WL and CW, and I will continue to agree that most of the members of WL were a bunch of crybabies. As soon as they had to actually TRY to win, they lost interest in this game. Their arguments were stupid, and good

riddance to them. Can you please tattoo this on your forehead so I stop being accused of saying anything besides this?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:20:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sniper_De7 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:50 Also it does seem a bit strange that only after some YEARS of the clan ranking not working, it suddenly becomes a priority. I would work more towards the new RG than fixing the clan ladder when there's already an alternative. As I say...

Quote: You never gave a shit about providing clan support to Renegade until I posted that thread on XWIS. Go ahead and pretend that's untrue, I dare you. Considering how often you've publicly stated that clans and a clan league are a waste of time, etc. It's plain to me that you couldn't give two hoots whether there's a functional league, you just can't handle Clanwars.cc getting rightful credit for something.

^^

I challenge Crimson to refute this. The plain fact is making a clan ladder didn't even enter her mind until I made a thread on XWIS (who have made no efforts to create a Renegade clan ladder either) suggesting an endorsement for the Clanwars.cc ladder which was already in existence, seeing as how nobody else could be bothered to do it. Crimson tried quite surprisingly hard to stop this, although failed... mainly due to the fact her "arguments" were complete garbage. I think it was about a week later that she suddenly announced that she's always wanted to provide clan support to Renegade and is gonna fix up a new clan ladder, DESPITE her constant earlier statements that clans and a clan league are a waste of time.

She didn't give a shit about the ten months of utter negligence Renegade's clan support status has had from those in authority. Yet when it seemed likely that a community she still has an irrational hatred of might get this honour, suddenly it's top of her agenda. I can put it no plainer than by saying she doesn't care about providing Renegade's clan support - she simply wants to take something away from Clanwars.cc.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:25:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Until you are privy to all the emails exchanged between EA, myself, and Strike Team, plus all the private chats between the BHS members, and and tape recordings of my conversations with Blazer, you'd better watch what lies you're spouting. I have ALWAYS wanted to get both ladders back up, and I have ALWAYS intended to post a thread on the CW forums asking if anyone amongst them wanted to consult on the project when it was time. There's a thing called priorities, and for now, there is a gap in Silent Kane's time where he was able to finish his part of the ladder project. We are currently running a test of the software which is creating text file dumps until the

database components are done:

```
[root@box logs]# ls -l
```

```
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 41646 Sep 12 13:37 game_a0000000_16218594.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 35216 Sep 12 13:54 game_a0000000_16219102.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 36263 Sep 12 14:14 game_a0000000_16219378.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 31397 Sep 12 14:30 game_a0000000_16219578.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 34975 Sep 12 14:44 game_a0000000_16219854.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 36226 Sep 12 15:14 game_a0000000_16220054.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 32977 Sep 12 15:27 game_a0000000_16220526.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 43001 Sep 12 16:02 game_a0000000_16220758.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 30269 Sep 12 16:11 game_a0000000_16221310.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 35716 Sep 12 16:26 game_a0000000_16221442.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 30241 Sep 12 16:37 game_a0000000_16221622.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 40528 Sep 12 17:12 game_a0000000_16221770.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 32257 Sep 12 17:27 game_a0000000_16222318.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 34089 Sep 12 17:48 game_a0000000_16222518.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 42848 Sep 12 18:23 game_a0000000_16222850.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 25684 Sep 12 18:32 game_a0000000_16223402.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 29991 Sep 12 18:44 game_a0000000_16223578.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 40264 Sep 12 19:20 game_a0000000_16223770.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 34506 Sep 12 19:35 game_a0000000_16224254.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 29942 Sep 12 19:51 game_a0000000_16224434.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 41069 Sep 12 20:26 game_a0000000_16224638.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 31083 Sep 12 20:42 game_a0000000_16225070.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 32968 Sep 12 21:02 game_a0000000_16225226.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 39658 Sep 12 21:36 game_a0000000_16225434.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 47594 Sep 12 22:11 game_a0000000_16225766.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 40818 Sep 12 22:46 game_a0000000_16226134.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 44098 Sep 12 23:21 game_a0000000_16226530.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 37519 Sep 12 23:57 game_a0000000_16226802.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 39436 Sep 13 00:32 game_a0000000_16227830.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 27782 Sep 13 00:45 game_a0000000_16229186.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 42086 Sep 13 01:20 game_a0000000_16229678.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 40365 Sep 13 01:55 game_a0000000_16231018.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 39103 Sep 13 02:31 game_a0000000_16232446.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 42684 Sep 13 03:06 game_a0000000_16233974.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 42284 Sep 13 03:41 game_a0000000_16235306.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 40914 Sep 13 04:16 game_a0000000_16236594.log
-rw-rw-r-- 1 sk sk 36546 Sep 13 11:02 game_a0000000_16241526.log
```

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:30:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

About the e-mails, all I find necessary to say is that you still haven't answered this question:

Quote:Now, I challenged you to explain exactly who you mean by this - you couldn't think of a response, but I'll happily give you another go. It can't be the admins in charge of Renegade, because we administrate the Renegade league in a mature and professional manner. It can't be any specific player because why would you need their involvement?

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:15Quote:You never gave a shit about providing clan support to Renegade until I posted that thread on XWIS. Go ahead and pretend that's untrue, I dare you.

100% bullshit. You can't claim to know my plans or my thoughts. I don't like to announce things before they're at least starting to come to fruition.
Who do you think you're convincing here?

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:15Quote:Considering how often you've publicly stated that clans and a clan league are a waste of time, etc.

I did? When?
all the time...

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:15Quote:On my watch as admin/overseer of the renegade league, there has never been a single 24-hour stretch where either myself or a fellow admin wasn't there to add peoples' games, answer questions, etc. Compare that to your ten-month stretch of utter neglect.

Well, on my watch as admin/overseer of the Renegade Forums, the RenGuard network, the n00bstories Renegade server, the n00bstories IRC network, and the n00bstories website, there has never been a 24-hour stretch where I wasn't there to answer questions or emails or private messages. Is that a worthwhile comparison?
No, because I was talking about clan ladder.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:15Quote:Way to dodge my earlier statement directed at you, by the way.

Sorry, but most of the time I can't bring up the energy to respond to shit when you seem to ignore that I ever said anything later.
You didn't reply to my earlier statement at all.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:15Withdraw your request... I don't mind. You're the one who came to me, remember?
Request? I offered assistance for your benefit, not mine... simply on the basis that I know you don't have the faintest idea how to run a successful clan ladder. As for "you're the one who came to me, remember?", you're the one who posted a thread asking people to, remember?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:33:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 00:25: Until you are privy to all the emails exchanged between EA, myself, and Strike Team, plus all the private chats between the BHS members, and and tape recordings of my conversations with Blazer, you'd better watch what lies you're spouting. Lies? What lies?

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 00:25: There's a thing called priorities, and for now, there is a gap in Silent Kane's time where he was able to finish his part of the ladder project. I'm sorry, but "there's a thing called priorities" is hardly an excuse for a ten-month stretch of negligence.

Where does Renguard 1.04 feature on the priorities? How long's it been since the bypass was released, a few months maybe?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:36:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

by the way, here's what I meant by the "earlier post", which you did not respond to.

MaidenTy1 wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 06:56: Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01: Funny, according to the logs that my monitoring software keeps, RenegadeForums and all other BHS sites have 99.81% uptime, leaving 11 hours, 6 minutes, and 53 seconds of downtime this entire year

That's more downtime than our renegade league has had this year. I can't prove this with statistics, but I know it for certain simply because I've been consistently overseeing.

Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01: Don't open your mouth and make accusations like that unless you're prepared to prove it.

Rich coming from yourself, considering the amount of idiotic and baseless public accusations you've made about my website... that thread on XWIS would be an excellent example, as would be our recent conversation by e-mail about your ladder project. Another shining example, while we're on the subject of people messing with your forums, how about when you were absolutely dead sure that *I* hacked this site (and your proof was an irrelevant comment which you twisted and took out of context), and it turned out to be nothing whatsoever to do with me at all?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 07:50:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 00:30: About the e-mails, all I find necessary to say is that you still haven't answered this question:

Quote: Now, I challenged you to explain exactly who you mean by this - you couldn't think of a response, but I'll happily give you another go. It can't be the admins in charge of Renegade,

because we administrate the Renegade league in a mature and professional manner. It can't be any specific player because why would you need their involvement?

How the hell can I come up with names when most of the members of that forum, from my limited observations, change their nicknames as often as they change their underwear? I can't answer this question. I can't give you specific names because I can't tell them apart. And I *DID* address this question of yours when I stated (NOTICE I AM REPEATING MYSELF AGAIN!) "I recognize that the people who have the most knowledge about clanning in Renegade are members of the cw.cc community. As a result, I had always intended to approach you guys for your input on this project."

Quote:Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 02:15Quote:Considering how often you've publicly stated that clans and a clan league are a waste of time, etc.

I did? When?
all the time...

Now who's dodging questions?

Quote:No, because I was talking about clan ladder.

I fail to see where you're trying to go with this. Yes, I freely admit that I haven't been available at least once every 24 hours to respond to questions for a league that I don't run. Are you happy?

Quote:You didn't reply to my earlier statement at all.

What earlier statement? I'm getting tired of repeating myself.

Quote:Request? I offered assistance for your benefit, not mine... simply on the basis that I know you don't have the faintest idea how to run a successful clan ladder. As for "you're the one who came to me, remember?", you're the one who posted a thread asking people to, remember?

I don't have the faintest idea how to run a successful clan ladder? Based on what? A wild hare in your ass told you so?

Quote:MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 00:36by the way, here's what I meant by the "earlier post", which you did not respond to.

MaidenTy1 wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 06:56Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01Funny, according to the logs that my monitoring software keeps, RenegadeForums and all other BHS sites have 99.81% uptime, leaving 11 hours, 6 minutes, and 53 seconds of downtime this entire year

That's more downtime than our renegade league has had this year. I can't prove this with statistics, but I know it for certain simply because I've been consistently overseeing.

Am I supposed to respond to this? You admit you have no proof, only your word. Big deal.

Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01 Don't open your mouth and make accusations like that unless you're prepared to prove it.

Rich coming from yourself, considering the amount of idiotic and baseless public accusations you've made about my website... that thread on XWIS would be an excellent example, as would be our recent conversation by e-mail about your ladder project. Another shining example, while we're on the subject of people messing with your forums, how about when you were absolutely dead sure that *I* hacked this site (and your proof was an irrelevant comment which you twisted and took out of context), and it turned out to be nothing whatsoever to do with me at all?

Ugh, seriously? I barely remember that. Why is it that you can remember obscure shit from months ago, but you can't remember posts I made within the last hour? I find that very strange. I don't know what you're trying to accomplish here, but if you want to doubt my dedication and devotion to this community, you go right ahead. My actions will speak louder than my words ever will. I wouldn't sit here and spend nearly \$500 a month on servers used 95% for Renegade-related stuff if I didn't care, believe me. (That's probably more than EA is spending, tbh)

By the way, I did locate proof that I had these plans for the Renegade clan ladder way before you brought it up. There is a private forum on here for BHS and Strike Team members, so if you want, you can ask Olaf for confirmation on this that what I'm pasting to you is the 100% honest truth.

Quote:Quote:

Wed, 22 February 2006 06:38

Olaf van der Spek

Messages: 175

Registered: March 2003

Recruit

[add to buddy list](#)

[ignore all messages by this user](#)

From: *demon.nl

IP: *removed by Crimson*

Hi,

What are the plans regarding ladders & clans?

Quote:Wed, 22 February 2006 09:25

Crimson

Messages: 4651

Registered: February 2003

Location: Phoenix, AZ

General (4 Stars)

Administrator/General

From: 208.251.75*

IP: *removed by Crimson*

We would like to get a "basic" ladder working again which just ranks based on the ladder points sent by the server at the end of each map, but afterwards we would like to get a more complex calculation (hopefully with input from the community) to use some of the other data collected towards the rankings.

Regarding clans: restoring something similar to the old functionality would be great except that I don't see why clan size should be limited to 20 people like it was with WOL. Clans also run a separate ladder and could use a more complex calculation.

Wednesday, February 22nd, 2006... and when did you bring this all up? Wed, 07 June 2006 03:50... and you are proven a liar. Congratulations!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:07:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50How the hell can I come up with names when most of the members of that forum, from my limited observations, change their nicknames as often as they change their underwear? I can't answer this question. I can't give you specific names because I can't tell them apart.
This is pathetic.

If you cannot come up with one figure of authority at the Clanwars.cc Renegade league (and there are only three to select from) who is unsuitable to manage a Renegade league, then it's time to admit you didn't have a leg to stand on in the first place.

And if your "clanwars.cc is not suitable to have something like this" angle is based on an individual player, rather than an official Clanwars.cc Renegade League representative, then by that sad attempt at reasoning, I could name one idiot who uses Renguard or posts here and conclude that every project of yours is equally unsuitable.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50Quote:No, because I was talking about clan ladder.

I fail to see where you're trying to go with this. Yes, I freely admit that I haven't been available at least once every 24 hours to respond to questions for a league that I don't run. Are you happy? where I'm going with this will be explained towards the end of the post.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50Quote:Quote:Request? I offered assistance for your benefit, not mine... simply on the basis that I know you don't have the faintest idea how to run a successful clan ladder. As for "you're the one who came to me, remember?", you're the one who posted a thread asking people to, remember?

I don't have the faintest idea how to run a successful clan ladder? Based on what? A wild hare in your ass told you so?
Based on your complete lack of experience... but hey, prove me wrong.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50Quote:Crimson wrote on Sun, 10 September 2006 05:01Don't open your mouth and make accusations like that unless you're prepared to prove it.

Rich coming from yourself, considering the amount of idiotic and baseless public accusations

you've made about my website... that thread on XWIS would be an excellent example, as would be our recent conversation by e-mail about your ladder project. Another shining example, while we're on the subject of people messing with your forums, how about when you were absolutely dead sure that *I* hacked this site (and your proof was an irrelevant comment which you twisted and took out of context), and it turned out to be nothing whatsoever to do with me at all?

Ugh, seriously? I barely remember that.

hmmm, and even when you could remember it you never retracted this false accusation (and it wasn't an "I think Spooky did it" deal, it was a "Spooky did it" deal)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50I find that very strange. I don't know what you're trying to accomplish here, but if you want to doubt my dedication and devotion to this community, you go right ahead.

Sheer hypocrisy. I don't go out of my way to criticise your projects. You, on the other hand, have been doing precisely that for as long as I can remember. Indeed, the only reason for my participation in this thread was to refute misinformation about my league... much like the ignorant bullshit you've been spouting on the same subject for some considerable time.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50Wednesday, February 22nd, 2006... and when did you bring this all up? Wed, 07 June 2006 03:50... and you are proven a liar. Congratulations! You fucking arrogant bitch. How does that prove me a "liar"? Last time I checked, the definition of "lying" is to make a statement you know to be untrue. Seeing as how I don't have access to this forum of which you speak, and therefore could not know of this conversation, then AT THE VERY MOST you've proven me to be mistaken. How fucking dare you label me a liar on that? Or is this another of your false accusations you won't have the spine to retract, like when you wrongly publicised the "fact" that I hacked these forums?

By the way, even if you started in February, what have you proven? The fact that by your it'll-be-ready-in-four-months estimate it takes you nearly a year to accomplish something? And yet you state on the record that Clanwars.cc is "not capable of doing something like this" when our system's been in place for ages, simply because there are one or two idiots on the forums LIKE THERE ARE IN EVERY SINGLE COMMUNITY OF THIS GAME? Hey, at least when there's a problem with my league that needs addressing, it doesn't take me a year to do so.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:10:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ooh, sounds like a hit a nerve. I think I'll wait until you've calmed down a tick.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:12:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Better idea: how about you do one of the following: justify your accusation, or withdraw it? I'll lay odds you can't do 'A' and you don't have the decency to do 'B'. Just like when you wrongly said I hacked the forums... Someone with a little more decency than you would've publicly said you were wrong, instead of locking the topic and hoping everyone would forget about it. I guess it would have been too much of a blow to your ego. You're never wrong... I'll be honest, I'm surprised you didn't delete the thread entirely.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:22:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 01:07
Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:50 Wednesday, February 22nd, 2006... and when did you bring this all up? Wed, 07 June 2006 03:50... and you are proven a liar. Congratulations! You fucking arrogant bitch. How does that prove me a "liar"? Last time I checked, the definition of "lying" is to make a statement you know to be untrue. Seeing as how I don't have access to this forum of which you speak, and therefore could not know of this conversation, then AT THE VERY MOST you've proven me to be mistaken. How fucking dare you label me a liar on that?

Quote:You never gave a shit about providing clan support to Renegade until I posted that thread on XWIS. Go ahead and pretend that's untrue, I dare you.

Quote:I challenge Crimson to refute this. The plain fact is making a clan ladder didn't even enter her mind until I made a thread on XWIS

Challenge met. Accusation NOT withdrawn.

MaidenTy1 Hey Kettle, this is Pot. You are BLACK!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:26:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:22 Challenge met. Accusation NOT withdrawn. actually, idiot...

Quote:Seeing as how I don't have access to this forum of which you speak, and therefore could not know of this conversation, then AT THE VERY MOST you've proven me to be mistaken.

That's one accusation about which I was mistaken, and there you see I admit my error. It's really not a big deal for me to admit that... all that's changed is instead of not trying to create a clan ladder, it's merely taking you an unsufferably long time.

So far you've wrongly accused me of "lying", and wrongly accused me of hacking these forums, and you've withdrawn neither of these false accusations.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:33:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, but I can't say one way or another about you hacking or not hacking the forums until I can locate my logs from that time and figure out what you said to make me believe it was you. Maybe after I'm done waxing Blazer's ass crack I will dig them up and maybe "admit that I may have been mistaken". However, I'm pretty no one but you gives a shit.

And given that I don't know much about or participate in the CW site after a horrendous situation with the owners and creators of the site (at the time? I guess), I don't know who currently owns or runs it now and given your bubbly personality and childish insults, I'm also not really chomping at the bit and frantically opening a new tab to try and find out who is under the secret identities of "Renegade Admin 1" and "Renegade Admin 493"... if you're trying to keep their identities anonymous, then how do you expect me to figure out who the hell is in charge anyway?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 08:48:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:33 Sorry, but I can't say one way or another about you hacking or not hacking the forums until I can locate my logs from that time and figure out what you said to make me believe it was you.
Then I'll refresh your memory.

At the time, I was on reasonably good terms with three parties: yourself, Olddust, and Jschultz. (to elaborate: co-owner of NoN00bs with Olddust, server mod at N00bstories and a long-time n00bstory writer, and just a general buddy of Jschultz) Funny how things change, eh?

Jschultz rented a server from Olddust and it was called N00bstations. It became rather popular and you became somehow affronted at its similar name to "N00bstories". Kholdstare decided to ddos N00bstations repeatedly. At roughly the same time you demanded their change the name of their community. Timing, for all I know, could have been a complete coincidence so I'll not make any accusations in your direction about the ddos attacks.

Me being in the middle of it and having a measure of respect for all three parties (not Kholdstare, obviously) didn't really want there to be a big ugly ddos-war, so I decided to try to negotiate some kind of peaceful solution with myself as a kind of mediator. I went to Olddust first: he said fine. I went to Jschultz: he said fine. I went to you, and your response was de-modding me from N00bstories (hardly a big deal since I rarely if ever played in public servers at the time) and telling Jschultz that if you didn't get your way, you'd publicise the accusation that NoN00bs was responsible for "Renguard's recent downtime". Completely fabricated, of course, and you knew it. That wouldn't stop many people believing it if you said it was true, though, and I think you knew that too.

I thought: fuck this. Olddust is willing to play nice, Jschultz is willing to play nice, you aren't. I said goodbye to N00bstories and said something along the lines of "it's been fun, cya". I forget my

exact wording.

At the same time (and believe it or not, this was a COMPLETE coincidence) your forums got hacked. I pointed this out to you before I left the IRC conversation. Two entirely unrelated statements.

Your forums getting hacked was not me, nor done at my command, nor anything to do with me at all. I said so at the time.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:33 Maybe after I'm done waxing Blazer's ass crack I will dig them up and maybe "admit that I may have been mistaken". However, I'm pretty no one but you gives a shit.

Ah. So you need not withdraw a false accusation on the grounds that "you're the only one who gives a shit".

I'll be honest: it really means nothing to me that I was incorrectly accused of this. In fact most of CW thought it was hilarious, myself included. I merely bring it up as a parallel.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:33 And given that I don't know much about or participate in the CW site after a horrendous situation with the owners and creators of the site (at the time? I guess)

ah... you mean when WL guys photoshopped screenshots wrongly implicating Oompah (the creator of Clanwars.cc) of "fixing" [CW] and [WL]'s ladder points? Or perhaps when, again, WL guys decided that a good use of admin powers on these forums would be to steal [CW] members' accounts and use them for evil purposes?

Tell me, what's the worst anyone from [CW] ever did to you? Call you fat? It doesn't tip the scale.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:33 I don't know who currently owns or runs it now and given your bubbly personality and childish insults, I'm also not really chomping at the bit and frantically opening a new tab to try and find out who is under the secret identities of "Renegade Admin 1" and "Renegade Admin 493"... if you're trying to keep their identities anonymous, then how do you expect me to figure out who the hell is in charge anyway?

Does it matter who the admins are? I'll answer it for you: if they're doing a good job, no. They are doing a good job. If you want proof, make an anonymous account on Smak forums, say you're a new player thinking of joining the league but want to know if it's run maturely, and ask the community what they think of the admins.

And *I* am currently in charge of it. Now, you can say whatever you like about me personally, but I run the league with the utmost professionalism.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Kanezor](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 09:04:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jimbo27 wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 14:19 clanwars.cc is actually a 100% legitimate, working, intact website that serves every decent clan on renegade.

[NE] does not use clanwars.cc, and I daresay proud of it. Most of the clans on clanwars.cc have a lot of idiots. Those that aren't idiots have huge ego problems. Call it elitism, call it bias, call it what you want. I don't like the people on clanwars.cc, so I will do everything I can to keep my clan off of it.

Crimson is not the one to be blamed for how slowly things are being worked on within BHS, at all. Far from it, she's going against walls at many fronts and that is what's slowing things down.

As much as I would like to comment more, I can only foresee that arriving to a lot of flaming going multiple ways.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 09:13:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 01:48you'd publicise the accusation that NoN00bs was responsible for "Renguard's recent downtime". Completely fabricated, of course, and you knew it. That wouldn't stop many people believing it if you said it was true, though, and I think you knew that too.

I think you forgot to mention the huge DDoS attack that olddust sent at all of my servers, crippling the entire RenGuard network. I mean, in the interest of honesty and fairness. I didn't just pretend there was a huge outage. There actually WAS a huge outage, and it WAS caused by olddust... no one involved except him had the capacity to launch such a large attack at that time and it was common knowledge among a large segment of the community that he had quite a substantial botnet.

Not to rehash this old, old, shit but the "n00bstations" name was TOO similar to n00bstories. So similar, in fact, that I was fielding complaints from unsatisfied players on the "n00bstations" server who read the name quickly and assumed it was "n00bstories". I could not and would not tolerate someone making a server that had a very similar name to the community I had established, then to have it run by n00bs at the time who were pissing off players. And I think a lot of people can attest to the fact that jschultz liked to piss people off, at least back then and even as recently as the last few months. Maybe you guys didn't care, but you guys weren't the owners of "n00bstories" which the name strongly resembled. Though I had nothing to do with any alleged attacks, I did express to anyone who would listen my displeasure with their choice of name and I did ask for it to be changed and even offered to pay for a new domain registration.

Quote:At the same time (and believe it or not, this was a COMPLETE coincidence) your forums got hacked. I pointed this out to you before I left the IRC conversation. Two entirely unrelated statements.

Your forums getting hacked was not me, nor done at my command, nor anything to do with me at all. I said so at the time.

You might be talking about the time xptek and friends used a phpBB exploit and deleted stuff,

prompting the move to FUDForum. If so, I would imagine that the repeated acknowledgement by both myself and the attackers of who the attackers were should have been sufficient enough for you not to bring this pointless crap up again.

Quote:ah... you mean when WL guys photoshopped screenshots blah blah blah

Uh oh, I'm repeating myself again. My old disputes with the CW clan do not include any alleged faked screenshots. They are completely related to their whining and crying about the results of a 7-game matchup we had 4 years ago. What my moronic and childish ex-clanmates did at that time is not and wasn't condoned by me. I was satisfied to discuss the multi-game match on its merits, no screenshot fakery necessary.

Quote:Tell me, what's the worst anyone from [CW] ever did to you? Call you fat? It doesn't tip the scale.

Let's see, how about the last time I tried to share some information with that community, someone posted anonymously calling themselves "Crimson" and put words in my mouth, words that TO THIS DAY I still have to live up to even though I NEVER SAID THEM IN THE FIRST PLACE! But why should I be worried about that, it's just my reputation, that's all. And none of this glorified staff could even lift a finger to say "hey, we compared IPs and this anonymous person wasn't Crimson at all". To allow someone to be impersonated isn't really what I would call "professional". It is something that I never allow and threaten fake bodily harm for.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 09:13:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Most" clans? More like three or four, out of dozens?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 09:24:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:13I think you forgot to mention the huge DDoS attack that olddust sent at all of my servers, crippling the entire RenGuard network. I mean, in the interest of honesty and fairness. I didn't just pretend there was a huge outage. There actually WAS a huge outage, and it WAS caused by olddust... no one involved except him had the capacity to launch such a large attack at that time and it was common knowledge among a large segment of the community that he had quite a substantial botnet.
Is that why, when you said this to Jschtz, you said it didn't matter whether it was true or not?

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:13Not to rehash this old, old, shit but the "n00bstations" name was TOO similar to n00bstories. So similar, in fact, that I was fielding complaints from unsatisfied players on the "n00bstations" server who read the name quickly and assumed it was "n00bstories". I could not and would not tolerate someone making a server that

had a very similar name to the community I had established, then to have it run by n00bs at the time who were pissing off players. And I think a lot of people can attest to the fact that jschultz liked to piss people off, at least back then and even as recently as the last few months. Maybe you guys didn't care, but you guys weren't the owners of "n00bstories" which the name strongly resembled. Though I had nothing to do with any alleged attacks, I did express to anyone who would listen my displeasure with their choice of name and I did ask for it to be changed and even offered to pay for a new domain registration.

The fact that the names are similar (which was probably an accident anyway) is much, much worse than what Kholdstare did. You wouldn't condemn the actions of "your pet bulldog" (and I'm using your expression there, not mine), if you remember, yet you were ALL OVER someone having a superficially similar community name...

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:13 You might be talking about the time xptek and friends used a phpBB exploit and deleted stuff, prompting the move to FUDForum. If so, I would imagine that the repeated acknowledgement by both myself and the attackers of who the attackers were should have been sufficient enough for you not to bring this pointless crap up again.

When was this, because I sure as hell never saw it...

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:13 Uh oh, I'm repeating myself again. My old disputes with the CW clan do not include any alleged faked screenshots.

Alleged? There's no "alleged" about it. WL faked a screenshot which "proved" that Oomp posted a topic in the private forums saying he'd never let WL get ahead of CW on the ladder and if they shaved the points off gradually, no one would notice.

If I recall it took Bluuurrr about five minutes to find half a dozen things wrong with the screenshot (incorrect registration dates, member numbers, pixellation etc) and Stripey another ten minutes to find another dozen more mistakes WL made.

There is no "alleged" about this.

Let's not forget the "stealing CW players' accounts on this very forum" either. Either of those two things is sure as hell worse than anything I've ever done on this game.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:13 Let's see, how about the last time I tried to share some information with that community, someone posted anonymously calling themselves "Crimson" and put words in my mouth, words that TO THIS DAY I still have to live up to even though I NEVER SAID THEM IN THE FIRST PLACE! But why should I be worried about that, it's just my reputation, that's all. And none of this glorified staff could even lift a finger to say "hey, we compared IPs and this anonymous person wasn't Crimson at all". To allow someone to be impersonated isn't really what I would call "professional". It is something that I never allow and threaten fake bodily harm for.

Big deal admin-wise, most likely they missed the topic or figured it was a joke. I know it wasn't long after that thread that you couldn't post anonymously, so maybe that's why...

I think there's a little distinction between an admin not noticing that (or possibly not paying it too much heed) and an admin actually stealing someone's account, as happened to the CW guys on this very forum.

That topic is long gone by the way, those forums aren't even viewable anymore... but would you like me to make a sticky thread on Smak Forums explaining that it wasn't you? I don't really see what else you expect me to do...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 09:49:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't see how it would matter. At any rate, I found the thread:

http://136.142.210.78/cw/ubb/cgi/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=get_topi c;f=14;t=002154;p=1

The first post anonymous was mine. All the rest of the anonymous "Crimson"s were not me and an IP check would confirm it. After the initial post I found my login and posted with my real account which is quite old (May 10, 2002).

However, everything the fake "Crimson" said in that thread, except the first post, are words that I am still being held to, to this day.

And if you want to see an example of what happened last time I tried to use the CW site when I was in a clan, check out this thread where, to this date, my clan was never credited with the wins.

http://136.142.210.78/cw/ubb/cgi/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=get_topi c;f=14;t=000902;p=1

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 09:59:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 10:49 I don't see how it would matter. At any rate, I found the thread:

http://136.142.210.78/cw/ubb/cgi/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=get_topi c;f=14;t=002154;p=1

The first post anonymous was mine. All the rest of the anonymous "Crimson"s were not me and an IP check would confirm it.

Yeah. That is true. I believe I've already publicly said this.

Some idiot impersonated you, and that means Clanwars.cc is not and never will be capable of running a clan ladder... please.

Every time I see someone say they aren't using Clanwars.cc because blah blah blah... it's NEVER because of a flaw in the system or an incompetent admin. I love that. It's always something that happened two years ago, or one random idiot who doesn't represent the site starting a flamewar somewhere...

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 10:49: However, everything the fake "Crimson" said in that thread, except the first post, are words that I am still being held to, to this day. no, you aren't... I held them to you ONCE because I didn't know it was an impersonator. Your first post was anonymous, what do you expect? Then you told me it was an impersonator, and quite simply, I believed you without bothering to look for proof. I don't recall anyone else bringing them up... for a long, long time.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 10:49: And if you want to see an example of what happened last time I tried to use the CW site when I was in a clan, check out this thread where, to this date, my clan was never credited with the wins. Stop me if I'm wrong but didn't the Renegade Admin give you the points?

That was the old ladder on auto, and an auto league is never perfect. Even our new BFME2 auto league (the official one we run for EA, in the most definite sense of the word "official") isn't perfect, sometimes games don't report because gamespy are crap, no offence to them. That's the nature of the beast for an auto league, and it's why we changed to a manual league a year ago (the fact the automatic clan pages kept dying was also part of it). FYI, this was about a month or two before I first became a ren-admin. Since the change to manual league, there has never been a problem of that nature. Game data has never, ever been lost in the manner auto league data could be, and (this I promise you:) will be for your project.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 10:06:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

by the way, the funniest thing I've seen all week has now been replaced by a quote from Blurr from that thread.

Quote: Coincidentally, if you check the westwood standings right now, you'll find this for the top 4.

1. W3NDYS 119 wins / 46 losses 10,038
2. +DOA+ 52 wins / 5 losses 10,022
3. **NS** 278 wins / 98 losses 10,015
4. -WL- 3 wins / 1 losses 10,014

I guess it's easy to see why WL would hate the clanwars ladder so much, I mean how can you justify being 3-1 and being in 4th place, JUST ONE POINT behind a clan that is 278 - 98. ^^ crap like that is why oompah made Clanwars.cc in the first place. And there are still people here who say the Westwood ladder was worth something...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 10:12:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

All I'm trying to say is that given these experiences, you wonder why my impression of CW.cc is

so piss poor. I don't know if we ever got the points and quite frankly I don't care. The clan doesn't even exist anymore.

The only way data would be lost is if the FDS failed to send it at the end of the map. We are not using Westwood's software, we are using software written by us (Silent Kane mostly). Adding in a way to manually report a match that was missed wouldn't be a huge stretch to add.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 13:05:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kanezor wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:04Jimbo27 wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 14:19clanwars.cc is actually a 100% legitimate, working, intact website that serves every decent clan on renegade.

[NE] does not use clanwars.cc, and I daresay proud of it. Most of the clans on clanwars.cc have a lot of idiots. Those that aren't idiots have huge ego problems. Call it elitism, call it bias, call it what you want. I don't like the people on clanwars.cc, so I will do everything I can to keep my clan off of it.

Crimson is not the one to be blamed for how slowly things are being worked on within BHS, at all. Far from it, she's going against walls at many fronts and that is what's slowing things down.

Is it me or BHS does fuck all? Okay, good job on 1.03 and core patches. Whats happened 1.04? You can promise these ladders but if something doesn't happen soon Renegade will be ghost game.

GET 1.04 OUT FOR FUCKS SAKE. The cheating accusation culture what circulates around Renegade is killing the game faster than any amounts of idiots can.

Oh back to the quote. So, what if you don't like someone at work, do you quit? Grow up.

So what if they are idiots, i bet there are always a number of idiots on a server at any given time. They haven't got huge egos, they are good players and they can back it up.

Besides, do NE actually play clans anymore? Or do they think all other clans cheat?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 13:17:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 15:05Kanezor wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:04Jimbo27 wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 14:19clanwars.cc is actually a 100% legitimate, working, intact website that serves every decent clan on renegade.

[NE] does not use clanwars.cc, and I daresay proud of it. Most of the clans on clanwars.cc have a

lot of idiots. Those that aren't idiots have huge ego problems. Call it elitism, call it bias, call it what you want. I don't like the people on clanwars.cc, so I will do everything I can to keep my clan off of it.

Crimson is not the one to be blamed for how slowly things are being worked on within BHS, at all. Far from it, she's going against walls at many fronts and that is what's slowing things down.

Is it me or BHS does fuck all? Okay, good job on 1.03 and core patches. Whats happened 1.04? You can promise these ladders but if something doesn't happen soon Renegade will be ghost game.

GET 1.04 OUT FOR FUCKS SAKE. The cheating accusation culture what circulates around Renegade is killing the game faster than any amounts of idiots can.

Oh back to the quote. So, what if you don't like someone at work, do you quit? Grow up.

So what if they are idiots, i bet there are always a number of idiots on a server at any given time. They haven't got huge egos, they are good players and they can back it up.

Besides, do NE actually play clans anymore? Or do they think all other clans cheat? Remind me again of what you did for Renegade? I must have missed it.

As to Maiden and Crimson: Maiden, it seems Crimson offered you a possibility to help out on the ladder. Seems like she is asking you who could consult. Why should she then give names? You know best who could be suitable at CW.cc to do this, not?

As to all these old stories, it's totally irrelevant now. It just shows how stubborn both of you are.

TBH Maiden, maybe it's time to stop arguing and thinking everyone that comes close to you just wants to bash you or your ladder. Grab the opportunity and make it something good ffs.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Berkut](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 16:14:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Arguing with the Administrator. Has this ever worked?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 16:39:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:12All I'm trying to say is that given these experiences, you wonder why my impression of CW.cc is so piss poor.

MaidenTy1 wrote:Every time I see someone say they aren't using Clanwars.cc because blah blah blah... it's NEVER because of a flaw in the system or an incompetent admin. I love that. It's always something that happened two years ago, or one random idiot who doesn't represent the site starting a flamewar somewhere...

Read that again and see if it clicks. Just remember that unlike you, I don't publicly post misinformation about any of your projects, as you've done about mine on innumerable occasions, because of -a- something that happened years ago, or -b- the fact a minority of players who use it are infantile.

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 11:12I don't know if we ever got the points and quite frankly I don't care. The clan doesn't even exist anymore.
yet you publicly use it as an example of you not getting the points for a game?

MaidenTy1 wrote:As to Maiden and Crimson: Maiden, it seems Crimson offered you a possibility to help out on the ladder. Seems like she is asking you who could consult. Why should she then give names? You know best who could be suitable at CW.cc to do this, not?
I don't think you understand very well.

You remember the Strike-Team thread, don't you? I'm sure you have at least a vague recollection since you posted a few times in it. When I made the proposal to XWIS, Crimson's first reply was something like "go look at their forums and see if they're capable of running a league".

That is the biggest pile of bullshit imaginable. Since the league was re-launched I daresay you could look as hard as you like and not find a single instance of unprofessionalism or bad management from the people actually running the show, the ADMINS. But omfg, there is a minority of idiots on the forums. I say again: there is a minority of idiots who use Renguard, there is a minority of idiots who use Renegadeforums.com, there is a minority of idiots who use Gamespy, there is a minority of idiots who use XWIS. And apprently unlike Crimson, I'm actually bright enough to not criticise those projects unless it's the ADMINS who fuck things up.

MaidenTy1 wrote:TBH Maiden, maybe it's time to stop arguing and thinking everyone that comes close to you just wants to bash you or your ladder. Grab the opportunity and make it something good ffs.
in case you haven't noticed, I've been running the league for some considerable time now.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 18:34:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was talking about the x-wis ladder. There lays your opportunity to work with Crimson at something that would be good for every ren player AND clanwars.cc (auto report games, anyone?).

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 18:55:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I already do work for something which is (potentially) good for every ren player and clanwars.cc. I've been working at it for about a year. It's not my fault people continually post misinformation, and in some cases outright lies, about it... and never retract any of them when I prove them to be absolutely dead wrong. God knows I've done that often enough...

...as for auto-report games, when we changed to manual last year there were about three or four dozen advantages (of manual as opposed to auto) and one disadvantage which was incorrect anyway, so forgive me if I don't see the big deal of going auto. Auto isn't better, it just SEEMS easier (and in actuality, it isn't)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 19:52:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 15:17

Remind me again of what you did for Renegade? I must have missed it.

I do nothing. But then again, i don't say i'm going to do something, when its quite clear i'm not going to.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 21:14:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kanezor wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 05:04 Jimbo27 wrote on Wed, 13 September 2006 14:19 clanwars.cc is actually a 100% legitimate, working, intact website that serves every decent clan on renegade.

[NE] does not use clanwars.cc, and I daresay proud of it. Most of the clans on clanwars.cc have a lot of idiots. Those that aren't idiots have huge ego problems. Call it elitism, call it bias, call it what you want. I don't like the people on clanwars.cc, so I will do everything I can to keep my clan off of it.

Crimson is not the one to be blamed for how slowly things are being worked on within BHS, at all. Far from it, she's going against walls at many fronts and that is what's slowing things down.

As much as I would like to comment more, I can only foresee that arriving to a lot of flaming going multiple ways.

If your clan is the same [NE] from gamespy that used to play [gse], no offense but you guys sucked. Seriously, you sucked. So did every other clan on GSA except #GW and gse.

You, like so many other people, simply call CW.cc people "idiots" because they are vastly better than you. That is the plain and simple truth.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Matix](#) on Thu, 14 Sep 2006 21:55:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[NE] & [BA] is the worst clans ever made in history of Renegade. Why? I have my reasons. But I must state, they really do suck.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 00:49:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 17:14
You, like so many other people, simply call CW.cc people "idiots" because they are vastly better than you. That is the plain and simple truth.

Hi, my cock's bigger than yours.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Kholdstare](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 01:09:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:48Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:33Sorry, but I can't say one way or another about you hacking or not hacking the forums until I can locate my logs from that time and figure out what you said to make me believe it was you. Then I'll refresh your memory.

At the time, I was on reasonably good terms with three parties: yourself, Olddust, and Jschtz. (to elaborate: co-owner of NoN00bs with Olddust, server mod at N00bstories and a long-time n00bstory writer, and just a general buddy of Jschtz) Funny how things change, eh?

Jschtz rented a server from Olddust and it was called N00bstations. It became rather popular and you became somehow affronted at its similar name to "N00bstories". Kholdstare decided to ddos N00bstations repeatedly. At roughly the same time you demanded their change the name of their community. Timing, for all I know, could have been a complete coincidence so I'll not make any accusations in your direction about the ddos attacks.

Me being in the middle of it and having a measure of respect for all three parties (not Kholdstare, obviously) didn't really want there to be a big ugly ddos-war, so I decided to try to negotiate some kind of peaceful solution with myself as a kind of mediator. I went to Olddust first: he said fine. I went to Jschtz: he said fine. I went to you, and your response was de-modding me from N00bstories (hardly a big deal since I rarely if ever played in public servers at the time) and telling

Jschultz that if you didn't get your way, you'd publicise the accusation that NoN00bs was responsible for "Renguard's recent downtime". Completely fabricated, of course, and you knew it. That wouldn't stop many people believing it if you said it was true, though, and I think you knew that too.

Hi spoony, still have a pole up your ass I see.

Ahh yes, Jschultz. Thief and overall fuckwit. After his (pathetic) attempts to attack the IRC network he resorted to attacking crimson's renegade servers. I thought he would like a taste of his own medicine. this was done on behalf of no one but myself. I do not like people who fuck with networks I frequent, period. Also note that a known (now patched) FDS exploit != DDoS.

EDIT: Yes I know this is a late reply, no I don't care what you have to say about it.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 01:18:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Comrade wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 20:49Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 17:14

You, like so many other people, simply call CW.cc people "idiots" because they are vastly better than you. That is the plain and simple truth.

Hi, my cock's bigger than yours.
No way, I've got 26 inches nP.

Go away, geek.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 02:13:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 18:18No way, I've got 2.6 inches nP.

Go away, geek.

[Edit: Corrected. You forgot the decimal point.]

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 03:07:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have to give spoony credit, he's not resorting to retarded personal attacks like "haha its fatzilla disregard anything she says"

kudos, spoony. although i'm really not caring about your arguments except as after-work entertainment

From what I recall, Crimson's tried taking over this game to help it.. I wouldn't say she didn't care about clan ladders, more like it was something she couldn't do anything about

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 03:35:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 22:13Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 18:18No way, I've got 2.6 inches nP.

Go away, geek.

[Edit: Corrected. You forgot the decimal point.]

Oh snap.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 04:25:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 20:07I have to give spoony credit, he's not resorting to retarded personal attacks like "haha its fatzilla disregard anything she says"

What about "arrogant bitch" and "idiot"?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [FMhalo](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 04:47:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SPOONY U FUCKING NOOB RETARD STOP MAKING FLAMEWAR HOLY SHIT U ALWAYS ANGRY AND ALWAYS INSULTING OTHERS AND UR ALWAYS WRONG WHILE U THINK U R ALWAYS RITE AND U HAVE BAD HYGENE AND BAD TEETH AND U R A NOOB ON UR LIFE IF I EVER SEE U IN REAL LIFE I WILL KICK U IN THE NUTS (ASSUMING U HAVE ANY) AND SPIT IN UR LEFT EYE WHILE DRINKING LEMONADE AND PLAYING RENEGADE.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Kholdstare](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 05:18:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

FMhalo wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:47SPOONY U FUCKING NOOB RETARD STOP MAKING FLAMEWAR HOLY SHIT U ALWAYS ANGRY AND ALWAYS INSULTING OTHERS AND UR ALWAYS WRONG WHILE U THINK U R ALWAYS RITE AND U HAVE BAD HYGENE AND BAD TEETH AND U R A NOOB ON UR LIFE IF I EVER SEE U IN REAL LIFE I WILL KICK U IN THE NUTS (ASSUMING U HAVE ANY) AND SPIT IN UR LEFT EYE WHILE DRINKING LEMONADE AND PLAYING RENEGADE.

Rage much?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 05:22:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Halo has spoken!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 05:29:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

FMhalo wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:47SPOONY U FUCKING NOOB RETARD STOP MAKING FLAMEWAR HOLY SHIT U ALWAYS ANGRY AND ALWAYS INSULTING OTHERS AND UR ALWAYS WRONG WHILE U THINK U R ALWAYS RITE AND U HAVE BAD HYGENE AND BAD TEETH AND U R A NOOB ON UR LIFE IF I EVER SEE U IN REAL LIFE I WILL KICK U IN THE NUTS (ASSUMING U HAVE ANY) AND SPIT IN UR LEFT EYE WHILE DRINKING LEMONADE AND PLAYING RENEGADE.

where is djlaptop when you need him?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 05:41:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 07:29FMhalo wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:47SPOONY U FUCKING NOOB RETARD STOP MAKING FLAMEWAR HOLY SHIT U ALWAYS ANGRY AND ALWAYS INSULTING OTHERS AND UR ALWAYS WRONG WHILE U THINK U R ALWAYS RITE AND U HAVE BAD HYGENE AND BAD TEETH AND U R A NOOB ON UR LIFE IF I EVER SEE U IN REAL LIFE I WILL KICK U IN THE NUTS (ASSUMING U HAVE ANY) AND SPIT IN UR LEFT EYE WHILE DRINKING LEMONADE AND PLAYING RENEGADE.

where is djlaptop when you need him?
ROFL the thought alone makes me laugh

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 05:52:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NVM LOL.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoonny](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 06:54:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kholdstare wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 20:09Hi spoonny, still have a pole up your ass I see. Hi Kholdstare, do you still ddos people at will in N00bstories servers every time you get the rules wrong as a moderator and someone questions you for it? Or have you given that up and found a real hobby?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoonny](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 06:56:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:25Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 20:07I have to give spoonny credit, he's not resorting to retarded personal attacks like "haha its fatzilla disregard anything she says"

What about "arrogant bitch" and "idiot"?
Both of which were, wait for it, justified... unlike almost everything you've said about me and my clan league.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 07:59:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:56Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:25
What about "arrogant bitch" and "idiot"?
Both of which were, wait for it, justified.

<sarcasm=ON>Oh, of COURSE! My mistake! Everything you do is so noble and pure and right... you are never wrong about anything!</sarcasm>

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [snipler](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 10:56:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 01:56Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 23:25Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 20:07I have to give spoony credit, he's not resorting to retarded personal attacks like "haha its fatzilla disregard anything she says"

What about "arrogant bitch" and "idiot"?

Both of which were, wait for it, justified... unlike almost everything you've said about me and my clan league.

GTFO, you fail.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 12:09:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's still bad to judge a community on a few morons inside of it. People like troopr, that one guy who said "dicko" (he was funny, albeit, but still stupid), and plenty of others I don't care to get in an internet fight with. There's so much drama in renegade I think it sort of needs its "fill" of it every once in awhile. Whether it's reborn bashing or some guy ddosing servers for his own server to get more people, etc. etc. I don't know a specific time when there really wasn't something "huge" going on. Something always manages to be found.

Pretty much why I'm not really interested in renegade anymore. Although I still am a little confounded when I find servers in CSS that disallow swearing.

Recap on topic: Clanwars.cc works fine

There are idiots on it (as there are everywhere), so it's bad to judge them for it. I highly doubt they're going to ban every idiot they find, because it's not like every idiot here gets banned, as long as they keep it somewhat within reason. One just has to look back at the recent Ack thread to see that people can be just as vicious as the next.

You really also can't bring out something that happened some 2 or more years ago. As far as I would know, spoony wouldn't do anything so outrageously stupid as attacking the forums, and I would be sure that Crimson didn't have a part in Khold's attack on jschultz's server. Both have done worked for something for the community. The truth really is, (and as much as I know) since Spoony has run clanwars.cc, it's ran perfectly fine,(whatever flaws that needed fixing, got fixed quite fast) and upheld its rules. If you think there's a flaw in the system, then what is it? I'm positive Spoony would look at it and consider whatever problems you think there are.

I just think people are more interested in a RG 1.04 than a clan ladder, especially when a clan ladder is already available. It's been nearing or surpassed a year now since RG 1.04 and as far as the renegade community knows, there's no light at the end of THAT tunnel. I'm not saying you should hurry, but shouldn't you allocate your resources towards RG instead of pointlessly towards

a clan ladder?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 15:24:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

snipler wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 05:56GTFO, you fail.
MaidenTy1 wrote:Reminds me of Snipler or whatever the hell his/her name was, because he/she still hasn't had the common decency to admit he/she was absolutely dead wrong about every feeble point he/she attempted to make.
^^

You remind me of Kholdstare tbh, only when he starts an argument and loses it, he pulls out the botnet.

Crimson wrote:<sarcasm=ON>Oh, of COURSE! My mistake! Everything you do is so noble and pure and right... you are never wrong about anything!</sarcasm>
A flawless argument if ever there was one... let's see, my "arrogant bitch" statement is justified because so far we've had two public accusations from yourself which I've disproved, and yet you haven't retracted in the slightest.

The difference between you and I is if I'm wrong about something, at least I've got the spine to admit it... something that you've now completely failed to do twice so far in this thread, and yet you wrongly (again...) say I do the same by failing to withdraw a statement I DID WITHDRAW IN THIS VERY THREAD (that covers the "idiot" part, although "idiotic hypocrite" might sum things up better.

Speaking of hypocrisy, I'll repeat something you continue to sidestep: after years and years, you STILL say Clanwars.cc is incapable of running a ren league because you posted anonymously on Smak forums, someone else did the same, you're amazed that people can't tell the two apart, and the admins thought it was more of a joke than something that needed clamping down on. When you compare that to the admins stealing CW players' accounts on these forums right here BY YOURSELF AND THE REST OF WL, are you so fucking stupid that you think the Smak Forum impersonation comes within a million miles of being so pathetic?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [futura83](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 15:26:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if anything, MaidenTy1, YOU are the arrogant one; you strut 'round these forums acting like you are better than everyone else...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 15:29:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sniper_De7 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 14:09The truth really is, (and as much as I know) since Spoony has run clanwars.cc, it's ran perfectly fine,(whatever flaws that needed fixing, got fixed quite fast) and upheld its rules. If you think there's a flaw in the system, then what is it? I'm positive Spoony would look at it and consider whatever problems you think there are.

^^ I've always made it perfectly clear to the Clanwars.cc community that they're totally welcome to criticise anything the admins do or voice their opinions about flaws in the system. That happens once in a blue moon anyway, and when something does need fixing, I can't remember the last time it took me longer than a day or two to do so.

Shall we compare that to your timeline for a moment? You announced the public Renguard bypass, the one which effectively makes Renguard completely useless, in January or February I think? And we've had no tangible updates on when 1.04 comes out. Hell, I asked whether 1.04 will block radar hack - you said it would - before even that, and we still have no assurance that it's on the horizon.

Again, this clan ladder of yours. By your earlier posts you started setting things in motion in February 2006. Well, the official ladder stopped working (at least, COMPLETELY stopped working instead of just working 15% of the time) in October 2005. You estimate you'll be finished round about January 2007.

But in your own words,
Crimson wrote:there's a thing called priorities

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 15:30:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 10:26if anything, MaidenTy1, YOU are the arrogant one; you strut 'round these forums acting like you are better than everyone else...

No, actually, no I don't... and even if I did, which I don't, it doesn't compare to what I'm referring to about Crimson.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 16:12:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 17:26if anything, MaidenTy1, YOU are the arrogant one; you strut 'round these forums acting like you are better than everyone else...

He has a right to defend himself and his league from pathetic arguments don't you think?

Crimson, if you and your BHS buddies can do better...lets see it. but if its going to take anywhere near as long as your taking on RG 1.04, don't bother as there will be very few players left.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 16:26:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 11:12Crimson, if you and your BHS buddies can do better...lets see it. but if its going to take anywhere near as long as your taking on RG 1.04, don't bother as there will be very few players left.

MaidenTy1 wrote:Again, this clan ladder of yours. By your earlier posts you started setting things in motion in February 2006. Well, the official ladder stopped working (at least, COMPLETELY stopped working instead of just working 15% of the time) in October 2005. You estimate you'll be finished round about January 2007.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 16:28:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 18:26Acey#GW wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 11:12Crimson, if you and your BHS buddies can do better...lets see it. but if its going to take anywhere near as long as your taking on RG 1.04, don't bother as there will be very few players left.

MaidenTy1 wrote:Again, this clan ladder of yours. By your earlier posts you started setting things in motion in February 2006. Well, the official ladder stopped working (at least, COMPLETELY stopped working instead of just working 15% of the time) in October 2005. You estimate you'll be finished round about January 2007.

Don't bother then lol.

I'll have had enough of ren by then.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:28:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

FMhalo wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 06:47SPOONY U FUCKING NOOB RETARD STOP MAKING FLAMEWAR HOLY SHIT U ALWAYS ANGRY AND ALWAYS INSULTING OTHERS AND UR ALWAYS WRONG WHILE U THINK U R ALWAYS RITE AND U HAVE BAD HYGENE AND BAD TEETH AND U R A NOOB ON UR LIFE IF I EVER SEE U IN REAL LIFE I WILL KICK U IN THE NUTS (ASSUMING U HAVE ANY) AND SPIT IN UR LEFT EYE WHILE DRINKING LEMONADE AND PLAYING RENEGADE.

Let's see u cme in altserv, ul b banned cause ima mod and so is punko and hell hackur comp and shut ur hard drive off so STFU

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 18:59:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 09:28Don't bother then lol.

I'll have had enough of ren by then.

Yes, because you're the only one that plays Renegade. Once you leave, there will be no point in going on!

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Jimbo27](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 19:10:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 22:13Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 18:18No way, I've got 2.6 inches nP.

Go away, geek.

[Edit: Corrected. You forgot the decimal point.]

Wow, you're pretty cool crimson. Grow up?

I made two simple posts defending a website that is extremely respectable and you're attacking me personally? Not what I expected from a "community leader".

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 19:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stfu jimbo, your opinion is worthless because comrade and crimson say your penis is too small, there's no conceivable way either of them could know that but that's besides the point

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [xptek](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 19:40:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 11:24
You remind me of Kholdstare tbh, only when he starts an argument and loses it, he pulls out the botnet.

I agree with you on most things posted in this topic (more power to clanwars.cc!), but we both know that's not true.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Kholdstare](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 19:52:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahh still pathetic as ever, spoony. Keep trying though, if you can't hold up a valid argument against Crimson there's always someone else, right?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 20:42:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 14:40 I agree with you on most things posted in this topic (more power to clanwars.cc!), but we both know that's not true. I don't follow.

Kholdstare wrote: Ahh still pathetic as ever, spoony. You calling someone else pathetic is laughable.

MaidenTy1 wrote: Hi Kholdstare, do you still ddos people at will in N00bstories servers every time you get the rules wrong as a moderator and someone questions you for it? Or have you given that up and found a real hobby?

You might just be the single most pathetic, wretched little geek who's ever set foot on this game, with the possible exception of Cyberpunk and that Eminem dork from GSA.

I suspect that if anyone else ddosed players at will for no reason as much as you do, Crimson would see to it that they were banned from XWIS or something. But you're her "pet bulldog" in her own words, so it's different, isn't it?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [xptek](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 20:45:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're still flaming instead of proving or negating an actual argument.

What evidence do you have that Kholdstare has DDoSed anything? Ever?

And no, "rumors on the internets" really doesn't count.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 20:47:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 20:59 Acey#GW wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 09:28 Don't bother then lol.

I'll have had enough of ren by then.

Yes, because you're the only one that plays Renegade. Once you leave, there will be no point in going on!

Ooo lovely. Nice to see i'm rated that highly.

Whats is your problem with cw.cc?

Is it this hate for Spoony? lets face it, you probably don't even know a 10th of the players on there.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 20:51:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xptek wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 16:45 You're still flaming instead of proving or negating an actual argument.

What evidence do you have that Kholdstare has DDoSed anything? Ever?

And no, "rumors on the internets" really doesn't count.

Do you have any idea how many times I've been playing in N00bstories servers, when KholdX would start an argument (never the other way around), said something like "you're gonna have connection problems if you keep this up" whenever anyone said something back, then the other person left the game? Then people say "oh, he left" and KholdX's reply would be "looks that way, don't it"?

Then people complain about it (REPEATEDLY) in the N00bstories private admin forums (yes, I've read these considering I used to be a server mod there) and Crimson would refuse to do anything about it, in fact going as far as to defend him, because, and I approximately quote:

"Khold's my pet bulldog... when people fuck with me, he fucks with them"

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 20:58:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can't help but wonder what my punishment will be for saying a little too much here. I suspect either a ban or a ddos attack.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:03:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[01-10|02:36:42] <FMzunnie> can you leave the fanmaps channel please most of the FM

'ops/admins/mods' dont seem to want you in the channel
[01-10|02:40:19] <Kholdstare> what?
[01-10|02:40:44] <FMzunnies> because of the bullshit that happened they dont want you in the fanmaps channel
[01-10|02:40:56] <Kholdstare> its your channel is it not?
[01-10|02:41:51] <FMzunnies> its fanmaps channel... people that pay/support the server most of them dont want you in
[01-10|02:43:14] <Kholdstare> most of whom being?
[01-10|02:43:24] <FMzunnies> the admins of the server
[01-10|02:43:30] <Kholdstare> names zunnies
[01-10|02:43:43] <FMzunnies> i am not giving names , thats not the point
[01-10|02:43:47] <Kholdstare> besides soul who will be leaving this network soon enough
[01-10|02:44:18] <FMzunnies> are you really that big a dork then? i figured if i just asked it wouldnt cause no problems for anyone
[01-10|02:44:27] <FMzunnies> the most polite way
[01-10|02:44:30] <Kholdstare> exscuse me?
[01-10|02:44:54] <Kholdstare> ya know on second thought mabye I will close down the channels in thier entirety and start q-lining nicknames
[01-10|02:47:43] <Kholdstare> All FM channels then?
[01-10|02:47:54] <FMzunnies> just the fanmaps1....
[01-10|02:48:00] <Kholdstare> why 1
[01-10|02:48:07] <FMzunnies> because thats the admin channel
[01-10|02:48:19] <Kholdstare> which I have proper access to
[01-10|02:52:11] <Kholdstare> Fuck it, I'll leave all the channels
[01-10|02:52:33] <Kholdstare> know this: If I find out any of them continue to talk shit fanmaps will suffer the direct consequences
[01-10|02:53:07] <Kholdstare> adtionally they break even the slightest rule on this network they go poof
[01-10|02:53:13] <FMzunnies> thanks
[01-10|02:53:27] <FMzunnies> nice to know
[01-10|02:55:29] <Kholdstare> To make it simple, They talk shit, your network suffers.
[01-10|02:56:33] <FMzunnies> Your saying?
[01-10|02:56:59] <Kholdstare> You've already been informed, and you know exactly what I mean.
[01-10|02:57:06] <FMzunnies> No i dont
[01-10|02:57:15] <Kholdstare> How can you not
[01-10|02:57:36] <FMzunnies> You gonna scan the server because i asked you to leave the channels?
[01-10|02:57:38] <Kholdstare> IF I find any of them, posting any more shit about me, your network will suffer the consequences.
[01-10|02:57:42] <FMzunnies> You gonna scan the server because i asked you to leave the channels?
[01-10|02:57:49] <Kholdstare> No, i'm tired of dealing with stupid fucks
[01-10|02:58:01] <FMzunnies> Im not stupid...
[01-10|02:58:04] <Kholdstare> foriegn stupid fucks who haven't been around too long to know who they are fucking with
[01-10|02:58:18] <Kholdstare> Im tired of it, and you fucktards caught me on a BAD FUCKING WEEK
[01-10|02:58:34] <Kholdstare> TO PUT IT SIMPLY: THEY TALK SHIT, FANMAPS.NET IN ITS

ENTIRETY DIES

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [futura83](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:13:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 15:58 I can't help but wonder what my punishment will be for saying a little too much here. I suspect either a ban or a ddos attack.

such a retarded post is implying that someone from here will do that!

you're so arrogant

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:15:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 23:13 MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 15:58 I can't help but wonder what my punishment will be for saying a little too much here. I suspect either a ban or a ddos attack.

such a retarded post is implying that someone from here will do that!

you're so arrogant
look at the post above you.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:18:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the17doctor wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 16:13 MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 15:58 I can't help but wonder what my punishment will be for saying a little too much here. I suspect either a ban or a ddos attack.

such a retarded post is implying that someone from here will do that!

you're so arrogant
I fail to see how wondering whether Kholdstare will ddos someone for making him angry constitutes "arrogance", when the plain truth is he has done exactly that on innumerable occasions.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [futura83](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:19:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 16:18the17doctor wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 16:13MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 15:58I can't help but wonder what my punishment will be for saying a little too much here. I suspect either a ban or a ddos attack.

such a retarded post is implying that someone from here will do that!

you're so arrogant

I fail to see how wondering whether Kholdstare will ddos someone for making him angry constitutes "arrogance", when the plain truth is he has done exactly that on innumerable occasions.

where is the evidence?

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:23:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 23:15the17doctor wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 23:13MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 15:58I can't help but wonder what my punishment will be for saying a little too much here. I suspect either a ban or a ddos attack.

such a retarded post is implying that someone from here will do that!

you're so arrogant

look at the post above you.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [futura83](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 21:27:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

okay, i have read that, and i admit; the story sounds a bit more beleivable.

but no proof he actually went ahead and did it?

(well, none that i have seen)

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 22:35:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jimbo27 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 12:10Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 22:13Jimbo27 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 18:18No way, I've got 2.6 inches nP.

Go away, geek.

[Edit: Corrected. You forgot the decimal point.]

Wow, you're pretty cool crimson. Grow up?

I made two simple posts defending a website that is extremely respectable and you're attacking me personally? Not what I expected from a "community leader".

Sorry, I was just trying to blend in with the crowd and play "childish insult game". My bad.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [xptek](#) on Fri, 15 Sep 2006 22:46:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 17:03Really old public logs.

I'm missing the part where the massive botnet shows up and scares poor zunnie.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 05:44:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 00:25Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 20:07I have to give spoony credit, he's not resorting to retarded personal attacks like "haha its fatzilla disregard anything she says"

What about "arrogant bitch" and "idiot"?

didn't read that part. definitely don't support him there

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Kholdstare](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 06:19:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Out of context logs ftw! Keep it up spoony, you might make yourself look less stupid at some point.

EDIT:

So far your arguments have been nothing but insults and.. more insults.

"Waaaah, WL did <action> XX years ago, Crimson is bad"
"Waaaah, Crimson said <whatever> she's <derogatory term>"
"Waaaah, Kholdstare is a packet kiddie even though I have no proof that the logs I posted aren't completely false or edited"
etc etc.. Honestly, if you CANNOT support any of your arguments, you shouldn't post at all.

EDIT2: Spell check, it's a nice feature.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:39:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kholdstare wrote on Sat, 16 September 2006 02:19: Out of context logs ftw! Keep it up spoony, you might make yourself look less stupid at some point.

And you might make yourself something other than the third most pathetic, wretched geek who ever set foot on this game (after Cyberpunk and EMINEM). Actually, I doubt that will ever happen.

The Zunnie/FanMaps logs were just an afterthought. I'm basing my you're-a-pathetic-script-kiddie-bitch based on your constant actions in the N00bstories servers, and you know damn well I'm telling the truth about those, as does every other N00bstories moderator at the time (often they were on the receiving end of your temper tantrums), as does Crimson.

Do you deny this?

MaidenTy1 wrote: Hi Kholdstare, do you still ddos people at will in N00bstories servers every time you get the rules wrong as a moderator and someone questions you for it?

Do you deny this?

MaidenTy1 wrote: Do you have any idea how many times I've been playing in N00bstories servers, when KholdX would start an argument (never the other way around), said something like "you're gonna have connection problems if you keep this up" whenever anyone said something back, then the other person left the game? Then people say "oh, he left" and KholdX's reply would be "looks that way, don't it"?

Crimson, do you deny saying this?

MaidenTy1 wrote: Then people complain about it (REPEATEDLY) in the N00bstories private admin forums (yes, I've read these considering I used to be a server mod there) and Crimson would refuse to do anything about it, in fact going as far as to defend him, because, and I approximately quote:

"Khold's my pet bulldog... when people fuck with me, he fucks with them"

Kholdstare wrote on Sat, 16 September 2006 02:19: So far your arguments have been nothing but insults and.. more insults.

"Waaaah, WL did <action> XX years ago, Crimson is bad"

"Waaaah, Crimson said <whatever> she's <derogatory term>"

Actually, moron, Crimson has been posting misinformation, false accusations and outright lies about myself and my league for a hell of a long time. I've proved her wrong again and again - has she retracted any of her false accusations? Of course she hasn't. She hasn't even had the common decency to stop posting on the subject.

I have never gone out of my way to flame any of her projects, to the ridiculous extent she has with mine. I've never done anything wrong to her at all. The "WL did <action> XX years ago, Crimson is bad" angle of yours is extremely ironic, considering that after crimson's first four or five attempts to flame Clanwars.cc were completely ripped to shreds, it became "someone impersonated me on the forums three years ago and that proves Spooky is incapable of running a clan ladder, even though he didn't have Renegade at the time let alone being in charge of the league". Tbh if she's going to say something as laughable as that, I think it's fair to bring up WL STEALING AND HIJACKING [CW] MEMBERS' ACCOUNTS ON THIS FORUM, AT A TIME WHEN CRIMSON WAS IN CHARGE OF THESE FORUMS AND THEREFORE ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF HER ADMINS. I think that's a little worse than some random idiot pretending to be Crimson, don't you? Yet did I ever say that Crimson is incapable of handling Renguard or Renegadeforums.com or N00bstories on the basis of a pathetic action three or four years ago? No, because that logic step is something only Crimson seems to want to do.

Kholdstare wrote on Sat, 16 September 2006 02:19"Waaaah, Kholdstare is a packet kiddie even though I have no proof that the logs I posted aren't completely false or edited" etc etc.. Honestly, if you CANNOT support any of your arguments, you shouldn't post at all.

Hey, the Zunnie thing was just an afterthought. Pay closer attention to my accusations about your constant ddosing of poor innocent players in the N00bstories servers who did NOTHING to provoke you, and Crimson defending you because you're her "pet bulldog" and "when people fuck with me (crimson), he ddoses them for me".

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:42:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Fri, 15 September 2006 17:35Sorry, I was just trying to blend in with the crowd and play "childish insult game". My bad.
...thereby becoming every bit as bad as you feebly try to make the Clanwars.cc community out to be...

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:48:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For Aircraftkiller's benefit...

the "arrogant bitch" statement was made after Crimson made YET ANOTHER false accusation towards me. This time it wasn't about hacking the forums or mismanaging the league - it was

"lying", on the grounds that I was unaware of a conversation that took place in a private forum I have no access to, and was not publicised.

So how does that constitute "lying"?

The "idiot" statement was here:

MaidenTy1 wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:26Crimson wrote on Thu, 14 September 2006 03:22Challenge met. Accusation NOT withdrawn.

actually, idiot...

Quote:Seeing as how I don't have access to this forum of which you speak, and therefore could not know of this conversation, then AT THE VERY MOST you've proven me to be mistaken.

That's one accusation about which I was mistaken, and there you see I admit my error. It's really not a big deal for me to admit that... all that's changed is instead of not trying to create a clan ladder, it's merely taking you an unsufferably long time.

So far you've wrongly accused me of "lying", and wrongly accused me of hacking these forums, and you've withdrawn neither of these false accusations.

Perhaps "hypocrite" would be better than "idiot", considering that Crimson says I didn't withdraw an accusation WHICH I DID, IN FACT, WITHDRAW, even though she hasn't withdrawn ANY of her false accusations towards me, EXACTLY AS I SAID SHE WOULDN'T HAVE THE SPINE TO DO AND HAVE BEEN PROVEN ABSOLUTELY DEAD RIGHT.

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Ma1kel](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 10:54:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[Moderator: removed logs that encourage cheating]

Subject: Re: EA's Officially sponsored sites
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 13:10:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MaidenTy1 wrote on Sat, 16 September 2006 00:39Tbh if she's going to say something as laughable as that, I think it's fair to bring up WL STEALING AND HIJACKING [CW] MEMBERS' ACCOUNTS ON THIS FORUM, AT A TIME WHEN CRIMSON WAS IN CHARGE OF THESE FORUMS AND THEREFORE ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF HER ADMINS.

Another LIE. Wow - you are just full of them! Since you weren't around at the time, let me remind you that Westwood ran these forums for about 2 years before I did. These alleged "hijacks" took place when Westwood ran them. Hold THEM accountable, NOT me.

Of course, it's not really a lie, not intentionally, you just weren't around back then and have only the lies of the owners of CW to base your accusations on. I wonder how many other accusations of yours are based in false information?

This thread is over and done. I gave you 8 pages to spout your shit. Anyone who brings this bullshit up again will earn a 7 day ban from the forum. You've been warned.
