

OK, so people think the pointsfix should be optional for each community. I agree. This is a reasonable position to take.
(although, it MUST be said that most people who say this tend to actually disagree with their own position when you give them an example, i.e. when i wanted to use the pointsfix in the clanwars league... when that happens, it seems to be that a community's admin ISN'T allowed to decide this, must instead submit to bullying and manipulation from a bunch of cheaters)

So let's make the pointsfix optional. What will happen?
Some servers will use the points bug, some servers with the points fix. Players can take this into consideration when they decide where they want to play, just like they take all the other server settings into account (map rotation, game size, rules about whether flaming APCs are allowed...)
Everybody's happy. Right?

Wrong.
I present to you the problem of the a00 nicks. One community has a monopoly upon them, and therefore, the game listings.

While this monopoly remains, no new server has a chance of flourishing. For example, there is currently nowhere with the ideal ladder settings (i.e. pointsfix, zero start credits, no donate... in other words, the default version of renegade!), and there is no way a server with these settings could ever hope to draw new players.

Let's examine the validity of the two "dictatorships" here. TT's authority to patch the game, and the Jelly community's monopoly on the a00 names.

TT is a group that EA has entrusted to patch this game. That's quite impressive.
Why does the Jelly community have the a00 names? One reason and one reason alone: they got there first when WOL changed to XWIS. That's all. There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did. This authority was not given to Jelly by EA or by Westwood or even by Strike-Team. This authority was not elected by the community either. This authority was seized.

Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more.

But that does not change anything.

Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable, TT is still making this concession: make the pointsfix optional. So, I think it is fair for the Jelly community to make the same kind of concession on the dictatorship THEY enforce on renegade... which, unlike TT, they have no valid claim upon.

Clearly one group must have control over these names. So who? If anybody deserves this authority (and someone WILL have it no matter what), who deserves it more than TT? And please don't say the Jelly community, that would be a bad joke and not even a very good one.

So, I propose that TT acquire the a00 names and take on the responsibility of distributing them. I absolutely swear that I would do everything to ensure they are used fairly. For example, the Jelly community certainly deserves one (as do other long-standing communities like n00bstories), but it doesn't deserve as many as it wants, and it doesn't deserve to say who else gets one and who doesn't. (For Jelly himself: I've often seen you complain about the way you get flamed over your choices in this matter. Sure, some of the flaming against you has been excessive, but if you can't handle getting criticised for wielding power, don't wield power at all, especially when you seized it in the first place. I CAN handle it.)

Need another argument to support my position?

The points bug has taught players all kinds of bad habits that some people just aren't good enough to shake off. I suppose it's OK if they want to host a server where they don't have to get any better at the game, where they can shoot tanks ineffectually instead of doing something useful, and where if they run out of money because their refinery and harvesters keep dying it ISN'T their fault for not doing something more useful to prevent it. Well, if you wanna keep that in your server, go ahead. But the same people who think this also have an undeserved, unchallengeable chokehold on the game listings... do I need to spell out the enormous problem here? Any new player is gonna jump straight into these flawed servers and end up corrupted by the same bad habits, not knowing what Renegade's points system was supposed to be like.

And if anyone says I'm asking something unreasonable here, then don't act like TT would be unreasonable to make the pointsfix mandatory. At least TT's authority in the matter of the pointsfix was actually EARNED. If anyone from the Jelly community said: "no, they're ours, suck it up", then it would be

IMPOSSIBLE to argue that TT would be wrong if we said "no, the decision to make the pointsfix compulsory is ours to make, suck it up". If anyone from the Jelly community still wants to whinge about dictatorships when they talk about the pointsfix, how about they start by relinquishing their own dictatorship over the game listings?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 08:57:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anticipatively moved to heated discussions and debates.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 09:38:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't normally waste my time in these threads but I will say this

A000 names - done to death, nothing will change and there no way that TT should ever have power over them, also with the TT patch being forced (for XWIS users), A00 nicks won't matter since the current scripts (3.4.4) already list servers by count not names.

Points 'fix' - Sure, make it mandatory, it will take what? a few hours to bypass it with scripts.dll

Sorry to rip your nice long post apart with a few simple facts

Hex.

**excuse the edits - just home from night shift and its time for bed!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Wiener](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 09:42:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It is not possible to completely take away the server nick? So the the list is sorted by players ingame the first place and by server name on second?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 09:57:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 04:38there no way that TT should even have power over them

Then perhaps you can justify to me the Jelly community's power over them?

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 04:38Points 'fix' - Sure, make it mandatory, it will take what? a few hours to bypass it with scripts.dll

I'm not suggesting we make it mandatory.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 10:01:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:57

Then perhaps you can justify to me the Jelly community's power over them?

I have no need to justify it, can you justify them not having power over them considering that it won't matter?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:57

I'm not suggesting we make it mandatory.

I know, I was just pointing out that it would not matter if it was mandatory or up to the server owner that ran the server.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 10:05:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 05:01I have no need to justify it

I rather think you do. Please post a brief list of all the renegade communities in which you are a admin/moderator?

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 05:01can you justify them not having power over them considering that it won't matter?

Firstly it matters now. Secondly can you explain for everyone exactly what "will" happen about server nicks? Be specific, please.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [reborn](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 10:49:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As Hex pointed out, even if the points fix was mandatory, someone could create a server hack in the form of scripts.dll to re-introduce it. Whether it's mandatory or not, people will inevitably have the option either way.

The new TT patch sorts games by xwis player count, not nicks. Unless you are talking about an interim solution to the problem, then the debate on should Jelly be stripped of the nicks or not is irrelevant, as they will count for nothing with the patch is enforced.

The solution of sorting by xwis player count puts the decision of what server should be listed at the top firmly in the hands of the most important person, the player.
If people like the server, it will be at the top, if they don't, then it will simply dwindle...

As much as I would like to say drop the list by xwis player count idea, and let it be listed by nick names still, then give me the power to assign who I feel should be at the top, it seems fairer to let players decide with there feet (or mice).

The only thing I would like to change about the TT system is the listing by player counts based on xwis counts. Preferably the player count would be accurate instead and take into consideration direct connections. I understand why this isn't going to happen though...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 11:20:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did.

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more.
But that does not change anything.

so, you wanna say jelly did abuse it? Did he hinder all others to get the a00's faster? Did he cheat or such??

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable

nice lie! The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36

Any new player is gonna jump straight into these flawed servers and end up corrupted by the same bad habits, not knowing what Renegade's points system was supposed to be like.

if they played the multiplayer training map first, then they played without the pointmod, and so they just join a server where the point system is the same like the one where they learned to play ren on multiplayer. They buy a game, they learn it, and they get the hang to this pointsystem, and they like it. whats wrong with this? Isnt it the players choice on wich server or with wich pointsystem he wanna play?

Ren was made and marketed with this "flawed" pointsystem.

Greetz telly ^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 11:33:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I suppose one of the key elements in this whole discussion would be "how long will the interim period last". It's blatantly obvious that some very long lasting communities have died or are on the brink of dying and other very new communities are flourishing, because of the a00 nicknames. The fact one person/community can decide about the flourishing or dying of long lasting communities in Renegade is indeed worrying, but it has been so, and we've lived for it, for the last years.

I'm thinking of black-cell, MP-gaming and many other communities. Ironically the communities that are flourishing today, doing so at the expense of those examples, are all using game server tools that have been created by e.g. black-cell (SSGM), MP-gaming (reborn released multiple scripts), BI (BIATCH), ... I find it personally very ironical that BlackIntel's request for a higher nickname got denied while the people owning the nicknames are using BlackIntel's work to protect their servers from cheaters (BIATCH). But hey, I suppose we all have our reasons.

We all know that this "problem" can - until TT makes its way to be an official patch - only be solved by jelly himself. He made his POV very clear a while ago, so I'm not sure at all what Spoony wants to accomplish with this topic. It won't bring any results. It just has the merit of stating the obvious - again.

And before someone comes up with it: I'm not objective on the matter myself. I'm using a more or less high a0 nickname for TK2 community (a0000000b, not owned by Jelly BTW) and I've been in a conflict with Jelly in a far away past about a0 as well. But I still like to express my opinion on the matter (in a civilized way).

Last but not least: bringing multiplayer practice into this whole debate is probably one of the worst choices u could make, Telly... seriously...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 12:00:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:33

Last but not least: bringing multiplayer practice into this whole debate is probably one of the worst choices u could make, Telly... seriously...

this sentence from me had to do with the pointmod. coz the pointmod is NOT part of the original game.

greetz telly ^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 12:05:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, that's what I was aiming at. The map in multiplayer practise has never been updated with any patch. It contains a high number of errors. E.g. if u purchase a sbh and then purchase another character, that other character will be stealth. Other example;; the rocks at the Nod refinery are positioned differently than in the 1.037 version of Under. Just to show that your example is completely non representative of online play for things like e.g. points...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ExEric3](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 12:43:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:20

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.

So you wanna tell me that a00 (from Jelly to n00bstories) hostnames are regular registered nicknames? (without switching WOL to XWIS).

I thought it all started from A00000000. These hostnames which have Jelly and n00bstories started when XWIS was launched.

Correct me if Im wrong.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:07:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The highest nickname I had registered was a0000000h, or maybe e, I don't remember. The nickname I have was loaned, then later given to me by Jelly. As was stated here, Jelly decides who gets to be on the top of the list. TK2, for example, fell out of favor with Jelly and lost their high standing on the list. Communities like Black Cell and Black Intel who have made the most prolific tools for servers like SSGM and BIATCH are denied their requests from the very person who is using their software for their own server.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:08:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bypassing pointsfix will take quite a bit more then a few hours to bypass since you need to reverse a good deal of the damage chain...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [reborn](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:11:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you want to do it properly, but surely you could just throw in some crappy server hack on the ::damsged event, to grant points to the damager, using a conditional of the damaged objects health percent?

I know what you're saying is right, but I think it could be done in a shitty way fairly quickly.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:16:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Which would in turn lag the fuck out of the server.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:30:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:05 Yes, that's what I was aiming at. The map in multiplayer practise has never been updated with any patch. It contains a high number of errors. E.g. if u purchase a sbh and then purchase another character, that other character will be stealth. Other example:; the rocks at the Nod refinery are positioned differently than in the 1.037 version of Under. Just to show that your example is completely non representative of online play for things like e.g. points...

but the players are still used on this pointsystem, and so if they have the choice to play with or without pointmod, they like to play on servers without pointmod. like it was clearly the case on J1.

greetz telly ^^

like i said you in the past: i`m not anti-pointmod, i`m pro-community.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:55:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:30Goztow wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:05Yes, that's what I was aiming at. The map in multiplayer practise has never been updated with any patch. It contains a high number of errors. E.g. if u purchase a sbh and then purchase another character, that other character will be stealth. Other example;; the rocks at the Nod refinery are positioned differently than in the 1.037 version of Under. Just to show that your example is completely non representative of online play for things like e.g. points...

but the players are still used on this pointsystem, and so if they have the choice to play with or without pointmod, they like to play on servers without pointmod. like it was clearly the case on J1.

greetz telly ^^

like i said you in the past: i`m not anti-pointmod, i`m pro-community.

So the servers should make it so after you buy a sbh, your new character will also be stealthy because people get used to this in the multiplayer practise? Because that's what YOU are saying here. That's independent from the fact if people actually like to play on a server with or without pointfix.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 13:59:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i talked about the pointsystem only.
glitches and bugs wich got patched are ok, but a pointsystem is somehow different, or??

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 14:10:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36
There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we

like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did.

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.

k, so let's say TT had the ability to patch the game JUST BECAUSE EA said "first person to post here gets to take over the renegade patcher", and crimson got the first post.

let's then say TT said: pointsfix will be compulsory and we're also gonna patch the game to make that totally unchangeable.

according to your 'logic', there'd be absolutely nothing wrong with TT doing that. the decision would be completely TT's and they wouldn't have to explain it to anybody, because they got there first, case closed.

if you think it ISN'T ok for TT to do that just because they got there first (which is, of course, not the case anyway), then perhaps you're beginning to see why it's not OK for Jelly to do the same.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more.

But that does not change anything.

so, you wanna say jelly did abuse it?

I don't need to. Even if he used it to the best of his fairness, it's still power he shouldn't have.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable

nice lie! The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod. uh no, i said if you can't even explain why the flawed, unintended system is better and have it stand up to debate - and nobody has done that - you've no right to make sure it continues to impose the same bad habits onto new players, and stunt their skills in the same way yours have been.

and, of course, no rebuttal to "AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable"... but then, you can get away with the most extraordinary bullshit so long as you're against the pointsfix.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Any new player is gonna jump straight into these flawed servers and end up corrupted by the same bad habits, not knowing what Renegade's points system was supposed to be like.

if they played the multiplayer training map first, then they played without the pointmod, and so

they just join a server where the point system is the same like the one where they learned to play ren on multiplayer.

um, that does your argument more harm than good. presumably you're outraged at all these servers who've altered the unit costs, the humvee/APC rates of fire, and prevented the ability to ob walk and base to base.

tellsson wrote like i said you in the past: i`m not anti-pointmod, i`m pro-community.

Prove it. Go to Jelly and tell him he is wrong to keep the a00 names, and it would be better to give them to TT to use them responsibly.

reborn wrote The new TT patch sorts games by xwis player count, not nicks. Unless you are talking about an interim solution to the problem, then the debate on should Jelly be stripped of the nicks or not is irrelevant, as they will count for nothing with the patch is enforced.

firstly the patch isn't here yet. secondly i'm still waiting for a very clear explanation of exactly how the game listings will work.

Goztow wrote I suppose one of the key elements in this whole discussion would be "how long will the interim period last".

Certainly.

Goztow wrote We all know that this "problem" can - until TT makes its way to be an official patch - only be solved by jelly himself. He made his POV very clear a while ago, so I'm not sure at all what Spoony wants to accomplish with this topic. It won't bring any results. It just has the merit of stating the obvious - again.

Yeah, well, I think I've put it better. See the comparison to TT (which is, of course, unfair to TT to begin with since TT's authority was earned, Jelly's wasn't).

And by the way, it seems to me that there IS something that can be done about it now... namely recycling the nicknames and putting them under TT's control for fair usage. And I put it to everybody that if the Jelly community can't successfully justify their dictatorship, they can't complain if this happens.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 14:25:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thanks spoony, this place needed some drama <3

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 14:58:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoonny wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

06:20 Spoonny wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we

like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did.

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.

k, so let's say TT had the ability to patch the game JUST BECAUSE EA said "first person to post here gets to take over the renegade patcher", and crimson got the first post.

let's then say TT said: pointsfix will be compulsory and we're also gonna patch the game to make that totally unchangeable.

according to your 'logic', there'd be absolutely nothing wrong with TT doing that. the decision would be completely TT's and they wouldn't have to explain it to anybody, because they got there first, case closed.

if you think it ISN'T ok for TT to do that just because they got there first (which is, of course, not the case anyway), then perhaps you're beginning to see why it's not OK for Jelly to do the same.

nice hypotheses, but still, fact is: JELLY WAS FASTER.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more. But that does not change anything.

so, you wanna say jelly did abuse it?

I don't need to. Even if he used it to the best of his fairness, it's still power he shouldn't have.

You did! "who could have abused this situation FAR MORE." Imo that means that he did

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable

nice lie! The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod.

uh no, i said if you can't even explain why the flawed, unintended system is better and have it stand up to debate - and nobody has done that - you've no right to make sure it continues to impose the same bad habits onto new players, and stunt their skills in the same way yours have been.

and, of course, no rebuttal to "AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable"... but then, you can get away with the most extraordinary bullshit so long as you're against the pointsfix.

The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod. Do you really think i shouldnt defend my "home" against a modification wich kills "my home"?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36

Any new player is gonna jump straight into these flawed

servers and end up corrupted by the same bad habits, not knowing what Renegade's points system was supposed to be like.

if they played the multiplayer training map first, then they played without the pointmod, and so they just join a server where the point system is the same like the one where they learned to play ren on multiplayer.

um, that does your argument more harm than good. presumably you're outraged at all these servers who've altered the unit costs, the humvee/APC rates of fire, and prevented the ability to ob walk and base to base.

this all was changes wich made the game better for the players.

the players joined the first server on the list. the players did designate what they would play, and most of them left j1 coz they didnt make fast enough money (with the included pointmod). They joined other servers wich didnt use the pointmod. Isnt that fact enough what the players want? But dont let this topic turn into another endless pointmod debate. This topic is about the a00 names.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrotelike i said you in the past: i`m not anti-pointmod, i`m pro-community.

Prove it. Go to Jelly and tell him he is wrong to keep the a00 names, and it would be better to give them to TT to use them responsibly.

no. I`m a jelly community member for ages, and so my "heart beats for the jelly-community".

Is it jelly`s faillure that he got the a00 names? That he was faster then all others?

Greetz telly ^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 15:30:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe someone's said it already, but a00 nicknames won't matter once TT's released; current (and naturally later) versions of scripts.dll sort servers by playercount, not nickname. And once TT is pushed to everyone, that entirely eliminates the problem.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 15:34:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36
There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did.

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.

k, so let's say TT had the ability to patch the game JUST BECAUSE EA said "first person to post here gets to take over the renegade patcher", and crimson got the first post.

let's then say TT said: pointsfix will be compulsory and we're also gonna patch the game to make that totally unchangeable.

according to your 'logic', there'd be absolutely nothing wrong with TT doing that. the decision would be completely TT's and they wouldn't have to explain it to anybody, because they got there first, case closed.

if you think it ISN'T ok for TT to do that just because they got there first (which is, of course, not the case anyway), then perhaps you're beginning to see why it's not OK for Jelly to do the same.

nice hypotheses, but still, fact is: JELLY WAS FASTER. How does that refute the fact that its unfair?

Quote:

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more. But that does not change anything.

so, you wanna say jelly did abuse it?

I don't need to. Even if he used it to the best of his fairness, it's still power he shouldn't have.

You did! "who could have abused this situation FAR MORE." Imo that means that he didHe's not saying he didnt, he's saying that it doesnt matter if he did, because his point would still stand either way.

Quote:

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable

nice lie! The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod.

uh no, i said if you can't even explain why the flawed, unintended system is better and have it stand up to debate - and nobody has done that - you've no right to make sure it continues to impose the same bad habits onto new players, and stunt their skills in the same way yours have been.

and, of course, no rebuttal to "AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics

imaginable"... but then, you can get away with the most extraordinary bullshit so long as you're against the pointsfix.

The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod. Do you really think i shouldnt defend my "home" against a modification wich kills "my home"?It's a valid reason to make an argument about large parts of j1 players being stubborn people alright. Not that I care if they are, its their server.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ELiT3FLyR](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 15:50:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hahaha spoonys not happy because someone else has more renepower than him. jelly can keep his server names, and u can keep ur -50% on ur ladder to even the scale.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ELiT3FLyR](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 15:55:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

also, about BC dying did you guys ever consider that people might not like 4 rebuildable turrets to each base on rush maps?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 15:55:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:50hahaha spoonys not happy because someone else has more renepower than him. jelly can keep his server names, and u can keep ur -50% on ur ladder to even the scale.
You = idiot.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 16:18:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If we ran the point fix still this topic wouldn't have been made, because your precious "fix" would be in use.

It's yet another tool people will try to use against me.

I can't be arsed with some e-drama. All I will say is you can fuck off. I thought you knew me a little better than to go about things this way.

I aint getting into some debate, it's pointless and been recycled more than I can remember.

Good luck with your crusade against me.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [TD](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 16:38:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The one and only argument 'the PEOPLE that PLAY the game do NOT like it' is enough to blow away all of your "fix" theories btw, Spoonny.

The people playing in our server do not like it, we do not like it, therefore we do not use it. Just because of this simple fact you start a random rage about our position on the listings (which jelly got on a 'first come first serve' basis) for all the people that still don't use 3.4.4.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [tellsson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 16:45:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so say we all. wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:34tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58Spoonny wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoonny wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did.

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.

k, so let's say TT had the ability to patch the game JUST BECAUSE EA said "first person to post here gets to take over the renegade patcher", and crimson got the first post.

let's then say TT said: pointsfix will be compulsory and we're also gonna patch the game to make that totally unchangeable.

according to your 'logic', there'd be absolutely nothing wrong with TT doing that. the decision would be completely TT's and they wouldn't have to explain it to anybody, because they got there first, case closed.

if you think it ISN'T ok for TT to do that just because they got there first (which is, of course, not the case anyway), then perhaps you're beginning to see why it's not OK for Jelly to do the same.

nice hypotheses, but still, fact is: JELLY WAS FASTER.

How does that refute the fact that its unfair?

Anybody could have gotten there first.

So what is unfair about that jelly was faster then all others??

Imagine that: a race. Some1 wins and earns the prize. What is unfair about that?

so say we all. wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:34tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more. But that does not change anything.

so, you wanna say jelly did abuse it?

I don't need to. Even if he used it to the best of his fairness, it's still power he shouldn't have.

You did! "who could have abused this situation FAR MORE." Imo that means that he did

He's not saying he didnt, he's saying that it doesnt matter if he did, because his point would still stand either way.

Isnt it jelly`s right to do whatever he wants with his servers? Like i said, every other community had the same rights, but jelly was faster.

so say we all. wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:34 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:10 tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable

nice lie! The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod.

uh no, i said if you can't even explain why the flawed, unintended system is better and have it stand up to debate - and nobody has done that - you've no right to make sure it continues to impose the same bad habits onto new players, and stunt their skills in the same way yours have been.

and, of course, no rebuttal to "AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable"... but then, you can get away with the most extraordinary bullshit so long as you're against the pointsfix.

The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod. Do you really think i shouldnt defend my "home" against a modification wich kills "my home"?It's a valid reason to make an argument about large parts of j1 players being stubborn people alright. Not that I care if they are, its their server.

You`re right, its our server.

I wonder how you would act if a modification empties the server where you played for ages.

You could see that it`s clearly the modification wich kills your favourite server. And you`d accept that?

Greetz telly ^^

and for the rest, read td`s and jellys post.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:21:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:30 Maybe someone's said it already, but a00 nicknames won't matter once TT's released; current (and naturally later) versions of scripts.dll sort servers by playercount, not nickname. And once TT is pushed to everyone, that entirely eliminates the problem.
THIS.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [stoned](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:36:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If I wanted to make the name "Spoony" on renegade would I have as much a right to it as spoony does? After all, why should he get the name just because he registered it first!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:36:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58 You did! "who could have abused this situation FAR MORE." Imo that means that he did
Surth understands my point. I don't NEED to say he abused it. My point stands whether he abused it or not.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58 The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod. Do you really think i shouldnt defend my "home" against a modification wich kills "my home"?
Sure it's enough for you to choose to use the pointsbug in your server. But when the same community has a stranglehold on the game listings, there's a problem.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58 this all was changes wich made the game better for the players.
And so it's not really about what the "original" game was like, so you've defeated your own argument.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58 no. I`m a jelly community member for ages, and so my "heart beats for the jelly-community".
Ah. So when you said you were pro-community, what you meant was the wishes of the Jelly community, valid or not, trump everyone else's?

simpee wrotehahaha spoonys not happy because someone else has more renepower than him. jelly can keep his server names, and u can keep ur -50% on ur ladder to even the scale. That doesn't even the scale. My ladder isn't a monopoly over Renegade. Anyone else can make a ladder, it's not my fault nobody else can be bothered. That's not the same as a monopoly. Don't equate the two.

Jelly wroteIt's yet another tool people will try to use against me. I can't be arsed with some e-drama. All I will say is you can fuck off. I thought you knew me a little better than to go about things this way.

I aint getting into some debate, it's pointless and been recycled more than I can remember.

Good luck with your crusade against me. Go about things what way? Disagree with your monopoly? If you can disagree with the perceived notion that I want to force the pointsfix on your server (which is untrue), then I can disagree with your monopoly on the game listings. This is not a crusade against you.

Anyway, we now have our answer, and we can now make another comparison between TT and jelly.

question 1: how did TT respond to people disagreeing with the pointsfix?

answer: TT went to INCREDIBLE lengths to engage them in debate, find out what they thought and why. made an extraordinary

effort to post all the arguments and evidence for the pointsfix (none of which have been refuted), and comported themselves

with infinitely more civility than the people arguing against them.

suggested several compromises between the two positions, all of which were violently rejected by the anti-pointsfix crowd.

eventually said: ok, we'll give you everything you want (well, not quite everything; they also wanted the ability to say

"spoony should not be allowed to choose whether to use the pointsfix in his own community", but any fool can see that's

asking too much... sadly a great many people on the anti-pointsfix crowd don't seem to be on the intellectual level of a

fool)

and of course, TT and I still get the shit flamed out of us at every turn, despite giving these kiddies everything they

wanted (which is exactly, EXACTLY what i predicted would happen)

question 2: how did the jelly community respond to people disagreeing with their undeserved monopoly on the game listings?

answer: "All I will say is you can fuck off."

if anybody says the situation should not change, you're basically saying jelly is better qualified to control the game

listings than TT... and given the difference between TT and Jelly here, I just don't see how anybody can seriously think that.

the strongest argument in support of jelly's monopoly has been posted by tellsson.

tellsson wrote Anybody could have gotten there first.

So what is unfair about that jelly was faster than all others??

Imagine that: a race. Some1 wins and earns the prize. What is unfair about that?

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 09:58 nice hypotheses, but still, fact is: JELLY WAS FASTER.

here's what this means.

let's reduce TT to jelly's level and say: imagine TT has the patch authority because crimson "got there first" (which is

of course untrue, but let's make the situations equal).

let's say TT did decide: pointsfix is compulsory, and we will make it so there is no way to reverse this.

TT can simply say: "TT does deserve this authority because we got there first, case closed. get used to it." or, to borrow Jelly's words, "All I will say is you can fuck off."

so, jelly community, which way do you want to have it? there are two options. the red pill and the blue pill.

1. jelly keeps its monopoly on the game lists. if so, TT can if they choose just say: "pointsfix for everybody, nothing you can do about it"... in other words, acting the same way jelly is.

2. if you try to argue that TT shouldn't say that (and you have), you can't keep your monopoly on the game lists.

give the a00 names to TT.

pick one.

and this works even when you reduce TT's claim to authority to the level of jelly's, "we got there first". TT's claim is

of course much stronger than that.

and, TT has gone to incredible lengths to deal with people who disagree, no matter how pathetically they try to advance

their case. jelly has not budged an inch on this, ever. how about the anti-pointsfix crowd be reasonable, for the very

first time? you've never done it before, but you might find it actually works.

so, jelly community, perhaps you'd like to try to put forth a better argument, because if you can't do better than this,

it's clear the a00 situation can - and should - be rectified. immediately. don't worry, you'll keep one a00 name (even

though you've had the chokehold long enough that you hardly still need one). we'll even say you can keep the top one, eh?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Hitman](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:37:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stoned wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:36 If I wanted to make the name "Spoony" on renegade

would I have as much a right to it as spoony does? After all, why should he get the name just because he registered it first!

...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:46:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Or.. we can keep all of them because they're our nicks. Jelly will assign them as he sees fit, period. What gives TT the right to Jelly's nicks? Nothing. That'd be saying like what gives me the right to my raven nickname, even though I registered it first... uhh, clearly I registered it first therefore it's my nick

Like someone said before, this is all a moot point when the patch is released, and is already a moot point for those who use 3.4.4, go debate something of real importance rather than something that personally bothers you. It clearly does or you wouldn't spend so much of your time debating pointless things like this.

Kindly fuck off and take your pointsfix with you.

Respectfully yours
r.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:47:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have a question, Are the server names tied to a serial key? or are they like our log on names where I can log on any instance renegade with a correct name and password?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:48:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kadoosh wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 12:47I have a question, Are the server names tied to a serial key? or are they like our log on names where I can log on any instance renegade with a correct name and password?

They're tied to a serial for purposes of resetting passwords. Other than that, it doesn't matter what serial you're using for logging in.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:52:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Raven, I appreciate you proving my point about the difference between TT and Jelly; namely how TT goes to such incredible lengths to accommodate people who disagree with us, yet the Jelly community just says "fuck off, it's our decision, get used to it" and insults me for even bringing it up.

Here's what Jelly said on the jelly forum about this...

Jelly wrote People who play here like it, we don't abuse anyone, we moderate well.

We provide a good service to the people who play here. Just because we stopped to run your points fix you spat your dummy and looked for an angle, and found it in your eyes.

We're the only community who actively tries to organise community games on a regular basis, we organise internal tournamnets, we organise 2v2 tournaments. We try to keep people interested in the game and keep ut fun. Yet you choose to publically attack us because we don't like the "fix"? Seriously what the fuck is wrong with you dude?

I've no need to defend myself here or on the renforums, and as I said there, you can seriously fuck right off now.

As you can see, there's absolutely nothing in here defending Jelly's monopoly on the game listings. Just changes the subject and says two things

1. jelly servers are great
2. spoony is "publicly attacking us because we don't like the pointsfix"

point 1: jelly servers are great. i'm not saying otherwise right now. total subject change.

point 2 is the real lunacy. if i disagree with jelly's monopoly, and if i made a pretty good case why it's wrong, i'm "publicly attacking us because we don't like the pointsfix". so not only do you maintain your monopoly, the power you seized in the first place, you belittle legitimate criticism of it. this makes your side weaker, not stronger.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 17:54:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're ugly and your mother dresses you funny. How's that for an insult?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:00:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:48kadoosh wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 12:47I have a question, Are the server names tied to a serial key? or are they like our log on names where I can log on any instance renegade with a correct name and password?

They're tied to a serial for purposes of resetting passwords. Other than that, it doesn't matter what serial you're using for logging in.

I'm just trying to get facts here so bare with me.

Was it durring the WOL -> Xwis change that these names were registered?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:01:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I certainly appreciate the people running the show at Jelly making their side weaker and weaker with every post.

I've done nothing wrong to upset any of you. Legitimate criticism of your undeserved monopoly on Renegade's game listings doesn't count - if you can criticise TT out of fear that we're imposing the pointsfix on you (which isn't true, of course), you can't say I can't criticise your chokehold on the game listings.

And yet your best reply is "fuck off". I don't think many people still thought Jelly community was qualified to manage the a00 listings (something I myself thought a while ago, which is why I defended chris against fl00d3d, for example), but if anybody still does, they can just look at this thread, and compare Jelly's reaction to criticism to the way TT reacted to criticism of the pointsfix (i.e. going to incredible lengths to debate the subject, suggesting lots and lots of compromises all of which were violently rejected, then simply agreeing to give the anti-pointsfix crowd everything they asked for). This is a night-and-day difference.

I think that if we showed EA, and Olaf, this thread and the Jelly admins' replies to it, it would be pretty easy to get their support. I think my original post did a pretty good job of making the case, but Jelly's replies pretty much close it. Here's an idea, Jelly... if you give up without a further fight, we'll make sure you keep the top name. Otherwise how about we go to EA and XWIS and see what they think about recycling the names and giving TT control of them? And if that happens, TT will run them fairly, I promise you, and give them out where they deserve. Before I made this thread I was convinced the Jelly community did deserve one (but not more than one). After reading the replies, I'm not so sure.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:12:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's clearly something you aren't understanding or you just don't want to accept. We do not have to give up our nicks to TT for any reason whatsoever. It would be a different situation if we didn't use all of them, I agree. All the nicknames are though, some are even loaned to n00bstories, n00bless, and Exodus. Sure, there are some more deserving communities, but they got the short end of the straw. Sure it's unfortunate that others didn't register the nicks at the start of XWIS, but that's how it is and that's how it has been for several years. The truth of the matter is,

no matter where your server is on the game listings, it will get players if it's a good server. Sit idle in any server for a few minutes and you'll get at least one more player to join, no matter where it is. If it's a good server, they will come back. If not, tough shit.

You can hardly compare TT to Jelly. Jelly is a gaming community, TT is an elitist group of "respected" (note the quotations) and knowledgeable people chosen to create a patch for the game we all love. Governance of the game should not come into play. No one governs Renegade.

How about TT sticks to what it's meant to do, create the patch and that's it? No one has the right to the nickname other than the creator which is Jelly. That's it.

Soviet Union, anyone?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:18:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01I've done nothing wrong to upset any of you.

Except for starting a crusade, nope.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01Legitimate criticism
Yes

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01of your undeserved monopoly on Renegade's game listings doesn't count

No. Please elaborate where this is undeserved. I have skipped reading most of this thread, but I am curious how you will explain to me how Jelly would not be allowed to have the hostnames, because Jelly was the first to register it!

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01if you can criticise TT out of fear that we're imposing the pointsfix on you (which isn't true, of course), you can't say I can't criticise your chokehold on the game listings.

Jelly and it's community appears to be against the pointfix. Sure, you can criticise Jelly's a00 nicknames, but you're throwing in false assumptions, like where it is undeserved and all.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01I don't think many people still thought Jelly community was qualified to manage the a00 listings

Since when did Jelly start MANAGING the a00 listings? I thoguth XWIS was managing the server listings?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01but if anybody still does, they can just look at this thread, and compare Jelly's reaction to criticism to the way TT reacted to criticism of the pointsfix (i.e. going to incredible lengths to debate the subject, suggesting lots and lots of compromises all

of which were violently rejected, then simply agreeing to give the anti-pointsfix crowd everything they asked for).

This is like comparing a cow and a horse. Want me to explain?

- Jelly has the right to own that nickname simply because he was the first to register it. It is his nickname and it will stay that way, unless Jelly (The Owner) decides to give it away.

See it like a domain name, if I registered a000000.com, and Someone would be like LUL I WANT IT BCUZ U UNFAIR, what would give him the right to claim it? I was the first to register it, so it's my right to keep it as I see fit.

- The Pointfix on the other hand is not a domain name and not a first come first serve thing. It's simply a mod the server owners can choose to run. Just like a commander plugin, a veteran plugin, donate, ctf, etc, etc. It changes gameplay.

Clearly, the pointsfix is right. There, I've said it. The arguments for it make sense. Though, isn't it the right of the server owners to do with it what they want? My co-op server was running a plugin where you could be buying credits in exchange for points. You can alter points. Would you disallow those aswell? Isn't it up to the server owner how they run their server and WHAT they run at their server? Isn't it up to the player to choose what server they like, what gamemode they like, and what server they play in? You can choose yourself to stop joining the server without the pointfix.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:01 I think that if we showed EA, and Olaf, this thread and the Jelly admins' replies to it, it would be pretty easy to get their support. I think my original post did a pretty good job of making the case, but Jelly's replies pretty much close it.

I think you should get off your high horse. Maybe half of the community is pro-pointfix and half is against it. (Just pulling statistics out of my ass, don't get me wrong!) Doesn't EA wish to see FULL community support? So.. giving them the option to put it on/off would satisfy everyone.. right? Everyone can choose what they like, case closed.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:19:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonWe are most likely going to penalize but not remove servers from participating in the official ladder for keeping the bugged points system.

That is showing a lack of respect for people's opinions, the same lack of respect that you (spoony) show everytime you reply to anyone which do not agree with you.

So no, I can't see how the TT team could be better than Jelly at managing server nicks, seeing how much some of you care about anyone's opinion, and how much some of your team members plays fair.

We'll have one single entity that has proven to have members which only does their own interests and that can't listen to people's needs, dictating and having full decisional power to what's left of Renegade.

no thanks.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:38:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18 Except for starting a crusade, nope. Crusade? You have an unchallengeable, undeserved monopoly on the game listings, and if I post legitimate criticism of it (and the admins react in the violent and unreasonable way they have), this makes me the bad guy?

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18 No. Please elaborate where this is undeserved. I have skipped reading most of this thread, but I am curious how you will explain to me how Jelly would not be allowed to have the hostnames, because Jelly was the first to register it! Duhhh, because Jelly has registered ALL the top listings, so when you log in all you see is the Jelly servers and the servers Jelly currently favours. And, yet again, this means any new server has no hope of drawing players.

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18 Since when did Jelly start MANAGING the a00 listings? I thoguth XWIS was managing the server listings? They say who gets all the a00 names. They say who's top on the game listings. They say: all our servers go right at the top, and our friends come next, and if you cross us we'll take the name back, and if you don't like it you can fuck off.

This is totalitarianism on a scale that dwarfs anything you can say about TT.

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18 - Jelly has the right to own that nickname simply because he was the first to register it. It is his nickname and it will stay that way, unless Jelly (The Owner) decides to give it away.

See it like a domain name, if I registered a000000.com, and Someone would be like LUL I WANT IT BCUZ U UNFAIR, what would give him the right to claim it? I was the first to register it, so it's my right to keep it as I see fit. But in this case, you'd be registering MILLIONS of domain names, which meant that anyone trying to start a website would have to get your permission or it would have no chance of succeeding.

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18 The Pointfix on the other hand is not a domain name and not a first come first serve thing. It's simply a mod the server owners can choose to run. Just like a commander plugin, a veteran plugin, donate, ctf, etc, etc. It changes gameplay.

Clearly, the pointsfix is right. There, I've said it. The arguments for it make sense. Though, isn't it the right of the server owners to do with it what they want? My co-op server was running a plugin where you could be buying credits in exchange for points. You can alter points. Would you disallow those aswell? Isn't it up to the server owner how they run their server and WHAT they run at their server? Isn't it up to the player to choose what server they like, what gamemode they like, and what server they play in? You can choose yourself to stop joining the server without the

pointfix.

Of course. TT agrees with this position, and so do I. (Although, many people on the anti-pointsfix side actually DO NOT agree with this position - they violently disagreed with my right to use the pointsfix in the clanwars league, which instantly destroys their credibility)

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18 I think you should get off your high horse. Maybe half of the community is pro-pointfix and half is against it. (Just pulling statistics out of my ass, don't get me wrong!) Doesn't EA wish to see FULL community support? So.. giving them the option to put it on/off would satisfy everyone.. right? Everyone can choose what they like, case closed. No, it's not case closed, because Jelly keeps its monopoly over the game listings.

I'm not saying TT is about to say: "ok, we're gonna pull a jelly here, pointsfix is compulsory and if you don't like it you can fuck off". I'm saying that IF WE DID, you would see how wrong it was. Jelly does the same with the game listings (indeed WORSE, because jelly's claim to the a00 names is much weaker than TT's patching authority), and it seems like you can't see how wrong THAT is. Why not? It benefits you.

raven wrote There's clearly something you aren't understanding or you just don't want to accept. We do not have to give up our nicks to TT for any reason whatsoever.

raven, the difference between TT and Jelly has already been made very clear. i.e. TT goes to incredible lengths to accommodate those who disagree, while Jelly just says "fuck off, it's ours, get used to it". It's OK, this point has already been made.

raven wrote It would be a different situation if we didn't use all of them, I agree. All the nicknames are though, some are even loaned to n00bstories, n00bless, and Exodus. Sure, there are some more deserving communities, but they got the short end of the straw.

Worthless statement; the choice of who gets them is entirely the Jelly community's.

raven wrote The truth of the matter is, no matter where your server is on the game listings, it will get players if it's a good server. Sit idle in any server for a few minutes and you'll get at least one more player to join, no matter where it is. If it's a good server, they will come back. If not, tough shit.

I used to think this. (this is why I defended chris against fl00d3d). I was proven wrong. SpookySrv. I briefly had an a00 name for that, and while I did, it was always full. A while later the name was taken back, and it was always empty. And yet nobody, nobody had a problem with the server. There was no criticism about the way it was run. (I can give you plenty of criticisms against the jelly servers if you like?)

I know now better. You don't, because you've never needed to know; the situation benefits you and so you can't see the problem.

raven wrote You can hardly compare TT to Jelly.

That's right. I feel rather dirty when I compared TT to Jelly; it's incredibly unfair to TT.

TT actually deserved the authority it wants to use, Jelly doesn't.

TT defends itself from criticism by going to incredible lengths to accommodate those who disagree. Jelly just tells them to fuck off.

This is a night-and-day difference.

raven wrote Jelly is a gaming community
if this is all you are, start acting like it. give up your monopoly on the game listings.

Cunin wrote Quote: Crimson

We are most likely going to penalize but not remove servers from participating in the official ladder for keeping the bugged points system. That is showing a lack of respect for people's opinions, the same lack of respect that you (spoony) show everytime you reply to anyone which do not agree with you.

Actually, crimson's post shows nothing of the sort. As for lack of respect for anyone who disagrees, you might want to objectively compare my posts replying to criticism of the pointsfix, against jelly's posts replying to criticism of the a00 situation. Again, it's a night-and-day difference.

Cunin wrote So no, I can't see how the TT team could be better than Jelly at managing server nicks

Then you haven't been reading this thread very carefully.

Cunin wrote We'll have one single entity that has proven to have members which only does their own interests and that can't listen to people's needs, dictating and having full decisional power to what's left of Renegade.

We already do. It's called the Jelly community. It wouldn't be the same if TT gained control of the a00 names.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Alfonzo](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:39:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoonny wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 12:52 Raven, I appreciate you proving my point about the difference between TT and Jelly; namely how TT goes to such incredible lengths to accommodate people who disagree with us, yet the Jelly community just says "fuck off, it's our decision, get used to it" and insults me for even bringing it up.

Are you serious? Far more people agree to not have the point fix, so Jelly does not implement the pointfix. They accommodated their players wishes. If more people wanted the pointfix, I guarantee it would be on his servers..

Jelly owns the names, no one has the right to take them away.

Jelly also owns his servers, and can do what he pleases (which is usually what the players want)-- TT does not own Renegade, and can not just take all of HIS names.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:40:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 11:05

I rather think you do. Please post a brief list of all the renegade communities in which you are a admin/moderator?

me, I own n00bless, I also use the 003 nick and before you do your 'oh so thats why your saying this' you should know that 90% of my players are game spy users.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 11:05 Firstly it matters now. Secondly can you explain for everyone exactly what "will" happen about server nicks? Be specific, please.

I love the way you answer a question with a question so how about you answer my question instead of avoiding it like you always seem to do.

Ghostshaw wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:08 Bypassing pointsfix will take quite a bit more then a few hours to bypass since you need to reverse a good deal of the damage chain...

It's a good job that we (at n00bless) already have this

Ghostshaw wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:08 Bypassing pointsfix will take quite a bit more then a few hours to bypass since you need to reverse a good deal of the damage chain...

No std::s?!? (sorry had to!)

Goztow wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:55 ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:50 hahaha spoonys not happy because someone else has more renepower than him. jelly can keep his server names, and u can keep ur -50% on ur ladder to even the scale.
You = idiot.

Remember, this is renforums, more idiots than renegade players

Someone on IRC so, he claims the names were seized, implying that is bad, then he suggests they be seized, implying that would be good

Sums it up?

Spoony, have you ever considered that you get abuse because you're repetitive?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:45:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We've got 5 spots on the front page. The others we've loaned out. Why don't you go after nightma12 or someone who has about 10 nicks that are front page'rs? He's got a larger monopoly than Jelly does.

You also said in a thread on Jelly that you wanted to start a server, but you'd need an a00 nick.

This doesn't have anything to do with why you're starting this topic, does it? For your own personal gain

Edit: Spelling

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:49:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MikeAre you serious? Far more people agree to not have the point fix, so Jelly does not implement the pointfix. They accommodated their players wishes. If more people wanted the pointfix, I guarantee it would be on his servers..

No problem. Everyone at TT is fine with this. This needs to be repeated over and over and over again, apparently...

MikeJelly owns the names, no one has the right to take them away.

TT does not own Renegade, and can not just take all of HIS names.

Unfortunately Jelly took ALL the names, which specifically meant he would have the sole, unchallengeable right to choose whose servers you see when you log on.

TT doesn't own Renegade, indeed. Yet its claim to authority is much better than Jelly's. Who owns Renegade? EA and XWIS seem to be the authorities here... shall we show them both this thread and see what they say?

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:40Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 11:05

I rather think you do. Please post a brief list of all the renegade communities in which you are a admin/moderator?

me, I own n00bless, I also use the 003 nick and before you do your 'oh so thats why your saying this' you should know that 90% of my players are game spy users.

Doesn't change anything at all, you're still benefiting from the situation, which reduces your credibility when you say it isn't a problem.

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:40Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 11:05Firstly it matters now. Secondly can you explain for everyone exactly what "will" happen about server nicks? Be specific, please.

I love the way you answer a question with a question so how about you answer my question instead of avoiding it like you always seem to do.

I've asked more than once for you to explain exactly what will happen to the game listings. I simply don't know, and I don't think I'm the only one. You claim to know, so why don't you tell everybody right now? If you're evasive about this, then don't act like you ended the debate by saying "scripts will fix this"

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:40Someone on IRCso, he claims the names were seized, implying that is bad, then he suggests they be seized, implying that would be good

Sums it up?

It is true. The names WERE seized by an individual community, that has no greater claim upon them than any other renegade community. I am suggesting they be taken over by a group that has actually earned the trust of EA and XWIS, and that will be committed to using them fairly, without bias, and without the appalling reaction to criticism we have seen in this thread from the Jelly admins. TT's reaction to criticism has been impeccable compared to this.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:49:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why are people even bothering to argue with him? Just let him do what he wants to do and contact who he wants, and beaver away behind my back doing what he wants to do, he wants his finger in as many pies as he can, and he'll do whatever he wants to get the pointsfix implemented on as many servers as possible. That's what this is about, the points fix and the fact we don't want it. His interest in hostnames has only popped up because he wants to get the points fix servers at the top seeing as we don't run it now. When we ran it for like 18 months he never mentioned host names when we had 5 servers up, now we have 3 which don't run the fix, it's suddenly a scandal of the highest magnitude.....

Once he's gone crying to EA and xwis and most likely Gordon Brown, I'll do what I need to do.

Until then just settle down and let him do his thing.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:53:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:45We've got 5 spots on the front page. The others we've loaned out. Why don't you go after nightma12 or someone who has about 10 nicks that are front page'rs? He's got a larger monopoly than Jelly does.

Please give a complete list of all the names Jelly controls (both the ones jelly servers use, and the ones jelly decides which other servers can use them)

raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:45You also said in a thread on Jelly that you wanted to start a server, but you'd need an a00 nick. This doesn't have anything to do with why you're starting this topic, does it? For your own personal gain

No, for Renegade's gain. Removing one community's undeserved, unchallengeable stronghold on the game.

It is interesting that this is finally the first time anybody says anything about my mentioning a00 names in the ladder thread. I said no new server has a hope of flourishing while you keep your monopoly. I would like to set up a TT ladder server, using the most strategic settings for Renegade (i.e. pointsfix, no start credits, no donate... in other words, the original Renegade

gameplay settings!), but that is nothing whatsoever to do with "spoon's personal gain". The fact you try to claim it is is just another example of trying to belittle legitimate criticism of your dictatorship, and it makes your case weaker, not stronger.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:57:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- 1) I am a Jelly Moderator and an Exodus admin.
- 2) I am not speaking as a representative of Jelly or it's community.
- 3) Everything I say is my OWN, PERSONAL view. Not Jelly's.

Now that's said, lets continue.

Spoon wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38Crusade? You have an unchallengeable, undeserved monopoly on the game listings

- 1) I don't have the hostnames, Jelly has.
- 2) unchallengeable, yes. There's noone who can get higher then a00.
- 3) Undeserved? No. Jelly was FIRST to register the nickname, that makes him the owner of the domain name, and that gives him the right to do with it what he wants.

Yes, he does own the highest nickname. So, that means he was smarter then other people by registering it first. Would you also rage at a company if they have a better business tactic then others?

Spoon wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38Duhhh, because Jelly has registered ALL the top listings, so when you log in all you see is the Jelly servers and the servers Jelly currently favours. And, yet again, this means any new server has no hope of drawing players.

So where is this undeserved? What has happened to the good old "First come, First serve"?

So you are against the fact that the owner of a nickname has the right to choose who to give it to.. right? Isn't this the same as saying like "I know you have the best car out there man, but it's unfair that you let your friends drive in it, but you don't let me drive in it! Oh yeah, and I totally hate the wheels you have!"

Spoon wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38
They say who gets all the a00 names. They say who's top on the game listings. They say: all our servers go right at the top, and our friends come next, and if you cross us we'll take the name back, and if you don't like it you can fuck off.

This is totalitarianism on a scale that dwarfs anything you can say about TT.

All the a00 names? All the HIGH a00 names, yes. Not all.

Secondly, like I said before, Jelly owns the nicknames. Why isn't he allowed to do with them whatever the fuck he wants? (See my previous example)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38 But in this case, you'd be registering MILLIONS of domain names, which meant that anyone trying to start a website would have to get your permission or it would have no chance of succeeding.

But because I have purchased these domain names, paid for them and was the first one to register it, that STILL does NOT change the fact that I can do with it WHATEVER THE BLOODY FUCK I WANT.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38

Of course. TT agrees with this position, and so do I. (Although, many people on the anti-pointsfix side actually DO NOT agree with this position - they violently disagreed with my right to use the pointsfix in the clanwars league, which instantly destroys their creidibility)
Clanwars league is YOUR problem, not mine, nor Jelly's.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38

No, it's not case closed, because Jelly keeps its monopoly over the game listings.

And he has the right to keep that.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38 I'm not saying TT is about to say: "ok, we're gonna pull a jelly here, pointsfix is compulsory and if you don't like it you can fuck off". I'm saying that IF WE DID, you would see how wrong it was.

You have the right to do that, but you have to keep in mind you have to keep the full community support. By doing that, you're not getting that.

Jelly does not have to do anything. Jelly owns it, Jelly was the first, and Jelly has the full right to do with it what the bloody shit he wants.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:58:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 19:49 most likely Gordon Brown

Hes going to tax you on um!!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 18:59:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 19:49 Why are people even bothering to argue with him?

I'm certainly racking my brains, you and your admins make your case weaker with every post.

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 19:49 Just let him do what he wants to do and contact who he wants, and beaver away behind my back doing what he wants to do, he wants his finger in as many pies as he can, and he'll do whatever he wants to get the pointsfix implemented on as many servers as possible. That's what this is about, the points fix and the fact we don't want it. His interest in hostnames has only popped up because he wants to get the points fix servers at the top seeing as we don't run it now. When we ran it for like 18 months he never mentioned host names when we had 5 servers up, now we have 3 which don't run the fix, it's suddenly a scandal of the highest magnitude.....

Once he's gone crying to EA and xwis and most likely Gordon Brown, I'll do what I need to do. Y'know, jelly, there have been plenty of people on the anti-pointsfix side who've completely gone wrong over this whole thing, and decided that I'm the great satan when I've done absolutely nothing wrong to them. I didn't think you'd go this way too, I really didn't.

But here's the simple fact of it: what I'm saying about your monopoly on the game listings is completely legitimate to say. When you and your admins try to belittle what is being said instead of actually defending your position, you make your case weaker, not stronger. You show everybody that you are not qualified to handle this power. You're throwing all these conspiracy theories about, concerning why I'm suddenly talking about this now? Gee, I dunno, maybe because I've been away from this game for a year to look after a relative with cancer. It's nothing to do with the pointsfix.

I've done nothing wrong to you here, and when you come back to your senses I'll still consider you my friend, chris.

Will

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:08:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:571) I am a Jelly Moderator and an Exodus admin.

2) I am not speaking as a representative of Jelly or it's community.

number two seems to be wishful thinking.

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:573) Undeserved? No. Jelly was FIRST to register the nickname, that makes him the owner of the domain name, and that gives him the right to do with it what he wants.

so we come back once again to my original point.

let's say EA said: "first person to reply to this thread gets authority to patch renegade!" and crimson got there first.

this would mean TT could say: pointsfix is now compulsory, and there's nothing could you do about it.

in jelly's words: "all i will say is you can fuck off". in your words: "that gives her the right to do with it what he wants"

seriously imagine what i just said. if TT did this, don't you think everyone on jelly would be setting themselves on fire in rage?

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:57But because I have purchased these domain names, paid for them and was the first one to register it, that STILL does NOT change the fact that I can do with it WHATEVER THE BLOODY FUCK I WANT.

for the purposes of our example, you'd be getting them for free.

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:57Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 20:38
Of course. TT agrees with this position, and so do I. (Although, many people on the anti-pointsfix side actually DO NOT agree with this position - they violently disagreed with my right to use the pointsfix in the clanwars league, which instantly destroys their creidibility)
Clanwars league is YOUR problem, not mine, nor Jelly's.
i think you don't follow me.

TT's position is that each community can choose for itself whether to use the pointsfix. right? i agree with this. most of jelly say they agree with this.

but when i actually tried using the pointsfix at clanwars, i was told that i WASN'T allowed to do that. it was sabotaged by a campaign of lies, manipulation and rigging polls, all done by a bunch of cheaters. and yet everyone who's looked at this situation has supported the cheaters who subverted the admin's decision, instead of supporting the admin himself.

now, if you side with the cheaters instead of me, then don't go saying you agree with each community deciding for itself whether to use the pointsfix, because it just isn't true. if you really thought that, you'd be on my side all the way.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:10:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:02Renegade - the movie.

Staring:

spoony as Hitler

the anti pointfix crowd as the jews and gypsies

the renegade forums and TT as the guillable public

jelly as rohm, an old friend spoony must kill off to achieve final domination.

that's interesting, because right now i'm the one arguing AGAINST a undeserved totalitarian

dictatorship, whereas the anti-pointsfix crowd and the jelly community (not much of a difference) are violently defending it.

as for me killing jelly off, well, you were never that bright so i can't claim to be surprised you've got it exactly upside down yet again. me criticising jelly's monopoly on the game listings is no less valid than jelly criticising TT if they wanted to make the pointsfix compulsory.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:12:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Please give a complete list of all the names Jelly controls (both the ones jelly servers use, and the ones jelly decides which other servers can use them)

a00
a000
a0000
a00000
a000000
a0000000
a00000002
a00000003

as far as I'm aware.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:17:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Half the entire top screen, then?

Before this thread was started, I'd have said the jelly community deserves one on the top screen, no problem. After reading the replies from jelly the the other admins, after seeing the absolutely pathetic way they've responded to legitimate criticism of the power they wield over this game, I'm honestly not convinced anymore.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:18:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:59Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 19:49Why are people even bothering to argue with him?
I'm certainly racking my brains, you and your admins make your case weaker with every post.

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 19:49 Just let him do what he wants to do and contact who he wants, and beaver away behind my back doing what he wants to do, he wants his finger in as many pies as he can, and he'll do whatever he wants to get the pointsfix implemented on as many servers as possible. That's what this is about, the points fix and the fact we don't want it. His interest in hostnames has only popped up because he wants to get the points fix servers at the top seeing as we don't run it now. When we ran it for like 18 months he never mentioned hostnames when we had 5 servers up, now we have 3 which don't run the fix, it's suddenly a scandal of the highest magnitude.....

Once he's gone crying to EA and xwis and most likely Gordon Brown, I'll do what I need to do. Y'know, jelly, there have been plenty of people on the anti-pointsfix side who've completely gone wrong over this whole thing, and decided that I'm the great satan when I've done absolutely nothing wrong to them. I didn't think you'd go this way too, I really didn't.

But here's the simple fact of it: what I'm saying about your monopoly on the game listings is completely legitimate to say. When you and your admins try to belittle what is being said instead of actually defending your position, you make your case weaker, not stronger. You show everybody that you are not qualified to handle this power. You're throwing all these conspiracy theories about, concerning why I'm suddenly talking about this now? Gee, I dunno, maybe because I've been away from this game for a year to look after a relative with cancer. It's nothing to do with the pointsfix.

I've done nothing wrong to you here, and when you come back to your senses I'll still consider you my friend, chris.

Will

I registered them, we use them, we host good servers, people enjoy playing there. I've never made money from them even though I've had stupid offers to do so. We don't kick and ban people for stupid stuff.

You seem to forget I tried the points fix for 18 months against some serious hostility, I wanted it to stay, I liked it, I still do. Thing is "my community" < -- key phrase here so take note, they didn't want it, they made it crystal clear they didn't want it. So to avoid being a dictator as you call me, I removed the fix at the request of the people who play in my server.

We've done plenty to keep renegade active, we host good servers that are well moderated, we've played over 20 community matches over 5 years nearly against other communities, we organise internal tournaments between servers, we've had 1v1 tournaments open to anyone, 2v2 tournaments open to anyone.

Yet despite all this I'm still the bad guy. If that's what you think Spooky there is not one thing i can say to you to change what you think, and what you're trying to get everyone else to think about me. That is why I said you can fuck off, because I'm comfortable with how I've conducted myself in the game, and if you don't like it, you can do as you please.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:19:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here's the thing, we don't need to answer to you.

That's it for me. I'm tired of debating something that won't even matter when TT is pushed.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:21:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:571) I am a Jelly Moderator and an Exodus admin.

2) I am not speaking as a representative of Jelly or it's community.
number two seems to be wishful thinking.

I am very sorry that I am able to have my own opinion.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08
so we come back once again to my original point.

let's say EA said: "first person to reply to this thread gets authority to patch renegade!" and crimson got there first.

this would mean TT could say: pointsflix is now compulsory, and there's nothing could you do about it.

in jelly's words: "all i will say is you can fuck off". in your words: "that gives her the right to do with it what he wants"

seriously imagine what i just said. if TT did this, don't you think everyone on jelly would be setting themselves on fire in rage?

Except that you are again comparing cows with horses.

We are talking about nicknames here. It has been common practice on forums, irc, games, etc, that whoever registers a nickname first, owns it! The forum (lets just take the forum as an example!) however, can choose to restrict it by allowing 1 account per e-mail address. XWIS does this by allowing 9 nicknames per serial.

Now, when you are saying it's unfair and so on, lets say I have the excellent idea to begin my own beer company (server)! I buy the tools to create my beer (dedicated server) and i begin brewing. Though, my veer is hardly being sold. This could be because of the supermarkets (XWIS listings) hardly showing my beer. Would you rage at those supermarkets aswell because you're beginning something that already is LOADED with other, already used products?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08
for the purposes of our example, you'd be getting them for free.

Does it change the fact though that he was still the first, and he can STILL do what he wants with it?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08
i think you don't follow me.

TT's position is that each community can choose for itself whether to use the pointsfix. right? i agree with this. most of jelly say they agree with this.

but when i actually tried using the pointsfix at clanwars, i was told that i WASN'T allowed to do that. it was sabotaged by a campaign of lies, manipulation and rigging polls, all done by a bunch of cheaters. and yet everyone who's looked at this situation has supported the cheaters who subverted the admin's decision, instead of supporting the admin himself.

So, then rage at them, ban them, whatever. You're pulling in something completely unrelated to the discussion at hand here. What the fuck does your bloody cw.cc league has to do with Jelly's high hostnames?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08now, if you side with the cheaters instead of me, then don't go saying you agree with each community deciding for itself whether to use the pointsfix, because it just isn't true. if you really thought that, you'd be on my side all the way.

Sure I agree with each community being able to choose what they want. That does not automatically mean that I will also rig polls etc. as you have claimed. Are you really thinking that every single person who is not completely in favor of your holy grail (Read: Pointsfix) is a cheater, liar, etc?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:22:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tell you what, I'll host 2 x 40 player servers, one points fix and one standard, will that make you happy in your pants?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:25:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:18You seem to forget tried the points fix for 18 months, I wanted it to stay, I liked it, I still do. Thing is "my community" < -- key phrase here so take note, they didn't want it, they made it crystal clear they didn't want it. So to avoid being a dictator as you call me, I removed the fix at the request of the people who play in my server. I don't care whether you removed the pointsfix. You seem to want to reduce everything I've said to

"spoony is only saying this because the pointsfix isn't there". Go look at my reaction to your announcement that the pointsfix was being removed; it was very courteous, i.e. nothing like the way your community always treats me.

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:18We've done plenty to keep renegade active, we host good servers that are well moderated, we've played over 20 community matches over 5 years nearly against other communities, we organise internal tournaments between servers, we've had 1v1 tournaments open to anyone, 2v2 tournaments open to anyone.

Yeah, so? Why does this invalidate my criticism of your monopoly? I guess if I wanted to say: "pointsfix is being made compulsory now" and anybody spoke out against it, i could dismiss their criticism by saying "but i hosted a clan ladder for years!"

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:18Yet despite all this I'm still the bad guy. If that's what you think Spoony there is not one thing i can say to you to change what you think, and what you're trying to get everyone else to think about me. That is why I said you can fuck off, because I'm comfortable with how I've conducted myself in the game, and if you don't like it, you can do as you please.

I am sure you will come to your senses. I did not set out to make you the "bad guy". I did not publicly attack you. I simply posted completely legitimate criticism of the monopoly you have on the game listings. You reacted by basically saying: fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it.

Compare this to the incredible lengths I have gone to accommodate people who don't agree with me on the pointsfix; we've basically given them everything they wanted. You can't see the difference between my position and yours?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:26:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:59i talked about the pointsystem only.
glitches and bugs wich got patched are ok, but a pointsystem is somehow different, or??

No. The old point system was bugged. Getting 20 points for dealing 5 damage is a bug. It was not intended, and even if it was intended then my respect for Westwood is suddenly gone and I don't care what they intended.

It's a bug. It's going to be fixed. This is why it's properly called a "Pointfix", since it's the equivalent of a patch (And would be if there was anyone around to patch it). That's also the reason why anyone who calls it a "Pointsmod" is a huge dicktree.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#)y on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:27:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:22Tell you what, I'll host 2 x 40 player servers, one

points fix and one standard, will that make you happy in your pants?

I'm a little confused here, are you finally budging an inch (although remember, TT gave you everything you asked for) or are you just trying to get me to say yes so you can go HA!!!!...

it seems like you're still missing the point. here is the point.

people say: if we want to use the points bug, we should be able to, we shouldn't need TT's or spoony's permission.

ok, fine. i accept that.

but if anyone wants a new server and they want it to have a hope of getting any players, they do need YOUR permission, and neither you nor any of your staff have said anything that justifies this. indeed anybody who challenges this situation gets treated with contempt!

by stark contrast, when people criticised TT over the pointsfix, we went to incredible lengths to accommodate them. we tried several compromises, all of which were rejected. eventually we just decided to give them everything they wanted.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:32:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:25Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:18You seem to forget tried the points fix for 18 months, I wanted it to stay, I liked it, I still do. Thing is "my community" < -- key phrase here so take note, they didn't want it, they made it crystal clear they didn't want it. So to avoid being a dictator as you call me, I removed the fix at the request of the people who play in my server.

I don't care whether you removed the pointsfix. You seem to want to reduce everything I've said to "spoony is only saying this because the pointsfix isn't there". Go look at my reaction to your announcement that the pointsfix was being removed; it was very courteous, i.e. nothing like the way your community always treats me.

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:18We've done plenty to keep renegade active, we host good servers that are well moderated, we've played over 20 community matches over 5 years nearly against other communities, we organise internal tournaments between servers, we've had 1v1 tournaments open to anyone, 2v2 tournaments open to anyone.

Yeah, so? Why does this invalidate my criticism of your monopoly? I guess if I wanted to say: "pointsfix is being made compulsory now" and anybody spoke out against it, i could dismiss their criticism by saying "but i hosted a clan ladder for years!"

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:18Yet despite all this I'm still the bad guy. If that's what you think Spoony there is not one thing i can say to you to change what you think, and what you're trying to get everyone else to think about me. That is why I said you can fuck off, because I'm comfortable with how I've conducted myself in the game, and if you don't like it, you can do as you please.

I am sure you will come to your senses. I did not set out to make you the "bad guy". I did not publicly attack you. I simply posted completely legitimate criticism of the monopoly you have on the game listings. You reacted by basically saying: fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it.

Compare this to the incredible lengths I have gone to accommodate people who don't agree with me on the pointsfix; we've basically given them everything they wanted. You can't see the difference between my position and yours?

You never came to me directly about your issues, did you? why is that?

You came out out all guns blazing on a public forum looking for support.

You're clever enough to know that when you come out on a public forum calling me a dictator it's a pretty derisory comment, so don't play the mr nice guy card on me, you knew perfectly well what your approach was going to be.. I'm a pretty straight forward dude, you know me in person so you should know that, yet you couldn't come to me direct? what is with that? You'd have been suprised at the response I expect.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:35:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:32 You never came to me directly about your issues, did you? why is that?

You came out out all guns blazing on a public forum looking for support.

Why say this publicly? I would've thought that was obvious. Because this is an important issue and it ought to be talked about openly, not by shady dealings behind closed doors like it's always been about up till now.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:35:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:27 Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:22 Tell you what, I'll host 2 x 40 player servers, one points fix and one standard, will that make you happy in your pants?

I'm a little confused here, are you finally budging an inch (although remember, TT gave you everything you asked for) or are you just trying to get me to say yes so you can go HA!!!...

it seems like you're still missing the point. here is the point.

people say: if we want to use the points bug, we should be able to, we shouldn't need TT's or spoony's permission.

ok, fine. i accept that.

but if anyone wants a new server and they want it to have a hope of getting any players, they do need YOUR permission, and neither you nor any of your staff have said anything that justifies this. indeed anybody who challenges this situation gets treated with contempt!

by stark contrast, when people criticised TT over the pointsfix, we went to incredible lengths to accommodate them. we tried several compromises, all of which were rejected. eventually we just decided to give them everything they wanted.

No, read my last post, it is something I've been thinking for a while. I like the fix, I never wanted it off. I did it to please the community. I've said numerous times to people I like the fix.

If you'd come to me I would have told you about my thoughts on 2 x servers and ran that by you. But no, you came out here looking for a public flogging..

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:37:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:35Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:32You never came to me directly about your issues, did you? why is that?

You came out out all guns blazing on a public forum looking for support.
Why say this publicly? I would've thought that was obvious. Because this is an important issue and it ought to be talked about openly, not by shady dealings behind closed doors like it's always been about up till now.

There's nothing shady about coming to me directly...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:43:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We do not appear to be noting the point of the topic, we're devolving into pointsfix related arguments, and this has nothing to do with that.

This might be clearer if I had made the post, as I have no TT involvement, or "pointsfix-pushing" history etc etc etc.

The question is: Why does Jelly (Yes, I know that he registered them first, let me finish) get to control the top half of the server listing? That puts the power of who gets the bulk of the newer players into their servers into Jelly's hands, new players make or break new communities. Why is Jelly responsible for that? (I feel I should point out I play at Jelly, and have done for some time, I was even on the temp list for a while)

It's quite simple, why does the fact that Jelly was first to register the nicknames give him the right to decide who gets the bulk of new player influx. I wouldn't be asking if he registered the top two or three, he's got 7? 8? Jelly doesn't host that many servers, that appears, to me, disproportional.

With NO mention of pointsfix please answer the question:

Quote:

Why does Jelly get to decide which (new) communities get the newer players?

(My reasons for coming to the conclusion that newer players join the one of the first servers they see are too obvious to be typed out)

Edit at Jelly himself, I think Spooky finds it "shady" that any deals relating to which servers get more exposure are carried out in private. Given that coming to you directly does not put the conversation into a public forum such as this one is what is irking Spooky, I would think.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 19:47:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:35No, read my last post, it is something I've been thinking for a while. I like the fix, I never wanted it off. I did it to please the community. I've said numerous times to people I like the fix.

If you'd come to me I would have told you about my thoughts on 2 x servers and ran that by you. But no, you came out here looking for a public flogging.. You remember my thread called "thoughts on the ladder".

from my first post:

i also think that at some point there will be a server with the 'pure' strategic settings, perhaps TT will host it as the 'official' server for ladder play, or perhaps i'll do it independently (think SpookySrv). basically so there is always somewhere for people to play for ladder, disregarding any current community bias and whatnot, and to prevent one community having a stranglehold on the ladder. (i'd need an a00 nick for that though. i'm sure i don't need to point out the futility of starting a new server without one.)

i have actually wondered who to talk to about helping me run this, didn't i ask you?

if you do wanna sort this out together, that would be great. it would be something of a compromise between our positions, but it wouldn't invalidate anything i've said about the listings... (and remember, TT basically gave the anti-pointsfix crowd EVERYTHING they wanted)

Jellybe4n wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:35There's nothing shady about coming to me directly...

Interesting you think that. I wonder if I did say this to you privately and you said yes, what everyone else who wants an a00 name would think about the situation, knowing that you and I are renebuddies and friends in real life. You don't think people would see it as cronyism? They'd just see it as me trying to secure some benefit from myself out of a flawed system, instead of fixing the problem like TT probably ought to be doing.

zell, read the thread, please, every single post especially mine, then come back and give your opinions when you actually know what we're talking about

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ZeLL](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:01:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why because i stand up for what i believe made this game great? i have told spoony plenty of times i enjoy renegade how it has always been the way it is still played i have tried to pointsfix and i did not enjoy it so much i told him this and he said its ok u have ur opinion and then he turns around and starts attacking the jelly community and jelly himself for having control of the a00 names?

all this because jelly got the names first and spoony all of a sudden has every right to do w.e he wants with this game

if all ur going to do is call me a moron without telling me what u disagree with u can just stfu and stay out of the topic and consider urself a moron

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:08:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know, I can't say I recall a clause in Renegade's license saying nicknames registered on the Westwood Online (XWIS) servers could be taken control of for the misguided purposes of an organization dedicated to improving the game using reverse engineered (and therefore illegal, although not so much with EA's approval) code...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:09:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:01why because i stand up for what i believe made this game great? i have told spoony plenty of times i enjoy renegade how it has always been the way it is still played i have tried to pointsfix and i did not enjoy it so much i told him this and he said its ok u have ur opinion
...so far so good

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:01and then he turns around and starts attacking the jelly community and jelly himself for having control of the a00 names?
...no. i'm not attacking the jelly community. i'm not attacking jelly himself. what i am doing is criticising the power they have but don't deserve.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:01all this because jelly got the names first and spoony all of a sudden has every right to do w.e he wants with this game
NO, NOT SPOONY.

clearly somebody must have control over the names. if it isn't someone it'll be someone else. if someone has to have this authority, i am simply saying it is better in TT's hands (the group entrusted by EA and XWIS to patch the game) than in one individual community like jelly. this is nothing to do with me personally.

back to your first post.

ZeLL wrotei cant believe u say this shit about jelly and its community when jelly has alrdy said it its given everybody a place to play for years now jelly has even backed u up on the pointsfix and because he would like his community happy he chose to take it off he has been very open to helping you improve renegade and he has tried a few things to make renegade more enjoyable(such as the armour mods and the points fix)
you start off so well... and then...

ZeLL wrotewhy should everybody in renegade play the game the way u want it?
...you crash and burn.

it's obvious you haven't read a single post of mine on the subject of the pointsfix.

Roni wroteSpoony,

Here is the cure for your itch. I already offered up my AOOOOOOOOO nick for 10,000 usd or I can rent it to you or TT to host a server for 250 USD monthly with a down payment of 5000 usd. You can be right up there with Jelly and go head to head with this community if you want. You would be 5 names from the top. How about that!! This will help you break up Jelly's so called monopoly. If you are truly serious about getting a name up top for TT to advertise itself then I suggest you dig into your pocketbook and put your money where your mouth is...

Roni

This is probably the time to compare Jelly/a00 to TT/pointsfix again.

So when you thought TT wanted to force the pointsfix on everybody, and you said that shouldn't happen... how about if we replied saying: "how much is it worth to you?"

But no, we went to incredible lengths to accommodate your opinion despite your immaturity, we suggested plenty of compromises all of which you rejected, and eventually we just gave you everything you wanted.

I'm gonna keep repeating this as often as I have to, i.e. every single time someone from Jelly or one of their beneficiaries acts like I'm out of line criticising their game listing monopoly.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:10:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08You know, I can't say I recall a clause in Renegade's license saying nicknames registered on the Westwood Online (XWIS) servers could

be taken control of for the misguided purposes of an organization dedicated to improving the game using reverse engineered (and therefore illegal, although not so much with EA's approval) code...

Thus Spoony is asking why, rather than announcing that he, TT or anyone else now have control of those nicknames...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:12:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:10Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:08You know, I can't say I recall a clause in Renegade's license saying nicknames registered on the Westwood Online (XWIS) servers could be taken control of for the misguided purposes of an organization dedicated to improving the game using reverse engineered (and therefore illegal, although not so much with EA's approval) code...

Thus Spoony is asking why, rather than announcing that he, TT or anyone else now have control of those nicknames...

Sorry, I admit that I didn't read the entire thing because it's so far proved to be the same recycled bullshit that's come up repeatedly. At this point it seems to simply be ammunition in his pro-pointmod argument.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ZeLL](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:21:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

how doesnt he deserve the a00 names? hes offered servers for people to play in hes been a good factor in how renegade has kept alive by playing community wars hes opened tournaments open to anybody who signs up

yes somebody should have control over the names hows about the person who made the names has control over them?

i dont see u respecting anyones opinions about not liking the points fix i have accepted the -50% ladder crap u are throwing at jelly to try and get us to move to the points fix

but because we do not want it even after that you start by trying to take the server owners names all because u believe people from TT patch who are associated with u(which tbh i dont feel is a very good idea considering if u ask the cw.cc community theyd have chosen jelly to lead cw.cc over u most likely, not trying to be offensive just what i believe and what other people have told me believe)

im sorry but this is showing no respect for people who have enjoyed playing renegade the way it has always been played

i get u believe that pointsfix would make things fairer etc its ur opinion gl with that

but why on earth are u trying to destroy other communities which wont run the point fix by

removing them from the games list?

your not happy with putting jelly server players at a disadvantage by giving us only 50% ladder so you keep going on n on n on?

theres a time where u have to say enough is enough

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:26:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:15 FURTHER IDIOTIC POSTING WILL RESULT IN A 24 HOUR BAN...

Good. I totally agree; This thread needs to be cleaned and a lock would stop all the idiotic posti-

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:21 how doesnt he deserve the a00 names? hes offered servers for people to play in hes been a good factor in how renegade has kept alive by playing community wars hes opened tournaments open to anybody who signs up
yes somebody should have control over the names hows about the person who made the names has control over them?

i dont see u respecting anyones opinions about not liking the points fix i have accepted the -50% ladder crap u are throwing at jelly to try and get us to move to the points fix
but because we do not want it even after that you start by trying to take the server owners names all because u believe people from TT patch who are associated with u(which tbh i dont feel is a very good idea considering if u ask the cw.cc community theyd have chosen jelly to lead cw.cc over u most likely, not trying to be offensive just what i believe and what other people have told me believe)

im sorry but this is showing no respect for people who have enjoyed playing renegade the way it has always been played

i get u believe that pointsfix would make things fairer etc its ur opinion gl with that
but why on earth are u trying to destroy other communities which wont run the point fix by removing them from the games list?

your not happy with putting jelly server players at a disadvantage by giving us only 50% ladder so you keep going on n on n on?

theres a time where u have to say enough is enough

Uh-oh.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:28:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Back in for a second

How does the pointsfix have nothing to do with the issue at hand? Read the damn topic...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:31:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21how doesnt he deserve the a00 names?
yes somebody should have control over the names hows about the person who made the names
has control over them?
because he made so many of them!

because no new server has a chance of getting players unless jelly smiles upon it!

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21i dont see u respecting anyones opinions about not
liking the points fix
then you aren't looking, end of story. we gave the anti-pointsfix crowd EVERYTHING THEY
WANTED.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21i have accepted the -50% ladder crap u are throwing
at jelly to try and get us to move to the points fix
no, it's to make the ladder better. end of story. remember the ladder? i have the task of making it
as good as it can be. i plan on doing this as good as i can. i've given plenty of reasons for the
pointsbug ladder penalty, and you either haven't read my posts on the subject or you choose to
mislead people again like you did about clanwars (i'll come back to that)

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21which tbh i dont feel is a very good idea considering if
u ask the cw.cc community theyd have chosen jelly to lead cw.cc over u most likely, not trying to
be offensive just what i believe and what other people have told me believe)
what do you mean "lead cw.cc"?

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21i get u believe that pointsfix would make things fairer
etc its ur opinion gl with that
but why on earth are u trying to destroy other communities which wont run the point fix by
removing them from the games list?
I'M NOT. READ MY POSTS AND THEN COME BACK WHEN YOU KNOW WHAT WE'RE
TALKING ABOUT.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21your not happy with putting jelly server players at a
disadvantage by giving us only 50% ladder so you keep going on n on n on?
actually the ladder penalty for the pointsbug doesn't look like it will make a difference, because
jelly seems to have disconnected their own servers from the official ladder, and they did that
BEFORE i posted about the ladder penalty.

i don't know why they did that, i found it intriguing, i asked why 3 or 4 times and haven't got an
answer yet.

anyway, back to the point. here you are making all these wrong statements about my plans for the

game listings and for the ladder. remember the last time you did this? you kept saying omg spoonys defending h2o. a quick fact check showed you were totally wrong... and again, you post all this nonsense without even reading the thread, without reading what i'm even saying.

read my posts, THEN come back and give me your opinions on them. i don't care what you think about my ideas if you don't even know what they are.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:33:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Moderator's point:The lock is temporary so I can clean without further posts causing issues.

My own point:

You are correct, the pointsfix does have something to do with this, it does, however cause a large number of people to post things which are not related to both parts of the topic. Also, these posts are typically less than... useful.

Could someone, preferably from Jelly, answer my post from page 3? The one about why Jelly gets to decide who gets new players...

Moderator's point again:

Strict moderation is on in this topic for the purposes of getting the discussion finished:

THIS THREAD HAS ADDITIONAL RULES! READ CAREFULLY BEFORE POSTING!

- 1) Anything offtopic (posted after this post) will be removed and the offending individual will receive, at least, an official warning (These are used in deciding ban length etc, so try not to get them)
- 2) Repetitive offenders will get a ban. I want this discussion to finish, and if that means I have to prevent certain people from participating, I will. I, at this point, remind you that should you feel my actions are excessive, complain to Crimson or Blazer via PM.
- 3) Please, avoid ad-hominem (That is, insulting people). It's against the forum rules anyway, and is excessive.

Let's see if we can debate this properly now.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:50:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:33Moderator's point:The lock is temporary so I can clean without further posts causing issues.

My own point:

You are correct, the pointsfix does have something to do with this, it does, however cause a large

number of people to post things which are not related to both parts of the topic. Also, these posts are typically less than... useful.

Could someone, preferably from Jelly, answer my post from page 3? The one about why Jelly gets to decide who gets new players...

Moderator's point again:

Strict moderation is on in this topic for the purposes of getting the discussion finished:

THIS THREAD HAS ADDITIONAL RULES! READ CAREFULLY BEFORE POSTING!

- 1) Anything offtopic (posted after this post) will be removed and the offending individual will receive, at least, an official warning (These are used in deciding ban length etc, so try not to get them)
- 2) Repetitive offenders will get a ban. I want this discussion to finish, and if that means I have to prevent certain people from participating, I will. I, at this point, remind you that should you feel my actions are excessive, complain to Crimson or Blazer via PM.
- 3) Please, avoid ad-hominem (That is, insulting people). It's against the forum rules anyway, and is excessive.

Let's see if we can debate this properly now.

Answer to your question, fortune. Why do people who register company's called A1 get listed first in the yellow pages? Early bird catches the worm.

Thing is though, apart from hurting a few server owners feelings, we don't do anything wrong. We don't allow cheats, we run good clean servers, and try our best to keep the community active by also involving other setups with comm games. We provide a good overall service and listen to the people who play in our servers. I'm happy with how we conduct ourselves.

I have a compromise I may be willing to offer to the relevant people, but I aint doing it on here. I will chat to the TT people if they want to..

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:55:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree that fortune should allow one player to get the nickname they want, but why should it dictate who gets to be on the top and get the new players. No one's disputing that you were clever in getting those names, but my question is why you have the right to that power, given that "fortune" is barely a justification. Your "fortune" has meant, as Spooky has said, the death of several good communities.

Also, why the private discussion, I've gone to great lengths (And size 7 text) to get this thread into a state where this can be discussed. I, as a public member of the Renegade community, would like to see the discussion which would lead to some better "fortune" for those communities with no high nickname.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 20:57:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:55I agree that fortune should allow one player to get the nickname they want, but why should it dictate who gets to be on the top and get the new players. No one's disputing that you were clever in getting those names, but my question is why you have the right to that power, given that "fortune" is barely a justification. Your "fortune" has meant, as Spoony has said, the death of several good communities.

Also, why the private discussion, I've gone to great lengths (And size 7 text) to get this thread into a state where this can be discussed. I, as a public member of the Renegade community, would like to see the discussion which would lead to some better "fortune" for those communities with no high nickname.

Why does he have the right to that power? 'Cause first-come-first-served, bro. That's how it worked from the start.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:00:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:55Also, why the private discussion, I've gone to great lengths (And size 7 text) to get this thread into a state where this can be discussed. I, as a public member of the Renegade community, would like to see the discussion which would lead to some better "fortune" for those communities with no high nickname.

Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:02:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why does that give him the arbitrary right to pick which communities live? (Yes, it really is that dramatic, look at BC, for example) The point is that at any point, any server can be consigned to a lower ranking because Jelly wants to (Not that he has done that, AFAIK, and if he has, I don't want that to become a part of this thread. Take it elsewhere, let us assume for now he hasn't) and that alone is not fair. First come first served doesn't justify the control over which communities get to have players. That's like saying whoever is older gets to have the top spots... first come first served is not a mandate to any power. Hence stable, democratic societies.

I think it does concern the playing public, Raven, it makes a big difference to them.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:04:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:02Why does that give him the arbitrary right to pick which communities live? (Yes, it really is that dramatic, look at BC, for example) The point is that at any point, any server can be consigned to a lower ranking because Jelly wants to (Not that he has done that, AFAIK, and if he has, I don't want that to become a part of this thread. Take it elsewhere, let us assume for now he hasn't) and that alone is not fair. First come first served doesn't justify the control over which communities get to have players. That's like saying whoever is older gets to have the top spots... first come first served is not a mandate to any power. Hence stable, democratic societies.

Except it was a matter of registering nicknames to play an online multiplayer game with, not with which to construct empires. I agree that it sucks to get the short end of the straw, but Jelly used entirely legitimate channels to get to where he has; why should anybody receive the right to reverse that?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:05:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:07:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community

input. Smart ass.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ZeLL](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:07:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:26CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:15FURTHER IDIOTIC POSTING WILL RESULT IN A 24 HOUR BAN...

Good. I totally agree; This thread needs to be cleaned and a lock would stop all the idiotic posti-

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:21how doesnt he deserve the a00 names? hes offered servers for people to play in hes been a good factor in how renegade has kept alive by playing community wars hes opened tournaments open to anybody who signs up
yes somebody should have control over the names hows about the person who made the names has control over them?

i dont see u respecting anyones opinions about not liking the points fix i have accepted the -50% ladder crap u are throwing at jelly to try and get us to move to the points fix
but because we do not want it even after that you start by trying to take the server owners names all because u believe people from TT patch who are associated with u(which tbh i dont feel is a very good idea considering if u ask the cw.cc community theyd have chosen jelly to lead cw.cc over u most likely, not trying to be offensive just what i believe and what other people have told me believe)

im sorry but this is showing no respect for people who have enjoyed playing renegade the way it has always been played

i get u believe that pointsfix would make things fairer etc its ur opinion gl with that
but why on earth are u trying to destroy other communities which wont run the point fix by removing them from the games list?

your not happy with putting jelly server players at a disadvantage by giving us only 50% ladder so you keep going on n on n on?

theres a time where u have to say enough is enough

Uh-oh.

u should probaly ban this nerd for doing nothing but just sitting on his thumb all day calling other people stupid

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:31ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21how doesnt he deserve the a00 names?

yes somebody should have control over the names hows about the person who made the names has control over them?

because he made so many of them!

because no new server has a chance of getting players unless jelly smiles upon it!

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21 i dont see u respecting anyones opinions about not liking the points fix
then you aren't looking, end of story. we gave the anti-pointsfix crowd EVERYTHING THEY WANTED.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21 i have accepted the -50% ladder crap u are throwing at jelly to try and get us to move to the points fix
no, it's to make the ladder better. end of story. remember the ladder? i have the task of making it as good as it can be. i plan on doing this as good as i can. i've given plenty of reasons for the pointsbug ladder penalty, and you either haven't read my posts on the subject or you choose to mislead people again like you did about clanwars (i'll come back to that)

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21 which tbh i dont feel is a very good idea considering if u ask the cw.cc community theyd have chosen jelly to lead cw.cc over u most likely, not trying to be offensive just what i believe and what other people have told me believe)
what do you mean "lead cw.cc"?

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21 i get u believe that pointsfix would make things fairer etc its ur opinion gl with that
but why on earth are u trying to destroy other communities which wont run the point fix by removing them from the games list?
I'M NOT. READ MY POSTS AND THEN COME BACK WHEN YOU KNOW WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:21 your not happy with putting jelly server players at a disadvantage by giving us only 50% ladder so you keep going on n on n on?
actually the ladder penalty for the pointsbug doesn't look like it will make a difference, because jelly seems to have disconnected their own servers from the official ladder, and they did that BEFORE i posted about the ladder penalty.

i don't know why they did that, i found it intriguing, i asked why 3 or 4 times and haven't got an answer yet.

anyway, back to the point. here you are making all these wrong statements about my plans for the game listings and for the ladder. remember the last time you did this? you kept saying omg spoonys defending h2o. a quick fact check showed you were totally wrong... and again, you post all this nonsense without even reading the thread, without reading what i'm even saying.

read my posts, THEN come back and give me your opinions on them. i don't care what you think about my ideas if you don't even know what they are.

like i said ive read ur reasons for the pointsbug ladder penalty and i told u before ive accepted the 50% ladder but i dont believe u should have the right to strip away jellys servers just to replace them with servers which have points fix all ur doing is trying to eliminate the current point systems servers

this is why i do not agree with what ur saying and trying to accomplish

i think if jelly gets the names first hes entitled to keep them and do whatever he wishes

by leading cw.cc i meant become the admin of it and run the league sorry wasnt clear about it ive alrdy told u about the h2o thing i read ur topic i believed what u was saying was defending h2o but when u explained i got it, i dont see why u had to bring this up it has nothing to do with this situation its just once again u always resort to this kind of crap in some way to try and attempt to get into a fight with him if u seriously want to make everything clear not only to me but others aswell maybe u should try not to get a rise out of people and talk to them like people. if u did this in the first place u wouldnt have made such a ridiculous topic and u n jelly wouldve most likely came to some sort of conclusion or something fair everybody knows jelly is a reasonable person to talk to. even u have to admit u was wrong to speak publicly about this

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:09:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

@ Ethanal:

No one's forcibly reversing that, if they were, I doubt they'd have made a topic, they'd have just done it. We're trying to prove that, as no one is forcibly reversing anything, the fact that Jelly owns so many names is not exactly fair on new communities or any he may take a hypothetical dislike to, and thus this topic is also a request to Jelly to consider that. (The pointsfix is involved, as Jelly does not, for reasons that have no bearing on this thread, use the pointsfix, and Spoony would like a Pointsfix, 0 Start credits etc etc server somewhere towards the top of the listings)

@ Dover, NO IMAGE MACROS. FFS.

@ Zell. Moderator me says: No ad-hominem please, I want a proper discussion.

I say (Also @Zell): Spoony is simply trying to lower the degree of control Jelly has. Not remove Jelly from the top of the list. Doing so would be idiotic in the extreme.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:09:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethanal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't

have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:11:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!

Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:14:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal

wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:19:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

The difference is that EA requires that TT's project's results must be approved by the collective of the community. They can happily release it however they want to, but then the people that manually download it agree to the terms of the project. If EA pushes it, then they're forced to agree. On the otherhand, it says nowhere that nicknames registered on WOL are public domain. Jelly can do whatever he wants with them regardless of whether they affect the rest of the community, because nobody said he couldn't (nobody that mattered, anyway).

EDIT: Whoa there, nobody was talking about Jelly's server. Just his nicknames.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:19:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09@ Ethenal:

No one's forcibly reversing that, if they were, I doubt they'd have made a topic, they'd have just done it. We're trying to prove that, as no one is forcibly reversing anything, the fact that Jelly owns so many names is not exactly fair on new communities or any he may take a hypothetical dislike to, and thus this topic is also a request to Jelly to consider that. (The pointsfix is involved, as Jelly does not, for reasons that have no bearing on this thread, use the pointsfix, and Spoon would like a Pointsfix, 0 Start credits etc etc server somewhere towards the top of the listings)

Yes, but wouldn't that be the hypothetical solution were people to agree it was unfair to other communities?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:21:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!

Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Your argument is that there is no higher authority, so that there is no obligation at all to do anything? Nice morals. (Not a personal comment, just pointing out that those should always be present)

Edit, I would hope that logic, common sense, morals and politeness could factor in creating a decision with no need for any authority wielding.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:23:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:21Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the

community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Your argument is that there is no higher authority, so that there is no obligation at all to do anything? Nice morals. (Not a personal comment, just pointing out that those should always be present)

Except morals aren't a factor if we're questioning the fairness of the situation now are they?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:23:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:19Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

The difference is that EA requires that TT's project's results must be approved by the collective of the community. They can happily release it however they want to, but then the people that manually download it agree to the terms of the project. If EA pushes it, then they're forced to agree. On the otherhand, it says nowhere that nicknames registered on WOL are public domain. Jelly can do whatever he wants with them regardless of whether they affect the rest of the community, because nobody said he couldn't (nobody that mattered, anyway).

EA hasn't rejected the project yet, and all signs point that they'll give the go-ahead since it'll get the Renegade community off their back, at least temporarily. If EA were to voice some kind of concern with the direction TT was taking, you might have a point here. But as it is they don't give two shits about us.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hitman](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:23:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

its true tho, just because he happened to sign onto xwis 1 minute prior to other people he managed to make all the server names his that the average joe on renegade just joins up on to play a quick game, there are barely any servers alive other than all the jelly servers... but they are ran quite good i must say so i dont really care what happens, just saying its not competely fair... but its not that big of a deal aslong as they try to support the community and give them a good time while ingame

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ZeLL](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:25:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:09@ Ethanal:

No one's forcibly reversing that, if they were, I doubt they'd have made a topic, they'd have just done it. We're trying to prove that, as no one is forcibly reversing anything, the fact that Jelly owns so many names is not exactly fair on new communities or any he may take a hypothetical dislike to, and thus this topic is also a request to Jelly to consider that. (The pointsfix is involved, as Jelly does not, for reasons that have no bearing on this thread, use the pointsfix, and Spoony would like a Pointsfix, 0 Start credits etc etc server somewhere towards the top of the listings)

@ Dover, NO IMAGE MACROS. FFS.

@ Zell. Moderator me says: No ad-hominem please, I want a proper discussion.

I say (Also @Zell): Spoony is simply trying to lower the degree of control Jelly has. Not remove Jelly from the top of the list. Doing so would be idiotic in the extreme.

if spoony talked to jelly privately there would have easily been a agreement rather then talk publicly to this jelly has prooved he is open to spoonys ideas and has offered him a name for spoony to put a tt points fix server in 1 of the a00 names everybody knows jelly would have considered spoonys request into giving some of the control to tt admins i just find this ridiculous because he made this public when there was definately no need for it like spoony says they are friends and they should easily have been able to come to a conclusion this is why alot of the jelly players have reacted badly towards this cause this looks nothing like spoony trying to ask for some support from jelly it looks like hes trying to force us to give him the names and obviously the points fix is involved it always has been with spoony idc if spoony says he didnt mean to get a bad reaction out of jelly or start a fight with them because it certainly looks like it was his sole purpose and obviously he got it

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:25:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:23Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:19Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

The difference is that EA requires that TT's project's results must be approved by the collective of the community. They can happily release it however they want to, but then the people that manually download it agree to the terms of the project. If EA pushes it, then they're forced to agree. On the otherhand, it says nowhere that nicknames registered on WOL are public domain. Jelly can do whatever he wants with them regardless of whether they affect the rest of the community, because nobody said he couldn't (nobody that mattered, anyway).

EA hasn't rejected the project yet, and all signs point that they'll give the go-ahead since it'll get the Renegade community off their back, at least temporarily. If EA were to voice some kind of concern with the direction TT was taking, you might have a point here. But as it is they don't give two shits about us.

I apologize then, because I was speaking of the terms of the situation; not of what would be most likely to actually occur.

Subject: Re: The Pointfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:26:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:23CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:21Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Your argument is that there is no higher authority, so that there is no obligation at all to do anything? Nice morals. (Not a personal comment, just pointing out that those should always be present)

Except morals aren't a factor if we're questioning the fairness of the situation now are they?

Pardon? How else is one judging fairness?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:29:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:25Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:23Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:19Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

The difference is that EA requires that TT's project's results must be approved by the collective of the community. They can happily release it however they want to, but then the people that manually download it agree to the terms of the project. If EA pushes it, then they're forced to agree. On the otherhand, it says nowhere that nicknames registered on WOL are public domain. Jelly can do whatever he wants with them regardless of whether they affect the rest of the community, because nobody said he couldn't (nobody that mattered, anyway).

EA hasn't rejected the project yet, and all signs point that they'll give the go-ahead since it'll get the Renegade community off their back, at least temporarily. If EA were to voice some kind of concern with the direction TT was taking, you might have a point here. But as it is they don't give two shits about us.

I apologize then, because I was speaking of the terms of the situation; not of what would be most likely to actually occur.

What's "the situation"? Beyond not being likely to voice any complaints, EA isn't voicing any complaints currently and hasn't voiced any in the past. What lead you to believe anything different was going on (Currently, in terms of the situation)?

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:09

@ Dover, NO IMAGE MACROS. FFS.

Sorry, Carrier. I do respect you, the moderation staff, and the rules of this forum, but when presented with "b& this nurd pl0x", there are only so many directions the discussion can take.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:30:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:26Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

22:23CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:21Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

22:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009

14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix

crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Your argument is that there is no higher authority, so that there is no obligation at all to do anything? Nice morals. (Not a personal comment, just pointing out that those should always be present)

Except morals aren't a factor if we're questioning the fairness of the situation now are they?

Pardon? How else is one judging fairness?

Fairness can be defined in a few ways, so sorry; I'm going by the fact that it was in accordance with the rules, because it was; whether or not it was immoral seems to me like it would be beyond the scope of the argument, because it isn't anyone but EA's choice to make.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:31:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And so you're comfortable with other people's hard work getting nowhere because they weren't there when XWIS went live and didn't get their a00 quickly enough. I know I wouldn't like that.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:31:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:30CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:26Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:23CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:21Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community

input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

Your argument is that there is no higher authority, so that there is no obligation at all to do anything? Nice morals. (Not a personal comment, just pointing out that those should always be present)

Except morals aren't a factor if we're questioning the fairness of the situation now are they?

Pardon? How else is one judging fairness?

Fairness can be defined in a few ways, so sorry; I'm going by the fact that it was in accordance with the rules, because it was; whether or not it was immoral seems to me like it would be beyond the scope of the argument, because it isn't anyone but EA's choice to make.

You're confusing "fair" with "legal". They aren't the same thing.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:32:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:29Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:25Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:23Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:19Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

The difference is that EA requires that TT's project's results must be approved by the collective of the community. They can happily release it however they want to, but then the people that manually download it agree to the terms of the project. If EA pushes it, then they're forced to agree. On the otherhand, it says nowhere that nicknames registered on WOL are public domain. Jelly can do whatever he wants with them regardless of whether they affect the rest of the community, because nobody said he couldn't (nobody that mattered, anyway).

EA hasn't rejected the project yet, and all signs point that they'll give the go-ahead since it'll get the Renegade community off their back, at least temporarily. If EA were to voice some kind of concern with the direction TT was taking, you might have a point here. But as it is they don't give two shits about us.

I apologize then, because I was speaking of the terms of the situation; not of what would be most likely to actually occur.

What's "the situation"? Beyond not being likely to voice any complaints, EA isn't voicing any complaints currently and hasn't voiced any in the past. What lead you to believe anything different was going on (Currently, in terms of the situation)?

As I said, I was only going by what was actually stated by EA; I wasn't making an assumption about whether or not EA actually gave a damn about TT, at which point I would agree with you, they probably don't give two shits about us.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:33:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:32Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:29Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:25Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:23Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:19Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:11Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:09Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:07Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:05raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:00Simple, it doesn't concern anyone but the administration of Jelly (in this case, Jelly himself) and the administration of TT.

You're right. Those are the only people any such discussion would affect. It definitely wouldn't have an effect on anybody else, or on the community as a whole. That's why decisions on other issues like pointfix should be taken solely by the TT administration, with no input from the community as a whole.

Oh wait...

It would be in any case his decision and his only, so it does not infact require any community input. Smart ass.

You're right! And I'm agreeing with you! That's why TT should say "Fuck you!" to the anti-pointfix crowd and do whatever they're going to do anyway, since it's their project and their decision only, so it does not infact require any community input. I'm glad we're on the same page.

It's so nice to meet another community member who support mandatory pointfix!
Only difference: those nicknames belong to Jelly and Jelly only, but TT's right to push their patch is required by EA to have community support. Try again.

And TT's project is their sole property. What difference does it make that the results of that project will be used by others? Jelly's servers are used by people other than him. They're even occasionally used by people who don't consider themselves part of the Jelly community (Like myself).

Jelly's server is his sole property = cool.

TT's project is their sole intellectual property = great

Jelly's server is used by other people who aren't Jelly or Jelly-affiliated = Awesome

TT's project will be used by people outside TT = ???

The difference is that EA requires that TT's project's results must be approved by the collective of the community. They can happily release it however they want to, but then the people that manually download it agree to the terms of the project. If EA pushes it, then they're forced to agree. On the otherhand, it says nowhere that nicknames registered on WOL are public domain. Jelly can do whatever he wants with them regardless of whether they affect the rest of the community, because nobody said he couldn't (nobody that mattered, anyway).

EA hasn't rejected the project yet, and all signs point that they'll give the go-ahead since it'll get the Renegade community off their back, at least temporarily. If EA were to voice some kind of concern with the direction TT was taking, you might have a point here. But as it is they don't give two shits about us.

I apologize then, because I was speaking of the terms of the situation; not of what would be most likely to actually occur.

What's "the situation"? Beyond not being likely to voice any complaints, EA isn't voicing any complaints currently and hasn't voiced any in the past. What lead you to believe anything different was going on (Currently, in terms of the situation)?

As I said, I was only going by what was actually stated by EA; I wasn't making an assumption about whether or not EA actually gave a damn about TT, at which point I would agree with you, they probably don't give two shits about us.

And as I said, that changes nothing in terms of this debate.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:34:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:31 And so you're comfortable with other people's hard work getting nowhere because they weren't there when XWIS went live and didn't get their a00 quickly enough. I know I wouldn't like that.

I don't think that gives them the right to take control of Jelly's nicknames, no. I don't disagree at all that it's NOT very favorable to them though.

EDIT: forgot the most important word...

Dover: yeah, I probably should have used legal. If you look in a dictionary, the definition of fairness isn't the most specific one.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:37:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Which is why (For the heck-knows how many times) NO ONE is taking control of ANYTHING. We're simply trying to get some morals and "fairness" into the procedure, something that, due to the lack of an interested higher authority, is lacking.

(Fun fact, change "interested" for "interesting" and my post is rather amusing!)

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:38:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The whole argument concerning nicknames is moot anyway; as soon as the issue of whether or not the pointsmod is mandatory comes into play, nicknames will no longer play a role in a server's prospective playerbase. It was obviously just extra ammunition for Spooky.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:39:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:37 Which is why (For the heck-knows how many times) NO ONE is taking control of ANYTHING. We're simply trying to get some morals and "fairness" into the procedure, something that, due to the lack of an interested higher authority, is lacking.

(Fun fact, change "interested" for "interesting" and my post is rather amusing!)

What procedure? The nicknames being registered by Jelly took place a long time ago, there's no procedure left to go through.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:42:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:38The whole argument concerning nicknames is moot anyway; as soon as the issue of whether or not the pointsmod is mandatory comes into play, nicknames will no longer play a role in a server's prospective playerbase. It was obviously just extra ammunition for Spoony.

I'll take this a surrender. I await your proposed terms.

Just kidding.

You're right on the first bit. I won't speculate as to Spoony's motives. You seem to be implying that this thread has been a waste of time, but personally I've learned plenty; One thing I have learned as a result of this thread is that there are some particularly patriotic individual members of Jelly's community that shouldn't be allowed anywhere near keyboards, and that there is actually quite a bit of widespread support for mandatory pointfix.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:43:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I liked where this debate was going! Please don't cop out!

I don't think Spoony was looking for ammunition for anything. No one's taking anything or forcibly changing anything, I'm simply trying to get a public forum for this topic available.

I agree that pointsfix will play a part, and that is one of Spoony's concerns, he is correct in that there is no top-page server with the pointsfix enabled currently, something he, as a personal advocate of the pointsfix, is unhappy with. If you note, however, that in his post he states that this is merely an example, it may be the worst one to bring up.

Let's replace it with the hypothetical situation that there were no marathon servers (or even more weirdly, no AOW servers) on the top page. That wouldn't be useful as an image to present to new players, who then get an experience that is wildly different to anything they expected, and will probably have the patience to find. Can we continue in that context? It's the same reason, in that there is no XXX enabled server in the top page listings.

Edit: The "procedure" being the process by which servers/communities get to the top of the listing (IE, the fact the list is sorted by server name by default on un-scripted new player's versions)

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:44:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:42Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:38The whole argument concerning nicknames is moot anyway; as soon as the issue of whether or not the pointsmoD is mandatory comes into play, nicknames will no longer play a role in a server's prospective playerbase. It was obviously just extra ammunition for SpooDy.

I'll take this a surrender. I await your proposed terms.

Just kidding.

You're right on the first bit. I won't speculate as to SpooDy's motives. You seem to be implying that this thread has been a waste of time, but personally I've learned plenty; One thing I have learned as a result of this thread is that there are some particularly patriotic individual members of Jelly's community that shouldn't be allowed anywhere near keyboards, and that there is actually quite a bit of widespread support for mandatory pointflox.

Actually, there's been quite a few times I've hammered on Jelly until the cows came home. I haven't been a patriotic defender of Jelly for two years, believe me on that. My posts don't even represent my thoughts on the issue; I just thought I'd jump into the argument like everyone else.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:56:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:43

Edit: The "procedure" being the process by which servers/communities get to the top of the listing (IE, the fact the list is sorted by server name by default on un-scripted new player's versions)

Oh, well if you mean that, then that's already decided: servers will be arranged by playercount, therefore nickname will have no bearing on a server's playerbase. That's why I said SpooDy was simply using that as ammunition: when the project this whole debate is about comes to fruition, the question of a00 nicknames will be entirely null, because all clients will be forced (by default) to see servers based on the total players in the server. If they chose to sort by nicknames, then that's entirely their own choice.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:57:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:44Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:42Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:38The whole argument concerning nicknames is moot anyway; as soon as the issue of whether or not the pointsmoD is mandatory comes into play, nicknames will no longer play a role in a server's prospective playerbase. It was obviously just extra ammunition for SpooDy.

I'll take this a surrender. I await your proposed terms.

Just kidding.

You're right on the first bit. I won't speculate as to Spoon's motives. You seem to be implying that this thread has been a waste of time, but personally I've learned plenty; One thing I have learned as a result of this thread is that there are some particularly patriotic individual members of Jelly's community that shouldn't be allowed anywhere near keyboards, and that there is actually quite a bit of widespread support for mandatory pointfix.

Actually, there's been quite a few times I've hammered on Jelly until the cows came home. I haven't been a patriotic defender of Jelly for two years, believe me on that. My posts don't even represent my thoughts on the issue; I just thought I'd jump into the argument like everyone else.

I was referring specifically to Zell. Why would I want to keep you away from a keyboard? We might disagree on the logical validity of your argument, but at least you're communicating it in a clear manner. Zell on the other hand puts the English language to shame.

Just to clear the mixup.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:02:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:57Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:44Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:42Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:38The whole argument concerning nicknames is moot anyway; as soon as the issue of whether or not the pointsmod is mandatory comes into play, nicknames will no longer play a role in a server's prospective playerbase. It was obviously just extra ammunition for Spoon.

I'll take this a surrender. I await your proposed terms.

Just kidding.

You're right on the first bit. I won't speculate as to Spoon's motives. You seem to be implying that this thread has been a waste of time, but personally I've learned plenty; One thing I have learned as a result of this thread is that there are some particularly patriotic individual members of Jelly's community that shouldn't be allowed anywhere near keyboards, and that there is actually quite a bit of widespread support for mandatory pointfix.

Actually, there's been quite a few times I've hammered on Jelly until the cows came home. I haven't been a patriotic defender of Jelly for two years, believe me on that. My posts don't even represent my thoughts on the issue; I just thought I'd jump into the argument like everyone else.

I was referring specifically to Zell. Why would I want to keep you away from a keyboard? We might disagree on the logical validity of your argument, but at least you're communicating it in a clear manner. Zell on the other hand puts the English language to shame.

Just to clear the mixup.

Haha yeah I read it the first time. I'm fully aware of the logical validity of my post, but I'm simply arguing it in terms of the concrete legality.

My own thoughts on the situation:

a00 nicknames: Personally I don't care as I've in general played on servers that actually have players (which of course actually helps this argument), but I do agree that it is unfair to new competition. But as far as I can see, there's not much to be done about it at this point; TT's listing changes should solve it.

pointsm0d: Hate it with a passion, but there's only one reason for this: I, in typical human fashion, am afraid of change. I have loved this game with the points system it has always had, and I do not think it should be changed this late in the game. However, I understand that it is in fact bugged and flawed. Now the question of whether I give a shit is a whole different story, albeit a short one: hell no.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:03:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:56CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:43
Edit: The "procedure" being the process by which servers/communities get to the top of the listing (IE, the fact the list is sorted by server name by default on un-scripted new player's versions)

Oh, well if you mean that, then that's already decided: servers will be arranged by playercount, therefore nickname will have no bearing on a server's playerbase. That's why I said Spooky was simply using that as ammunition: when the project this whole debate is about comes to fruition, the question of a00 nicknames will be entirely null, because all clients will be forced (by default) to see servers based on the total players in the server. If they chose to sort by nicknames, then that's entirely their own choice.

In the (potentially) long meantime, why should this current setup continue? Changing this would be the matter of a few minutes work, and benefit many, and the game community as a whole, yet nothing is done. It's silly.

Moderator's point @ Zell, haven't you noticed some of your posts (which generally contained ad-hominem / insulting comments) have been removed? Stop making them!

Dover, stop responding to him at all please.

I am now going to bed (Yes, I do other things except moderate!). I'm also out for most of tomorrow. You'll all have to behave yourselves (I'm posting this, so that you know that just because I've been hitting F5 on this for the last hour, and have suddenly stopped) does NOT mean I don't intend to carry on. Warnings will be issued to those who will be getting them

tommorow. Please try to behave.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:06:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:03Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:56CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:43

Edit: The "procedure" being the process by which servers/communities get to the top of the listing (IE, the fact the list is sorted by server name by default on un-scripted new player's versions)

Oh, well if you mean that, then that's already decided: servers will be arranged by playercount, therefore nickname will have no bearing on a server's playerbase. That's why I said Spooky was simply using that as ammunition: when the project this whole debate is about comes to fruition, the question of a00 nicknames will be entirely null, because all clients will be forced (by default) to see servers based on the total players in the server. If they chose to sort by nicknames, then that's entirely their own choice.

In the (potentially) long meantime, why should this current setup continue? Changing this would be the matter of a few minutes work, and benefit many, and the game community as a whole, yet nothing is done. It's silly.

Moderator's point @ Zell, haven't you noticed some of your posts (which generally contained ad-hominem / insulting comments) have been removed? Stop making them!

I only believe it shouldn't be changed if Jelly wishes to keep it the way it is, because they're his nicknames. If he decides to be charitable and helps out the rest of the community, then that's wonderful.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:09:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm, I don't see why that's fair. Jelly has done well for himself, I agree, but in a situation where we have to manage ourselves (IE, neither XWIS nor EA care) I think a little more maturity on his part would be nice. The fact he's willing to discuss, albeit in private, is good. I would like the contents of that discussion (bar passwords etc) in the public domain though.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:11:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:09Hmm, I don't see why that's fair. Jelly has done well for himself, I agree, but in a situation where we have to manage ourselves (IE, neither XWIS nor EA care) I think a little more maturity on his part would be nice. The fact he's willing to discuss, albeit in private, is good. I would like the contents of that discussion (bar passwords etc) in the public domain though.

And that's where the age old quote comes in: life isn't fair. It's always the clever one getting there first, and then the rest slamming him/her for it; in reality, they're just pissed they didn't think of it first. Hell, in most cases it's only fair because of dumb luck that the person who got there first happens to have a heart.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:12:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the Mature thing to do would have been to discuss this with him in private before going public declaring him the devil, for lack of better words.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:14:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:02a00 nicknames: Personally I don't care as I've in general played on servers that actually have players (which of course actually helps this argument), but I do agree that it is unfair to new competition. But as far as I can see, there's not much to be done about it at this point; TT's listing changes should solve it.

I'm glad we can agree.

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:02pointsmod: Hate it with a passion, but there's only one reason for this: I, in typical human fashion, am afraid of change. I have loved this game with the points system it has always had, and I do not think it should be changed this late in the game. However, I understand that it is in fact bugged and flawed. Now the question of whether I give a shit is a whole different story, albeit a short one: hell no.

That doesn't seem to be a good enough reason to defend the bugged, flawed pointsystem, but since you don't give a shit, I suppose it doesn't really matter.

CarrierII wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:03
Dover, stop responding to him at all please.

I can promise I'll make a good-faith effort.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:15:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:14

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:02pointsmod: Hate it with a passion, but there's only one reason for this: I, in typical human fashion, am afraid of change. I have loved this game with the points system it has always had, and I do not think it should be changed this late in the game. However, I understand that it is in fact bugged and flawed. Now the question of whether I give a shit is a whole different story, albeit a short one: hell no.

That doesn't seem to be a good enough reason to defend the bugged, flawed pointsystem, but since you don't give a shit, I suppose it doesn't really matter.

I'm not defending it, I simply prefer the original points system.

I think it's a little ridiculous to change it this late in the game's lifespan, but if it is, so be it. I'd simply prefer to have a choice.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:16:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:11Quote:Zell on the other hand puts the English language to shame.

atleast hes actually making a point, all you seem to be doing is rambling on about god knows what

If he does have a point nobody would know it, since he appears to be typing by rolling his head across his keyboard. The only point I've seen him make is "SCREW U GUIZE I DESLEIK SPOONY U LEAV JELLY ALONE I GUIZE SUX". If I'm leaving anything out, please correct me.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:17:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:15Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:14

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:02pointsmod: Hate it with a passion, but there's only one reason for this: I, in typical human fashion, am afraid of change. I have loved this game with the points system it has always had, and I do not think it should be changed this late in the game. However, I understand that it is in fact bugged and flawed. Now the question of whether I give a shit is a whole different story, albeit a short one: hell no.

That doesn't seem to be a good enough reason to defend the bugged, flawed pointsystem, but since you don't give a shit, I suppose it doesn't really matter.

I'm not defending it, I simply prefer the original points system.

I think it's a little ridiculous to change it this late in the game's lifespan, but if it is, so be it. I'd simply prefer to have a choice.

I believe better late than never. :/

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:20:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:17Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:15Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:14

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:02pointsmod: Hate it with a passion, but there's only one reason for this: I, in typical human fashion, am afraid of change. I have loved this game with the points system it has always had, and I do not think it should be changed this late in the game. However, I understand that it is in fact bugged and flawed. Now the question of whether I give a shit is a whole different story, albeit a short one: hell no.

That doesn't seem to be a good enough reason to defend the bugged, flawed pointsystem, but since you don't give a shit, I suppose it doesn't really matter.

I'm not defending it, I simply prefer the original points system.

I think it's a little ridiculous to change it this late in the game's lifespan, but if it is, so be it. I'd simply prefer to have a choice.

I believe better late than never. :/

I would agree, but on something like a game, I don't think it's that big of a deal. I just don't find it a good idea to change the entire game after 7 years because someone discovered a bug. I totally understand the reasoning behind it, though.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:33:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:22haha that actually made me laugh but his point is that spoony shoulve pm'd jelly quietly rather than trying to make jelly look like a big mean dictator whereby the average person would see the thread and think jelly is a douchebag.

I don't know what the average person would think when they saw this thread, but I don't see anything wrong with open honest discussion. In any case, I didn't read that in anything Zell posted.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:26And what have you done about this topic? Except look like a complete retard because you can't seem to read posts...The only thing I've seen you do is talk about EA and how they dont give a shit about us and all your endless rambling about god knows what

in the end your conversation ended up with this: nothing...and you call me the retard wow..

Must...not...image macro..!

You're really making it hard on me to comply with Carrier's wishes, aren't you Zell?

Edit: Oh, how cute. You edited your post. That's adorable, but since I put in the effort to correct you speaking your native tongue, I'll keep this the way it is.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:41:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:37Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 18:33ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:22haha that actually made me laugh but his point is that spoony shoulve pm'd jelly quietly rather than trying to make jelly look like a big mean dictator whereby the average person would see the thread and think jelly is a douchebag.

I don't know what the average person would think when they saw this thread, but I don't see anything wrong with open honest discussion. In any case, I didn't read that in anything Zell posted.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:26And what have you done about this topic? Except look like a complete retard because you can't seem to read posts...The only thing I've seen you do is talk about EA and how they dont give a shit about us and all your endless rambling about god knows what

in the end your conversation ended up with this: nothing...and you call me the retard wow..

Must...not...image macro..!

You're really making it hard on me to comply with Carrier's wishes, aren't you Zell?

Edit: Oh, how cute. You edited your post. That's adorable, but since I put in the effort to correct you speaking your native tongue, I'll keep this the way it is.

Here I'll make you happy, Yes I do enjoy making it hard on you to comply with Carrier's wishes. Considering you have already failed at complying with them.

You're forgetting your commas. Also, the second portion of your post is a sentence fragment. D-, see me after class.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:44:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:41ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:37Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 18:33ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:22haha that actually made me laugh but his point is that spoony shoulve pm'd jelly quietly rather than trying to

make jelly look like a big mean dictator whereby the average person would see the thread and think jelly is a douchebag.

I don't know what the average person would think when they saw this thread, but I don't see anything wrong with open honest discussion. In any case, I didn't read that in anything Zell posted.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:26 And what have you done about this topic? Except look like a complete retard because you can't seem to read posts... The only thing I've seen you do is talk about EA and how they don't give a shit about us and all your endless rambling about god knows what in the end your conversation ended up with this: nothing... and you call me the retard wow..

Must...not...image macro..!

You're really making it hard on me to comply with Carrier's wishes, aren't you Zell?

Edit: Oh, how cute. You edited your post. That's adorable, but since I put in the effort to correct you speaking your native tongue, I'll keep this the way it is. Here I'll make you happy, Yes I do enjoy making it hard on you to comply with Carrier's wishes. Considering you have already failed at complying with them.

You're forgetting your commas. Also, the second portion of your post is a sentence fragment. D-, see me after class.

Wow haha, I hope he didn't actually make an effort on that one.

Altzan, that's six pages with several posts deleted.

P.S. FUCK YOU FUDFORUM QUIT DELETING MY GOD DAMN POST

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 22:46:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:44 Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 17:41 ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:37 Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 18:33 ELiT3FLyR wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:22 haha that actually made me laugh but his point is that spoony should've pm'd jelly quietly rather than trying to make jelly look like a big mean dictator whereby the average person would see the thread and think jelly is a douchebag.

I don't know what the average person would think when they saw this thread, but I don't see anything wrong with open honest discussion. In any case, I didn't read that in anything Zell posted.

ZeLL wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:26 And what have you done about this topic? Except look like a complete retard because you can't seem to read posts... The only thing I've seen you do is talk about EA and how they don't give a shit about us and all your endless rambling about god knows what

in the end your conversation ended up with this: nothing...and you call me the retard wow..

Must...not...image macro..!

You're really making it hard on me to comply with Carrier's wishes, aren't you Zell?

Edit: Oh, how cute. You edited your post. That's adorable, but since I put in the effort to correct you speaking your native tongue, I'll keep this the way it is.

Here I'll make you happy, Yes I do enjoy making it hard on you to comply with Carrier's wishes. Considering you have already failed at complying with them.

You're forgetting your commas. Also, the second portion of your post is a sentence fragment. D-, see me after class.

Haha, I hope he didn't actually make an effort there.

Altzan, that's six pages with several deleted.

P.S. FUCK YOU FUDFORUM QUIT DELETING MY GOD DAMN POST

I think he just now discovered that these forums have a spell-check function for those who didn't pay any attention during grade school like him.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 23:14:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kadoosh wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:12the Mature thing to do would have been to discuss this with him in private before going public declaring him the devil, for lack of better words.

The reason one would bring this public is so that other people will chime in and the target of the subject can see where majority (or at least, loudest) opinion lies. Private conversations have yielded no results, and yet this public one has gotten Jelly to agree to have a discussion about a compromise. I, for one, am interested in what that is.

Next, the nicknames aren't "technically" or "legally" Jelly's. They belong to XWIS. If XWIS decides or is asked by EA to change the policy on the matter, there's nothing anyone can do about it.

And, for the record, n00bstories' server runs the points fix and has for over 2 years. Spooky's issue is that my server allows other things that he doesn't consider "pure" as far as skill is concerned. Specifically, I would have to change my server to 0 start credits, no donations, and no weapon drops to be in full compliance. My solution to our dilemma is to "weight" the ladder based on their compliance... meaning that the servers closest to pure would have the most weight and servers with massive changes that discourage skillful play will be worth less. Our goal with this is so that a winning player on a "pure skill" server will gain ladder faster than someone playing on a server with lots of starting creds and the bugged points system.

I'm just stating some facts and leaving my personal opinion out of this matter.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 23:24:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 16:14My solution to our dilemma is to "weight" the ladder based on their compliance... meaning that the servers closest to pure would have the most weight and servers with massive changes that discourage skillful play will be worth less. Our goal with this is so that a winning player on a "pure skill" server will gain ladder faster than someone playing on a server with lots of starting creds and the bugged points system.

I welcome to return of on-topic to this thread, so I'll keep this going. Can you give any specifics as to how the weighting system will work? A Server with 999999999 starting creditss won't be weighted the same as n00bstories with a reasonable starting credit amount, will it?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 00:38:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 18:14kadoosh wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 15:12the Mature thing to do would have been to discuss this with him in private before going public declaring him the devil, for lack of better words.

The reason one would bring this public is so that other people will chime in and the target of the subject can see where majority (or at least, loudest) opinion lies. Private conversations have yielded no results, and yet this public one has gotten Jelly to agree to have a discussion about a compromise. I, for one, am interested in what that is.

Next, the nicknames aren't "technically" or "legally" Jelly's. They belong to XWIS. If XWIS decides or is asked by EA to change the policy on the matter, there's nothing anyone can do about it.

And, for the record, n00bstories' server runs the points fix and has for over 2 years. Spooky's issue is that my server allows other things that he doesn't consider "pure" as far as skill is concerned. Specifically, I would have to change my server to 0 start credits, no donations, and no weapon drops to be in full compliance. My solution to our dilemma is to "weight" the ladder based on their compliance... meaning that the servers closest to pure would have the most weight and servers with massive changes that discourage skillful play will be worth less. Our goal with this is so that a winning player on a "pure skill" server will gain ladder faster than someone playing on a server with lots of starting creds and the bugged points system.

I'm just stating some facts and leaving my personal opinion out of this matter.

That's a good way of doing it. If a server wants different settings, it SHOULD be penalized because of it. That way it's fair to a server that runs a "legal" server.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 00:59:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 18:14

Next, the nicknames aren't "technically" or "legally" Jelly's. They belong to XWIS. If XWIS decides or is asked by EA to change the policy on the matter, there's nothing anyone can do about it.

You're correct, but that's basically the same as the nicknames belonging to Jelly, because neither will do a damn thing.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [reckneya](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 01:37:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Please take time to read my post, eventhough I know now it is going to be long.

Reading some replies here makes my blood boil.

Not to anyone particular but in general, as it makes me realize I am not the only asshole in Renegade.

In fact, this thread shows just how much arrogance and hatred there is in Renegade world.

If TT patch is going to mingle with the A00 nicks that would be a very bad thing in my opinion. One that will destroy what little balance there is in Renegade.

Spoony, I have nothing against you, but I have to quote you and reply to something you said in your first post:

Quote:This authority was not given to Jelly by EA or by Westwood or even by Strike-Team. This authority

was not elected by the community either. This authority was seized.

The authority to go to EA and request to make a patch for Renegade was neither given.

This was seized just the same.

Why did Jelly create those nicknames? The same reason why you want to make TT patch: because you can, and because it will benefit what you like.

Now, just because the TT crew has the ability and knowledge to make this patch, does not mean you are any higher in Ranks than anyone that else.

I have not seen that been said or claimed by any TT crew, but I can somehow taste that in the mouth reading about the anger towards the A00 monopoly.

So just imagine what would happen if Jelly got a team together to make a patch for Ren, and went to EA just before you did.

Would you not want to defend what you hold dear?

So in the end, what are we going to do?

We all want our servers to be filled, whether we host them ourselves or just play there.

Now, Jelly was there first to claim the top 10 nicknames.

And therefor he holds the monopoly over Renegade servers when it comes to player counts.

So what does the TT crew do? We're going to make a patch that renders the power of those nicks

to the same level as any other nick.
What the fuck kind of game is being played here.
We should make a card game of this, like Pokémon cards.
YES! I got a jelly.
Woops, damn, a TT can render me powerless.

Maybe we can all stop thinking about what WE find important for ourselves and start thinking of how this might in fact NOT be good.

I am a current Biology science student, and have also followed studies in human psychology.
No, I am not going to brag about my accomplishments, but I do hope however that this can at least make you consider what I am going to say next.

We humans are creatures of habit.
We do things the same way because it makes us feel safe.
We drive in a certain way, eat our food in a certain way, arrange and order our lives the way we want to.
By default, we don't like change.
You can argue that you do, but that doesn't make it less true what I say.
If something big changes the order we have in our lives, we rarely like it.

So just look forward to this:
Our everyday XWIS n00b logs on and has to look through the list to find their favorite server.
Everyday that list looks different.
"hey there were some pretty nice ppl on that server last night, but what was it called again?"

Why destroy the order there is now!
Because you think it is unfair?
It will do renegade no good to change this order.
What is wrong with the way it is now?
There is a server with people for everyone, to fit everyone's taste.
Does anyone here not have a server to play on then?
If the answer is no, because your favo server isn't getting the traffic it used to have, that brings to light a totally different problem, that "sorting by player count" will definitely not fix

The problem in renegade is not looks, bugs or what not.
The problem in renegade is the ratio between server count and player count.
Renz0r recently merged with Exodus, and I think if Renegade as a whole will do good if more communities set aside their differences/stopped competing with each other and simply worked together.
If renegade only had about 20 servers those servers would flourish.
It would also cut down on a lot of money spend by people, or that money put together from renting 2 separate boxes to rent 1 box with much more performance.

Ok, I kind of wondered from my initial point, which is:
TT patch should not change the order of the server list.
It is fine the way it is, and it can only destroy what little balance there is now.
It's just as selfish as Jelly registering those nicknames first.

Let communities be run by people who have been doing it for years.
They have the experience, and in the end there is a server for everyone none the less.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Gladiator](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 01:43:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This thread is fucking ridiculous.

As you somehow attempted to link game listings to the pointsfix, I'll address both.

The major servers all have their own huge fanbases. I doubt at this point the player count has little to do with hostnames. There are very, very, very few new players incoming, so most Rene players have already established their normal spots. I can think of a few arguments to support this but I'll pick the easiest and, I think, most relatable.

When we (Jelly) make changes to our servers, our player count and bandwidth fluctuates as a direct result. Always. Sometimes better, sometimes worse. I know I don't need to go into detail more because I know other server owners know what I mean, but I'm trying to make a point - players voice their opinion by choosing where they play. A few months ago, Olddust made some significant changes to our marathon server - with the result being that average bandwidth use increased over 75%. Clearly, players choose where they play based on more than host names.

Now, to relate to pointsfix:

Removing the pointfix from AOW1 had a similar effect on bandwidth (huge increase). Same with on Mini years ago (also a significant increase).

You know my stance on the pointsfix - I personally dislike it but that doesn't mean I'd like to see it gone. I think it should be left up to the players to decide - and thus, by extension, the servers. And, as Hex pointed out, if you want to make it mandatory, fine. We want to remove it, so you do what you have to do and we'll do what we have to do.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 01:49:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I find this whole thing hilarious. Spoon you say that TT has gone to great lengths to compromise by allowing the pointsfix to be optional with a minus 50% ladder of course.... "yea real great lengths"

You also say that because of these great lengths TT has gone to that so should Jelly. Well you're wrong and you have no ground to even ask Jelly to give up the names he registered fair and

square.

That would be like Jelly going to "great lengths" and compromise with you and lend you the server nicks for 50 dollars a month.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 01:54:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

they are jelly's nicknames because he beat everyone else to the punch. it sounds like waht you are saying is that if it were a race, you would expect the winner to share the prize with you. be happy that he even shares the nick names with other communities instead of hosting a different server for each of his nick names.

@ prulez, its not like comparing a horse and a cow, its more like comparing a body of land to an airplane, they aren't remotely the same because TT is a group of coders trying to fix a game that has plenty of bugs vs Jelly owning a group of names that are listed at the top of the xwis listings.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tildeth](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 02:54:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You say the compromise for a server running pointfix is -50% ladder.

So why is there a need for an even greater compromise? Specifically, Jelly giving up some of his control over the server list position.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 03:16:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So what about removing that stupid ladder completely anyway? That will fix all problems, all point whores, all kill whores (well, most), and it's pretty broken anyway (top players=top whores...), so I can't even understand why it's still up.
I never liked ladders because they promote the worst gameplay.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [RadioactiveHell](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 03:35:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Seven pages about something that was resolved in page one...

Ethenal wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:30 Maybe someone's said it already, but a00 nicknames won't matter once TT's released; current (and naturally later) versions of scripts.dll sort servers by playercount, not nickname. And once TT is pushed to everyone, that entirely eliminates the problem.

Cunin wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 22:16 So what about removing that stupid ladder completely anyway? That will fix all problems, all point whores, all kill whores (well, most), and it's pretty broken anyway (top players=top whores...), so I can't even understand why it's still up. I never liked ladders because they promote the worst gameplay.

I agree with this ^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 04:02:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the whole problem is, that people can't mind their own god damn business and leave things alone. its a game.. you play it for fun if its not your registered nick name then its none of your business how its used. and to address the points fix, yeah it makes sense, but since i mainly play in marathon, i don't like it. so i would rather it be made server side optional.

edit: i mean im glad its' going to be an option and im grateful that spoony cleared that mess up.

edit2: sorry came in late.. would have posted bout page 2 but had to go to work..

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Homey](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 05:33:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cunin wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 23:16 So what about removing that stupid ladder completely anyway? That will fix all problems, all point whores, all kill whores (well, most), and it's pretty broken anyway (top players=top whores...), so I can't even understand why it's still up. I never liked ladders because they promote the worst gameplay. Best post yet. Ladder and ranking systems in ANY game promotes selfish gameplay. But on the other hand it keeps people interested.

I dunno wtf the point of this whole topic is, as was mentioned by someone else, MOST players are regulars in one server or another. A good number of people use 3.4.4 which sorts by other means. Anyone else may sort it by other means (me for example, since day 1 I've sorted by total player numbers). We're talking about a small group of players that just clicks the first server they see because they don't know any better. There are very few new players now.

Not worthy of 7 pages btw.

Spoony, I don't see the whole point of this. The only logical conclusion I can see is that you wanted some top names to begin beta testing some of these proposed settings. If that was the case I'm sure Jelly would've gladly shared a nick or two for this purpose. Any other purpose seems totally irrelevant at this point until the patch comes out. Then who cares, it'll be sorted by numbers or names then.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 06:38:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Homey wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 06:33 Ladder and ranking systems in ANY game promotes

Not at all, some promote team play and don't reward point whores

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tildeth](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 07:22:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 01:38 Homey wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 06:33 Ladder and ranking systems in ANY game promotes

Not at all, some promote team play and don't reward point whores

This. Isn't the beta ladder on jelly configured in such a way that points aren't awarded simply for score alone? Win percent, Kills, Deaths (lack thereof), vehicle and inf damage etc. is all taken into account, no?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 08:03:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A ladder will hopefully be recreated as it promotes people to play (for ladder and thus "honour"). Individual ladders also do this but not to the same extend: if a server is empty, then people won't join it, hence their ladder won't improve + they may want to experience different kinds of gameplay while still earning ladder points.

To come back on the "first come, first serve" argument that was brought up before. I think that if you defend this argument, you need to defend it all the way through. Why would new communities, who just arrived and didn't get any a00 nickname then suddenly end up on page 1 just because they know some of the jelly admins or because they know Nightma (yes: I believe he's also involved? He even promotes his server rental by the fact that people who rent can get a higher nickname???) and have gotten a a00 nickname? Wouldn't it be fairer then to use the nicknames you need yourself (e.g. to have a top spot for your 5 own servers) and NOT use your

other nicknames so people who were a bit later but were still very fast to register a nickname take the spot that empty up?

We'd then e.g. have a first page like this:

a00
a000
a0000
a00000
a00000001
a00000004
a00000007
a0000000a
a0000000b
a0000000m
a0000001b

See what I mean? Everyone would then be served on the principle of "first come, first serve" basis.

Just to add to this discussion, well knowing that the community I represent uses a0000000b.

To reply to one of the previous statements: it DOES still matter quite a bit if you're on page 1 or not. The fact you're on the bottom of it or on the top matters less.

When one or two of the top a00 are not used, our server ends up on the bottom of first page for all players (including small resolutions). We then get considerably more traffic than when all top a00 are used, and we're just below the first page for people on smaller reso's. Ow, and tbh: I'm not really complaining about the current situation for TK2. We have a decent situation and are happy to keep it going. I really don't think that our community should be used as an example in this case, when there's enough others that are in a way worse situation.

To end with this: first page can carry about 15 servers on any resolution. This could be plenty to give all established communities one (1!) server on the first page and still provide jelly with e.g. 2 or maybe even 3, allowing them to still maintain a huge impact on the first page. There's no need to actually take away any nicknames for that, it just requires Jelly and Nightma to come together (maybe with some other people) and come to an agreement about who exactly are those established communities, based on more or less objective elements, to offer a first page that's representative and offers players a large variety of game modes. But that's just "wishful thinking", I guess, and prolly not worth the effort in their eyes while waiting for TT.

A final ladder question. TK2 runs a server that's 100 % pure mode for the first 5 minutes of the game, but allows donate after these first 5 minutes. How would u deal with this?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 09:07:11 GMT

Goztow wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:03A ladder will hopefully be recreated as it promotes people to play (for ladder and thus "honour"). Individual ladders also do this but not to the same extend: if a server is empty, then people won't join it, hence their ladder won't improve + they may want to experience different kinds of gameplay while still earning ladder points.

To come back on the "first come, first serve" argument that was brought up before. I think that if you defend this argument, you need to defend it all the way through. Why would new communities, who just arrived and didn't get any a00 nickname then suddenly end up on page 1 just because they know some of the jelly admins or because they know Nightma (yes: I believe he's also involved? He even promotes his server rental by the fact that people who rent can get a higher nickname???) and have gotten a a00 nickname? Wouldn't it be fairer then to use the nicknames you need yourself (e.g. to have a top spot for your 5 own servers) and NOT use your other nicknames so people who were a bit later but were still very fast to register a nickname take the spot that empty up?

We'd then e.g. have a first page like this:

```
a00
a000
a0000
a00000
a000000001
a000000004
a000000007
a00000000a
a00000000b
a00000000m
a00000001b
```

See what I mean? Everyone would then be served on the principle of "first come, first serve" basis.

Just to add to this discussion, well knowing that the community I represent uses a00000000b.

To reply to one of the previous statements: it DOES still matter quite a bit if you're on page 1 or not. The fact you're on the bottom of it or on the top matters less.

When one or two of the top a00 are not used, our server ends up on the bottom of first page for all players (including small resolutions). We then get considerably more traffic than when all top a00 are used, and we're just below the first page for people on smaller reso's. Ow, and tbh: I'm not really complaining about the current situation for TK2. We have a decent situation and are happy to keep it going. I really don't think that our community should be used as an example in this case, when there's enough others that are in a way worse situation.

To end with this: first page can carry about 15 servers on any resolution. This could be plenty to give all established communities one (1!) server on the first page and still provide jelly with e.g. 2 or maybe even 3, allowing them to still maintain a huge impact on the first page. There's no need

to actually take away any nicknames for that, it just requires Jelly and Nightma to come together (maybe with some other people) and come to an agreement about who exactly are those established communities, based on more or less objective elements, to offer a first page that's representative and offers players a large variety of game modes. But that's just "wishful thinking", I guess, and prolly not worth the effort in their eyes while waiting for TT.

A final ladder question. TK2 runs a server that's 100 % pure mode for the first 5 minutes off he game, but allows donate after these first 5 minutes. How would u deal with this?

I'm pretty confident that Jelly doesn't want to change anything.

I'm saying this because, after we released BIATCH, I asked him if there was any possibility on getting a (1) high nickname for our server. This was not possible because "a lot of other servers requested this as well and asked him earlier". While that may have been true at the time, I'm certain it is not true any more today.

If every community would just agree on using only one "spot" on the top listings then there would be room for 13-14 communities on the "frontpage". I'm pretty sure that it is possible to define a set of measurements on which we can determine who should get one of those 13 spots.

A suggestion could be: Age of community, impact on the whole rencommunity, amount of players? (might be very difficult), location (particularly Europe/USA, I would say that it would need a 1/2 to 2/5 balance + perhaps some asian server?) and rating from other serverowners?

Something like this could certainly benefit the Renegade Community as a whole, and probably none would really have to sacrifice for it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 09:10:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 03:03A final ladder question. TK2 runs a server that's 100 % pure mode for the first 5 minutes off he game, but allows donate after these first 5 minutes. How would u deal with this?

i think donate in the first five minutes is bullshit, but after that it's not a problem. so it'll score pretty highly on the ladder. depends on server size etc too though

Jelly wrotel have a compromise I may be willing to offer to the relevant people, but I aint doing it on here. I will chat to the TT people if they want to..

You wanna throw me a PM, then? Seems like I'm TT's 'sales rep' on this one...

now, zell. before i respond to your post, how about that from now on, every time you're totally wrong about something (like, for example, spoony defended h2o), you admit it before you post again?

zell wrotebut i dont believe u should have the right to strip away jellys servers just to replace them with servers which have points fix all ur doing is trying to eliminate the current point systems servers

That's completely untrue. COMPLETELY untrue. I've been very open about exactly what the problem is: simply that one community should not have a monopoly on it. If someone has the power to say who gets up there on the game listings, it shouldn't be one individual community; it

should be a more proper authority who'll use it more responsibly, with less bias.

People try to reduce everything I've said to "LOL U WANT POINTSFIX"; they don't realise they're making their case weaker by doing something this dishonest, not stronger.

zell wrote this is why i do not agree with what ur saying and trying to accomplish
i think if jelly gets the names first hes entitled to keep them and do whatever he wishes
Here's a question, let's say somebody registered all the Renegade serials. In fact, someone actually tried doing that (he got thousands and thousands of them). Let's say, hypothetically, that he got them all.

He got them first, right? Totally his choice what he does with them, so if you wanted to start a server you'd need to go cap in hand to him.

zell wrote by leading cw.cc i meant become the admin of it and run the league sorry wasn't clear about it
I've offered him an admin position on CW several times, he's always said no... as for who wants to run the league now I'm pretty open to offers, as it happens.

zell wrote i've already told u about the h2o thing i read ur topic i believed what u was saying was defending h2o but when u explained i got it
no, you believed I was defending h2o because you DIDN'T read the topic and because you DIDN'T read what i was saying. either that or you just don't understand your own first language.

zell wrote i don't see why u had to bring this up it has nothing to do with this situation it's just once again u always resort to this kind of crap in some way to try and attempt to get into a fight with him
if u seriously want to make everything clear not only to me but others as well maybe u should try not to get a rise out of people and talk to them like people. if u did this in the first place u wouldn't have made such a ridiculous topic and u n jelly would've most likely come to some sort of conclusion or something fair everybody knows jelly is a reasonable person to talk to.
even u have to admit u was wrong to speak publicly about this
I guess you STILL haven't read the topic. Look at my posts compared to my replies from jelly. The difference between the two is astonishing.

I've done nothing wrong to any of them, and look at the contempt I've been treated with by the Jelly mods/admins (again) - just because I gave my opinion about all the power they have over the game listings.

When people criticise the pointsfix because they thought I wanted to force it upon their servers (which just shows that people simply don't read anything I say) I go to INCREDIBLE lengths to engage them in debate. I've suggested all sorts of compromises, all of which have been rejected - and eventually TT just said: ok, we'll give you everything you wanted. Compare this to the Jelly staff who've basically said: "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it".

And after this, I'm the bad guy for giving my opinion about their game-listing chokehold in the first place? You need to simply NOT READ THE TOPIC to come to this conclusion.

simpee wrote haha that actually made me laugh but his point is that spoony should've pm'd jelly

quietly rather than trying to make jelly look like a big mean dictator whereby the average person would see the thread and think jelly is a douchebag.

That's really rich coming from you, simpee, considering the ABSOLUTELY STAGGERING number of times you've outright LIED to the community to try to make me look bad, and you got caught every single time. By contrast, what I've said about the game listings is true. It IS a dictatorship, it IS a monopoly, and there's nothing wrong with me giving my opinion on that. And I did NOT attack jelly (or even the jelly community) personally to make this point; I didn't need to. People don't need to attack me personally to criticise the pointsfix (but of course, they do). Other people before me have said the same kind of thing.

reckneya wrote This authority was not given to Jelly by EA or by Westwood or even by Strike-Team. This authority was not elected by the community either. This authority was seized.

The authority to go to EA and request to make a patch for Renegade was neither given. This was seized just the same.

Uh no it wasn't seized. EA (y'know, the people in charge of this game) considered TT and decided: yes, these are the people for the job. If anyone else asked, EA would've said no.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 09:25:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Factors that are being discussed for ladder weight include (this isn't the full list, it's still being worked on):

- Correct points fix vs broken points system (It has been proven that the fixed points system gives the "win" to the team with the better skill and teamwork, and the old broken system often rewards the team that kept one building alive but was being pwned the whole map a win they don't deserve. Hence, you should not be significantly rewarded on the ladder for winning under a severely flawed system.)
- Starting credits (% penalty based on starting credits with a maximum penalty, so 0 credits = 0 penalty and my server's 350 credits = small penalty)
- Donation (one penalty for donate from 00:00 time, smaller penalty for delayed donation start)
- Teamchanging allowed (because it can make for stacked teams, players winning under these conditions should be penalized)

We have other factors in mind like a bonus for basekill vs points win which also helps to mitigate the ladder inaccuracies created by the old/broken points calculation.

Remember that the goal of a true ladder is to reward the skilled players and penalize the bad players in order to gain a true ranking of which players are playing well and are good for their team. With a complete ladder re-vamp, the hope is to stop rewarding "point whores" and create new ladder whores who want their team to win and work hard to make that happen.

The new system is being designed by Spooky and I at the moment and once we have a good framework in place for the calculations, we'll observe the results of our work for a bit and then

open it up to the community for feedback and tweaking.

We believe this system to be a compromise between what server owners want (to keep control of their settings), what a ladder is supposed to represent (skill and to a smaller degree, time served), and what players want (something to work for when they're putting time into a server).

Saying that there shouldn't be a ladder is completely retarded. If you think there shouldn't be a ladder, then stop reporting your ladder results and change your server setting to ladder = 0 so that players don't go on your server thinking that it does report results. If you think there shouldn't be a ladder, then stop looking at the ladder rankings and pretend they don't exist. Don't force your wishes on other players when the majority of them want statistics, some sort of record of what they did. Nearly every online multiplayer game has some sort of ranking/ladder system and it's not because people don't like them... it's because people DO like them.

And lastly, stop comparing Jelly's registration of the nicknames to TT's ability to make a patch. Every single one of us posting in this thread knows how to register a nickname. That doesn't take any talent. Years and years of work on Renegade, earning the trust and support of EA, and spending months and months to patch the game is something very few people are able to do. You're comparing apples to oranges.

Quote:Gladiator said:

The major servers all have their own huge fanbases. I doubt at this point the player count has little to do with hostnames.

If that were even remotely true, this discussion wouldn't be taking place. I still see hundreds of new names and new IPs in my server every month (because I keep stats on it). And don't be so retarded as to say they're all smurf nicknames.

Quote:Spoony said:

Here's a question, let's say somebody registered all the Renegade serials. In fact, someone actually tried doing that (he got thousands and thousands of them). Let's say, hypothetically, that he got them all.

Actually, he did get them all. The person you're talking about registered over 10,000 serials and no one could start a server without him giving a serial to you if you didn't have one already. When we moved to XWIS, limitations were removed and people could just use their retail serials for their server which took all the power away from him. Just as (we hope) changing the default server listings for everyone to playercount instead of nickname will take all this power away.

Quote:reckneya said:

Renz0r recently merged with Exodus, and I think if Renegade as a whole will do good if more communities set aside their differences/stopped competing with each other and simply worked together.

If you had used any other community but Exodus in that sentence, it would have been a valid point. I've always been open to merges and collaborations with n00bstories but a lot of people in this community seem to like being big babies who want to take their toys and go home when they don't get their way instead of having mature conversations when differences in opinion arise.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [HiUGuys](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 09:46:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A lot has been said about how Jelly is unfair for getting the top names first, and how TT could run them better - but unless i've missed some posts, i've not seen a TT representative say how they will run them better, or why it will end up more fair than what jelly does?

Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:38

Prulez wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 13:18No. Please elaborate where this is undeserved. I have skipped reading most of this thread, but I am curious how you will explain to me how Jelly would not be allowed to have the hostnames, because Jelly was the first to register it!

Duhhh, because Jelly has registered ALL the top listings, so when you log in all you see is the Jelly servers and the servers Jelly currently favours. And, yet again, this means any new server has no hope of drawing players.

So what would you do different? would you keep a spare top slot open for any new server to use... and how long would it get that slot for before you give it a lower down name and give that slot to the next new server?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 09:54:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HiUGuys wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 04:46A lot has been said about how Jelly is unfair for getting the top names first, and how TT could run them better - but unless i've missed some posts, i've not seen a TT representative say how they will run them better, or why it will end up more fair than what jelly does?

You certainly HAVE missed posts.

I hate to make the comparison, and Crimson is certainly right to object to it, but I must make it, it seems.

People criticise TT because they think spoony wants to force the pointsfix onto every server (which is untrue, but that just shows nobody reads anything I say). What does TT do? Goes to INCREDIBLE lengths to engage them in debate (even though most of them just endlessly flame instead of actually talking about the pointsfix). Does a lot of thinking and suggests several compromises between the two positions, all of which are violently rejected. Eventually says: ok, we'll give you everything you wanted.

That's how TT handles criticism of its "power". Let's compare that to Jelly.

Someone criticises Jelly because it has a monopoly on the game listings. The Jelly admins say: fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it.

You can't see the difference? You are not looking.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [HiUGuys](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 10:03:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ok... well since this is now 7 pages long why dont you take this opportunity to restate what TT would do to make it fair for the new servers? - this time without bringing pointflix into it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 10:07:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HiUGuys wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 02:46A lot has been said about how Jelly is unfair for getting the top names first, and how TT could run them better - but unless i've missed some posts, i've not seen a TT representative say how they will run them better, or why it will end up more fair then what jelly does?

I've had some discussions with people in private about how it could be handled, and I'm even currently discussing with someone a system that would encourage people to reduce the number of servers on the list by providing a higher ranking to communities who merge and shut down unnecessary/dead servers.

For example, if RenPros was given a rank of 10 on the new list and RenPeeps were given a rank of 14, then if RenPeeps shut down and moved their players and worked out a compromise with RenPros about staff positions and server settings, then they would be rewarded by moving up to rank 8. (This is just a broad example and needs to be refined, of course.)

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 11:48:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HiUGuys wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 02:46but unless i've missed some posts...

As a 2-post account, I'm sure there's quite a bit you've missed. Why don't you take the time to actually read the thread if you're interested?

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 12:00:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe it would be good to wait and see what this offer from Jelly towards TT is all about? I read Spoonie proposes to be a contact with Jelly on this matter? I hope we'll be informed of a possible outcome?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 12:03:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i'm right here if jelly has an offer to make. i'll represent TT in the matter. i'm not doing it on jelly forums/IRC though, not considering the appalling way their mods and admins treat me despite me doing nothing wrong, and considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 12:22:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 14:03i'm right here if jelly has an offer to make. i'll represent TT in the matter. i'm not doing it on jelly forums/IRC though, not considering the appalling way their mods and admins treat me despite me doing nothing wrong, and considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)
Not that I don't have fait in you Spoony, but perhaps it'd be good to have more people in the conversation then? This because from what I see you can get quite angry, and I suspect that jelly is not really different. perhaps someone like Gozy/StealthEye, as a kind of neutral, balancing factor in such conversation?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Gladiator](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 12:28:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 07:03i'm right here if jelly has an offer to make. i'll represent TT in the matter. i'm not doing it on jelly forums/IRC though, not considering the appalling way their mods and admins treat me despite me doing nothing wrong, and considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)

For fucks sake spoony - one person - not a mod - brings it up and you act like we all want to. Raven already posted and said there's nothing to ban you for, I didn't really feel the need to reinforce that.

Maybe you should stop lying. Liar.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 12:59:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 08:22Not that I don't have faith in you Spoony, but perhaps it'd be good to have more people in the conversation then? This because from what I see you can get quite angry, and I suspect that jelly is not really different. perhaps someone like Gozy/StealthEye, as a kind of neutral, balancing factor in such conversation?
Well, I want it to be public, but jelly has flat out refused. I said it would be better for everyone to see what's going on, instead of shady deals behind closed doors. If the only thing Jelly is willing to do is conceal what is being discussed, then I suppose we must take what we can.

And I'm completely objective.

Gladiator wroteFor fucks sake spoony - one person - not a mod - brings it up and you act like we all want to. Raven already posted and said there's nothing to ban you for, I didn't really feel the need to reinforce that.

Maybe you should stop lying. Liar.
ban spoony for making the forums a very negative place
yes 71%
no 29%

Funny, I thought you and all the other admins keep saying: we'll run jelly the way the community wants, eh? If majority wants the points bug, we'll use the points bug. Or were you lying when you said that?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 13:01:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 14:03i'm right here if jelly has an offer to make. i'll represent TT in the matter. i'm not doing it on jelly forums/IRC though, not considering the appalling way their mods and admins treat me despite me doing nothing wrong, and considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)
Not that I don't have faith in you Spoony, but perhaps it'd be good to have more people in the conversation then? This because from what I see you can get quite angry, and I suspect that jelly is not really different. perhaps someone like Gozy/StealthEye, as a kind of neutral, balancing factor in such conversation?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 13:06:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 08:01Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009

14:03i'm right here if jelly has an offer to make. i'll represent TT in the matter. i'm not doing it on jelly forums/IRC though, not considering the appalling way their mods and admins treat me despite me doing nothing wrong, and considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)

Not that I don't have fait in you Spoony, but perhaps it'd be good to have more people in the conversation then? This because from what I see you can get quite angry, and I suspect that jelly is not really different. perhaps someone like Gozy/StealthEye, as a kind of neutral, balancing factor in such conversation?

I already answered that. I'm perfectly capable of staying objective, no matter how pathetically my opposition is acting. I proved it at clanwars, I proved that in the pointsfix debates, I proved it in the ladder thread on jelly (why do you think they're so keen to ban me?) and I'm proving it again right now.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 14:38:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:06EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 08:01Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 14:03i'm right here if jelly has an offer to make. i'll represent TT in the matter. i'm not doing it on jelly forums/IRC though, not considering the appalling way their mods and admins treat me despite me doing nothing wrong, and considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)

Not that I don't have fait in you Spoony, but perhaps it'd be good to have more people in the conversation then? This because from what I see you can get quite angry, and I suspect that jelly is not really different. perhaps someone like Gozy/StealthEye, as a kind of neutral, balancing factor in such conversation?

I already answered that. I'm perfectly capable of staying objective, no matter how pathetically my opposition is acting. I proved it at clanwars, I proved that in the pointsfix debates, I proved it in the ladder thread on jelly (why do you think they're so keen to ban me?) and I'm proving it again right now.

I didn't say anything about not being objective. I just suggested that such conversation could possibly benefit of having 3 people instead of 2. Particularly when 2 of those people seem to oppose each other so much.

Not saying this is the case, but it does look that way.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 14:59:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if it looks that way, you aren't really looking.

jelly's tried to dismiss legitimate criticism by saying two things:

1. how dare you say this publicly?
2. you only said this because we took the pointsfix off jelly (this is such a disgusting statement i can barely believe it came from jelly - he knows exactly why i'm only just saying this now, and i shouldn't have to explain it to everybody, i didn't want to tell anybody on renegade at all)

i've never done anything wrong that compares to this. when people criticised the pointsfix i actually replied what was being said instead of saying "your opinion doesn't count because....".

and of course, people were furious with me (still are) PRECISELY BECAUSE i went to so much trouble to reply to them. perhaps i would be better regarded if i just took the jelly way, "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it"?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:01:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 05:03 considering the fact they actually want to ban me for bringing this up at all. (and they have the fucking nerve to call me or TT dictators? first they say "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" then they want to ban me for nothing more than voicing an opinion they're afraid of)

When I tried to bring it up, Jelly ended up de-linking IRC with n00bstories and took Hex/n00bless with him. (Cutting off their noses to spite their faces since all their users had to re-register and re-create channels, and from what I've heard experience excessive downtime and netsplits that happen next to never on my network, but that's neither here nor there, right?)

So yeah, I can see them threatening to ban you for bringing it up. Fortunately for you, you don't like playing on servers with buggy points systems anyway.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:08:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

there's more to it than just the points system. it's true i personally prefer the pointsfix by far, but i also like 0 start credits and a lack of !donate at the beginning. i might still be playing at jelly, the main reason why i stopped isn't really the pointsbug, more to do with the absolutely fucking disgraceful way i keep getting treated by their admins and moderators, despite doing absolutely NOTHING wrong to them.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [killer783](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:28:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

okok lets wait years and cry about names just because the pointfix is not being used end of the day your just hungry for what small number of players that still play. spoony can quote me all you like and anyone else but most people dont really need to have e drama 24/7 WOW STOP CRYING AND JUST PLAY AND STOP MAKING ME YAWN READING ALL THIS BULL

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#)y on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:30:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:28okok lets wait years and cry about names just because the pointfix is not being used
How many more times must the Jelly community lie about this? Don't you see how much damage it is doing to your credibility?

What I'm saying is COMPLETELY legitimate criticism of your monopoly on the advanced game listings. You are making your position SO VERY MUCH WEAKER by saying "you're only saying this because..." then giving a completely bullshit reason.

killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:28but most people dont really need to have e drama 24/7 WOW STOP CRYING AND JUST PLAY AND STOP MAKING ME YAWN READING ALL THIS BULL
OK, relinquish your undeserved monopoly on the game listings then.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [killer783](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:38:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoon wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:30killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:28okok lets wait years and cry about names just because the pointfix is not being used
How many more times must the Jelly community lie about this? Don't you see how much damage it is doing to your credibility?

What I'm saying is COMPLETELY legitimate criticism of your monopoly on the advanced game listings. You are making your position SO VERY MUCH WEAKER by saying "you're only saying this because..." then giving a completely bullshit reason.

killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:28but most people dont really need to have e drama 24/7 WOW STOP CRYING AND JUST PLAY AND STOP MAKING ME YAWN READING ALL THIS BULL
OK, relinquish your undeserved monopoly on the game listings then.
end of they day jelly is not giving any a00 names to TT live with it and how do you deserve it just because you making a patch?? bullshit yea your doing something for the whole of ren but that doesnt make you fucking rene gods wow.....

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:44:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:38end of they day jelly is not giving any a00 names to TT live with it

Yes, I've already noticed the Jelly community's complete stubbornness, refusal to negotiate, and contempt towards anybody challenging their monopoly. I've remarked upon it several times. Thanks for proving it yet again.

killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:38and how do you deserve it just because you making a patch?? bullshit yea your doing something for the whole of ren but that doesnt make you fucking rene gods wow.....

Someone must have this control. That's obvious. Someone WILL have it. Right now it's in the complete, unchallengeable control of the Jelly community, and any fool can just look at this thread and see they are absolutely not qualified to use it. Look at the despicable way they were treating me even BEFORE i posted this thread, look at them saying "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it".

I put it to you that TT is by far better qualified to handle this. When TT gets criticised on the pointsfix, for example, we went to incredible lengths to accommodate people who disagreed with us, we suggested many compromises, and in the end we just gave people everything they wanted.

After reading that ^^, give me a one word answer: who do you think is better trusted with the authority over the gamelistsings? Jelly or TT? Please, if you seriously think it's Jelly, then say so, so everyone can see what a biased idiot you are. And if you've actually got a brain and you think it's TT, then maybe you should say so to Jelly.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:52:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:44

After reading that ^^, give me a one word answer: who do you think is better trusted with the authority over the gamelistsings? Jelly or TT? Please, if you seriously think it's Jelly, then say so, so everyone can see what a biased idiot you are. And if you've actually got a brain and you think it's TT, then maybe you should say so to Jelly.

Jelly

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:58:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RC's answer doesn't surprise me. he said i should be banned from jelly for "giving my opinion about the a00 names". funny, cos that certainly doesn't seem to be the view when someone gives their opinion about the pointsfix; it deserves automatic respect, doesn't it? and of course, when a jelly moderator (clearshot) told lie after lie after lie after lie to try to make me look bad and gets caught red-handed, there's not a word of condemnation about it.

so no, i didn't expect RCmorr to get anything right.

ELi3FLyR wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:53ofcourse you deserve respect for that, its just that all the good things you have done in the past are cancelled out by ur current actions. WHAT current actions?

what have I done wrong, simpee? it must be pretty big if it cancels out running the clanwars league. DON'T dodge this question, answer it or apologise to me.

ELi3FLyR wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:53and yet now noone who was playing in those games woulda want to play with u, and jelly people hate ur guts. Yes, they do, but this doesn't change the fact I've done ABSOLUTELY NOTHING WRONG.

ELi3FLyR wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:53its not that we are liars oh really? here's my post on the last page, predictably you didn't reply to it. Quote:how about you stop blaming me every time you lie to the community and i catch you? it's like packer saying OMG SPOONY SAYS EVERYONES A CHEATER! uh no, not everyone, i said you're a cheater and i proved it, and you saying that just makes you look even more pathetic.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about what happened at clanwars with the pointsfix.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about this mesa 2v2 nonsense.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about the pointpushing business - whiskey, clearshot etc.

and you lied about clearshot's huge list of bullshit false accusations against me. you had a choice:

1. tell the truth, admit spoony was innocent on all charges, point out that every single word clearshot said was an obvious lie... or 2. lie to the community to help clear get away with it. i predicted you would take the second option, and was absolutely right: it's exactly what you did do.

i like the pointsfix, so you ABSOLUTELY CANNOT tell the truth in any situation where telling the truth will make me look good. i guess me being the only person who could ever be bothered hosting a clan ladder for scum like you hasn't even earned me THAT small amount of respect, eh?

and every time you lie to the community to try to get people on your side, you get caught. i actually try to do you a favour by saying "simpee, you are making your case WEAKER when you do this", you just don't get it, you just try again five minutes later. it's amazing you can't see how much damage you're doing to your own credibility

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 15:59:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Temporary lock to clean the thread, AGAIN.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:04:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:RC's answer doesn't surprise me. he said i should be banned from jelly for "giving my opinion about the a00 names". funny, cos that certainly doesn't seem to be the view when someone gives their opinion about the pointsfix; it deserves automatic respect, doesn't it? and of course, when a jelly moderator (clearshot) told lie after lie after lie after lie to try to make me look bad and gets caught red-handed, there's not a word of condemnation about it.

so no, i didn't expect RCmorr to get anything right.

I never said you should be banned because of your opinion on the a00 names! I voted yes on the poll "over at Jelly forums" based on the fact you're annoying and won't ever stop with your mindless mad scientist rants you call simple opinions. But spoony you enjoy lying and twisting words so I would expect you to say something like that.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:07:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I lied about your brother? Are you fucking retarded?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:08:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think anything personal (you know each others names, that's enough of an indicator) should be kept out of the public domain, but the discussion over the nicknames WILL continue.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#)y on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:10:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you said: the only reason you're saying this now is because we took the pointsfix off jelly.

that's obviously a lie. you can go see my response to the announcement about it being taken off, i say: oh well, your choice, good luck.

and you're one of the few people who knows why i've just come back to renegade, why i've been away (i didn't want to tell anybody)... and yet you say: the reason you're saying this NOW is because we took the pointsfix off.

rcmorr09 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:04I never said you should be banned because of your opinion on the a00 names! I voted yes on the poll "over at Jelly forums" based on the fact you're annoying and won't ever stop with your mindless mad scientist rants you call simple opinions. But spoony you enjoy lying and twisting words so I would expect you to say something like that.

raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:11

That's a bit harsh, lol.. no reason to ban the guy for voicing his opinion

RCmorr09 wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:22 (quoting raven's post)
I disagree.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:11:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 12:08I think anything personal (you know each others names, that's enough of an indicator) should be kept out of the public domain, but the discussion over the nicknames WILL continue.

There's no way I am taking that shit that I lied about his brother, I have never mentioned anything about that outside of PM's between us.

He may interpret the fact I questioned why it's taken him 4 years to bring this up as me questioning his bothers illness, but that's his severely warped mind if that's what he thinks. I in no way would ever question shit like that.

The whole idea is pretty sad.....

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:15:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoonny wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 12:10you said: the only reason you're saying this now is because we took the pointsfix off jelly.

that's obviously a lie. you can go see my response to the announcement about it being taken off, i

say: oh well, your choice, good luck.

and you're one of the few people who knows why i've just come back to renegade, why i've been away (i didn't want to tell anybody)... and yet you say: the reason you're saying this NOW is because we took the pointsfix off.

rcmorr09 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:04I never said you should be banned because of your opinion on the a00 names! I voted yes on the poll "over at Jelly forums" based on the fact you're annoying and won't ever stop with your mindless mad scientist rants you call simple opinions. But spoony you enjoy lying and twisting words so I would expect you to say something like that.

raven wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:11

That's a bit harsh, lol.. no reason to ban the guy for voicing his opinion

RCmorr09 wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 21:22 (quoting raven's post)

I disagree.

So you think I'm wrong about your reasons and jump to the fact I'm lying about your brother, or insinuating you're lying?

That's a massive jump, and one of a pretty fucked up person. It never even entered my head. I can't believe you'd even think that shit, let alone accuse me of it.

Grow the fuck up, I'd never stoop that low, ever, especially over a fuckin game. You go on about apologies, I'd like one right now....

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ELiT3FLyR](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:15:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

its not so much what you have done, its the way you do it. you argue to the death, provoke people into reacting, call them liars, drag people into the argument by randomly mentioning them.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:16:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 12:11CarrierII wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 12:08I think anything personal (you know each others names, that's enough of an indicator) should be kept out of the public domain, but the discussion over the nicknames WILL continue.

There's no way I am taking that shit that I lied about his brother, I have never mentioned anything about that outside of PM's between us.

He may interpret the fact I questioned why it's taken him 4 years to bring this up as me questioning his brothers illness, but that's his severely warped mind if that's what he thinks. I in no way would ever question shit like that.

The whole idea is pretty sad.....

I asked him also if this a00 name deal was so important why wait till now? He also brought up his brothers illness. But what about last month when he was here? He's only concerned now because it appears TT is coming closer to completion.

And spoony I as joking when I quoted raven, but I did forget that I quoted him and said I disagree so you were right.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:25:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:58DON'T dodge this question, answer it

Why not, you seem to like doing it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:27:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:15So you think I'm wrong about your reasons and jump to the fact I'm lying about your brother, or insinuating you're lying?
It's really simple. You throw up all these ridiculous conspiracy theories about why I'm really saying what I'm saying. "oh, spoony's REALLY saying this because..."
two problems with this.

1. you say this in the hope it means you don't have to respond to the actual criticism
2. you basically wipe away my history of honesty with one stroke

so you come up with a reason of "why spoony's saying this now", a completely bullshit one that is easily discredited. trouble is you know better than anyone why i'm saying this NOW, not last year, for example.

RCmorr09 wroteI asked him also if this a00 name deal was so important why wait till now? He also brought up his brothers illness. But what about last month when he was here?
last month, eh?

Spoon wrote on Wed, 09 September 2009 18:33i also think that at some point there will be a server with the 'pure' strategic settings, perhaps TT will host it as the 'official' server for ladder

play, or perhaps i'll do it independently (think SpookySrv). basically so there is always somewhere for people to play for ladder, disregarding any current community bias and whatnot, and to prevent one community having a stranglehold on the ladder. (i'd need an a00 nick for that though. i'm sure i don't need to point out the futility of starting a new server without one.)
you certainly saw that post because you replied to the thread a great many times, to your discredit

simpee wrote its not so much what you have done, its the way you do it. you argue to the death, provoke people into reacting, call them liars, drag people into the argument by randomly mentioning them.

wow simpee... how DARE you criticise the way *I* argue?

spooky said to simpee last page you lied to everyone over and over and over again about what happened at clan wars with the pointsfix.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about this mesa 2v2 nonsense.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about the pointpushing business - whiskey, clearshot etc.

and you lied about clearshot's huge list of bullshit false accusations against me. you had a choice:

1. tell the truth, admit spooky was innocent on all charges, point out that every single word clearshot said was an obvious lie... or 2. lie to the community to help clear get away with it. i predicted you would take the second option, and was absolutely right: it's exactly what you did do.

i like the pointsfix, so you ABSOLUTELY CANNOT tell the truth in any situation where telling the truth will make me look good. i guess me being the only person who could ever be bothered hosting a clan ladder for scum like you hasn't even earned me THAT small amount of respect, eh?

and every time you lie to the community to try to get people on your side, you get caught. i actually try to do you a favour by saying "simpee, you are making your case WEAKER when you do this", you just don't get it, you just try again five minutes later. it's amazing you can't see how much damage you're doing to your own credibility

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:28:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:25 Spooky wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:58 DON'T dodge this question, answer it

Why not, you seem to like doing it.

that's ironic, because i've asked you two or three times to explain exactly what you mean when you said "this won't matter anymore with the new scripts", and you've outright refused to answer.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:30:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 12:27Jellybe4n wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:15So you think I'm wrong about your reasons and jump to the fact I'm lying about your brother, or insinuating you're lying?

It's really simple. You throw up all these ridiculous conspiracy theories about why I'm really saying what I'm saying. "oh, spoony's REALLY saying this because..."

two problems with this.

1. you say this in the hope it means you don't have to respond to the actual criticism
2. you basically wipe away my history of honesty with one stroke

so you come up with a reason of "why spoony's saying this now", a completely bullshit one that is easily discredited. trouble is you know better than anyone why i'm saying this NOW, not last year, for example.

RCmorr09 wroteI asked him also if this a00 name deal was so important why wait till now? He also brought up his brothers illness. But what about last month when he was here? last month, eh?

Spoony wrote on Wed, 09 September 2009 18:33i also think that at some point there will be a server with the 'pure' strategic settings, perhaps TT will host it as the 'official' server for ladder play, or perhaps i'll do it independently (think Spoonysrv). basically so there is always somewhere for people to play for ladder, disregarding any current community bias and whatnot, and to prevent one community having a stranglehold on the ladder. (i'd need an a00 nick for that though. i'm sure i don't need to point out the futility of starting a new server without one.)

you certainly saw that post because you replied to the thread a great many times, to your discredit

simpee wroteits not so much what you have done, its the way you do it. you argue to the death, provoke people into reacting, call them liars, drag people into the argument by randomly mentioning them.

wow simpee... how DARE you criticise the way *I* argue?

spoony said to simpee last pageyou lied to everyone over and over and over again about what happened at clanwars with the pointsfix.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about this mesa 2v2 nonsense.

you lied to everyone over and over and over again about the pointpushing business - whiskey, clearshot etc.

and you lied about clearshot's huge list of bullshit false accusations against me. you had a choice:

1. tell the truth, admit spoony was innocent on all charges, point out that every single word clearshot said was an obvious lie... or 2. lie to the community to help clear get away with it. i predicted you would take the second option, and was absolutely right: it's exactly what you did do.

i like the pointsfix, so you ABSOLUTELY CANNOT tell the truth in any situation where telling the truth will make me look good. i guess me being the only person who could ever be bothered hosting a clan ladder for scum like you hasn't even earned me THAT small amount of respect, eh?

and every time you lie to the community to try to get people on your side, you get caught. i actually try to do you a favour by saying "simpee, you are making your case WEAKER when you do this", you just don't get it, you just try again five minutes later. it's amazing you can't see how much damage you're doing to your own credibility

Quit with BS and quote trees, and tell me where I said you're lying about your brother (not your perception of how you got there from what I said), or drop it and apologise.

If you fail to do either, I'm done discussing anything with you..

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:36:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:30Quit with BS and quote trees, and tell me where I said you're lying about your brother (not your perception of how you got there from what I said), or drop it and apologise.

If you fail to do either, I'm done discussing anything with you..

straw-man. i said: you're lying about the reason i'm saying this NOW. (and of course, everybody believes you).

the real reason why i'm saying this NOW, and not before (not sure why this is distinction you felt you need to make) is something you know about.

so what's more likely?

"spoony's saying this NOW because we took the pointsfix off the jelly servers"

or

"spoony's saying this NOW because he's been away from renegade for a year or so, and i happen to know why"

you thought it was the first one, and your reason was completely made up. perhaps you remember fl00d3d going ape shit and me defending you? why on earth would i turn INTO fl00d3d just because you took the pointsfix off your server when -a- i said at the time that i'm ok with that, and -b- i've said over and over and over again on several forums and countless threads that i don't CARE if a server chooses not to use the pointsfix?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jellybe4n](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:37:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If anyone other than the Spoony want to come to me, feel free. Most likely on our IRC.

As for this topic and Spoony, I wash my hands..

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:41:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is that your final answer?

you're not going to budge an inch on your undeserved monopoly because i actually answered the question and caught you in a lie to try to evade the criticism of your monopoly?

if this is gonna be your last word on the subject, you can, i hope, do better than that.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [HiUGuys](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:42:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 12:28Hex wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:25Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:58DON'T dodge this question, answer it

Why not, you seem to like doing it.
that's ironic, because i've asked you two or three times to explain exactly what you mean when you said "this won't matter anymore with the new scripts", and you've outright refused to answer.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:36Jellybe4n wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 11:30Quit with BS and quote trees, and tell me where I said you're lying about your brother (not your perception of how you got there from what I said), or drop it and apologise.

If you fail to do either, I'm done discussing anything with you..

straw-man. i said: you're lying about the reason i'm saying this NOW. (and of course, everybody believes you).

the real reason why i'm saying this NOW, and not before (not sure why this is distinction you felt you need to make) is something you know about.

so what's more likely?

"spoony's saying this NOW because we took the pointsfix off the jelly servers"

or

"spoony's saying this NOW because he's been away from renegade for a year or so, and i happen to know why"

you thought it was the first one, and your reason was completely made up. perhaps you remember fl00d3d going ape shit and me defending you? why on earth would i turn INTO fl00d3d just because you took the pointsfix off your server when -a- i said at the time that i'm ok with that, and -b- i've said over and over and over again on several forums and countless threads that i don't CARE if a server chooses not to use the pointsfix?

how did Jellys question get onto point fix again...?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:44:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

because that's what he said. he said: the only reason spoony's saying this now is because we took the pointsfix off jelly.

there are quite a few things wrong with that and he certainly knows better, but he said it anyway knowing people would swallow it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:47:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Metaphorically speaking, if the servers were given up by Jelly, who in TT would be in charge of them?

I don't expect Jelly to give them up and nor would i, if i was in his position. I'm just curious as to who would control it.

That aside, this whole debate is pointless anyway, as the TT patch makes the whole point moot like Ethenal said.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:51:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anyone with server owner access might wanna check this thread.
<http://www.renegadeforums.com/index.php?t=msg&th=23013&start=0>

yeah, clearly the pointsfix is DEFINITELY why i'm talking about this, eh? funny, cos the pointsfix didn't even exist at the time.

also from that thread, this is rather telling
Spoony wrote it'd cause major flaming? Well, quite possibly it would, but let's not forget we have major flaming now. Look at Jelly - he's hands down the single most honest, trustworthy person I've ever come across, either in Renegade or in real life, and he gets all kinds of crap spouted at him, most of which is garbage anyway.
see, jelly, if anyone treats you as despicably as your community treat me, and have done for months long before this thread started, i'm on your side.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 16:58:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jellybe4n wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:37 If anyone other than the Spoony want to come to me, feel free. Most likely on our IRC.

As for this topic and Spoony, I wash my hands..

Perhaps you could have a talk with Crimson then? Or if you don't like that, I'm sure Goztow, StealthEye, or if really necessary me could be an option?

I'll send this in a PM as well, as I can imagine you being done with this thread.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [ELiT3FLyR](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 17:05:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why the fuck would i even bother replying to ur last post when all uve done is called me a liar, and all you are going to say in response is liar liar liar. this is exactly what i am talking about when i say ur style is homo.

besides, i cant reply because as soon as i say something this douchebag moderator deletes my post whilst leaving ur post with half of my post quoted inside it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Hex](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 17:06:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 17:28

that's ironic, because i've asked you two or three times to explain exactly what you mean when you said "this won't matter anymore with the new scripts", and you've outright refused to answer.

You answer my question and I'll answer yours.

Can you do that?, I mean answer a question with a answer not more questions?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 18:17:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony was using the argument that Jellys control of the a00 nicknames was unfair to new communities and that if TT had control this would not be the case.

However Crimson the person who is the "LEAD" of TT purposefully tried to stop Exodus Gaming Community from trying to start our own server. Im not going to go into all the other details about that as there have been plenty of threads in the past and we don't need another one.

But Jelly DID help out a new community, something which certainly wouldn't have happened had those nicknames been under the control of TT ie Crimson.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 20:18:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so we have a real old game (in internet-games-time) and we lose more and more players...
do we really need new communities? havent we enough empty/unused servers, do we really need more?

and spoony:

you know that the pointmod did empty our server why you try to hide this fact?

and why do you try in the jelly-forum to get yourself banned?

for all others who dont know what i talk about:

a jelly community member tried a poll with the target to get spoony banned from there. and now spoony say something like: "ok, several players want see me banned there. jelly said he listen to this community, so he should ban me"

whats that shit? why you do that? the jelly-community has now a little problem. if the admins dont ban you, you can say: see jelly lied as he said that he listen to his community.

if they ban you you can say: see, i told you all that the jelly-community is unfair to me, they just banned me from their forum.

do you dont think that your actings in the jelly forums goes to far?

and you still didnt affirm that you know that the pointmod did empty our servers though you know this fact for a long time now.

and thats the next point. lets imagine that somebody with the pointmod included could have the first server on the list, and it dies coz the pointmod. it would proof only that it dont need a server in the a00 listings, or that it will get filled with many players, coz they only would play 1-2 maps, and then they would join another server, without pointmod. like it happened in the past, as j1 had the pointmod included.

greetz telly ^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 20:59:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:18and spoony:

you know that the pointmod did empty our server why you try to hide this fact?

wtf hide? i simply put forth the opinion that it might not have been the only reason.

other things to consider: 1. the appalling way your community and admins treat people for no reason. 2. n00bstories still seems to get more players than you even though it still uses the pointsfix, and even after the whole exodus business happened.

tellsson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:18and why do you try in the jelly-forum to get yourself banned?

for all others who dont know what i talk about:

a jelly community member tried a poll with the target to get spoony banned from there. and now spoony say something like: "ok, several players want see me banned there. jelly said he listen to this community, so he should ban me"

whats that shit? why you do that? the jelly-community has now a little problem. if the admins dont ban you, you can say: see jelly lied as he said that he listen to his community.

if they ban you you can say: see, i told you all that the jelly-community is unfair to me, they just banned me from theyr forum.

i don't think you have a clue what i was talking about.

gladiator said there was no real hope of me getting banned. i said i feared otherwise, because they're always saying they'll "do what the community wants", and most of them voted yes. this is pretty simple.

tellsson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:18do you dont think that your actings in the jelly forums goes to far?

i think i've been incredibly mature and patient in the face of the most extraordinary provocation. in that respect, i am starting to think that i actually have gone much too far.

Hex wroteYou answer my question and I'll answer yours.

Can you do that?, I mean answer a question with a answer not more questions?

Wow, wtf dude? You came into this thread and said: shut up spoony, this is all about to be solved anyway with the new scripts. I said: please explain exactly what you're talking about. I've asked 3 or 4 times now and you've always evaded the question, and you're still doing it now.

simpee wrotewhy the fuck would i even bother replying to ur last post when all uve done is called me a liar, and all you are going to say in response is liar liar liar. this is exactly what i am talking about when i say ur style is homo.

Told you you wouldn't have the balls (or brains) to just back down after being caught lying to everybody again.

grant89uk wroteSpoony was using the argument that Jellys control of the a00 nicknames was unfair to new communities and that if TT had control this would not be the case.

Excuse me? I didn't say "TT will give the a00 names to new communities".

grant89uk wroteHowever Crimson the person who is the "LEAD" of TT purposefully tried to stop Exodus Gaming Community from trying to start our own server. Im not going to go into all the other details about that as there have been plenty of threads in the past and we don't need another one.

But Jelly DID help out a new community, something which certainly wouldn't have happened had those nicknames been under the control of TT ie Crimson.

So you're saying you automatically deserve one, and you think Jelly's a great and benevolent dictator because he gave you one and not, for example, Black-Cell and Black-Intel even though they've been around much, much longer and made all sorts of useful anti-cheat stuff?

I've already said that I don't think Exodus did anything specifically wrong by wanting to start a new server, but I also think Crimson didn't do anything wrong by trying to keep her community

together. She didn't keep the a00 names from you, did she? How many does she control? One? Two?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Gladiator](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 21:32:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm getting pretty worried - Crimson and Spoony are apparently teaming up to take on the Jelly community.

Their extreme popularity (I can't think of ANYONE who doesn't like them) just freaks me the fuck out.

Edit: Spoony, I know your favorite word (and it's various conjugations) is "lie," but believe it or not, I'm not lying when I say that CASE isn't an admin. To quote my reply on the Jelly forums:
Quote: spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 08:10 "yes" is winning case's poll by a long way. jelly admins are always saying: "we will do what the majority wants". will they keep their word this time, i wonder?

Find me the quote, Dr. Fucko, where anyone ever said we follow the what the majority wants 100% of the time?

Generally, yes. Always, no.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 21:34:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jelly gave us permission to use the one we have. There were log files which showed crimson login in on it and causing our server numbers on xwis to crash to 0.

It was essentially sabotage. Not to mention word filters on this forum so that we could not advertise our new community at all.

You keep talking about monopolies well if crimson would do that sort of thing to bring down a newly formed community I hate to think what would happen if she had any more control over anything else in renegade such as server nicknames.

Lastly not even you spoony are that deluded to think what she did on here to keep our community down was justified. Especially when your initial argument here was that jellys monopoly hurts newer communities. Your example about BI and Blackcell etc deserving it more is valid in a sense. But it totally contradicts your previous arguments, because surely NO NEW community will be able to deserve an a00 nickname more than those. So what is the real reason for this whole tirade?

edit:

Spoony wrotegrant89uk wroteSpoony was using the argument that Jellys control of the a00 nicknames was unfair to new communities and that if TT had control this would not be the case. Excuse me? I didn't say "TT will give the a00 names to new communities".

You imply this in the way you say that Jellys monopoly on nicknames hurts newer communities. If TT weren't going to help the new communities with nicknames then it doesn't change anything and you have stated absolute nonsense only to go back on your words later on.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 21:57:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well spoony,
you said it many many many times in your essays:

"Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject." (<< your words)

well i`m in the antipointfix-crowd, and i brought a valid reason (a proofed one)why i dont like the pointmod.

so, i caught you liyng. and to follow your logic (from all the posts where you called other liars coz they perhaps lied once)
YOU ARE NOT TRUSTABLE! YOU ARE A LIAR!

i cant believe you coz you lied.

greetz telly ^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 22:41:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

grant89uk wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:34Jelly gave us permission to use the one we have. There were log files which showed crimson login in on it and causing our server numbers on xwis to crash to 0.

It was essentially sabotage.
Didn't she explain this?

grant89uk wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:34Not to mention word filters on this forum so that

we could not advertise our new community at all.
Yes, that's true, and if you remember I spoke out against it.

grant89uk wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:34 You keep talking about monopolies well if crimson would do that sort of thing to bring down a newly formed community I hate to think what would happen if she had any more control over anything else in renegade such as server nicknames.

Not just Crimson - TT as a whole, including me. I've already said that I would swear to do everything possible to make sure they were used fairly, and I've never broken my word. Compare this to "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it"...

grant89uk wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:34 Your example about BI and Blackcell etc deserving it more is valid in a sense. But it totally contradicts your previous arguments, because surely NO NEW community will be able to deserve an a00 nickname more than those.

No, no contradiction at all. Jelly's chokehold on the game listings hurts everybody that isn't Jelly or in Jelly's current good books... whether old, respected and deserving communities like blackintel and blackcell, or any new community that isn't Exodus.

Gladiator wrote I'm getting pretty worried - Crimson and Spooky are apparently teaming up to take on the Jelly community.

You're saying that more than one person has opinions against your chokehold on the game listings? Well, thanks for the info. Of course I'm hardly the first person to speak out, am I?

Gladiator wrote Find me the quote, Dr. Fucko, where anyone ever said we follow the what the majority wants 100% of the time?

Generally, yes. Always, no.

Easy tiger, no need to get hostile just because I expressed the rather justified worry that you'd "do what the community wants", as seems to be the usual policy.

tellsson wrote well spooky,
you said it many many many times in your essays:

"Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject." (<< your words)

well i`m in the antipointfix-crowd, and i brought a valid reason (a proofed one) why i dont like the pointmod.

so, i caught you liyng.

You caught nothing of the sort. You totally failed to refute the absolutely staggering amount of arguments on my side, nor explained why new players should be inculcated into the same bullshit system just because YOU'RE used to it. That's not "winning the argument".

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 22:45:15 GMT

Spoony, Telly is relying on the fact that a lot of people aren't willing to put in the effort to read your posts. So if he just says "U R LIAR I R WINNER GG NUB", a lot of people will have think he will think he nailed you.

Of course, to those of us actually following the thread, the picture looks quite differently.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 22:55:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 17:45Of course, to those of us actually following the thread, the picture looks quite differently.

Or the ones who have their heads so far up their ass they can't get the full picture.

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16Oh wow! LOL. I have had enough of people inflicting TL;DR posts on me!

So, in retaliation, i made one myself!!

spoonny wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:43...TT goes to incredible lengths to debate the subject logically, listen to everybody's opinion, reply to everybody. suggests several compromises all of which are violently rejected by the anti-pointsfix crowd. eventually says: ok, we'll give you everything you wanted.

Becasue TT is a community built patch and requires almost 100% community support before EA or whoever runs the show, can ever allow it to become a compulsory patch available through the XWIS patcher.

If you went and made every single change mandatory (and im talking about the things that affect established gameplay), for example, the pointsfix, the community split would make TT as a compulsory and automatically downloaded patch impossible, and therefore obsolete.

Therefore, you HAVE TO compromise or the project fails.

That is the fundamental flaw in your comparison with this Jelly A00 nick issue. Jelly has no compulsion (or responsibility) to provide support to other communities or give up his competitive advantage. You have projected this responsibility onto Jelly but i would say it was never his.

However, despite that, he still CHOOSES to support other communities and continues to do so to this day.

spoonny wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:43...people criticise Jelly because it has a monopoly on the game listings.

jelly admins say: fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it...

Goadng people into an emotional outburst seems to be your fort  . Can you really expect a professional, thought out, meaningful response to EVERY subject you seem to be a part of. Considering the tone (and length) of your original post, i wouldnt have expected less.

But now you have changed the goalposts with your threats to approach Olaf/EA so you will get your professional response and i see, even the promise of a compromise from Jelly for your own benefit.

Unfortunately, nobody had the right to criticise Jelly in the first place - certainly not for the sole reason that he owns all the A00 nicks and uses them as he sees fit. You might "complain" to a sympathetic ear, or bemoan how unfair you think it is that Jelly dominates the top nicks. But fairness doesnt come into it. The nicks are for all intents and purposes, the property of Jelly sans XWIS. Therefore, Jelly should be able to use them as he sees fit.

And how he sees fit, from what i can see, is to provide some of the best run forums as well as several well maintained servers and most importantly, the most cohesive, warm, friendly, fun and inclusive community i have ever been a part of. This doesnt even mention the wider community aspect in initiating and hosting regular and successful inter-community matches.

If the servers were dead, constantly offline, or some bad admins had run the place into the ground, then you may have a case. But i see no evidence of that here and therefore, no point to your argument.

If that doesnt convince you, then how about the fact the server nick is meaningless under scripts 3.4.4 and also the TT patch? Both sort the servers by player count and not the server nick. Unless of course, you know somethig we dont? Maybe TT would decide to change their minds on how the servers were sorted upon obtaining an A00 nick "for the benefit of the community"?

spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:43and maybe we should ban you for giving your opinion at all.

Of course you are not going to get banned. But people do feel strongly about how you are conducting yourself in these forums and on others whilst still pertaining to be a part of this community.

You posted that you thought it may be necessary to approach Olaf/EA to have the A00 nicks forcibly removed from Jelly for the benefit of the "more responsible" group, TT (of which you happen to be a senior member). And you think everyone would just take that at face value and welcome you back here without prejudice?

If you want to be a part of a community, then be a part of it. Not constantly creating or provoking heated arguments and endlessly one-sided debates on non-issues or things that are already resolved.

Gr8mofo1 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:36I usually stay pretty quiet on the topics Spoony starts because frankly in the last year they are just a waste of time. Let me preface the following

comments with the fact that I do not know Jelly other than a few hello's and so forth over the years.

I started playing this game when Renstation and NoNoobs were around. I don't recall either of those hosts on the top tier of host names but I could be wrong. We enjoyed the game and found the servers and players we liked to game with.

When iccy decided to merge over with Jelly alot of us NoNoobs players came to play on this server and community. For the most part Jelly was gracious enough to let us play the way we did at NoNoobs. For that I was very grateful. He for the most part let us keep similiar gameplay and strategy since it differed tremendously from Jelly's style of gameplay.

He has never been difficult or has anyone ever felt he was monopolizing the A00 names. He let's the mods and admins run the servers the way the majority like it. This is one of the reasons his community is still going strong and continues to get donations from its members. He is wise enough to know that there are several diffent styles of gameplay so he started J1, J2, Mini, Marathon and so forth. He has given everyone and opportunity to play on different servers to see if they like the game play or not. I see nothing wrong with this.

You say the communities are upset at his monopoly of the A00 names? Please name those communities? The only threads about people not liking this to my knowledge, are the ones you have personally started. Look at Storm server for example. They are not on the top of the list but they still get quite a bit of consistent regular players. The people who play there often like it and I have occasionally gone to play on their server from time to time to mix things up a little.

My point is this. You have personally taken the TT patch to an extreme personal level. I understand you have a lot invested in the patch but please this is just a game. Please note for me when the majority of Ren players and communities decided that they wanted this point fix update or was it something that a few coders and one to two community reps decided they wanted it?

The problem started when TT or someone from TT said the point fix was going to be mandatory. You say TT has gone to great lengths to listen to the majority of people who stated they did not want the point fix and after numerous threads, posts and even in my old community that I started we put up an anti point fix petition which had about 100 signatures from the MCD community and several other communities who felt the point fix should not be mandatory. I venture to say if the point fix was mandatory and we sent those names and started a new petition to EA there would be some second thoughts about what the majority of regular players wanted...

You truly believe in the point fix and 50% or more regular players don't believe in it. It's a matter of choice. Please understand this. Right, wrong or indifferent people still have a choice. J1 put the point fix up and it didn't work to well. Alot of the regular J1 players went Marathon. Imagine what is going through Jelly's mind, hmm.. I have players leaving J1 and marathon is full most of the time now and what happens when marathon is full and no one really wants to play on J1 they will go elsewhere and play on another server? Should he risk losing potential donators because of the point fix mod? I think not. He gave it a fair go at the point fix but it wasn't greeted well by the majority of players so he changed it back.

People have enjoyed this game for several years the way it was created and sure you can post about how it was not created correctly because of getting credits by whoring the harvy with soldiers and what not but who really cares. This is just a game. It is not real life. I don't go to bed thinking about how I can shoot a blue beam at a building at it miracously starts repairing itself?

I have offered up my A00000000 name to you for sale or for rent and you said I was less than honest. Sure 10,000 is alot but you know I would rent it to you for a reasonable price. I truly would sell it to you. This way you can get a name on top with the point fix but with the new scripts and TT patch the host names become useless and it is by player counts anyways.

In conclusion, I feel, you have personally tried to demonize Jelly for having the A00 names. Yes, Spooky you have done this and I hope you are willing to accept that fact and apologize to him. People from the Jelly community like him, will defend him and as you can see by the majority of replies they do not like you for trying to demonize a guy (Jelly) who fronts the cash each month so they can release some steam after work or just to relax for a few hours on Renegade.

Have you thought maybe, just maybe, that it is not one isolated person but when the majority of responses to your threads are from people who think you are arrogant and disrespectful? Please read your responses when someone disagrees with you and they always start with someone is lying and you are always right.

Spoony you and I go back a long time and we have differed on a few things, but primarily it being the Point fix. I hope you take it in the spirit I am sending this. I still consider you a friend and respect alot of things you have done for the Ren community. I just don't agree with the way you are going about doing some things that is all. To all I am sorry for the long post.

Cheers,

Roni

Spoony, since you said you won't be replying to the posts at the topic you made on Jelly ("only debate it there, btw. i'm not gonna reply to posts here."), I thought I'd repost them here for discussion.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hex](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 22:58:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:59

Wow, wtf dude? You came into this thread and said: shut up spooky, this is all about to be solved anyway with the new scripts. I said: please explain exactly what you're talking about. I've asked 3 or 4 times now and you've always evaded the question, and you're still doing it now

Please point out to me where I told you to shut up or have even been rude or offense to you?, wait you can't because I haven't

In fact, I'm sure I summed it up on my first post, lets see

Hex wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:38also with the TT patch being forced (for XWIS users), A00 nicks won't matter since the current scripts (3.4.4) already list servers by count not names.

You sir are misguided and for some odd reason think you are right on something that has been shown over and over that you're not

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tellsson](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:10:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 00:45Spoony, Telly is relying on the fact that a lot of people aren't willing to put in the effort to read your posts. So if he just says "U R LIAR I R WINNER GG NUB", a lot of people will have think he will think he nailed you.

Of course, to those of us actually following the thread, the picture looks quite differently.

false. its spoonys logic that if someone lied, he isnt trustfull enough.

i caught him liyng, therefore he isnt trustfull.

and he lied to the whole renegade comunity, and thats a real sad thing.. he didnt only lie to 1 person, he did lie to all of us.

greetz telly ^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:20:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16Becasue TT is a community built patch and requires almost 100% community support before EA or whoever runs the show, can ever allow it to become a compulsory patch available through the XWIS patcher.

If you went and made every single change mandatory (and im talking about the things that affect established gameplay), for example, the pointsfix, the community split would make TT as a compulsory and automatically downloaded patch impossible, and therefore obsolete.

Therefore, you HAVE TO compromise or the project fails.

Untrue. Firstly, we've already gotten that from EA. Secondly, the number of people who vehemently oppose the pointsfix is actually a very small minority. Sure it's a majority over at JELLY, but in the whole of Renegade, it's a definite minority.

If we included the pointsfix in the patch on a compulsory basis, it would actually be very easy to convince EA that it was a good idea. I've made patches for EA games before. We gave you everything you wanted about the pointsfix because we chose to, not because we thought we had to.

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16 That is the fundamental flaw in your comparison with this Jelly A00 nick issue. Jelly has no compulsion (or responsibility) to provide support to other communities or give up his competitive advantage. You have projected this responsibility onto Jelly but i would say it was never his.

The only reason why comparing TT to Jelly is invalid is because TT's authority to patch the game was actually earned through years of work on the game and persuasion to EA, eventually gaining their approval. Jelly's "authority" comes from snapping up the names probably a minute before someone else was trying to do the same.

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16 Goading people into an emotional outburst seems to be your fort  . Can you really expect a professional, thought out, meaningful response to EVERY subject you seem to be a part of. Considering the tone (and length) of your original post, i wouldnt have expected less.

My post was orders of magnitude more civilised than a great many posts criticising the pointsfix. Presumably, then, you think it would be justified for TT to reply to them saying "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it"? You wouldn't have expected less, eh?

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16 But now you have changed the goalposts with your threats to approach Olaf/EA so you will get your professional response and i see, even the promise of a compromise from Jelly for your own benefit.

Threats to approach Olaf and EA. Well. First I tried reasoning with him, and was told: "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it, we got there first". I am interested to know where Olaf and EA stand on this. Perhaps, and here's a crazy thought, the name registration procedure was designed simply for people to register their own personal names, since there's actually a limit in place, NOT to register so many server names that you control all the servers you see when you log on to Renegade.

As for the promise of a compromise, initially I was told to "fuck off", of course. I still haven't seen Jelly budge an inch, and he's actually now said he isn't going to deal with me "anymore" (!!!) because I caught him in a lie (when he said "spoony's only saying this because we took the pointsfix off... which was rather easily disproven, although it seems like most of the jelly community still believes it)

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16 And how he sees fit, from what i can see, is to provide some of the best run forums as well as several well maintained servers and most importantly, the most cohesive, warm, friendly, fun and inclusive community i have ever been a part of. This doesnt even mention the wider community aspect in initiating and hosting regular and successful inter-community matches.

If this were true, one would think you wouldn't need any a00 names at all?

As for the most warm and friendly and inclusive community... please.

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16 If that doesnt convince you, then how about the fact the server nick is meaningless under scripts 3.4.4 and also the TT patch? Both sort the servers by player count and not the server nick. Unless of course, you know somethig we dont? On the contrary. it seems that others know something I don't, because I've asked several times for an EXACT explanation of how this will work, and still not been answered.

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 15:43and maybe we should ban you for giving your opinion at all.

Of course you are not going to get banned. But people do feel strongly about how you are conducting yourself in these forums and on others whilst still pertaining to be a part of this community.

That's interesting, because I didn't see a WORD of condemnation against... for example... clearshot. But the person he was so ruthlessly and dishonestly attacking was spoony, so that's not a problem, right?

PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16If you want to be a part of a community, then be a part of it. Not constantly creating or provoking heated arguments and endlessly one-sided debates on non-issues or things that are already resolved.

If you actually read the debates, you'll see my level of logical debate and civility is usually several orders of magnitude higher than my opposition, and yet the most civilised party draws the most contempt.

Roni wroteHe has never been difficult or has anyone ever felt he was monopolizing the A00 names.

Untrue. You think I'm the first person to criticise Jelly's monopoly? A few years ago I actually tried to act as a neutral mediator between Jelly and the people criticising him, and I actually said a lot in Jelly's defence.

Roni wroteHe let's the mods and admins run the servers the way the majority like it. This is one of the reasons his community is still going strong and continues to get donations from its members. He is wise enough to know that there are several different styles of gameplay so he started J1, J2, Mini, Marathon and so forth. He has given everyone an opportunity to play on different servers to see if they like the game play or not. I see nothing wrong with this.

You're saying he keeps his own internal community happy. Great. I'm happy for you. Back to the original question. Why does he need all the a00 names again?

Roni wroteYou say the communities are upset at his monopoly of the A00 names? Please name those communities? The only threads about people not liking this to my knowledge, are the ones you have personally started.

See above.

Roni wroteThe problem started when TT or someone from TT said the point fix was going to be mandatory. You say TT has gone to great lengths to listen to the majority of people who stated they did not want the point fix and after numerous threads, posts and even in my old community that I started we put up an anti point fix petition which had about 100 signatures from the MCD community and several other communities who felt the point fix should not be mandatory. I venture to say if the point fix was mandatory and we sent those names and started a new petition to EA there would be some second thoughts about what the majority of regular players wanted... For starters, they'd have laughed at the idea that 100 is a majority. Secondly, it would have been a simple matter to simply point out to EA the way the people on the anti-pointsfix side were actually behaving. No, roni. We made the pointsfix optional because we chose to. We didn't do it because we thought we had to. We were simply "being the better man". We suggested several

compromises, all of which you violently rejected, and we just thought: fuck it, keep your stupid bugged flawed system for all we care. Of course that wasn't enough for the anti-pointsfix crowd (as I predicted it wouldn't be) - they also said I should not be able to choose to use the pointsfix in my own community (if you remember, you supported the saboteurs who subverted the admin's decisions through a campaign of lies, manipulation and rigged polls, instead of supporting the league owner's right to decide how to run his own league)

Roni wrote You truly believe in the point fix and 50% or more regular players don't believe in it. It's a matter of choice. Please understand this. Right, wrong or indifferent people still have a choice. Um, this might be a good time to say for the thousandth time that we're all fine with you using the pointsbug in your own community, even if you're not fine with me using the pointsfix in mine.

Roni wrote I have offered up my A00000000 name to you for sale or for rent and you said I was less than honest. Sure 10,000 is a lot but you know I would rent it to you for a reasonable price. I truly would sell it to you. This way you can get a name on top with the point fix but with the new scripts and TT patch the host names become useless and it is by player counts anyways. Firstly, you're still under the impression that I only want a server up there with the pointsfix. Well, of course you are... jelly lied to you and you believed him. No. If you actually read what I said, you'll see I'm criticising the fact he has a monopoly on the advanced game listings, NOT saying "but i want an a00 name and then I'll be happy"

Secondly, it is in my mind to ask you how you would react if, when you said the pointsfix should be optional, TT said "what's it worth to you?"

Roni wrote In conclusion, I feel, you have personally tried to demonize Jelly for having the A00 names. Yes, Spoon you have done this and I hope you are willing to accept that fact and apologize to him. People from the Jelly community like him, will defend him and as you can see by the majority of replies they do not like you for trying to demonize a guy (Jelly) Firstly it's categorically false. Jelly says this because he thinks it'll mean he doesn't have to respond to what I'm saying. I didn't attack him personally, I attacked his claim to authority.

Secondly, your community over at Jelly is full of people - including many moderators and admins - who CONTINUALLY attack/demonise ME simply because they disagree with what I say about the pointsfix etc. Including you, remember. You treated me appallingly.

Roni wrote Have you thought maybe, just maybe, that it is not one isolated person but when the majority of responses to your threads are from people who think you are arrogant and disrespectful? Please read your responses when someone disagrees with you and they always start with someone is lying and you are always right.

Bit of a self-defeating argument here, because you did just lie.

"Please read your responses when someone disagrees with you and they always start with someone is lying and you are always right."

Utterly untrue. Go check the "thoughts on the ladder" thread. I give you hockey6v2 or w.e his name is. my responses to him are polite and civilised. i don't flame him, i just respond to what he says about the pointsfix.

so why's he the odd one out? go on, guess. if you can't, i'll tell you.

Roni wroteSpoony you and I go back a long time and we have differed on a few things, but primarily it being the Point fix. I hope you take it in the spirit I am sending this. I still consider you a friend and respect alot of things you have done for the Ren community. I just don't agree with the way you are going about doing some things that is all. To all I am sorry for the long post. You don't agree with me criticising Jelly's monopoly on the game listings?

You'd do something different?

OK. You disagreed with the perceived notion that I wanted to force the pointsfix onto all servers (untrue, but never mind that for now). What did you do? Make a petition aim it at EA. You didn't aim it at TT or me... you aimed it at EA.

I, on the other hand, have actually tried talking about this with Jelly. I only mentioned the possibility of going over his head (as you thought to do with the pointsfix) AFTER he told me to fuck off.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:29:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Threatened, not mentioned.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:29:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:10false. its spoonys logic that if someone lied, he isnt trustfull enough.

i caught him liyng, therefore he isnt trustfull.

and he lied to the whole renegade comunity, and thats a real sad thing.. he didnt only lie to 1 person, he did lie to all of us.

greetz telly ^^

spoony wroteYou caught nothing of the sort. You totally failed to refute the absolutely staggering amount of arguments on my side, nor explained why new players should be inculcated into the same bullshit system just because YOU'RE used to it. That's not "winning the argument".

^^

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:33:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:29Threatened, not mentioned.
Threatened, then.

1. But at first I tried just talking about it, and was DEMONISED (lovely word, roni) for doing so publicly, instead of trying the accepted method of shady deals behind closed doors.

2. Roni's threats over the pointsfix were the first thing he did. His petition wasn't aimed at TT, it wasn't aimed at me. It was aimed at EA.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:36:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's Roni's business and has to do with his community. The only point I would be concerned with is #1

Had it been a deal "behind closed doors" as you put it, it probably would of had a different result. Not a 9 page thread of going absolutely nowhere other than showing people the immaturity and stubbornness of the entire Renegade community.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:44:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:36 That's Roni's business and has to do with his community. The only point I would be concerned with is #1

You say this a lot every time someone from the Jelly camp comes under criticism, such as the INDESCRIBABLE behaviour of your moderator clearshot. If I owe Jelly an apology for "demonising" him (which is a euphemism for saying "jelly, you are my friend but it is my opinion that you shouldn't have this chokehold on the game listings), then there are far better candidates for people who owe ME apologies. for example, roni's behaviour towards me on the pointsfix was ten times worse than my posts towards jelly. for another example, clearshot. for a third, the thing i keep mentioning about the way half the jelly community (including mods and admins) treat me for no worse crime than expressing opinions they don't agree with.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:36 Had it been a deal "behind closed doors" as you put it, it probably would of had a different result. Not a 9 page thread of going absolutely nowhere other than showing people the immaturity and stubbornness of the entire Renegade community. If we replace "Renegade" with "Jelly" then you're a bit nearer the mark. But that's not nowhere; it's a wake-up call. I said it before. Maybe a few people still thought the Jelly community is qualified to manage this authority, but you guys have done a pretty good job smashing that illusion for the small number of people who still suffered from it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2009 23:59:24 GMT

I say that because I personally don't want anything to do with the matter. In my opinion clearsh0t shouldn't even be a moderator but that's an issue we have to solve as a community, not here. I also never said a thing about you owing apologies to anybody, I'm not sure why you're bringing that up with me. I'd like a list of every Jelly moderator that you think has treated you unfairly, starting with myself of course, along with the reason why you think you were treated unfairly. I keep seeing you say this and you say it's about half, I want to see facts to back up your statements.

Since when do you need to be qualified to register nicknames on a game? Is there a license or a management course you need to go through? I don't quite know how to put this any differently than I have before; the nicknames were fair game for anyone to register, Jelly beat everyone to the punch and has run a great community on these nicks for years. You don't share this opinion because you've made yourself unwelcome there. Tough shit for you; you did it to yourself.

What if I wanted the Spooky nickname and it was already taken? I had grandiose plans for that nick but it's taken =(I know what I'll do, I'll try to seize it forcefully by making threats and trying to gain public support! Ridiculous, right? Well that's pretty much what you're trying to accomplish here; albeit the nicknames are a bit better than the "Spooky" nickname.

You still have yet to answer me as to why you went after Jelly specifically, when others own the other half of the front page. What's the real reason behind all this?

Also, you have no idea how hard it would be for me to change all my scripts and coding around for new nicknames for the other servers. My ban system is fully integrated to the direct connect checking scripts which all have the server nicks hardcoded into them at some point. I no longer have the source so I'd have to recode everything which I don't plan on doing.

Lastly, this is all for nothing when the TT patch is released. It sorts it by players ingame instead of nicks (which is the better system anyhow, and it should have been like that from the start). Unless TT is going to be another year, what's the point in all this?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 00:21:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:59 I say that because I personally don't want anything to do with the matter. In my opinion clearsh0t shouldn't even be a moderator but that's an issue we have to solve as a community, not here. I also never said a thing about you owing apologies to anybody, I'm not sure why you're bringing that up with me.

I'm just saying it so that Roni and anyone who agrees with him that I owe Jelly an apology might start seeing how many people in their own community owe me one for much better reasons.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:59 I'd like a list of every Jelly moderator that you think has treated you unfairly, starting with myself of course, along with the reason why you think you were treated unfairly. I keep seeing you say this and you say it's about half, I want to see facts to

back up your statements.

Yourself? That's easy, although a little masochistic, because I actually decided I'd keep fairly quiet about it. Your moderator Msgtpain, as you know, has had a furious grudge against me for several years, and has continuously attacked me for no justification. (He's TRIED to justify it based on a lie about a very old community match - non00bs vs the pitts - in which he said I behaved badly in regard to a cheat accusation. That was completely untrue - I asked for a screenshot, which incidentally wasn't posted). Usually I just let him rant aimlessly, but every now and again I reply pointing out how full of shit he is. He replies calling me a liar blah blah blah. I'm a little sick of him at this point so I just blow the whistle on his cheating tendencies.

Between you and cunalinga, you deleted my post and locked the thread, then you threatened to ban me (and threw in a personal insult into the bargain). Bafflingly, though, his ridiculous lies against me were allowed to stand, even though the rebuttal blowing them out of the water was deleted.

That's not all you've done, of course. Perhaps you remember when bluelabel decided he wanted to organise a comm match in my community's name. For a great many reasons I said: this is not clanwars.cc asking you, and I gave you all those reasons. You deleted my posts - all of which were civilised and clear - and insulted me yet again. I asked you: let's say someone decided to organise community matches for jelly, without permission from jelly himself or you or TD or whoever. Let's then say this someone had cheated in the past, and was doing a terrible job of organising this comm match, and clearly had no confidence from "his" players. Wouldn't you want to say something? What if this happened at clanwars and I deleted your posts and then insulted you for sticking up for your community?

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:59 I don't quite know how to put this any differently than I have before; the nicknames were fair game for anyone to register, Jelly beat everyone to the punch and has run a great community on these nicks for years. You don't share this opinion because you've made yourself unwelcome there. Tough shit for you; you did it to yourself. You try to cram several different statements into one paragraph here, so it is necessary to separate them.

"the nicknames were fair game for anyone to register, Jelly beat everyone to the punch". OK, so this is your justification for the monopoly. Odd, because I don't think this is what XWIS wanted to happen. Also, you need to answer to my analogy about if EA said: "First person to reply gets to make a patch on Renegade!" or my analogy to the guy who took all the Renegade serials.

"and has run a great community on these nicks for years". Same sentence, totally different point. This doesn't mean anything to anyone outside the Jelly community. This doesn't justify, or require, an a00 name.

"You don't share this opinion because you've made yourself unwelcome there."

I've made it very clear why I don't share this opinion. Try actually reading my actual objections to the monopoly.

"Tough shit for you; you did it to yourself."

How very pathetic. If you actually check those pointsfix debates, you'll see me behave very courteously in the face of unbelievable provocation. People are pissed at me because I hold a different opinion and because they get angry at losing arguments. Neither of these is a crime.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:59 What if I wanted the Spoony nickname and it was already taken? I had grandiose plans for that nick but it's taken =(I know what I'll do, I'll try to

seize it forcefully by making threats and trying to gain public support! Ridiculous, right? Well that's pretty much what you're trying to accomplish here; albeit the nicknames are a bit better than the "Spoony" nickname.

I can't believe you just tried to use this as an argument. I think I hear a barrel being scraped.

You can register eight nicknames for yourself. If you register a name someone else wants, the worst that can really happen is they don't get it. This DOESN'T PREVENT YOU making a new name for yourself. Jelly taking all the a00 names DOES DEMONSTRABLY harm other communities; for starters it DIRECTLY means no new servers have a chance of flourishing unless he smiles upon them.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:59 You still have yet to answer me as to why you went after Jelly specifically, when others own the other half of the front page. What's the real reason behind all this?

Oh, you're saying "Jelly isn't the only offender, just the biggest one by far", are you? Well, Nightma has a couple but I've talked to him and he said he'd be OK if the situation was reformed somehow.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:59 Also, you have no idea how hard it would be for me to change all my scripts and coding around for new nicknames for the other servers. My ban system is fully integrated to the direct connect checking scripts which all have the server nicks hardcoded into them at some point. I no longer have the source so I'd have to recode everything which I don't plan on doing.

harder than a new community having a chance of getting new players? i fucking doubt it. but you've never had to try doing that, which is why you didn't think it is a problem. believe me, I used to be under the same misapprehension.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 00:39:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 I'm just saying it so that Roni and anyone who agrees with him that I owe Jelly an apology might start seeing how many people in their own community owe me one for much better reasons.

Although it was never brought up, I do feel that I owe you an apology for acting the way I did during the bluelabel/cw.cc comm war fiasco. For that, I do apologize. It was wrong of me to allow it.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 Yourself? That's easy, although a little masochistic, because I actually decided I'd keep fairly quiet about it. Your moderator Msgtpain, as you know, has had a furious grudge against me for several years, and has continuously attacked me for no justification. (He's TRIED to justify it based on a lie about a very old community match - non00bs vs the pitts - in which he said I behaved badly in regard to a cheat accusation. That was completely untrue - I asked for a screenshot, which incidentally wasn't posted). Usually I just let him rant aimlessly, but every now and again I reply pointing out how full of shit he is. He replies calling me a liar blah blah blah. I'm a little sick of him at this point so I just blow the whistle on his cheating tendencies.

Between you and cunalinga, you deleted my post and locked the thread, then you threatened to ban me (and threw in a personal insult into the bargain). Bafflingly, though, his ridiculous lies against me were allowed to stand, even though the rebuttal blowing them out of the water was deleted.

I repeatedly asked you to stop posting on the issue, along with cunalinga. You pressed on, you were the only one pressing on with the topic and we asked you to stop. You didn't so we took moderation action against you plain and simple. It's the only way we got the issue to lay at rest because you can't give things up, you have to have the last word and you always have to be right.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 You try to cram several different statements into one paragraph here, so it is necessary to separate them.

"the nicknames were fair game for anyone to register, Jelly beat everyone to the punch". OK, so this is your justification for the monopoly. Odd, because I don't think this is what XWIS wanted to happen. Also, you need to answer to my analogy about if EA said: "First person to reply gets to make a patch on Renegade!" or my analogy to the guy who took all the Renegade serials.

"and has run a great community on these nicks for years". Same sentence, totally different point. This doesn't mean anything to anyone outside the Jelly community. This doesn't justify, or require, an a00 name.

"You don't share this opinion because you've made yourself unwelcome there."

I've made it very clear why I don't share this opinion. Try actually reading my actual objections to the monopoly.

"Tough shit for you; you did it to yourself."

How very pathetic. If you actually check those pointsfix debates, you'll see me behave very courteously in the face of unbelievable provocation. People are pissed at me because I hold a different opinion and because they get angry at losing arguments. Neither of these is a crime. Good for you for pointing out my grammatical and structural errors, you get an A+ for teaching. I don't have to answer that analogy because it's quite ridiculous, comparing two completely different situations that don't even make sense. A patch and registering nicknames don't even come close to comparing. There is no justification of an A00 name other than the fact that Jelly owns them. He can do what he sees fit with his nicks, just like I can do what I want with mine.

For the record, I've read every single word you've written.

The point is, we didn't want the pointsfix shit to even be ON our forums, yet you insisted on instigating the issue. Your persistence and annoying presence on our forums made you an unwelcome member which is why I say that. I'm not entirely sure that people are pissed at you for liking the pointsfix. I've publically stated that I agree 100% with the fix and people still generally like me... perhaps it's the way you present the information?

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 You can register eight nicknames for yourself. If you register a name someone else wants, the worst that can really happen is they don't get it. This DOESN'T PREVENT YOU making a new name for yourself. Jelly taking all the a00 names DOES DEMONSTRABLY harm other communities; for starters it DIRECTLY means no new servers have a chance of flourishing unless he smiles upon them.

Nine nicknames. I do believe you just answered your own point there. "If you register a name someone else wants, the worst that can really happen is they don't get it." Jelly did just that, he registered the nicks and the others didn't get it. Too bad for them. What's wrong with the servers

that are running on those nicks? What's wrong with n00bstories? What's wrong with n00bless? What's wrong with Jelly AOW1, Mini, J2, and Mara? Those are the servers running on the nicks, why is there a NEED for new communities? Especially in an old game like this.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 Oh, you're saying "Jelly isn't the only offender, just the biggest one by far", are you? Well, Nightma has a couple but I've talked to him and he said he'd be OK if the situation was reformed somehow. Privately I assume, not a big, grand public inquisition like this?

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 harder than a new community having a chance of getting new players? i fucking doubt it. but you've never had to try doing that, which is why you didn't think it is a problem. believe me, I used to be under the same misapprehension. You don't even know what you're talking about do you? Literally thousands of lines of code. If you're volunteering to do it, I will concede.

By the way, I have tried to start a new community, don't say things if you don't know what I have and haven't done. Nite-Serv was mine in its beginnings, we had a low nickname, a0000005k, and we made it a successful sniper server. You know how? By listening to the people and sitting idle in it, waiting for people to join. It wasn't that hard.

You've also dodged this repeatedly:

Quote: Lastly, this is all for nothing when the TT patch is released. It sorts it by players ingame instead of nicks (which is the better system anyhow, and it should have been like that from the start). Unless TT is going to be another year, what's the point in all this?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 00:42:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I haven't read anything other than the words "points fix" in the title, but I am oddly compelled to just make a post anyway.

The way I see how Renegade works is like this:

If you 'win' by points, then you might as well not have won at all. I don't take wins/losses by points seriously unless it's close and the game literally becomes a battle for points (like both teams just have a refinery left, have points within a few digits of each other and have under 1 min of game time remaining.)

That's why I don't personally care about the points fix. Whether a server uses it or not, the physical damage ratios of everything to everything else stays the same. Regardless of if I get 500 points for sniping a tank or no points, I still do the same amount of damage. I consider a win when one team destroys the other team's base. Therefore, in my personal opinion, forcing the points fix is redundant. It might have it's logical reasons to be implemented, but they are reasons I literally do not care about.

If I lose because some dude on the enemy team was sniping my tank all game, then so be it.

There is no official ladder or prizes anyway..

Therefore, I'm not against the pointsfix, but I'm not for it either. I'm just curious to see if there is anyone else who shares that same opinion as me.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [stoned](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 00:49:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spoony
PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16

If that doesnt convince you, then how about the fact the server nick is meaningless under scripts 3.4.4 and also the TT patch? Both sort the servers by player count and not the server nick. Unless of course, you know somethig we dont?

On the contrary. it seems that others know something I don't, because I've asked several times for an EXACT explanation of how this will work, and still not been answered.

.... go download 3.4.4, log on through advanced game listings, and before clicking on anything look how the servers are sorted. not by hostname, huh?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#)y on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:03:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wroteThe way I see how Renegade works is like this:
If you 'win' by points, then you might as well not have won at all. I don't take wins/losses by points seriously unless it's close and the game literally becomes a battle for points (like both teams just have a refinery left, have points within a few digits of each other and have under 1 min of game time remaining.)

That's why I don't personally care about the points fix. Whether a server uses it or not, the physical damage ratios of everything to everything else stays the same. Regardless of if I get 500 points for sniping a tank or no points, I still do the same amount of damage. I consider a win when one team destroys the other team's base. Therefore, in my personal opinion, forcing the points fix is redundant. It might have it's logical reasons to be implemented, but they are reasons I literally do not care about.

You're basically saying you prefer marathon and you don't see the need for pointsfix there. Fine. Servers can choose to set the time limit, and they can choose whether to use the pointsfix. Everybody's happy... in theory... until you bring the game listing chokehold into play.

R315r4z0r wroteI lose because some dude on the enemy team was sniping my tank all game, then so be it. There is no official ladder or prizes anyway..
There is an official ladder and I am doing my best to make it as good as I think it can be. I also

believe EA has committed some prizes to it once we've finished revamping it?

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 I repeatedly asked you to stop posting on the issue, along with cunalinga. You pressed on, you were the only one pressing on with the topic and we asked you to stop. You didn't so we took moderation action against you plain and simple. It's the only way we got the issue to lay at rest because you can't give things up, you have to have the last word and you always have to be right.

Oh, please. The problem isn't that your moderator was cheating and lying to everybody about it, the problem isn't that your moderator was carrying on his 4-year renerage against me all based on a lie, the problem is that I defended myself? Sometimes the smallest amount of common sense seems to be in order.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 Good for you for pointing out my grammatical and structural errors, you get an A+ for teaching.

I did not attempt to correct any grammar, and I did not say there was a structural "error". I simply said that your one paragraph contained many different statements being made at once and it was necessary to separate them.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 I don't have to answer that analogy because it's quite ridiculous, comparing two completely different situations that don't even make sense. A patch and registering nicknames don't even come close to comparing.

The only difference is TT's claim to authority is so very much stronger.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 The point is, we didn't want the pointsfix shit to even be ON our forums, yet you insisted on instigating the issue. Your persistance and annoying presence on our forums made you an unwelcome member which is why I say that. I'm not entirely sure that people are pissed at you for liking the pointsfix. I've publically stated that I agree 100% with the fix and people still generally like me... perhaps it's the way you present the information? Perhaps you can explain what's wrong with it?

The VERY MOST I did is say I thought the pointsfix was a good thing and made it very clear why, giving my reasons of which there were many. It was never my choice that it was there, it was Jelly's. And Jelly was a better man then; he said over and over again: "you guys don't need to go mental at spoony here, it's not him deciding that the pointsfix is here, it's me". Pity nobody listened to him at a time when he made more sense than he does now.

If people can say they don't like it, I can say I like it. And my posts were far more courteous than a great many of the anti-pointsfixers.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 Nine nicknames.
I appreciate the correction, minor as it is.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 I do believe you just answered your own point there. "If you register a name someone else wants, the worst that can really happen is they don't get it." Jelly did just that, he registered the nicks and the others didn't get it. Too bad for them.

Uh, you didn't follow me. On the old WOL, someone else took "spoony". I had to make do with something else. but the fact someone else took "spoony" IN NO WAY hindered my ability to play, my opportunities were still as good as anyone else's. Taking all the a00 names DIRECTLY harms

all other communities' chances of getting new players, because when a new player logs in, you can decide what servers he sees.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 What's wrong with the servers that are running on those nicks? What's wrong with n00bstories? What's wrong with n00bless? What's wrong with Jelly AOW1, Mini, J2, and Mara? Those are the servers running on the nicks, why is there a NEED for new communities? Especially in an old game like this.

Better question: what's wrong with your community getting to decide this? The answer is: everything.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:21 Privately I assume, not a big, grand public inquisition like this?

After I started this poll, it was claimed that he has half the a00 names. I didn't know that, and the person who said so didn't know either. Turns out nightma12 has only two of them. And he said he's fine with the system being reformed.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 You don't even know what you're talking about do you? Literally thousands of lines of code.

I know about as much about it as you know about how big a problem a00 is. Like I said, you've never needed to know; you've had all the a00 names you need, for years. Don't get me wrong, I was in your position. I now know better.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 By the way, I have tried to start a new community, don't say things if you don't know what I have and haven't done. Nite-Serv was mine in its beginnings, we had a low nickname, a0000005k, and we made it a successful sniper server. You know how? By listening to the people and sitting idle in it, waiting for people to join. It wasn't that hard.

Questions. 1. How long did you have to sit idle? 2. How many other sniper servers were there at the time? 3. Who gave you the a00 name? 4. Why did you think it was necessary to get one?

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 You've also dodged this repeatedly:

Quote: Lastly, this is all for nothing when the TT patch is released. It sorts it by players ingame instead of nicks (which is the better system anyhow, and it should have been like that from the start). Unless TT is going to be another year, what's the point in all this?

No, I haven't dodged it at all. I've REPEATEDLY asked someone for a very clear clarification.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:07:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stoned wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:49.... go download 3.4.4, log on through advanced game listings, and before clicking on anything look how the servers are sorted. not by hostname, huh?

So it's only for people who choose to download the scripts, clearly a minority. And if the scripts are part of the patch, we don't know when that will be. And of course, Jelly still gets to keep his chokehold until then.

So what would be the harm in giving away his a00 names until then? Everyone knows Jelly's there now. He's had the top spot for what, 4 years? Give communities like blackcell and blackintel, and the "pure-strategy" server I want to set up for the benefit of the ladder (to prevent one community having a monopoly on it), the chance to have the same advantage, and become known to new players before the change happens. Because otherwise it seems like when the change happens, these servers will still suffer, for exactly the same reason.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:14:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:39 You've also dodged this repeatedly:

Quote: Lastly, this is all for nothing when the TT patch is released. It sorts it by players ingame instead of nicks (which is the better system anyhow, and it should have been like that from the start). Unless TT is going to be another year, what's the point in all this?

No, I haven't dodged it at all. I've REPEATEDLY asked someone for a very clear clarification. And everyone and their mom has attempted to tell you what it does, including the very post above yours: when TT is released, it will (by default) arrange the game listings in order of greatest playercount to least playercount.

To illustrate:

Current System

a00 11/40
a000 27/40
a0000 16/40
a00000 34/40

New System

a00000 34/40
a000 27/40
a0000 16/40
a00 11/40

Is that good enough?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [GrimmNL](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:15:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:07 stoned wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:49.... go download 3.4.4, log on through advanced game listings, and before clicking on anything look how the servers are sorted. not by hostname, huh?

So it's only for people who choose to download the scripts, clearly a minority. And if the scripts are part of the patch, we don't know when that will be. And of course, Jelly still gets to keep his chokehold until then.

So what would be the harm in giving away his a00 names until then? Everyone knows Jelly's there now. He's had the top spot for what, 4 years? Give communities like blackcell and blackintel, and the "pure-strategy" server I want to set up for the benefit of the ladder (to prevent one community having a monopoly on it), the chance to have the same advantage, and become known to new players before the change happens. Because otherwise it seems like when the change happens, these servers will still suffer, for exactly the same reason.
or you could stop quoting, help TT with the coding to help the patch along. the added days/weeks/months/years this patch is still going to take won't make all those servers suffer as much as everybody reading your quote tree's.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:16:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GrimmNL wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:15Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:07stoned wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 19:49.... go download 3.4.4, log on through advanced game listings, and before clicking on anything look how the servers are sorted. not by hostname, huh?

So it's only for people who choose to download the scripts, clearly a minority. And if the scripts are part of the patch, we don't know when that will be. And of course, Jelly still gets to keep his chokehold until then.

So what would be the harm in giving away his a00 names until then? Everyone knows Jelly's there now. He's had the top spot for what, 4 years? Give communities like blackcell and blackintel, and the "pure-strategy" server I want to set up for the benefit of the ladder (to prevent one community having a monopoly on it), the chance to have the same advantage, and become known to new players before the change happens. Because otherwise it seems like when the change happens, these servers will still suffer, for exactly the same reason.
or you could stop quoting, help TT with the coding to help the patch along. the added days/weeks/months/years this patch is still going to take won't make all those servers suffer as much as everybody reading your quote tree's.
I don't believe Spoony is capable of coding. He's simply an advisor for the gameplay area if I recall.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:27:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:26It's a bug. It's going to be fixed. This is why it's properly called a "Pointfix", since it's the equivalent of a patch (And would be if there was anyone around to patch it). That's also the reason why anyone who calls it a "Pointsmod" is a huge

dicktree.

i'm sorry if you lose sleep at night dover, but it's a modification to the original points created by Black-Intel, and although all signs point to them being 100% correct some people will never believe it because a Westwood Renegade coder / programmer has not come forth and confirmed it

the original points appear to be bugged, and Black-Intel made a version that appears to restore the original intended points

thus, the pointmod

do the trees full of dicks in your dreams leak on you while you're sleeping

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:32:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03Oh, please. The problem isn't that your moderator was cheating and lying to everybody about it, the problem isn't that your moderator was carrying on his 4-year renerage against me all based on a lie, the problem is that I defended myself? Sometimes the smallest amount of common sense seems to be in order.

That obviously was a problem, it was dealt with accordingly. He was stripped of his mod status and banned. You two felt that you needed to continue your feud, we put a stop to it in our forums; it really is as simple as that.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03I did not attempt to correct any grammar, and I did not say there was a structural "error". I simply said that your one paragraph contained many different statements being made at once and it was necessary to separate them. Which implies structural error as a proper statement wouldn't have multiple thoughts in one. Regardless, it doesn't matter in the grand scheme of things.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03The only difference is TT's claim to authority is so very much stronger. TT's claim to authority on a nickname is about as equal as Osama Bin Ladens. Quit using that because everyone's claim to a nickname on a public service is completely equal, no greater no less.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03Perhaps you can explain what's wrong with it?

The VERY MOST I did is say I thought the pointsfix was a good thing and made it very clear why, giving my reasons of which there were many. It was never my choice that it was there, it was Jelly's. And Jelly was a better man then; he said over and over again: "you guys don't need to go mental at spoony here, it's not him deciding that the pointsfix is here, it's me". Pity nobody listened to him at a time when he made more sense than he does now.

If people can say they don't like it, I can say I like it. And my posts were far more courteous than a great many of the anti-pointsfixers.

I honestly don't know what the problem with it is. Maybe you should ask the people that have the

problem with you, your answer clearly lies there. As to your personal quarrel with Jelly, I won't comment. That's what that is, by the way.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 I appreciate the correction, minor as it is. Not at all.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 Uh, you didn't follow me. On the old WOL, someone else took "spoony". I had to make do with something else. but the fact someone else took "spoony" IN NO WAY hindered my ability to play, my opportunities were still as good as anyone else's. Taking all the a00 names DIRECTLY harms all other communities' chances of getting new players, because when a new player logs in, you can decide what servers he sees. So what you said applies for some nicknames, but not others? That's pretty shifty.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 Better question: what's wrong with your community getting to decide this? The answer is: everything. So you answer a question with a question, then answer your own question? Try answering the root question first, then expand on with your own.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 After I started this poll, it was claimed that he has half the a00 names. I didn't know that, and the person who said so didn't know either. Turns out nightma12 has only two of them. And he said he's fine with the system being reformed. He's got more than 2, 5 at the very least. He gives them to customers that PAY for his services.

Don't even get me started on people who rent out nicknames.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 I know about as much about it as you know about how big a problem a00 is. Like I said, you've never needed to know; you've had all the a00 names you need, for years. Don't get me wrong, I was in your position. I now know better. I've been a Jelly staff member for a short period of time, a year at most. I've had many communities over the past 7 years I've been playing this game and have not always had the advantage of an a00 nickname. My first server nick was my own nick raven, simply because I couldn't find a high enough nickname. But hey, I still managed to get players! I had some nice 15v15 games when I ran that server.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 Questions. 1. How long did you have to sit idle? 2. How many other sniper servers were there at the time? 3. Who gave you the a00 name? 4. Why did you think it was necessary to get one?

1. Anywhere from 5 to 10 minutes.
2. There were a good number, it's when the no-reload mod first became popular and there were quite a few. If I had to estimate, I'd say around 10. (Just noreload servers)
3. I registered the name myself. I went thru all the a00 names, trying them until I was able to register it.
4. Obviously, to appear higher on the list. There's no doubt it gives you more exposure, but if someone doesn't like a server they'll leave and go on to the next one. If someone likes the server, they'll come back, regardless of nickname.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:03 No, I haven't dodged it at all. I've REPEATEDLY asked someone for a very clear clarification.

Ethenal explained it quite well.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Roni](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:46:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wroteHe let's the mods and admins run the servers the way the majority like it. This is one of the reasons his community is still going strong and continues to get donations from its members. He is wise enough to know that there are several different styles of gameplay so he started J1, J2, Mini, Marathon and so forth. He has given everyone an opportunity to play on different servers to see if they like the game play or not. I see nothing wrong with this.

You're saying he keeps his own internal community happy. Great. I'm happy for you. Back to the original question. Why does he need all the A00 names again?

Why doesn't he? He registered them and you are saying he can't use them? There is no logic there. He can do whatever he wants with them. It's up to owner of the nicks to do what he wants to. Is this so difficult to grasp? Does it really matter with the new scripts or the new patch anyways? Why all the hoopla?

Roni wroteYou say the communities are upset at his monopoly of the A00 names? Please name those communities? The only threads about people not liking this to my knowledge, are the ones you have personally started.
See above.

Still not seeing it?

Roni wroteThe problem started when TT or someone from TT said the point fix was going to be mandatory. You say TT has gone to great lengths to listen to the majority of people who stated they did not want the point fix and after numerous threads, posts and even in my old community that I started we put up an anti point fix petition which had about 100 signatures from the MCD community and several other communities who felt the point fix should not be mandatory. I venture to say if the point fix was mandatory and we sent those names and started a new petition to EA there would be some second thoughts about what the majority of regular players wanted... For starters, they'd have laughed at the idea that 100 is a majority. Secondly, it would have been a simple matter to simply point out to EA the way the people on the anti-pointsfix side were actually behaving. No, Roni. We made the pointsfix optional because we chose to. We didn't do it because we thought we had to. We were simply "being the better man". We suggested several compromises, all of which you violently rejected, and we just thought: fuck it, keep your stupid bugged flawed system for all we care. Of course that wasn't enough for the anti-pointsfix crowd (as I predicted it wouldn't be) - they also said I should not be able to choose to use the pointsfix in my own community (if you remember, you supported the saboteurs who subverted the admin's decisions through a campaign of lies, manipulation and rigged polls, instead of supporting the league owner's right to decide how to run his own league)

Spoony I rarely use this term but for you on this response it is quite necessary. You are blatantly

lying now. Yes, I used your term. You are outright lying. When the initial TT patch was proposed, it was proposed as having the point fix mandatory or it was perceived as being mandatory part of the patch. I did not like that part of the patch and asked that it be optional on the patch to have the point fix.

I never censored you on my forums or deleted your posts when you responded. I let you have free speech and let you paint the picture you wanted. I simply responded with I do not like the point fix but everything else I liked about the patch. Everyone that has read about the patch has agreed it would truly improve the gameplay except alot of people did not like the point fix. I left it up to my community to decide if they wanted it or not. I let you post and respond but the majority of the members did not like the pointfix part of the patch.

When TT officially came out and stated there was going to be a server side option then everything was dropped.

How did I not agree with you having it as an option on your server? You truly can't be serious? As a server owner how could I want to have the choice to have it or not on my server and you not have the same option? Just because I did not want it on my server didn't mean you could not choose to have it on yours. I simply told you that you should listen to the majority of clan players on what they wanted and never did I say you could not have the option to have it. You are just lying about this.

Roni wroteYou truly believe in the point fix and 50% or more regular players don't believe in it. It's a matter of choice. Please understand this. Right, wrong or indifferent people still have a choice. Um, this might be a good time to say for the thousandth time that we're all fine with you using the pointsbug in your own community, even if you're not fine with me using the pointsfix in mine.

I like the server side option. When did I forbid you to not put the pointsfix on your server? Never!

Roni wroteI have offered up my A00000000 name to you for sale or for rent and you said I was less than honest. Sure 10,000 is alot but you know I would rent it to you for a reasonable price. I truly would sell it to you. This way you can get a name on top with the point fix but with the new scripts and TT patch the host names become useless and it is by player counts anyways. Firstly, you're still under the impression that I only want a server up there with the pointsfix. Well, of course you are... jelly lied to you and you believed him. No. If you actually read what I said, you'll see I'm criticising the fact he has a monopoly on the advanced game listings, NOT saying "but i want an a00 name and then I'll be happy"

Secondly, it is in my mind to ask you how you would react if, when you said the pointsfix should be optional, TT said "what's it worth to you?"

First you are being childish now. I have never spoken to Jelly on the matter. What is your problem with him? He has never lied to me on anything. You are raging now and it is quite obvious you need to take some time to reflect on what you are writing.

Second. What kind of scenario are you talking about? You clearly can't be serious? TT is updating a patch for ren that the majority of players should want and not be forced upon. They listened to the majority and made it server side option for the pointfix.

I simply offered up my A00 nick for sale if you wanted to have a server on the top of the listings. What is wrong with that?

Roni wroteIn conclusion, I feel, you have personally tried to demonize Jelly for having the A00 names. Yes, Spooky you have done this and I hope you are willing to accept that fact and apologize to him. People from the Jelly community like him, will defend him and as you can see by the majority of replies they do not like you for trying to demonize a guy (Jelly)
Firstly it's categorically false. Jelly says this because he thinks it'll mean he doesn't have to respond to what I'm saying. I didn't attack him personally, I attacked his claim to authority.

Secondly, your community over at Jelly is full of people - including many moderators and admins - who CONTINUALLY attack demonise ME simply because they disagree with what I say about the pointfix etc. Including you, remember. You treated me appallingly.

Spooky you are wrong again. I can't speak for the mods or admins on how they feel about you. You have to take that up with them.

Roni wroteHave you thought maybe, just maybe, that it is not one isolated person but when the majority of responses to your threads are from people who think you are arrogant and disrespectful? Please read your responses when someone disagrees with you and they always start with someone is lying and you are always right.

Bit of a self-defeating argument here, because you did just lie.

"Please read your responses when someone disagrees with you and they always start with someone is lying and you are always right."

Utterly untrue. Go check the "thoughts on the ladder" thread. I give you hockey6v2 or w.e his name is. my responses to him are polite and civilised. i don't flame him, i just respond to what he says about the pointfix.

so why's he the odd one out? go on, guess. if you can't, i'll tell you.

Utterly untrue. Go and check the majority of responses from everyone who disagrees with you. You pick one thread out of the many you make and you use this as your basis? Trying looking at the overwhelming replies on the point fix threads...

Roni wroteSpooky you and I go back a long time and we have differed on a few things, but primarily it being the Point fix. I hope you take it in the spirit I am sending this. I still consider you a friend and respect alot of things you have done for the Ren community. I just don't agree with the way you are going about doing some things that is all. To all I am sorry for the long post.

You don't agree with me criticising Jelly's monopoly on the game listings?

You'd do something different?

OK. You disagreed with the perceived notion that I wanted to force the pointfix onto all servers

(untrue, but never mind that for now). What did you do? Make a petition aim it at EA. You didn't aim it at TT or me... you aimed it at EA.

I, on the other hand, have actually tried talking about this with Jelly. I only mentioned the possibility of going over his head (as you thought to do with the pointsfix) AFTER he told me to fuck off.[/quote]

Firstly, I don't agree with your opinion on Jelly's monopoly. No I don't.

Second, I started the petition when it seemed that it(pointsfix) would not be server side optional. Go back and read when the petition was started and look at the options we had then. There was no mention of a server side option until after the masses started to uprise against the pointsfix. You need to be honest here. At the time of the petition you (alleged spokesmen for TT) would not listen to what the people were saying. You only wanted to try to debunk what their opinions and you simply never listened to understand, you only listened to respond to your way of thinking. Sorry to break it to you but people have differences of opinion for whatever reason they like. I can respect that, but you seem to not be able to respect that people have likes and dislikes other than your own.

Thanks

Roni

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 01:50:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you, ethenal.

GrimmNLor you could stop quoting, help TT with the coding to help the patch along. the added days/weeks/months/years this patch is still going to take won't make all those servers suffer as much as everybody reading your quote tree's.

Firstly, I know nothing about coding and have never claimed that I do. I essentially have two roles in TT.

1. balance consultant - making sure changes won't imbalance the game at all levels of play (which is not the same as "but we don't like it")
2. sorting the ladder out

What I say or don't say here is not going to speed or slow down the patch.

raven wrote That obviously was a problem, it was dealt with accordingly. He was stripped of his mod status and banned. You two felt that you needed to continue your feud, we put a stop to it in our forums; it really is as simple as that.

No, HE felt he needed to continue his feud. I have never done anything to provoke him, in spite of the extraordinary provocation. Most of the time I just ignore him. Occasionally I feel the need to

say: "no, i've done nothing wrong, please stop lying to everybody". And you dare equate this to what he says about me? That's beyond pathetic.

But you did worse than equate it, because you allowed his lies to stand and deleted my rebuttal to them.

As for him being stripped of his mod status, well done. But I've asked this question before: isn't it a little weird that I haven't seen a single public post calling him a twat, criticising him for cheating and lying about it for so long while a moderator? Compared to the EXTRAORDINARY number of posts flaming the hell out of me when I've done nothing worse than disagree with people? Like I said, you complain about what you care about. Sometimes silence says a lot. You demodded him, but were you really that angry at him?

raven wroteTT's claim to authority on a nickname is about as equal as Osama Bin Ladens. Quit using that because everyone's claim to a nickname on a public service is completely equal, no greater no less.

That would be the case if that nickname's effect and worth is equal, which in the case of those a00 names they categorically are not.

raven wroteI honestly don't know what the problem with it is.
Thanks for saying so, it's about time someone did.

raven wroteMaybe you should ask the people that have the problem with you, your answer clearly lies there.
Again?

raven wroteSo what you said applies for some nicknames, but not others? That's pretty shifty. Oh, you're gonna say a00 names are worth no more than any other name? Fine, you'll have no problem in relinquishing them to people who do very much think they'll benefit from them?

raven wroteHe's got more than 2, 5 at the very least. He gives them to customers that PAY for his services.

Don't even get me started on people who rent out nicknames.
How can you criticise him? They're his nicknames. He can do whatever the fuck he likes with them!

Or do you think perhaps that's not the way it should be, raven?

raven wrote1. Anywhere from 5 to 10 minutes.
And how many people do you think are willing to do that, when they can instead go to a server that's probably always going to be populated because it's never had this problem?

raven wrote3. I registered the name myself. I went thru all the a00 names, trying them until I was able to register it.

4. Obviously, to appear higher on the list.

So there was a point when you thought an a00 name was very much worth having.

raven wrote There's no doubt it gives you more exposure, but if someone doesn't like a server they'll leave and go on to the next one. If someone likes the server, they'll come back, regardless of nickname.

This is true and I've said so myself, but it in NO WAY invalidates the criticism.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 02:04:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 No, HE felt he needed to continue his feud. I have never done anything to provoke him, in spite of the extraordinary provocation. Most of the time I just ignore him. Occasionally I feel the need to say: "no, i've done nothing wrong, please stop lying to everybody". And you dare equate this to what he says about me? That's beyond pathetic.

But you did worse than equate it, because you allowed his lies to stand and deleted my rebuttal to them.

I guess I have something else to apologize to you for, then. I posted after he made his allegations, then asked people to stop posting about it in that post. You posted after me and I deleted the posts. In fairness, I should have removed his posts in the first place and for that I do apologize.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 As for him being stripped of his mod status, well done. But I've asked this question before: isn't it a little weird that I haven't seen a single public post calling him a twat, criticising him for cheating and lying about it for so long while a moderator? Compared to the EXTRAORDINARY number of posts flaming the hell out of me when I've done nothing worse than disagree with people?

Like I said, you complain about what you care about. Sometimes silence says a lot. You demodded him, but were you really that angry at him?

I wasn't as angry as I was disappointed. I'm disappointed that someone would abuse the trust given to them as a server moderator and turn around and use cheats like that. It's very disheartening. I can't say that I was all that angry, I don't tend to get angry about a video game.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 That would be the case if that nickname's effect and worth is equal, which in the case of those a00 names they categorically are not. They will be when the TT patch is released.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 Oh, you're gonna say a00 names are worth no more than any other name? Fine, you'll have no problem in relinquishing them to people who do very much think they'll benefit from them?

I wouldn't have any problem relinquishing them IF it didn't give me so much work and this whole situation was handled differently.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 How can you criticise him? They're his nicknames. He can do whatever the fuck he likes with them!

Or do you think perhaps that's not the way it should be, raven?

Yes, that's right, he can do what he wants with them, and he is, and I have never publicly criticized him for it and I don't plan on starting.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 And how many people do you think are willing to do that, when they can instead go to a server that's probably always going to be populated because it's never had this problem?

I was willing because I was dedicated to my community. If you aren't willing to put the time and effort into starting a community you're destined to fail, high nickname or not.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50 So there was a point when you thought an a00 name was very much worth having.

When new players were introduced to the game by the masses, of course. You'd be stupid to not think this.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [stoned](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 02:22:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50

Firstly, I know nothing about coding and have never claimed that I do. I essentially have two roles in TT.

1. balance consultant - making sure changes won't imbalance the game at all levels of play (which is not the same as "but we don't like it")
2. sorting the ladder out

odd, I don't see a00 nickname fixer in there.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 02:50:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stoned wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:22 Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50

Firstly, I know nothing about coding and have never claimed that I do. I essentially have two roles in TT.

1. balance consultant - making sure changes won't imbalance the game at all levels of play (which is not the same as "but we don't like it")
2. sorting the ladder out

odd, I don't see a00 nickname fixer in there.

Probably because game listings are most likely going to be re-organized anyways, thus making the whole a000 nicknames a moot point.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 03:04:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:50stoned wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:22Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50

Firstly, I know nothing about coding and have never claimed that I do. I essentially have two roles in TT.

1. balance consultant - making sure changes won't imbalance the game at all levels of play (which is not the same as "but we don't like it")
2. sorting the ladder out

odd, I don't see a00 nickname fixer in there.

Probably because game listings are most likely going to be re-organized anyways, thus making the whole a000 nicknames a moot point.

If that's the case why is this such a big deal all of the sudden?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 03:12:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:04I guess I have something else to apologize to you for, then. I posted after he made his allegations, then asked people to stop posting about it in that post. You posted after me and I deleted the posts. In fairness, I should have removed his posts in the first place and for that I do apologize.

Again, apology accepted.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:04I wasn't as angry as I was disappointed. I'm disappointed that someone would abuse the trust given to them as a server moderator and turn around and use cheats like that. It's very disheartening. I can't say that I was all that angry, I don't tend to get angry about a video game.

I must say I commend what seems a very honest reply (and the first reply to that question; I posed it to everyone at Jelly, mods and players alike)

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:04Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50That would be the case if that nickname's effect and worth is equal, which in the case of those a00 names they categorically are not.

They will be when the TT patch is released.

So you accept that they currently are not?

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:04Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50Oh, you're gonna say a00 names are worth no more than any other name? Fine, you'll have no problem in relinquishing them to people who do very much think they'll benefit from them? I wouldn't have any problem relinquishing them IF it didn't give me so much work and this whole situation was handled differently.

Then the obvious question seems to be: How would you react if this was TT's response to people saying: "we want the pointsfix to be optional so we can play without it if we like"? Because the situation was handled far worse than anything I've done here, and it certainly created more work for TT, so both statements are technically true.

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:04Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50How can you criticise him? They're his nicknames. He can do whatever the fuck he likes with them!

Or do you think perhaps that's not the way it should be, raven?

Yes, that's right, he can do what he wants with them, and he is, and I have never publicly criticized him for it and I don't plan on starting.

Shrug

raven wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 21:04Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:50And how many people do you think are willing to do that, when they can instead go to a server that's probably always going to be populated because it's never had this problem?

I was willing because I was dedicated to my community. If you aren't willing to put the time and effort into starting a community you're destined to fail, high nickname or not.

I was asking how many PLAYERS are willing to do that, not admins. If it's a new server it won't have anybody dedicated to it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 03:30:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 22:12I must say I commend what seems a very honest reply (and the first reply to that question; I posed it to everyone at Jelly, mods and players alike) Thank you.

To be honest this is the first time I've taken the time to read everything you've had to say in depth and respond to it like this. I had no idea you even posed this question before.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 22:12So you accept that they currently are not? For the most part, yes, I would accept this to be a true statement.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 22:12Then the obvious question seems to be: How would you react if this was TT's response to people saying: "we want the pointsfix to be optional so we can play without it if we like"? Because the situation was handled far worse than anything I've done here, and it certainly created more work for TT, so both statements are technically true. First, it's a team of people, not just a single guy. My scripts took about a year or two to develop. The amount of people on TT it shouldn't take as near as long as this. Second, personally, I would have been fine with the pointsfix not being optional. I've said this before. I would be indifferent (personally).

However, being in a position of power at Jelly, I must advocate on behalf of my community; it's my job. That being said, I can appreciate the position of the anti-pointsfix crowd, being as they've played a bugged version of renegade for so long they don't know any different and they oppose change. The anti-pointsfix crowd also happens to be the majority of the players that come to our servers on a regular basis... You can probably see where I'm going with this.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 22:12I was asking how many PLAYERS are willing to do that, not admins. If it's a new server it won't have anybody dedicated to it.

At the start? Probably none. They don't know the server, there's no reason for them to stay and try to start it. But, if it is new, the admin/owner should be there as much as they can be to help bring players in so that eventually players WILL come and stay when its empty to start a game up.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 04:14:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 16:20 On the contrary. it seems that others know something I don't, because I've asked several times for an EXACT explanation of how this will work, and still not been answered.

From what I have gathered from the team, they're simply using the XWIS-displayed players at the moment. Of course everyone knows this number doesn't include Gamespy/Direct Connect players, and if XWIS fails and your server reconnects (because you're using WOLProxy), the player count resets to 0.

However, I am pushing hard to get them to update the server and client to change the listing to TRUE player count so that this sort will actually be useful and fair.

Quote:R315r4z0r said:
There is no official ladder or prizes anyway..

That's not true. The BHS(TT) ladder will be official and I have a box of goodies from EA to give as prizes.

Quote:grant89uk said:
There were log files which showed crimson login in on it and causing our server numbers on xwis to crash to 0.

It was essentially sabotage.

Excuse me, but I was exonerated from this and have been further exonerated when you guys (I assume) got the password changed and your player count continues to tank.

http://graphs.blackhand-studios.net/graph.php?server_id=9189

(takes a long time to load, using old laggy graph software because this is a site I made a few years ago)

Subject: Re: The Pointmod and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 04:32:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why is anyone trying to argue about new communities being successful if exodus can't get off the ground even with a high host name and direct connect? they are run well and have people who know what they're doing running it as well

it would make more sense to drop that part of the argument entirely

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [olddust](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 06:35:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't read the 9 pages after the first page, I just felt it necessary to state this for the record:

Spoony's right, we've (Jelly) got as close to a monopoly as you can get in Renegade. Although you know this, you may forget that Jelly got arguably the second most active gaming community in Ren when I allowed him to Jelly-brand my servers and stepped back for a couple years, significantly increasing its presence. It didn't just start that way. I find it hard to believe if this hadn't happened that Jelly would have 2 large servers that are significantly active. Put another way for the sake of discussion, Jelly is two communities put together.

Subject: Re: The Pointsflix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 06:50:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 03:27Dover wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 14:26It's a bug. It's going to be fixed. This is why it's properly called a "Pointfix", since it's the equivalent of a patch (And would be if there was anyone around to patch it). That's also the reason why anyone who calls it a "Pointsmod" is a huge dicktree.

i'm sorry if you lose sleep at night dover, but it's a modification to the original points created by Black-Intel, and although all signs point to them being 100% correct some people will never believe it because a Westwood Renegade coder / programmer has not come forth and confirmed it

the original points appear to be bugged, and Black-Intel made a version that appears to restore the original intended points

thus, the pointmod

Well, to burst your bubble, we got as close to what you suggest here as anyone will ever get. But you seem to have missed it:

http://www.renegadeforums.com/index.php?t=msg&th=28502&prevloaded=1&rid=4882&start=75#msg_num_2

I tried to get in touch with Jelly on his IRC server yesterday, as he suggested, but didn't get a reply to my PM (have been on for about 4 hours yesterday evening). Maybe I'm not the person he wants to talk to, it isn't very clear from his post. Or maybe he was away all night.

For the people that say TT will solve things: do you know when it will be released / pushed? Because I don't. For all we know it may take another year.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 07:11:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

grant89uk wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:17Spoony was using the argument that Jellys control of the a00 nicknames was unfair to new communities and that if TT had control this would not be the case.

However Crimson the person who is the "LEAD" of TT purposefully tried to stop Exodus Gaming Community from trying to start our own server. Im not going to go into all the other details about that as there have been plenty of threads in the past and we don't need another one.

But Jelly DID help out a new community, something which certainly wouldn't have happened had those nicknames been under the control of TT ie Crimson.

Probably has something to do with an Exodus admin also being a Jelly admin

All I know is that the listing is unfair, particularly when you consider Exodus. I'm pretty sure that if you would look at overall community value Black-Cell (SSGM) or BlackIntel (BIATCH anticheat) would score higher than Exodus. Not that I don't like Exodus, but it is a _NEW_ community which does add another server to the already huge serverlist.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [RadioactiveHell](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 07:48:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14

From what I have gathered from the team, they're simply using the XWIS-displayed players at the moment. Of course everyone knows this number doesn't include Gamespy/Direct Connect players, and if XWIS fails and your server reconnects (because you're using WOLProxy), the player count resets to 0.

However, I am pushing hard to get them to update the server and client to change the listing to TRUE player count so that this sort will actually be useful and fair.

Glad to hear it, this drives playercount down on a lot of servers, and I think that many smaller communities would greatly benefit from this.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14The BHS(TT) ladder will be official and I have a box of goodies from EA to give as prizes.

Here I disagree. As Cunin stated before, the current ladder doesnt reward good teamplay. Rather, it rewards pointwhoring.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14Excuse me, but I was exonerated from this and have been further exonerated when you guys (I assume) got the password changed and your player count continues to tank.

http://graphs.blackhand-studios.net/graph.php?server_id=9189

I believe you exonerated yourself...Your explanation that you were simply logging in to our nickname (which crashes the server) to see if the password was changed didnt jive with the fact that you did this at least THIRTY THREE times.

Also, way to attribute our loss of players to this when the more logical explanation is that school has started and people dont have as much time to play Renegade...Im sure you will find that servers across the board have had a dip in playercount.

Now, to address the larger issue at hand in this topic. It seems the question is whether to leave Jelly in control of the top nicknames or give that control to TT. Based on the way Crimson runs these forums, I certainly wouldnt trust TT with that kind of power. Also, no evidence has been put forward to suggest that Jelly has been unfair in the way that he has handled this power. Rather, people have been arguing that he simply shouldnt have it...I believe that's a logical fallacy.

Anyway, it seems the choice is pretty simple, and the vast majority of the people who have posted here seem to agree with me.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 08:00:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Also, way to attribute our loss of players to this when the more logical explanation is that school has started and people dont have as much time to play Renegade
This depends on the server public. We have noticed a vast increase since school / work started again, during our main playing hours, as people get back to their usual habits (play a game of Ren after work or school, to relax).

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 08:53:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14
From what I have gathered from the team, they're simply using the XWIS-displayed players at the moment. Of course everyone knows this number doesn't include Gamespy/Direct Connect players, and if XWIS fails and your server reconnects (because you're using WOLProxy), the player count resets to 0.

However, I am pushing hard to get them to update the server and client to change the listing to TRUE player count so that this sort will actually be useful and fair.

Yes, if anything this is the unfair part of the XWIS listings.

If that can be fixed then the "top" page servers may have more than a few new faces added to it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 09:52:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46

Quote:You're saying he keeps his own internal community happy. Great. I'm happy for you. Back to the original question. Why does he need all the a00 names again?

Why doesn't he? He registered them and you are saying he can't use them? There is no logic there. He can do whatever he wants with them. It's up to owner of the nicks to do what he wants to. Is this so difficult to grasp?

So what's your opinion of the guy who took all the Renegade serials, meaning if you wanted to start a new server, you needed his permission?

They're his, right? He snapped them up, he can do whatever he wants with them.

Also, this argument only works if you say that an a00 name is worth no more than any other XWIS name (like gr8mofo1). If it's worth more, jelly doesn't deserve to monopolise them all; if it's not worth more, why the fanatical resistance to compromise?

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Roni wroteYou say the communities are upset at his monopoly of the A00 names? Please name those communities? The only threads about people not liking this to my knowledge, are the ones you have personally started.
See above.

Still not seeing it?

see above re: this isn't the first time it's been brought up, not by a long shot. at one point i actually decided to act as a neutral mediator between my friend jelly and his critics, and that was before the pointsfix even existed, which completely discredits anyone who says "you're only doing this because we took the pointsfix off jelly"

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Quote:For starters, they'd have laughed at the idea that 100 is a majority. Secondly, it would have been a simple matter to simply point out to EA the way the people on the anti-pointsfix side were actually behaving. No, roni. We made the pointsfix optional because we chose to. We didn't do it because we thought we had to. We were simply "being the better man". We suggested several compromises, all of which you violently rejected, and we just thought: fuck it, keep your stupid bugged flawed system for all we care. Of course that wasn't enough for the anti-pointsfix crowd (as I predicted it wouldn't be) - they also said I should not be able to choose to use the pointsfix in my own community (if you remember, you supported the saboteurs who subverted the admin's decisions through a campaign of lies, manipulation and

rigged polls, instead of supporting the league owner's right to decide how to run his own league)

Spoony I rarely use this term but for you on this response it is quite necessary. You are blatantly lying now. Yes, I used your term. You are outright lying. When the initial TT patch was proposed, it was proposed as having the point fix mandatory or it was perceived as being mandatory part of the patch. I did not like that part of the patch and asked that it be optional on the patch to have the point fix.

I never censored you on my forums or deleted your posts when you responded. I let you have free speech and let you paint the picture you wanted. I simply responded with I do not like the point fix but everything else I liked about the patch. Everyone that has read about the patch has agreed it would truly improve the gameplay except alot of people did not like the point fix. I left it up to my community to decide if they wanted it or not. I let you post and respond but the majority of the members did not like the pointfix part of the patch.

this is more or less true (except for an error of omission when you say "I simply responded with I do not like the point fix but everything else I liked about the patch.". you leave out the fact you flamed the living shit out of me when i was going out of my way to try to engage you in debate, and you kept calling me a liar for no reason whatsoever, and you lied to the community several times (for example, when you said the pointsfix was "bugged", which i challenged you to justify, and you couldn't), but it doesn't disprove anything i said.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46When TT officially came out and stated there was going to be a server side option then everything was dropped.

uh no, the despicable way i've been treated by opponents of the pointsfix carried on... if anything it intensified.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46How did I not agree with you having it as an option on your server? You truly can't be serious? As a server owner how could I want to have the choice to have it or not on my server and you not have the same option? Just because I did not want it on my server didn't mean you could not choose to have it on yours. I simply told you that you should listen to the majority of clan players on what they wanted and never did I say you could not have the option to have it. You are just lying about this.

i've explained this again and again and again.

people say: TT should make the pointsfix optional. a community should be able to decide, in its own manner, whether or not to use the pointsfix.

ok, fine. i agree with this. TT agrees with this. we will make it so. (there is a problem with this, namely the most vehement opponents of the pointsfix have an unwarranted, unchallengeable chokehold on the game listings which prevents any new server from having a chance. this is ten times more "dictatorial" than anything you can say about me or TT)

now let's think about what this decision will mean.

it means n00bstories can decide whether to use pointsfix. it doesn't matter to me whether that decision is made by a vote, or by discussion among their admins, or by crimson flipping a coin. that's none of my business, or yours. n00bstories can make this decision in its own way. jelly-servers can make that decision in their own way. exodus can make that decision in their own way. etc etc etc.

can you see where i'm going with this? clanwars.cc can make that decision in its own way. its own way is by the decisions of the league owner and the duly appointed admins. it is not decided by "the people" (it seems very fashionable to call this "democracy", but it's actually much more like communism). now, i was a dictator at clanwars, but a very reasonable and approachable dictator (and unlike most other renegade dictators i at least was honest about it). whenever i made decisions in the clanwars league, i ALWAYS kept the floor open for debate. i would ALWAYS give people a chance to tell me why the decision was wrong, and if you successfully argued that it was, it would get changed. BUT, you have to successfully argue it. "we don't like it" IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH. the majority didn't want to switch to manual. i was right, they were all wrong, and if the majority was listened to the league would've completely died, for good, in november 2005. the majority thought soul shouldn't be banned when he was caught pointpushing (again) and photoshopping ss's. i was right, they were all wrong, and the situation was considered so embarrassing (i.e. the community all supporting a convicted pointpusher and flaming the person who caught him) to clanwars.cc that there was serious talk of closing the league altogether. so sure, my word was final, but i could always, ALWAYS be reasoned with, and i always gave the CW community the chance to try.

the only way to change my mind on CW policy is by the utmost reasonableness. not by bullying, not by threats, not by lying to make me look bad, and not by polls, even if they're done fairly (and they weren't, the anti-pointsfix crowd has been caught rigging them several times) here's what people don't understand. if you tried to reason against me and failed, the failure is on YOUR part, not mine. it's not a case of "spoony doesn't listen to you", it's a case of "you're wrong so it would be a fucking bad idea to do what you say, because this is important". the funny thing is i actually get criticised for going to such great lengths to engage you in debates and for winning so many of them... it's hard to imagine anything sillier than that. i guess you'd rather i didn't explain my decisions at all?

so spoony says: ok, i DO want to use the pointsfix in my clanwars league.

when this happened, the anti-pointsfix crowd was given every opportunity to debate the subject logically. they tried and catastrophically failed. every single thing they said was proven wrong. they continually refused to acknowledge this, and refused to even read most of what i said. (for example, i had to repeat my post about the vehicle-alignment modification TWELVE TIMES before simpee even read it...)

so, the anti-pointsfix crowd failed completely. what to do then? they resorted to a campaign of lies, manipulation, rigging polls and lying about the results, and character assassination against me (like clearshot and simpee did in the thread on jelly).

now, roni, who do you support here?

A. the rightful owner and admin of the league deciding what's best for the league he's in charge of
B. a group of cheaters and rulebreakers subverting the admin's decisions by a campaign of lies and manipulation

plenty of people have supported B. i cannot name a single person on any forum who has ever supported A. if anybody does, i would appreciate them saying so. you specifically went out of your way to support the Bs.

if you support B, well, that's your opinion. but don't say you agree with each community's right to choose whether to use the pointsfix, BECAUSE YOU DON'T. here's an example of a community deciding to use the pointsfix, and instead of supporting that, YOU SUPPORTED THE PEOPLE WHO SABOTAGED IT. if anybody's still confused, it DOESN'T MATTER whether you like the pointsfix or not. that is not the issue at all. we're talking about a community having the right to

decide for itself whether to use the pointsfix, without that right being forcibly interfered with. and in the clanwars case, everybody supports the people forcibly interfering with it. this would be funny if it wasn't so sad.

for anybody who says they support the idea of each community having a choice to use the pointsfix or not: when you look at the clanwars situation, you MUST support me all the way. you MUST tell retards like simpee to STOP RIGHT THERE. you MUST tell them: "if you want to play clan games with the pointsbug, fuck off and host your own league".

maybe the idea of TT deciding on the pointsfix in your own private community frightens you. ok. but when you see people like simpee deciding what's best for someone's private league, that ought to TERRIFY you. same situation, only instead of the interference coming from TT, the group EA have chosen to officially patch the game, the interference is coming from a bunch of cheaters and pointpushers.

if you are angry at me for "trying to force the pointsfix on my server (even though that isn't true), then when you see what simpee and his like did at clanwars, it ought to fucking OUTRAGE you. but it doesn't, indeed it doesn't bother anybody in the slightest AND they go out of their way to criticise the person who is actually the victim of this behaviour, and that's what makes me doubt so many people's sincerity.

"TT wants to force the pointsfix onto my community? THATS AN OUTRAGE!"

spoon: "actually no we're not. by the way, some cheaters are trying to force the pointsbug onto MY community"

"WELL GIVE THEM WHAT THEY WANT THEN!"

^^ it boggles the mind, it really does.

nobody says a single bad word about what the likes of simpee did at clanwars. some people even try to use it as an argument against the pointsfix and against me. what the hell? do people simply not realise how much damage they're doing to their own credibility, or are they just masochists who ENJOY utterly humiliating themselves?

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Roni wroteFirstly, you're still under the impression that I only want a server up there with the pointsfix. Well, of course you are... jelly lied to you and you believed him. No. If you actually read what I said, you'll see I'm criticising the fact he has a monopoly on the advanced game listings, NOT saying "but i want an a00 name and then I'll be happy"

Secondly, it is in my mind to ask you how you would react if, when you said the pointsfix should be optional, TT said "what's it worth to you?"

First you are being childish now. I have never spoken to Jelly on the matter. What is your problem with him? He has never lied to me on anything. You are raging now and it is quite obvious you need to take some time to reflect on what you are writing.

No, it's quite obvious you need to read the thread.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Second. What kind of scenario are you talking about? You clearly can't be serious? TT is updating a patch for ren that the majority of players should want and not be forced upon. They listened to the majority and made it server side option for the pointsfix.

I simply offered up my A00 nick for sale if you wanted to have a server on the top of the listings.

What is wrong with that?

It wasn't even what I was asking for, but that's besides the point anyway.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Quote:Bit of a self-defeating argument here, because you did just lie.

"Please read your responses when someone disagrees with you and they always start with someone is lying and you are always right."

Utterly untrue. Go check the "thoughts on the ladder" thread. I give you hockey6v2 or w.e his name is. my responses to him are polite and civilised. i don't flame him, i just respond to what he says about the pointsfix.

so why's he the odd one out? go on, guess. if you can't, i'll tell you.

Utterly untrue. Go and check the majority of responses from everyone who disagrees with you. If you did, you'll see me acting with a degree of civility and reasonableness that is several orders of magnitude higher than my opposition. You're also trying to pull a simpee here: "everything you say is 'person X is lying'". No, not everything, but when people do lie (and the anti-pointsfix crowd is MULTIPLY convicted) I point it out. tell me, if a moderator were to catch a bunch of cheaters, who's the bad guy? seems to me you think it's the moderator.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Quote:You don't agree with me criticising Jelly's monopoly on the game listings?

You'd do something different?

OK. You disagreed with the perceived notion that I wanted to force the pointsfix onto all servers (untrue, but never mind that for now). What did you do? Make a petition aim it at EA. You didn't aim it at TT or me... you aimed it at EA.

I, on the other hand, have actually tried talking about this with Jelly. I only mentioned the possibility of going over his head (as you thought to do with the pointsfix) AFTER he told me to fuck off.

Firstly, I dont agree with you opinion on Jelly's monopoly. No I don't.

That is not what I asked. I didn't ask you whether you agreed with what I was saying. I asked you whether you agreed that I am entitled to say it. Most of the Jelly community, including Jelly himself, seem to be outraged at me simply for mentioning it at all.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46Second, I started the petition when it seemed that it(pointsfix) would not be server side optional. Go back on read when the petition was started and look at the options we had then. There was not mention of a server side option until after the masses started to uprising against the pointsfix. You need to be honest here. At the time of the petition you (alleged spokesmen for TT) would not listen to what the people were saying. After that last sentence, don't you dare tell me I'm the one who needs to be honest. I wouldn't listen to what people were saying? I went to INCREDIBLE lengths to listen to what they were saying, even though all most of them did was just throw bullshit flames at me.

Roni wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:46You only wanted to try to debunk what their opinions

another lie. this is yet another example of someone who doesn't know the difference between an opinion and a fact.

you can't disprove an opinion and i never said you could. but that isn't the point. plenty of people on the anti-pointsfix crowd tried throwing FACTS out there, the vast majority of which turned out to be wrong, easily disproven.

when i'm basing my opinions on a misapprehension i'm generally grateful if someone points it out to me... seems like everyone else straps on the suicide belt.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 14:05:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ok spoony, ill answer your question. if someone went and bought all the renegade serials legally and fairly before anyone else go there, then yes, it would be right to have to ask permission from them. other wise its stealing their property is it not?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 14:19:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

masterkna wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 16:05ok spoony, ill answer your question. if someone went and bought all the renegade serials legally and fairly before anyone else go there, then yes, it would be right to have to ask permission from them. other wise its stealing their property is it not?

He didn't buy them, he took them. You probably weren't around yet, but before xwis u needed a special serial to run a server on WOL. This serial was granted via a webpage who sent u a mail with one of these serials. Adad found it necessary to create a script that kept refreshing that page with his details, so he got thousands of these serials until the database was empty. Noone could request any more server side serials via the webpage.

He acted in a similar way as Jelly seems to do now. He said: "anyone can join my IRC channel and ask me for one, I don't need them anyway". Problem with this is that 1. not everyone knew he had them (it took me a couple of months before I found out about it) and 2. if he didn't need 1000's of them, then why did he do this? Why did he have more of a "right" to have all these serials, leaving none for others just because he was the first to make a script? Or more importantly: why didn't he just take 10 and leave the rest for others, as he didn't need 1000's anyway.

The comparison with what Jelly did/does isn't 100 % accurate but it is in some ways. Why did jelly register more nicknames than he actually needed (even taking a margin in account)? Why doesn't he leave the nicknames he doesn't need for his community unused, so people with slightly lower nicknames get a higher spot? These are some questions people on here ask themselves. I don't have an answer to them. Maybe he does it to help others? But while helping community xx, he pushes community yy further down the list.

All what I just wrote is even independent from the fact Jelly uses 5 of his nicknames for his own servers.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [stoned](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 14:57:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 20:07

So what would be the harm in giving away his a00 names until then? Everyone knows Jelly's there now. He's had the top spot for what, 4 years? Give communities like blackcell and blackintel, and the "pure-strategy" server I want to set up for the benefit of the ladder (to prevent one community having a monopoly on it), the chance to have the same advantage, and become known to new players before the change happens.

I'd say that jelly's statement about this being about getting your pointsfix, pure-strategy server near the top of the listing is rather accurate, yet for pages you continued to claim that this wasn't part of the the objective.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [ELiT3FLyR](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 15:17:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

how does it fell to know that ur pages of essays will make no difference what so ever? why should jelly hand overhis renepower to some abusive faggot whos trying to make him look bad, even accusing jelly of lying about ur sick brother?

cry all u want about BC, if they didnt want theyre server to die then they shouldnt have made all the rush maps defense maps by putting 4 super turrets in each base + other stupid modifications.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 15:42:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ah my bad, i thought serial meant serial that you used to instal the game

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 15:57:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 02:11Probably has something to do with an

Exodus admin also being a Jelly admin

Since I'm the only one that falls into that category, I'd just like to say that I was asked to join the Exodus community AFTER any of the nickname stuff arose.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 16:12:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

honestly Spoony, just plug out of this conversation, it's no use.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#)y on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 16:30:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stoned wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 15:57 I'd say that jelly's statement about this being about getting your pointsfix, pure-strategy server near the top of the listing is rather accurate, yet for pages you continued to claim that this wasn't part of the the objective.
don't lie, please. everyone on jelly said: you're only doing this because we took the pointsfix off clanwars, and because you want a pointsfix server up there.

no, no, no. i am directly (and very clearly) criticising jelly's monopoly at all, the fact that one community with clear bias gets to choose who gets them. this means that deserving projects like black-cell, blackintel, and the TT ladder server, don't get one purely because jelly says we don't. never mind that blackcell and blackintel are long-established respected communities who've done all this useful coding and let everybody use it, and never mind that for years i was the only person who could be bothered hosting a decent ladder for anybody at all and am now poised doing so for the actual public server ladder, not just clanwars. jelly says no, so no.

i did not say: "give me one and i'll be happy". if i did, i probably WOULD have gone to jelly in private and sorted it out in a shady deal behind closed doors, like he says i should've done. well, the fact that's the way it goes with the a00 names is precisely the problem in the first place.

but hey, how about i drop the bar?

there are three i just mentioned; jelly has, what, four active servers under the jelly banner? would it be grossly unreasonable for him to keep one a00 name for a jelly server and give three away, one to blackintel, one to blackcell, and one to TT for the use by a server devoted to the new ladder?

everyone knows jelly servers are there by now, they've enjoyed the top spot for what, 4 years?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 16:32:35 GMT

SoQRadio wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 02:48Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14Excuse me, but I was exonerated from this and have been further exonerated when you guys (I assume) got the password changed and your player count continues to tank.

http://graphs.blackhand-studios.net/graph.php?server_id=9189

I believe you exonerated yourself...Your explanation that you were simply logging in to our nickname (which crashes the server) to see if the password was changed didnt jive with the fact that you did this at least THIRTY THREE times.

Also, way to attribute our loss of players to this when the more logical explanation is that school has started and people dont have as much time to play Renegade...Im sure you will find that servers across the board have had a dip in playercount.

Exactly. She exonerated herself and no one that I know, which isn't part of NS or had some favour given by her, is believing her story.

Our player count can be explained in many ways, one being the successful sabotage of our server by her, and another one being most of our staff which is pretty busy lately, with either thing, so can't make a decent player count to start with (and naturally anything random you can think of, as no one can give an exact explanation of these events, regardless of what some people showing nice stats data can say).

Anyway she can keep pointing out "other communities faults" (from her point of view obviously), as that only makes her look worse. Like not missing an occasion to show that our player count on the renegade server (because the other servers are better, and we have lots of activity in our forums and IRC) is low, not missing a chance to say, out of the blue and totally out of the context, that jelly's IRC server:

Crimsonfrom what I've heard experience excessive downtime and netsplits that happen next to never on my network, but that's neither here nor there, right?

which is totally wrong. There has been a few netsplits and no downtime that I can remeber, and anyway nothing so big that makes it worth mentioning.

Just to add something funny about this all, this is NS's renegade server channel topic:

Quote:{N00bstories Renegade Server n00bsvr01 || a0000000 - 50 player CCM || Back to school doesn't seem to be a problem for us || We don't even need to pay people to play here! || Guess 2 and 3 months weren't so special huh? || When the server is full the silence speaks volumes! ||}

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 16:37:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I will split this topic and warn various offenders (Which could be tricky in the case of Crimson) if the UNRELATED Exodus topic is mentioned again. It is enough, for the purposes of THIS TOPIC that Exodus have been given a top-page nickname by Jelly. NOTHING ELSE relating to Exodus needs to be mentioned.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 17:39:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just to add something that keeps being brought up. At this moment 1:20pm using the new scripts, the following is the Xwis listing:

Toggle Spoiler

switching to sort by name here is the list:

Toggle Spoiler

How Many Servers with A00 names have 0/?? player counts? If I counted correctly 9 out of the top 18 servers are empty when sorting by name. Meanwhile sort by player count and there's 1 out of the top 18 that has 0 players.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 18:03:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's funny that you count the servers with 1 player in it as having players... If you take in account servers with at least 2v2, you'll note that all of them bar one are first page servers... So I think you just made a point.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 18:19:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If everyone had their listings sorted by player count, though.. it would be different; so no, he didn't just make a point.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 18:39:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 09:00Quote:Also, way to attribute our loss of players to this when the more logical explanation is that school has started and people dont have as much time to play Renegade

This depends on the server public. We have noticed a vast increase since school / work started again, during our main playing hours, as people get back to their usual habits (play a game of Ren after work or school, to relax).

Our core players tended to be younger players. School/College and me personally having started a new job etc has caused alot of people not to have the same time to put into keeping a "new" renegade server going when player counts dip.

All servers out there aren't getting the same kind of player counts as consistent as they were like a year ago and that is to be expected.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [stoned](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 18:57:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 11:30stoned wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 15:57I'd say that jelly's statement about this being about getting your pointsfix, pure-strategy server near the top of the listing is rather accurate, yet for pages you continued to claim that this wasn't part of the the objective.

don't lie, please. everyone on jelly said: you're only doing this because because you want a pointsfix server up there.

this means that deserving projects like the TT ladder server, don't get one purely because jelly says we don't.

but hey, how about i drop the bar?

there are three i just mentioned; jelly has, what, four active servers under the jelly banner? would it be grossly unreasonable for him to keep one a00 name for a jelly server and give one to TT for the use by a server devoted to the new ladder?

Well, let's see.... Besides the hypocrisy in there and the arrogance to call your TT ladder deserving when it isn't even finalized, quite a few servers have there own ladder (i.e.aow1 has 2 server ladders (of which one works well), mini has one, marathon has one (which works a shitload better compared to yours based on the topic on the jelly forums), and other communities do).

As for the xwis ladder, as you have pointed out, servers that aren't 0 starting creds, pointsfix, etc. will still contribute to your overall, xwis ladder, so there are servers up there contributing to your ladder. The reason for servers like aow1 removing the pointsfix is it dropped the player count, which would mean players in there get less ladder before multipliers (i.e. starting creds) are applied, and that the penalties for larger servers would be offset by the player count, resulting in a negligible difference. One problem solved! Your points for BC and BI are a hell of a lot better then for TT.

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 11:30
never mind that for years i was the only person who could be bothered hosting a decent ladder for anybody at all and am now poised doing so for the actual public server ladder.
Elaborate on how your server was great for ladder and every other server isn't please. Try and remember that your new ladder system didn't exist at that point.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 19:48:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 14:03It's funny that you count the servers with 1 player in it as having players... If you take in account servers with at least 2v2, you'll note that all of them bar one are first page servers... So I think you just made a point.

Yes I do count them cause as has been said People won't sit alone in a server to wait for people. So take it as a point to either way: Oh noes you counted a server with 1 person, or Damn look at that there's a server with someone trying to get others to join.

Ok to suit your point take all the 1s away. that's still 5 out of the top 18 being empty opposed to 9 out of 18 when sorting by name. This could and probably would be different later in the day here. If i'm at my computer i'll check the difference and post them again.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 19:56:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SoQRadio wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 00:48

Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14The BHS(TT) ladder will be official and I have a box of goodies from EA to give as prizes.

Here I disagree. As Cunin stated before, the current ladder doesnt reward good teamplay. Rather, it rewards pointwhoring.

If you're not going to read the thread, then don't bother replying. Seriously. ONCE AGAIN, the ladder is being REBALANCED to reward other metrics besides just points. Should I also fax or carrier pigeon this to you, or perhaps tattoo it on your arm?

SoQRadio wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 00:48Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14Excuse me, but I was exonerated from this and have been further exonerated when you guys (I assume) got the password changed and your player count continues to tank.

http://graphs.blackhand-studios.net/graph.php?server_id=9189

I believe you exonerated yourself...Your explanation that you were simply logging in to our nickname (which crashes the server) to see if the password was changed didnt jive with the fact that you did this at least THIRTY THREE times.

I'm going to run out of room to tattoo on your arm. But one of the mods here has decided I'm not allowed to talk about it so maybe you'd better go do your own research. There was a perfectly rational explanation for everything given already and accepted by anyone who wasn't already drinking the Faildus koolaid.

SoQRadio wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 00:48Also, way to attribute our loss of players to this when the more logical explanation is that school has started and people dont have as much time

to play Renegade...Im sure you will find that servers across the board have had a dip in playercount.

No, I have not seen that. My counts are actually not that different from a year ago, maybe 1 or 2 players average in either direction depending on the week. I keep graphs going back 13 months.

@kadoosh: How far apart were those screen shots? My server is listed at 8 and 12 players... I don't get it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 20:14:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bout 2 1/2 min cause paint is homo and crashed on me so I had to log back in and retake a SS in order to crop it down to not show Both of my Desktop screens.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 20:16:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i'd like to point out that jelly was completely willing to give a hostname to black-cell but by the time we got a hold of them they had just cancelled their server; jelly said he always has time for black-cell and i believe that had their community not already vanished that they would have had a server on the top of the list

the problem is we didn't reach them in time; they all got up and left

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hitman](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 20:18:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

some1 give me pass to a00 so i can own noobs with it ingame

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 20:59:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 12:30

no, no, no. i am directly (and very clearly) criticising jelly's monopoly at all, the fact that one community with clear bias gets to choose who gets them.

Yet you have a clear bias for servers running "pure" renegade and would pick your servers

accordingly.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 22:31:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't read the whole topic, other than the first page, but maybe we should look at how other game browsers work and change the Renegade server to that?

Or make it so the server browser is always ramdon when you load it, but afterwards, allows you to sort by map and players.

The a00 nick problem is a real problem, should had been fixed many years ago by Westwood/EA when the game was released.

The a00 nick doesn't allow new communities to grow and forces old communities to die.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 22:45:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

at 6:40pm EST here there are no servers showing empty in the top 18 when sorting with the new scripts.

Toggle Spoiler

at 6:40pm EST here there are still 6 servers showing 0 players in the top 18 when sorting by name.

Toggle Spoiler

and yeah I forgot to resize the background on the pics so they are rather large and white in most parts

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 22:56:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

stoned wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 13:57Well, let's see.... Besides the hypocrisy in there and the arrogance to call your TT ladder deserving when it isn't even finalized, quite a few servers have there own ladder (i.e.aow1 has 2 server ladders (of which one works well), mini has one, marathon has one (which works a shitload better compared to yours based on the topic on the jelly forums)

Ah, this would be the one where you can get 100x as much ladder for a game you can't even win than for a 2-minute basekill?

Again, they have their own ladders, good luck to them. I'll repeat the question: Why do they need all the a00 names?

stoned wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 13:57As for the xwis ladder, as you have pointed out, servers that aren't 0 starting creds, pointsfix, etc. will still contribute to your overall, xwis ladder
Yep, and I got no end of flaming aimed at me for making yet another concession to the anti-pointsfix crowd, didn't I?

stoned wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 13:57so there are servers up there contributing to your ladder. The reason for servers like aow1 removing the pointsfix is it dropped the player count, which would mean players in there get less ladder before multipliers (i.e. starting creds) are applied, and that the penalties for larger servers would be offset by the player count, resulting in a negligible difference. One problem solved!

You're saying the reason TT doesn't deserve even ONE a00 name is because Jelly can't keep its players when the pointsfix is there (or when it's not there, it seems...)

stoned wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 13:57Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 11:30
never mind that for years i was the only person who could be bothered hosting a decent ladder for anybody at all and am now poised doing so for the actual public server ladder.
Elaborate on how your server was great for ladder and every other server isn't please. Try and remember that your new ladder system didn't exist at that point.[/quote]
I was talking about the clan ladder.

Rcmorr09 wroteYet you have a clear bias for servers running "pure" renegade and would pick your servers accordingly.

No, I said at least one server on the top ought to be "pure" Renegade. This seems quite fair. Just because you're used to the stupid bugged system doesn't mean any new player should be forced to get used to it too when they have a chance of finding out what the real points system was supposed to be. As for bias, perhaps you haven't noticed that Jelly's choices are pretty whimsical?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 00:56:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

im kinda curious as to how its your business that jelly has these nick names registered, at the end of the day, he didnt steal from anyone, he took an opportunity that anyone could have done at the time. what business is it of yours spoony that these nick names are all owned by one community? at least he shares them. at least he lets other communities use them.. to be quite fucking honest it seems like a stab in jelly's back to have made this thread..

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Xpert](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 02:08:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Only been 2 days and already this topic is over 11 pages.

Yawn...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 02:14:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gr8mofo1 wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 20:54I think we should start up the petition to remove Spoony from being the spokesperson from TT. I think we need to get EA involved in this. I will start some research and begin to contact some key personal at EA. I think the majority of people are truly tired at Spoony's attempt to try to be the voice of REnegade....
So let's sum it up.

People complain about the pointsfix. TT goes to incredible lengths to accommodate differing opinions, and eventually gives them everything they want (but they still flame me at every opportunity).

People complain about Jelly's chokehold on the game listings (me certainly not being the first). The Jelly community says: fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it, and you should be punished for daring to criticise our monopoly.

(This is of course the second time Roni's set his mind on going crying to EA. The first time was because someone else had the power and he wanted to decide what should be done with it; the second time is because his camp had the power and someone dared to speak out against it)

I think the point has been well and truly made here. Jelly's made it plain he won't budge an inch. No need to involve EA and XWIS; the Renegade community can see the situation - and the Jelly community - for what it is. The difference between TT and Jelly could scarcely be proven more conclusively than has been proven here.

Thread locked?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 02:36:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 21:14
The first time was because someone else had the power and he wanted to decide what should be done with it;
is this not exactly what you are doing? deciding that someone else should not have something you don't have?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 02:36:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have a decent question for you spoony.

You know damn good and well whenever you post something on Jelly's forums you will be flamed. You stand for one of the things they hate, the point fix being the one I'm seeing more than anything else. Yet you continue to post there, or about them. Do you have the right to express an opinion? Hell yes and I will defend your right to this to the death.

The question is, Knowing this why do you subject yourself to it? Why do you intentionally walk into a place where you know your ideas are hated and try to express them? That would be like me walking into a Gay bar and trying to gain supporters on homosexuality being immoral. It's not gonna happen, and I'd probably be shot(just an example, if gay is your way, it's not my place to tell you that your lifestyle should be any different from mine).

Like I said you do have a right to voice your opinion on the situation. They have the right to tell you where to go as well. In the end unless EA or Xwis were to step in it's just talk and no action.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 02:55:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 21:14Thread locked?
Good idea, I think this thread has outlived its purpose.

I do have a few questions for you though, Spoony. I will PM them if you don't mind; it's regarding a pointsfix server.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 03:46:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 21:14Thread locked?
Lol. Hold on sir.

The only thing you have made clear is your true feelings towards the Jelly community and your anger at us for what appears to be refusing to apply the pointfix to our servers - rightly or wrongly, thats how it comes across.

You must admit, you havent been at all open to discussion in this debate. The only time you seemed to calm down a bit was when Jelly offerd to discuss giving you a nick for a pure server. The fact is, you have vehemently stated and restated your original post only evolving to include an

actual threat to attack the Jelly community itself.

This was rejected by pretty much everyone but the few who would stand to gain from your "reclaiming" of Jelly's (fair and lawful) acquisition of A00 nicks for his servers (and others).

You opened this can of worms so before you blindly sail off into the sunset, can you explain this to me which i noticed from another thread in the TT section (now locked).

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Fri, 02 October 2009 03:32Also, what you're doing (all of you ANTIpointfix ppl) is separating the community. This could cause EA not to support this patch. That will mean that people that care will have TT patch, but all the newbies (who would probably better off with TT patch would be stuck in sucky servers without TT patch.

Now in this thread....

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:20PapaElbo wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 18:16Becasue TT is a community built patch and requires almost 100% community support before EA or whoever runs the show, can ever allow it to become a compulsory patch available through the XWIS patcher.

If you went and made every single change mandatory (and im talking about the things that affect established gameplay), for example, the pointfix, the community split would make TT as a compulsory and automatically downloaded patch impossible, and therefore obsolete.

Therefore, you HAVE TO compromise or the project fails.

Untrue. Firstly, we've already gotten that from EA. Secondly, the number of people who vehemently oppose the pointfix is actually a very small minority. Sure it's a majority over at JELLY, but in the whole of Renegade, it's a definite minority.

I never did see any announcement from TT saying they had acquired approval from EA to patch renegade let alone manage the XWIS listings. So, which is it? Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?

If you dont have any authority from EA then why do you feel the need to question Jelly's position in public and thereby assassinate the charater of our community by expressing your "opinions"?

Also, it was stated on this thread that the original polls on the pointfix were split 50/50. As that is the sole source of evidence for a "world" opinion on the pointfix, then i could claim just as clearly that the anti-pointfix group are not in the minority. The fact is, neither of us are correct due to lack of evidence.

Sure, i will accept more Jelly people are against the pointfix but who is to say that isnt representative of the wider community considering the unscientific 50/50 poll.

Subject: Re: The Pointfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Roni](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 03:58:40 GMT

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 21:14Gr8mofo1 wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 20:54I think we should start up the petition to remove Spoony from being the spokesperson from TT. I think we need to get EA involved in this. I will start some research and begin to contact some key personal at EA. I think the majority of people are truly tired at Spoony's attempt to try to be the voice of REnegade....
So let's sum it up.

People complain about the pointsfix. TT goes to incredible lengths to accommodate differing opinions, and eventually gives them everything they want (but they still flame me at every opportunity).

People complain about Jelly's chokehold on the game listings (me certainly not being the first). The Jelly community says: fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it, and you should be punished for daring to criticise our monopoly.

(This is of course the second time Roni's set his mind on going crying to EA. The first time was because someone else had the power and he wanted to decide what should be done with it; the second time is because his camp had the power and someone dared to speak out against it)

I think the point has been well and truly made here. Jelly's made it plain he won't budge an inch. No need to involve EA and XWIS; the Renegade community can see the situation - and the Jelly community - for what it is. The difference between TT and Jelly could scarcely be proven more conclusively than has been proven here.

Thread locked?

This is getting quite hilarious about now. You complain about going to EA initially because the peoples voices were not being heard about not wanting the point fix? That is your prerogative and opinion but it got the ball rolling for TT to concede to give into a server side option. That is my opinion and prerogative to do so.

Now you are complaining about a joke on the forum? You need to seek therapy. You have to have low self esteem! Get over your E-Drama. Take some time and get some fresh air. This is just a game.

Roni

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:06:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46The only thing you have made clear is your true feelings towards the Jelly community and your anger at us for what appears to be refusing to

apply the pointfix to our servers - rightly or wrongly, thats how it comes across.

All that really needs to be done in rebuttal to this is to underline the word "wrongly", and perhaps put it in size 7 red letters too.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 You must admit, you havent been at all open to discussion in this debate.

Compared to the Jelly representatives, I actually think I have.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 The only time you seemed to calm down a bit was when Jelly offerd to discuss giving you a nick for a pure server.

Are you seriously under the impression he meant that? And even if he did, it fails to address what I was actually criticising.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 The fact is, you have vehemently stated and restated your original post only evolving to include an actual threat to attack the Jelly community itself.

!?!?

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Fri, 02 October 2009 03:32 Also, what you're doing (all of you ANTIpointfix ppl) is separating the community. This could cause EA not to support this patch. That will mean that people that care will have TT patch, but all the newbies (who would probably better off with TT patch would be stuck in sucky servers without TT patch.

Now in this thread....

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 I never did see any announcement from TT saying they had acquired approval from EA to patch renegade let alone manage the XWIS listings. So, which is it? Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?

I infer from Crimson's posts that yes we do.

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 If you dont have any authority from EA then why do you feel the need to question Jelly's position in public and thereby assassinate the charater of our community by expressing your "opinions"?

Nobody at Jelly has any permission from EA, and yet they treat me despicably, for absolutely no justification. Perhaps they're the ones you should be talking to?

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 Also, it was stated on this thread that the original polls on the pointsfix were split 50/50. As that is the sole source of evidence for a "world" opinion on the pointsfix, then i could claim just as clearly that the anti-pointfix group are not in the minority.

The fact is, neither of us are correct due to lack of evidence.

This is irrelevant anyway, but the number of people who've vehemently complained about the pointsfix are in a staggering minority. And yet I personally still think it's good to have it optional, even though many people on the anti-pointsfix side don't.

kadoosh wrotel have a decent question for you spoony.

You know damn good and well whenever you post something on Jelly's forums you will be flamed.

You stand for one of the things they hate, the point fix being the one I'm seeing more than anything else. Yet you continue to post there, or about them. Do you have the right to express an opinion? Hell yes and I will defend your right to this to the death.

The question is, Knowing this why do you subject yourself to it? Why do you intentionally walk into a place where you know your ideas are hated and try to express them?

I've actually been asking the same question. I wonder: given the utterly contemptible way they've been treating me for months, despite the fact I've done absolutely nothing wrong, why do I keep looking out for their interests? Perhaps if the hand you're feeding keeps biting you you should stop feeding it, in which case I shouldn't look out for the interests of the anti-pointsfix crowd and make sure the pointsfix isn't compulsory for them.

But no. Getting the job done's important. I see the TT role much like I saw my clanwars admin post; you're absolutely guaranteed to draw flak from idiots, but no matter how pathetically they behave, you're still to do the job for everyone else's benefit.

masterkna wrote is this not exactly what you are doing? deciding that someone else should not have something you don't have?

11 pages on and there's still confusion over what I'm doing? I don't know how much clearer I can put it.

Someone must have this authority, and if someone does, it would be better in the hands of a group who are approved by EA and who are accountable to the community as a whole (we proved we are... Jelly representatives continually prove they do not consider themselves so)

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:12:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:58 This is getting quite hilarious about now. You complain about going to EA initially because the peoples voices were not being heard about not wanting the point fix? That is your prerogative and opinion but it got the ball rolling for TT to concede to give into a server side option. That is my opinion and prerogative to do so.

Now you are complaining about a joke on the forum? You need to seek therapy. You have to have low self esteem! Get over your E-Drama. Take some time and get some fresh air. This is just a game.

Well, you say it's just a game, but judging by the extraordinary amount of vitriol displayed by most of the Jelly community right about now - including you - one wonders just how many people realise that. You only need to look objectively at Jelly forums right now to see that I'm almost the only sane person there.

Has it ever occurred to you that there isn't actually anything wrong with me disagreeing with you on something? I mean, if you and I hold differing views and if that means I am at fault (as most of Jelly certainly sees), doesn't it mean you are too?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:15:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nevermind

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Roni](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:17:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For Tireless Rebutter there is no such thing as a trivial dispute. He regards all challenges as barbarians at the gates. His unflagging tenacity in making his points numbs and eventually wears down the opposition. Confident that his arguments are sound, Tireless Rebutter can't understand why he is universally loathed.

File Attachments

1) [tirelessrebutter.jpg](#), downloaded 1165 times



Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:22:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

By the way, a thought's just occurred to me. I should've argued my case in the same way the anti-pointsfix crowd argued theirs.

"i don't think jelly should have all the top names"

jelly: "why?"

"i don't have to tell you. it's very rude of you to ask. you should respect my opinion, and no amount of contradictory evidence or logic can change it"

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:28:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06

PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46I never did see any announcement from TT saying they had acquired approval from EA to patch renegade let alone manage the XWIS listings. So, which is it? Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?

I infer from Crimson's posts that yes we do.

I do believe you're correct that TT has permission to patch Renegade... but do they have permission to manage the XWIS listings? Um, no.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:30:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't say that was the case... just put forth the argument that this authority is better managed by a group that has actually earned EA's blessing on something important to Renegade AND has already proven a high level of accountability, instead of a community who by their own admissions doesn't consider themselves accountable to anyone, and reacts violently to criticism.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 04:33:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:30I didn't say that was the case... just put forth the argument that this authority is better managed by a group that has actually earned EA's blessing on something important to Renegade AND has already proven a high level of accountability, instead of a community who by their own admissions doesn't consider themselves accountable to anyone, and reacts violently to criticism.

Except that's not what you said at all, you said that you "inferred from Crimson's posts" that TT has both permission to patch Renegade AND to manage the XWIS listings. You did not specify that they hadn't gotten explicit permission for either.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 05:25:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46The fact is, you have vehemently stated and restated your original post only evolving to include an actual threat to attack the Jelly community itself.

!?!?

This means you havent even considered you are wrong (or at least, it doesnt look like it!). Your responses are reply and repeat, reply and repeat.

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06Nobody at Jelly has any permission from EA, and yet they treat me despicably, for absolutely no justification. Perhaps they're the ones you should be talking to?

You dont do yourself any favours by having random debates on our forums about clanwars issues or the pointsfix (or both at the same time!). You know most people at Jelly have no interest in discussing clanwars or the pointfix but i assume you cant resist. Simply leaving those issues alone would have seen you right - you are a good player and from what i hear, a good mod too who was very much appreciated - but you keep stirring about pointsfix and people have grown tired of it.

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06And yet I personally still think it's good to have it optional, even though many people on the anti-pointsfix side don't.

Reply and repeat.

Spoony wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06Someone must have this authority, and if someone does, it would be better in the hands of a group who are approved by EA and who are accountable to the community as a whole (we proved we are... Jelly representatives continually prove they do not consider themselves so)

Again, what authority? You are making it up in your head. Its not a gentlemans club. Jelly was there first to register those nicks and thats all. The fact he allows other communities access to those nicks over others does not constitute Jelly claiming an authority.

EA and XWIS have not had a problem with this and Jelly didnt need to prove anything to you or anyone else in this thread. I think at the end of the day, thats the point we are all trying to make.

You have no authority to question or even suggest in any official capacity that Jelly should have his nicks removed. I would guess you have the knowlege on how to go about it. Although threatening to do so is disrespectful to our community and the hard work the people there have put into those servers and the community over the years.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tildeth](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 06:10:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:17

For Tireless Rebutter there is no such thing as a trivial dispute. He regards all challenges as barbarians at the gates. His unflagging tenacity in making his points numbs and eventually wears down the opposition. Confident that his arguments are sound, Tireless Rebutter can't understand why he is universally loathed.

gg

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 06:30:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's a pity that due to all the replies from and to spoony, noone took time to read and reply to my posts . I only made like 5 or so in this whole topic.

Kudos to Raven for having this discussion with us in a civilized manner, BTW.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 09:24:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 21:28Spoon wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06
PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46I never did see any announcement from TT saying they had acquired approval from EA to patch renegade let alone manage the XWIS listings. So, which is it? Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?

I infer from Crimson's posts that yes we do.

I do believe you're correct that TT has permission to patch Renegade... but do they have permission to manage the XWIS listings? Um, no.

Actually, BHS has the authority to manage Renegade on XWIS and has had it ever since WOL was shut down. Olaf has made it clear several times that BHS (now TT) decides policy, so long as it's not conflicting with their own rules and of course, the safety and security of their servers.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 12:50:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote Except that's not what you said at all, you said that you "inferred from Crimson's posts" that TT has both permission to patch Renegade AND to manage the XWIS listings. No, I just said the patching. This is the question I was answering at the time:
"Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?"

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 00:25 Spooky wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06 PapaElbo wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:46 The fact is, you have vehemently stated and restated your original post only evolving to include an actual threat to attack the Jelly community itself.
!?!?

This means you haven't even considered you are wrong (or at least, it doesn't look like it!). Your responses are reply and repeat, reply and repeat.
wtf lol... first you say: you're threatening to attack the jelly community. I reply in the most appropriate way, a simple expression of astonished disbelief - and you say "you haven't even considered you were wrong"?

try thinking in a straight line, perhaps?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 00:25 Spooky wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06 Nobody at Jelly has any permission from EA, and yet they treat me despicably, for absolutely no justification. Perhaps they're the ones you should be talking to?
You don't do yourself any favours by having random debates on our forums about clan wars issues or the pointsfix (or both at the same time!). You know most people at Jelly have no interest in discussing clan wars or the pointsfix but I assume you can't resist. Simply leaving those issues alone would have seen you right - you are a good player and from what I hear, a good mod too who was very much appreciated - but you keep stirring about pointsfix and people have grown tired of it.
So you don't deny that the way the Jelly community has been treating me for months IS indeed despicable, but like Raven and Tellsson before you (both of whom originally denied this) you say: but it's justified.

You cite two "crimes": 1. me talking about the pointsfix. 2. me talking about "clan wars issues".

well, neither of these actually puts me at fault. starting with the pointsfix. the very most I've ever done on the jelly forums is say why I think it's a good idea, making my reasons very clear. that's all. it was never my decision whether to actually use the pointsfix on the jelly servers. back when Jelly was a better man than he is now, he actually tried to convince everyone of that point....
"there's no point flaming Spooky all the time, it's not his choice, it's mine". but oh no, I LIKE the pointsfix, which is a terrible crime?

2. clan wars issues. are you really sure you want to mention this, considering how damaging it is to the jelly community? for people who aren't familiar with what happened: I posted a thread on Jelly talking about some of my ideas for the public-server ladder.
it was heavily criticised by a Jelly moderator, Clearshot (who is a fairly long-time player on the clan wars league). he said: nobody should bother with this ladder because Spooky is extremely corrupt, he did all these horrible abuses of power when he ran the clan wars league and he'll do the same with this one.

he gave a great many examples, such as:

"spoony, as admin, let his clanmate Soul get away with pointpushing"

"spoony later let his clanmate whiskey get away with pointpushing"

"spoony suspended me (i.e. clearshot) for something he wasn't even involved with"

"spoony punished clans for offences that weren't even against the rules at the time"

and so on and so on. he made about a dozen of these accusations. they all had one thing in common: they were completely made up. not only that, but they were depressingly easy to disprove. for example, most of his accusations of me abusing admin power refer to periods in clanwars history before i even became the admin at all.

anyone with a brain could see clearshot was lying through his teeth... i even asked jelly himself to point out that i was telling the truth. he wouldn't. why, i wonder? let's allow a jelly moderator to lie to the community again and again and again just to make the new ladder look bad, because if anyone tells the truth it might paint spoony in a positive light, and we can't have that.

but hey, according to you, I'm "doing myself no favours" by defending myself against these ridiculous lies?

this may be a good time to repeat the question i asked before. so far only raven's had the balls to answer it. isn't it very interesting that i am treated with astonishing public levels of contempt on the jelly forums, whereas i haven't seen a single public post flaming - for example - msgtpain? but then, prulez said it best: you complain about the things you care about, don't you...

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 00:25Spoon wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:06And yet I personally still think it's good to have it optional, even though many people on the anti-pointsfix side don't.

Reply and repeat.

this thing really does need repeating! clearly nobody can grasp the solid fact that if you wanna use the pointsfix in your own community, i don't care. really, i don't. but many people in the jelly community have proven they DON'T want me to choose to use the pointsfix in mine.

this really is an extraordinary fact that completely cripples the credibility of everybody involved; why do you think roni found it so embarrassing that he immediately changed the subject?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 00:25Jelly didnt need to prove anything to you or anyone else in this thread. I think at the end of the day, thats the point we are all trying to make.

yes, it is, isn't it? the best argument you've come up with is "we don't have to explain ourselves to anyone". which is, of course, the problem to begin with...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 12:56:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

this is pointless talk to jelly privately this is pointless talk to jelly privately this is pointless talk to jelly privately this is pointless talk to jelly privately this is pointless talk to jelly privately talk to him in a more emotional and less logical way talk to him in a more emotional and less logical way talk to him in a more

emotional and less logical way

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Altzan](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 13:11:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:17For Tireless Rebutter there is no such thing as a trivial dispute. He regards all challenges as barbarians at the gates. His unflagging tenacity in making his points numbs and eventually wears down the opposition. Confident that his arguments are sound, Tireless Rebutter can't understand why he is universally loathed.

Nice find.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 13:30:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 22:16i'd like to point out that jelly was completely willing to give a hostname to black-cell but by the time we got a hold of them they had just cancelled their server; jelly said he always has time for black-cell and i believe that had their community not already vanished that they would have had a server on the top of the list

the problem is we didn't reach them in time; they all got up and left
Well, from what I remember, they did even post here on RenF. Also, I know that we've asked (BlackIntel), and we where told to wait in line. Apparently Exodus and probably more communities where there before I asked

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 14:40:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ah ha ha! I got the Spoon treatment. Please, i was awake at 4am for that post. But in any case:

Spoon wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50try thinking in a straight line, perhaps?

Perhaps i would keep a straight line of thought if you didnt keep referring to random incidents that happened years ago, linking seemingly unrelated issues and drawing your own conclusions which attack the good standing and character of the community which i am a part of.

Spoon wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50So you don't deny that the way the Jelly community has been treating me for months IS indeed despicable.....

I wasnt aware i needed to deny it. You have more than enough people responding to you about

this.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50

you say: but it's justified.

I said you bring it on yourself because you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. I didn't say it was justified. This is another problem of yours Spoony. You twist words and invent these stories and present them as fact. Then use them over and over.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50 well, neither of these actually puts me at fault.

Of course! You are never at fault. This is what I meant about whether you ever considered the fact you are wrong. Also, your diatribe was reply and repeat. All been said before 100 times over and really not necessary. I barely know Clearshot and have never been part of a clan but I still know about this drama because you repeat it ad nauseam every chance you get.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50 isn't it very interesting that I am treated with astonishing public levels of contempt on the Jelly forums, whereas I haven't seen a single public post flaming - for example - msgtpain? Who is treating you with contempt and why is the level astonishing? I am responding calmly and respectfully to your posts. What you are saying here when you break it all down is that you would rather the Jelly community flame and show astonishing levels of contempt to msgtpain. I suppose we all love a good roasting, as long as we are not the ones taking it.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50

clearly nobody can grasp the solid fact that if you wanna use the pointsfix in your own community, I don't care. really, I don't.

I think everyone has got it by now. It was Jonwil that got it wrong which caused the confusion which was quickly rectified. That's all.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50 but many people in the Jelly community have proven they DON'T want me to choose to use the pointsfix in mine.

I don't think I have seen anyone trying to stop you using the pointsfix in your server. Unless you mean clan wars again and in which case, that was a community decision. I personally couldn't care less what you decide to do in your servers. I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50 the best argument you've come up with is "we don't have to explain ourselves to anyone". which is, of course, the problem to begin with...

How about.....there is no crime that Jelly has to answer for. No proof or evidence against him other than opinion and hearsay. And, there is no established authority for Jelly to answer to.

The only thing that gives this thread any credence in my view is your membership of TT.

Crimson says that TT have control of XWIS so in effect, you could go ahead and do it right now anyway. So, why don't you?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 14:47:04 GMT

Blue169 wroteSpoony you talk about Jelly being "undeserving" of the nicknames who do you honestly think actually deserves them? You? Crimson?
TT.

Again, compare TT to Jelly.

People criticise TT about the pointsfix; TT goes to incredible lengths to debate the subject, suggests all sorts of compromises, and eventually just gives the community everything they want.

People criticise Jelly about their monopoly on the a00 names: everyone from jelly says "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it, we don't have to explain ourselves to you"

^^ that really tells you all you need to know.

one group has EA and XWIS's blessing to manage renegade affairs, and has already proven its approachability, reasonableness, willingness to compromise, and willingness to accommodate differing opinions within the community. the other group seized the power and continually proves they don't consider themselves answerable to anybody, and reacts violently to any criticism at all.

Blue169 wroteSeriously though, why should it matter that Jelly has a big playerbase isn't that the point of hosting a server...? To actually get players joining it?
if you want to refute my statements, go ahead. but please only refute things i've actually said, eh?

Blue169 wroteNow you come to the Jelly forums basically demonizing us all and then bitch about how we don't agree with you is there something about with that sentence?
Yes, there is something wrong with that sentence; it's completely upside down. I didn't demonise anybody; the jelly community demonised me simply for expressing an opinion about the chokehold they have on the game listings. I've been treated appallingly by the Jelly community long before now, for nothing worse than having a different opinion.

Blue169 wroteChances are by now you are thinking to yourself, "Who the fuck is this n00b? Why is he telling me off? He doesn't know me!"
No, but I am thinking you don't know the situation.

Blue169 wroteIt's consolation I've read many of your posts, topics or whatever on both forums and I just see that you live for trying to win Arguments and you always attempt to dig up dirt on people at an attempt to Invalidate what they think.
Then you haven't read them very carefully. Go look at Jelly; the community is absolutely full of people who just live to flame the hell out of me, despite the fact they have absolutely nothing to be upset at me for. I, on the other hand, just debate the actual issues relating to Renegade.

Blue169 wroteAnyways, you're certainly right Jelly has a monopoly there is no denying that but they run a good server and deserve any and all of the players that join their servers and help support it.
You can talk all you like about how great the Jelly servers are; it simply doesn't answer the question.

Blue169 wroteBut what really pisses me off is that after all this, you still try to degrade all the work

they have done just because of a few lousy nicknames.

Then what's your opinion of the Jelly community continually lying about my track record at Clanwars to try to make me look bad, and the rest of the jelly community letting them get away with it (and demonising me for successfully defending myself?) The only reason they tried doing that is because they desperately wanted to argue against the pointsfix on the ladder and this is the best they could do. What's your two cents on that?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 15:05:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50try thinking in a straight line, perhaps?

Perhaps i would keep a straight line of thought if
You can tell a sentence is gonna be bullshit when this is how it starts.

"OK, I was talking complete bollocks, but it's your fault because..."

But still, let's examine your reasons.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40you didnt keep referring to random incidents that happened years ago
Specifically?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40drawing your own conclusions which attack the good standing and character of the community which i am a part of.
Specifically?

Besides, plenty of the Jelly community do this to me all the time, they've done it several times in this thread, and they usually get caught lying in the attempt. You don't seem to mind that.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50you say: but it's justified.

I said you bring it on yourself becasue you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. I didnt say it was justified. This is another problem of yours Spoony. You twist words and invent these stories and present them as fact. Then use them over and over.

Oh, so you admit it's NOT justified then?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50well, neither of these actually puts me at fault.

Of course! You are never at fault. This is what i meant about whether you ever considered the fact you are wrong.

What an absurdly hypocritical contradiction.

You give two reasons why I should be treated with contempt by the Jelly community - I point out that neither of these actually constitutes me doing something wrong, and your reply is "OH YEAH

YOUR NEVER WRONG ARE YOU?!?"

Speak for yourself.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40I barely know Clearshot and have never been part of a clan but i still know about this drama becasue you repeat it ad'nauseam every chance you get.

Ah, so the problem ISN'T that a jelly moderator lied to the community again and again and again to make me look bad, and the rest of the community let him get away with it (in some cases actually lying to help him get away with it)... the problem is I caught him redhanded and pointing out how utterly pathetic it was?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Who is treating you with contempt and why is the level astonishing?
Are you blind?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40What you are saying here when you break it all down is that you would rather the Jelly community flame and show astonishing levels of contempt to msgtpain. I suppose we all love a good roasting, as long as we are not the ones taking it. No, I didn't say I would particularly enjoy a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain.

I said I'm rather surprised at the Jelly community's apparently total indifference to a moderator cheating while being a moderator, and continually lying to everyone to cover himself while doing so. But when they see someone with different opinions on the pointsfix, or someone daring to speak out about their control of the gamelistings, grab a stick and get in line, eh?

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50
clearly nobody can grasp the solid fact that if you wanna use the pointsfix in your own community, i don't care. really, i don't.
I think everyone has got it by now.
That's not the impression I get.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50but many people in the jelly community have proven they DON'T want me to choose to use the pointsfix in mine.
I dont think i have seen anyone trying to stop you using the pointsfix in your server. Unless you mean clanwars again and in which case, that was a community decision.
Read what I said to Roni. He couldn't think of a response and was too humiliated to try... see if you can do better.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40I personally couldnt care less what you decide to do in your servers. I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums.
I personally couldn't care less about how Jelly servers are run, or even how the forums were run (it really doesn't bother me that the community's full of bullies who treat people like shit when you've done nothing wrong to them). I only care about their strangehold on the game listings and their fanatical inability to even maturely debate the issue at all.
Still...

"I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes"

Untrue.

"and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums"

Not only untrue but grossly hypocritical.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40How about.....there is no crime that Jelly has to answer for.

I didn't suggest that there was. I was criticising all the power his community has and suggested it would be better in more responsible hands. That's all. They've tried to sidestep this completely legitimate opinion in essentially two ways:

1. "you should have talked about this privately"
2. "you're only doing this because we took the pointsfix off"

point 1 is merely ridiculous, point 2 is downright insulting. and, of course, it contradicts all the facts.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40And, there is no established authority for Jelly to answer to.

There's TT... but more to the point, there's the community.

TT considers itself answerable to the community. We proved that with the pointsfix. Jelly has made no secret at all of the fact they DON'T consider themselves answerable to the community.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Crimson says that TT have control of XWIS so in effect, you could go ahead and do it right now anyway. So, why don't you?
Precisely because we're better than the Jelly community.

I, personally, am quite satisfied with this thread's outcome. If anybody was in any doubt that the Jelly community is completely unqualified to manage this responsibility, they only need to look at this thread. They can then look at TT's track record, which is far superior in every conceivable sense.

You say I'm attacking jelly here? Don't need to, it's done a very good job of attacking itself.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 15:15:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the fact that you think your judgment is better than anyone else's
and believe you have any right to say that the owner of the nick names isn't responsible enough to own them is what scares me

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 15:20:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

masterkna wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 17:15 the fact that you think your judgment is better than anyone else's and believe you have any right to say that the owner of the nick names isn't responsible enough to own them is what scares me
The owner of the nicknames is eventually xwis. At best, Jelly is leasing the nicknames.

And if he thought that Jelly and nightma were doing a great job with the nicknames and that no one else could have a better judgement than them, then he simply wouldn't post, would he? I'm not sure what's so surprising about that in the first place...

I'm sure that if TT hypothetically would get usage of these nicknames, there would be some sort of committee to decide what happens with them. Spoony might or might not be a small part of this committee. Saying spoony wants to steal the nicknames from jelly because he thinks he personally has better judgement is a false image.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 15:26:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

actually since you ask, yes I do think I have better judgement than the jelly community (i CERTAINLY think TT as a whole does), but that's not the point. the point is more to do with accountability. if TT did take officially take on this responsibility, we'd run it with the same approachability and transparency that we managed the pointsfix debate, for example. by contrast, many people from the jelly community including jelly himself have basically just said: we don't have to explain ourselves to anyone.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 15:26:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that's not what i was getting at. spoony calls him out on it because he doesn't think his judgment is good enough to hold power over those nicknames. yeah they are at the top of the listing, but does that really matter anymore? most people join a server because they like the settings, trial and error. there aren't that many new people coming to renegade anymore, so most servers have their regulars plus a new face here or there looking for a change. in my personal belief, you register a name, it's yours, xwis only owns their server that the name logs into.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [stoned](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 15:52:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoon
People criticise TT about the pointsfix; TT goes to incredible lengths to debate the subject, suggests all sorts of compromises, and eventually just gives the community everything they want.

elaborate (mainly on the compromises and giving the community everything they want)

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 16:20:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 14:50Ethenal wroteExcept that's not what you said at all, you said that you "inferred from Crimson's posts" that TT has both permission to patch Renegade AND to manage the XWIS listings.

No, I just said the patching. This is the question I was answering at the time:

"Do TT have authority to apply a global patch to Renegade or is that still under negotiation?"

This is a just a question, nothing else, so really EA gave TT the permission to release the "patch" freely, without even knowing what other communities thinks about it, what players thinks about it, and about its quality? Or they gave their OK but only if it meets certain conditions?

Crimson wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 14:56SoQRadio wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 00:48
Crimson wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 23:14The BHS(TT) ladder will be official and I have a box of goodies from EA to give as prizes.

Here I disagree. As Cunin stated before, the current ladder doesnt reward good teamplay. Rather, it rewards pointwhoring.

If you're not going to read the thread, then don't bother replying. Seriously. ONCE AGAIN, the ladder is being REBALANCED to reward other metrics besides just points. Should I also fax or carrier pigeon this to you, or perhaps tattoo it on your arm?

Well that was said even when pointsfix was used in NS, but years passed and the ladder is still rewarding point-whorers, so let us have some doubts about that rebalancing work.

Also renegade isn't a deathmatch game, I don't think that a ladder for this game can be made, a ladder that takes account of what players are doing instead of how many points they earned.

Ladders can still be used for other modes, but for AOW I don't think that a really balanced ladder could ever be made.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 17:10:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50
you say: but it's justified.

I said you bring it on yourself becasue you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. I didnt say it was justified. This is another problem of yours Spoony. You twist words and invent these stories and present them as fact. Then use them over and over.

Oh, so you admit it's NOT justified then?

Are you willing to admit you knowingly bring it on yourself? You post, or visit, a place you aren't welcome for what ever reason. People there generally don't like you, or the way you conduct your opinion pieces. You know for a damn fact your opinion is going to start a flame war there. As my example you chose to ignore, You walk into a Bar and try to tell them their lifestyles are wrong then wonder why you are on the verge of being barred from there.

Quote:PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50well, neither of these actually puts me at fault.

Of course! You are never at fault. This is what i meant about whether you ever considered the fact you are wrong.

What an absurdly hypocritical contradiction.

You put yourself at fault for putting yourself in the situation. If you need me to elaborate I will later.

Quote:You give two reasons why I should be treated with contempt by the Jelly community - I point out that neither of these actually constitutes me doing something wrong, and your reply is "OH YEAH YOUR NEVER WRONG ARE YOU?!?"

Speak for yourself.

I'm starting to feel like a broken record here. You Entered an establishment you are not welcome in. They haven't banned you so you are able to do so. However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them. Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear. This puts you at fault as you are the instigator. You know what will happen when you do it so don't be surprised with the outcome.

Quote:

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40I barely know Clearshot and have never been part of a clan but i still know about this drama becasue you repeat it ad'nauseam every chance you get.

Ah, so the problem ISN'T that a jelly moderator lied to the community again and again and again to make me look bad, and the rest of the community let him get away with it (in some cases actually lying to help him get away with it)... the problem is I caught him redhanded and pointing out how utterly pathetic it was?

I know nothing of this so I won't even bother getting involved.

Quote:

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40What you are saying here when you break it all down is that you would rather the Jelly community flame and show astonishing levels of contempt to msgtpain. I suppose we all love a good roasting, as long as we are not the ones taking it. No, I didn't say I would particularly enjoy a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain.

I said I'm rather surprised at the Jelly community's apparently total indifference to a moderator cheating while being a moderator, and continually lying to everyone to cover himself while doing so. But when they see someone with different opinions on the pointsfix, or someone daring to speak out about their control of the gamelistings, grab a stick and get in line, eh?

If you don't want to see him lynched then why bring it up? As I said they will flame you there apparently for saying Hi. What makes you think the will read past title=Spoony and give 2 shifts what you say? My best advise to you is stay away from there.

Quote:

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50
clearly nobody can grasp the solid fact that if you wanna use the pointsfix in your own community,
i don't care. really, i don't.
I think everyone has got it by now.
That's not the impression I get.
Then Repeat it in 28 Font bold italics in hot pink, cause that's the only way it can get anymore
clear.

Quote:

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50but
many people in the jelly community have proven they DON'T want me to choose to use the
pointsfix in mine.
I dont think i have seen anyone trying to stop you using the pointsfix in your server. Unless you
mean clanwars again and in which case, that was a community decision.
Read what I said to Roni. He couldn't think of a response and was too humiliated to try... see if
you can do better.
Has to do with clanwars I'm not getting involved.

Quote:

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40I personally couldnt care less what you decide to
do in your servers. I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own
purposes and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums.
I personally couldn't care less about how Jelly servers are run, or even how the forums were run
(it really doesn't bother me that the community's full of bullies who treat people like shit when
you've done nothing wrong to them). I only care about their strangehold on the game listings and
their fanatical inability to even maturely debate the issue at all.
Still...

"I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes"

Untrue.

"and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums"

Not only untrue but grossly hypocritical.

In the first post I offered from around this time yesterday 3/4 of jelly's actual servers had people in
them. Out of the remaining 4 being n00bstories, Atomix, Exodus, and n00bless
3 had players and 1 I got made fun of for counting because it had 1 person sitting in the server
trying to get others to join. After those servers in the list there are 10 servers listed with 3 servers
having people. 1 has 1 player and is grayed out. This is the sort by name listing btw.

In the Sort by Player count the Top 3 are in Jelly's Name range.

The following 5: 1 begins with a w and then storm, cloud, Then 2 more jelly name range servers.
All in all out of the 18 servers there were 6 from the Jelly name range and 3 of which were Jelly
Servers.

Quote:PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40And, there is no established authority for
Jelly to answer to.

There's TT... but more to the point, there's the community.

TT considers itself answerable to the community. We proved that with the pointsfix. Jelly has
made no secret at all of the fact they DON'T consider themselves answerable to the community.

PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Crimson says that TT have control of XWIS so in effect, you could go ahead and do it right now anyway. So, why don't you? Precisely because we're better than the Jelly community.

I, personally, am quite satisfied with this thread's outcome. If anybody was in any doubt that the Jelly community is completely unqualified to manage this responsibility, they only need to look at this thread. They can then look at TT's track record, which is far superior in every conceivable sense.

You say I'm attacking jelly here? Don't need to, it's done a very good job of attacking itself.

I know this is probably gonna piss you off, but I still can't stand the thought of someone basically doing a redistribution of wealth on someone because of his server names. This point is null and void with 3.4.4 and Jonwill said 4.0 is more stable than that. So after the TT patch, will you then return the legally registered names back to jelly that you have decided he "cannot fairly manage".

Also if TT removed the server names from jelly they would in all fairness have to do it to everyone in the top 18 as they are all a00 names and I'm sure the a00 names stretch farther down. You mentioned that after this you don't believe that Jelly deserves a spot in the top. That's fine if that's your opinion, but why stop there? Why not punish everyone benefiting from the nics. Clearly they are using something that you consider acquired unfairly. So axe them all to the bottom of the list. That puts us where? Where does it stop? When Xwis let you register you had up to 9 nics you could register. He did 8 and if I read Crimsons reply correctly he gave one to her so he now has 7. So now that leaves n00bles, Atomix, and Exodus essentially homeless. I don't think n00bles would be worse off as Hex said a majority of his people are gamespy users. So that's 6 servers and 3 communities you, in all fairness, must banish to the bottom of the list. Yes this is an extreme case scenario. I do admit you most likely won't go this far. Seriously where is it going to stop? So you get 7 of the top 8 names, why stop there?

If this is done I personally would not be surprised if you stopped seeing communities in Ren. If you came in and seized my shit I would not even bother resetting and just take my community on to other games away from this drama filled game.

Ren is hurting for more players...why not get rid of a few communities that have active players as this is what I foresee happening.

If you think I'm taking things to far, then this is probably how the Jelly Community is seeing your actions. 1 person speaking for an entire group who is out to Punish 1 Community for something that frankly is a point that holds as much water as a fishing net. Unless that is you have no faith in your patch.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 17:10:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 14:30liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009

22:16i'd like to point out that jelly was completely willing to give a hostname to black-cell but by the time we got a hold of them they had just cancelled their server; jelly said he always has time for black-cell and i believe that had their community not already vanished that they would have had a server on the top of the list

the problem is we didn't reach them in time; they all got up and left

Well, from what I remember, they did even post here on RenF. Also, I know that we've asked (BlackIntel), and we where told to wait in line. Apparently Exodus and probably more communities where there before I asked

It was just the timing that we asked I suppose because the nickname was already used on the server that Arnyswart was paying for.

Many things in life are down to good timing and I know that its double edged because its good for 1 person and bad for the other.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Roni](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 18:38:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 17:26actually since you ask, yes I do think I have better judgement than the jelly community

The truth is that you don't have better judgment than Jelly or his community. He is still running a successful community that you or I are not. I am sure you will come up with some bs story about how the community didn't want pointfix so they sabotaged your CC league. Please stfu with your rants about being a failure as a leader.

You complain about having a pure server up on the top list but you fail to even persuade Crimson(who happens to have a top listed name) to do this and she is part of TT. You can't even get that server owner on your own committee to agree with your opinions. Grow up Spoony, you have failed again..

Roni

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 20:11:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 11:38 You complain about having a pure server up on the top list but you fail to even persuade Crimson (who happens to have a top listed name) to do this and she is part of TT. You can't even get that server owner on your own committee to agree with your opinions. Grow up Spooky, you have failed again..

Roni

Don't make such assumptions with no proof. If I publicly sided with Spooky on this, wouldn't one assume that it might provoke Jelly to take the nickname I'm using back from me, even though he's already said that the nickname is mine permanently?

You might infer what my position is on this matter if you became (or are) aware that I have been a recent victim of exactly what Spooky is attacking in this thread.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 21:21:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that's completely untrue crimson, spooky is attacking jelly's use of those names. he said it himself that if jelly relinquishes control of those names, that certain communities would get one, including n00bstories.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 21:42:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Once more...with feeling, eh...?

Well, I am getting tired of this to be honest. I think this will be my last post unless of course something completely outrageous gets put on here.

I think keeping track of everything and sitting around for an hour or two reading, editing, linking and responding to each point is a waste of time when the TT patch will negate this entire issue.

Well, here we go. MAMMOTH TANK SIZED POST INC.....

Spooky wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05 You can tell a sentence is gonna be bullshit when this is how it starts.

Or, i could have just been tired at 4am and that is why the response didnt match the quote. Again you have invented a fiction in your head just to make me look stupid and posted that instead of responding to what i actually wrote.

Spooky wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05 PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40 keep referring to random incidents that happened years ago, linking seemingly unrelated issues and drawing your own conclusions

Specifically?

Why should i have to go back and pull specific quotes out? I dont keep copies of everthing or links to specific posts. If anyone is even still bothered with reading this thread then they will know what i am referring to. I certainly shouldnt have to point it out to you Spoony.

Random incidents - your clanwars baggage, mgstpain, your assertion that the jelly community are all bullies.

Seemingly unrelated issues - clanwars, TT patches, the pointsfix, pure servers, your new ladder vs the Jelly Community and Jelly's A00 nicks.

Drawing your own conclusions - see your original post for that one. It is not an objective assessment of the situation, it is a diatribe of false invention, one sided opinionation and conclusions based upon fiction stated as fact. If you want to do it right, then get someone who is independant, get them to post the problem (if there even is one) without prejudice or favor and then discuss it properly - preferably in private with the other server owners but certainly in public if that is the preference.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40drawing your own conclusions which attack the good standing and character of the community which i am a part of.
Specifically?

Again, see your first post and others in just this thread alone for more than enough of this. You really dont need to play dumb here.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05Besides, plenty of the Jelly community do this to me all the time, they've done it several times in this thread, and they usually get caught lying in the attempt. You don't seem to mind that.

So its an eye for an eye then Spoony? Nice responsible and mature attitude. Plus a little invention again. How do you know what i mind or dont mind. I see people (mostly clanwars people) who post about the pointsfix and get into heated discussions with you. I enjoyed reading your debates but to be honest, I think you are at fault when things get out of hand just as much as they are.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoon wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50
you say: but it's justified.

I said you bring it on yourself becasue you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. I didnt say it was justified. This is another problem of yours Spoony. You twist words and invent these stories and present them as fact. Then use them over and over.

Oh, so you admit it's NOT justified then?

Oh, so you admit you DO jump to conclusions then?

Let me go through it again. I said you bring it on yourself becasue you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. You are intelligent enough to know what response you will receive whether it is on the pointsfix, about clanwar issues or just general chat or catching cheats. I didnt say it was

justified. I also didnt say it was unjustified.

You provoke people to be extreme in their opinions (probably deliberately) becasue if they were not 100% absolutely sure, you would pick them apart. So, i believe, you reap what you sow. That is all.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50well, neither of these actually puts me at fault. Of course! You are never at fault.

What an absurdly hypocritical contradiction.

Ha ha! In my head you sounded like Niles Crane from Fraser! In any case, likewise. Nice dodge.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05You give two reasons why I should be treated with contempt by the Jelly community - I point out that neither of these actually constitutes me doing something wrong, and your reply is "OH YEAH YOUR NEVER WRONG ARE YOU?!?"

Speak for yourself.

I did not give any reasons to justify why you should be treated with contempt. Keep making it up. As stated above, you reap what you sow. I am just here responding to what i see. I have no malice towards you, TT or the community so please dont put words in my mouth. If you come to a community and start a thread about something you know will cause problems then you are deliberately asking for trouble and therefore, you are by definition a disruptive influence to that community.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40I barely know Clearshot and have never been part of a clan but i still know about this drama becasue you repeat it ad'nauseam every chance you get.

Ah, so the problem ISN'T that a jelly moderator lied to the community again and again and again to make me look bad, and the rest of the community let him get away with it (in some cases actually lying to help him get away with it)... the problem is I caught him redhanded and pointing out how utterly pathetic it was?

No, stop making it up please.

The problem is you keep going on about it. I have no idea why mgstpain decided to cheat. From my experience, he was hardly ever online and nobody could ever tell me much about him. Not that i made many enquiries. He was one of those random mods who was never there and so when he was, I never talked to him.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Who is treating you with contempt and why is the level astonishing?

Are you blind?

No. Do you tend to over-exaggerate? Quite possibly! Also, please keep this greivance in perspective. When you say "the Jelly Community" you probably mean the handful of clanwars players who frequent the jelly servers. Not the ENTIRE community.

I see various people coming in here and trying to respond to your claims regarding the Jelly community. If you feel like you are being treated with contempt then i would refer you to the second part of the above quote. Why would this be astonishing considering the magnitude of

what you are proposing to do to us?

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40What you are saying here when you break it all down is that you would rather the Jelly community flame and show astonishing levels of contempt to msgtpain. I suppose we all love a good roasting, as long as we are not the ones taking it.

No, I didn't say I would particularly enjoy a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain.

I said I'm rather surprised at the Jelly community's apparently total indifference to a moderator cheating while being a moderator, and continually lying to everyone to cover himself while doing so. But when they see someone with different opinions on the pointsfix, or someone daring to speak out about their control of the gamelistsings, grab a stick and get in line, eh?

So, you are surprised at the lack of a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain? Nice mature and responsible attitude.

Isn't that what cheaters do? Continually lie to cover themselves and abuse the trust of others to get away with it? For a mod to do this, is unforgivable but in the end, it's just another level of trust that has been abused. Yes it makes a difference that he was a mod but to be honest, I rarely saw the guy in game and didn't even know him that well. Didn't he leave the game for a while? I never saw him around for a very long time. Then one day someone turns up on a smurf nick out of the blue on J-Marathon who is clearly hacking and within a week or two, he is banned. When I heard it was msgtpain, yes I was shocked and disappointed. But, now when I look at him, he is just another douchebag who got caught and banned.

I don't even think most of the current regulars at Jelly even knew who he was. Obviously the older players did but that's besides the point. You can't compare a reaction to say a mod who was caught cheating to a mod who has just advocated the forced removal of our server's nicks for the benefit of this mod's "other" community of which he is also a senior member.

I became aware of you Spoony when I first came to Jelly and admired your level of commitment to the job and how you would calmly and objectively put your point across in each case. But, lately you have been losing it and in this thread particularly your calm objective attitude has gone completely out the window with the first post. And here you are, stabbing our community in the back - and for what? There may be reasons for this that I am not aware of (which is fine, I don't need to know). I just think if you had been more objective and had not made up your own conclusions in your original post, then we wouldn't be here 13 pages later talking more about you rather than your issues.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50

clearly nobody can grasp the solid fact that if you wanna use the pointsfix in your own community, I don't care. really, I don't.

I think everyone has got it by now.

That's not the impression I get.

Well, it is the impression I get. It's not really that hard to understand. The pointsfix will be optional server side and the ladder will apply a rating to a server based on its differential to basic pure

Renegade. Simple.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009

09:40Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:50but many people in the jelly community have proven they DON'T want me to choose to use the pointsfix in mine.

I dont think i have seen anyone trying to stop you using the pointsfix in your server. Unless you mean clanwars again and in which case, that was a community decision.

Read what I said to Roni. He couldn't think of a response and was too humiliated to try... see if you can do better.

I have no clue what you mean by this? Is it this?

Spoony wrote on Fri, 08 October 2009 23:12Has it ever occurred to you that there isn't actually anything wrong with me disagreeing with you on something?

Under normal circumstances, there wouldnt be anything wrong with you disagreeing with me or anyone else for that matter. But these are not normal circumstances. You posted a clearly biased tirade which lead to a threat to remove the server nicks from Jelly. If we consider your position within TT and the fact it is TT that controls XWIS then i would say there is a pretty good chance of this happening. And in my opinion, it would be very unfair if it did happen.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05I personally couldn't care less about how Jelly servers are run

Untrue. Jelly is supposedly irresponsible with their servers and their "monopoly" must be halted!! No?

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05or even how the forums were run (it really doesn't bother me that the community's full of bullies who treat people like shit when you've done nothing wrong to them).

Untrue. Gross exaggeration. One or two idiots does not consitute a community of bullies.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05I only care about their strangehold on the game listings and their fanatical inability to even maturely debate the issue at all.

I think everyone has a fanatical inability to debate with you Spoony. Did i not try to maturely debate with you?

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05Still...

"I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes"

Untrue.

How so? Please enlighten me on what i care about.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05"and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums"

Not only untrue but grossly hypocritical.

How so? Please enlighten me on what i care about.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40How about.....there is no crime that Jelly has to answer for.

I didn't suggest that there was. I was criticising all the power his community has and suggested it would be better in more responsible hands. That's all. They've tried to sidestep this completely legitimate opinion in essentially two ways:

1. "you should have talked about this privately"
2. "you're only doing this because we took the pointsfix off"

Er....so the Jelly Community has done nothing wrong. The Jelly Community, in your view, holds too much "power". They have committed no crime to justify your criticism of this "power". You just think that this "power" would be better of in the hands of the group you represent. TT.

I dont know. I dont think you have a leg to stand on. The server nicks were fairly obtained through the established procedure of the time. The "power" of the Jelly community was earned through trust, gamesmanship, professionalism and respect for other communities over 4 years.

You have a problem with a small number of the community - mostly clanwars or anti pointsfix people who you provoke specifically to create disruption within the community. That is neither responsible nor mature.

You also forgot:

3. you could be doing this because you want to promote your own style of gameplay, have your own servers with the pointsfix at the top of the listings.
4. you could be doing this because you are pissed at Jelly or the community at large and want some kind of revenge.
5. you could be doing this because you want TT to have a more visible role in the community considering the lack of updates over the last two years.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05PapaElbo wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 09:40And, there is no established authority for Jelly to answer to.
There's TT... but more to the point, there's the community.

TT considers itself answerable to the community. We proved that with the pointsfix. Jelly has made no secret at all of the fact they DON'T consider themselves answerable to the community. Well, maybe because Jelly are a member OF the community so with your logic, TT should be answerable to Jelly. No?

If Jelly were answerable to all the other communities, how would that work? What would Jelly be answering to? TT is an organisation in charge of XWIS, the TT patch and the community forums and probably a ton of other stuff i have no idea about. Since TT is a merger of several teams, projects and companies then sure, ill accept TT as the impartial community leader. The second they start up their own servers, then they become competitors and therefore no longer impartial.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05Precisely because we're better than the Jelly community.

Opinion is not fact and "better" is a subjective term. Better how?

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 10:05I, personally, am quite satisfied with this thread's outcome. If anybody was in any doubt that the Jelly community is completely unqualified to

manage this responsibility, they only need to look at this thread. They can then look at TT's track record, which is far superior in every conceivable sense.

You say I'm attacking jelly here? Don't need to, it's done a very good job of attacking itself.

Oh, this arrogance is really annoying me. You claimed responsibility over something that required none for your own personal gain. You stired up tensions in the Jelly Community right before coming here, possibly deliberately. You have repeatedly stated opinions as facts. You have proven nothing except how we can expect TT to deal with the community in future.

I thought better of you Spoonny. I am dissapointed.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 22:15:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no, because what comes next is spoony denying everything and calling papaelbo a liar etc etc

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 22:21:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Carrier. Assuming that was you, of course.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Roni](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 22:44:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 15:11Roni wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 11:38You complain about having a pure server up on the top list but you fail to even persuade Crimson(who happens to have a top listed name) to do this and she is part of TT. You can't even get that server owner on your own committee to agree with your opinions. Grow up Spoonny, you have failed again..

Roni

Don't make such assumptions with no proof. If I publicly sided with Spoonny on this, wouldn't one assume that it might provoke Jelly to take the nickname I'm using back from me, even though he's already said that the nickname is mine permanently?

You might infer what my position is on this matter if you became (or are) aware that I have been a recent victim of exactly what Spoonny is attacking in this thread.

You are assuming Jelly would take that away when he in fact told you he would not?

This whole issue is driven by one less than honorable person. That is Spoony. He has lied over and over about what people are stating as opinions and he just can't fathom the thought that people actually enjoy the game the way it was designed and rolled out to the Renegade community. No one is stopping Spoony from having a pure server. No one stopping Spoony from anything. He reminds me of those kids who want attention and choose to incite individuals because he lacks self esteem.

Roni

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 22:58:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roni wrote on Thu, 08 October 2009 23:17

For Tireless Rebutter there is no such thing as a trivial dispute. He regards all challenges as barbarians at the gates. His unflagging tenacity in making his points numbs and eventually wears down the opposition. Confident that his arguments are sound, Tireless Rebutter can't understand why he is universally loathed.
is there anyone that doesn't instantly think of Spoony when they see that?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hitman](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 23:03:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol u know you got told when u go out of your way to find an image like that trying to avoid an argument cause you have an IQ of 70 and are unable to get an actual argument up and running, but what else can be expected of lowlife's like roni LOL

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 01:10:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cunin wroteWell that was said even when pointsfix was used in NS, but years passed and the ladder is still rewarding point-whorers, so let us have some doubts about that rebalancing work. Also renegade isn't a deathmatch game, I don't think that a ladder for this game can be made, a ladder that takes account of what players are doing instead of how many points they earned.

Ladders can still be used for other modes, but for AOW I don't think that a really balanced ladder could ever be made.

I've been putting a great deal of thought into making the new ladder as good as it can be, we'll probably have some announcements about it fairly soon once we get a bit closer.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10Are you willing to admit you knowingly bring it on yourself?

I will assert that I will say what I think even if a few retards are gonna freak out over it. I don't think the word "admit" is appropriate there... it's not an admission, it's an assertion.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10You post, or visit, a place you aren't welcome for what ever reason. People there generally don't like you, or the way you conduct your opinion pieces. You know for a damn fact your opinion is going to start a flame war there. None of this constitutes fault on my part.

Imagine you play on a particular server and the regulars hate you for nothing more than the fact you always win, and let's assume you don't play unfairly in any way; you don't cheat, you abide by the rules and you don't talk shit to anybody. But everyone despises you because they can't stand losing and it's what always happens to them when you join.

Should you be criticised for this? Just because it freaks them out, does this put you at fault?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10As my example you chose to ignore, You walk into a Bar and try to tell them their lifestyles are wrong then wonder why you are on the verge of being barred from there.

Well, I don't think comparing the Jelly community to a gay bar is a particularly good analogy... a gay bar is probably friendlier.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10You put yourself at fault for putting yourself in the situation. If you need me to elaborate I will later.
see above re: a renegade server

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10I'm starting to feel like a broken record here. You Entered an establishment you are not welcome in. They haven't banned you so you are able to do so. However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them. Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear. This puts you at fault as you are the instigator.

Everyone else is always talking about the pointsfix. It's fairly rare I actually start the debate. I did start the recent thread about the ladder, but that's something that was totally new. As for talking about CW, clearshot started that - he wanted to make the ladder look bad somehow, so he made up a bunch of ridiculous lies about my history on clanwars.cc. I just caught him out.

Well, yes, I suppose if someone tries that kind of character assassination against you and you catch him red-handed, you probably will agitate him.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10I know nothing of this so I won't even bother getting involved.

You kinda already did; "However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them.

Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear."

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10If you don't want to see him lynched then why bring it up?

The explanation is in the post you just quoted. I just find it very telling their lack of anger towards msgtpain... as prulez said, you complain about what you care about.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10As I said they will flame you there apparently for saying Hi. What makes you think they will read past title=Spoony and give 2 shits what you say? My best advise to you is stay away from there. Thanks for the advice, I think I'll take it.

Roni wroteThe truth is that you don't have better judgment than Jelly or his community. He is still running a successful community that you or I are not. I am sure you will come up with some bs story about how the community didn't want pointfix so they sabotaged your CC league. Please stfu with your rants about being a failure as a leader.

Clanwars was doing OK when I left Renegade to look after a relative with cancer. If these priorities make me a "failure as a leader", I suppose I must accept that. But that's a low blow even by your standards, roni.

Roni wroteYou complain about having a pure server up on the top list but you fail to even persuade Crimson(who happens to have a top listed name) to do this and she is part of TT. You can't even get that server owner on your own committee to agree with your opinions. What a hopeless argument. N00bstories has been there since before I even bought the game.

Roni wroteHe has lied over and over about what people are stating as opinions Must you further dismantle your already-shaky credibility on this forum with your false accusations?

Roni wroteand he just can't fathome the thought that people actually enjoy the game the way it was designed and rolled out to the Renegade community. Um yes I can, that's why I support your right to play without pointsfix.

Roni wroteNo one is stopping Spoony from having a pure server. No one stopping Spoony from anything.

Except for that little episode when I wanted to use the pointsfix in the clanwars league, and a small group of cheaters actively sabotaged it... and you supported them over me. You can say you support a community's choice of pointsfix or not, but you've already proven you don't.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:You can tell a sentence is gonna be bullshit when this is how it starts.

Or, i could have just been tired at 4am and that is why the response didnt match the quote. Again you have invented a fiction in your head just to make me look stupid and posted that instead of responding to what i actually wrote.

So once again it's my fault your posts don't make sense? Jeez.

PapaElbo wroteWhy should i have to go back and pull specific quotes out? I dont keep copies of

everything or links to specific posts. If anyone is even still bothered with reading this thread then they will know what i am referring to. I certainly shouldn't have to point it out to you Spooky. I didn't ask for links, just examples. Thankfully you're about to give them.

PapaElbo wroteRandom incidents - your clanwars baggage, msgtpain, your assertion that the jelly community are all bullies.

Seemingly unrelated issues - clanwars, TT patches, the pointsfix, pure servers, your new ladder vs the Jelly Community and Jelly's A00 nicks.
ok.

clanwars? this could mean one of two things.

1. clearshot (jelly moderator) lying to the community again and again and again to try to make me look bad because this is the only way he could think of to criticise the ladder.

^^ since he brought this up, i fail to see why i'm at fault here. all i did was prove that every single word he said was a lie.

2. what happened when i wanted to use the pointsfix in clanwars. this is not irrelevant at all, because i mention it in reply to people saying "we just want to use the pointsfix in our community spooky, why cant you accept that?" to which the answer is: i do accept it, it's fine with me, but when i wanted to use the pointsfix in MY community and a bunch of cheaters sabotaged it, you were on their side instead of mine. That's not irrelevant, that's an incredibly damning rebuttal to someone else's bullshit.

msgtpain is irrelevant. yes, indeed... most of jelly thinks the same. nobody really minded what happened there, compared to the outrage at my having differing opinions on the pointsfix and whatnot

new ladder? is that irrelevant? we're trying to revamp the official ladder and i'm just telling people my ideas. obviously a lot of people cared about the ladder, otherwise they wouldn't have gotten so angry at it not being the way they wanted. to them, it's not irrelevant.

PapaElbo wroteDrawing your own conclusions - see your original post for that one. It is not an objective assessment of the situation, it is a diatribe of false invention, one sided opinionation and conclusions based upon fiction stated as fact.

if that were the case, one would think you wouldn't have such a hard time refuting it.

PapaElbo wroteIf you want to do it right, then get someone who is independant, get them to post the problem (if there even is one) without prejudice or favor and then discuss it properly - preferably in private with the other server owners but certainly in public if that is the preference. ah, the jelly community tries to think of another way to avoid answering the criticism. "get someone else to say it."

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Besides, plenty of the Jelly community do this to me all the time, they've done it several times in this thread, and they usually get caught lying in the attempt. You don't seem to mind that.

So its an eye for an eye then Spooky? Nice responsible and mature attitude.
no, because i don't accept that i'm doing it.

you said: spoony does X.

i said: no i'm not, but the jelly community are.

you then say: HAH! SO YOU'RE DOING IT OUT OF REVENGE!

me (very slowly): no, i'm not doing it at all, but your friends are and you don't seem to mind.

PapaElbo wrotePlus a little invention again. How do you know what i mind or dont mind.

I only see one person you're currently furious at.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Oh, so you admit it's NOT justified then?

Oh, so you admit you DO jump to conclusions then?

Sidestepping the question yet again.

PapaElbo wroteLet me go through it again. I said you bring it on yourself becasue you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. You are intelligent enough to know what response you will receive whether it is on the pointsfix, about clanwar issues or just general chat or catching cheats. I didnt say it was justified. I also didnt say it was unjustified.

You provoke people to be extreme in their opinions (probably deliberately) becasue if they were not 100% absolutely sure, you would pick them apart. So, i believe, you reap what you sow. That is all.

So in a nutshell your argument is: people treat you like shit even though you don't do anything wrong, but this still means it's your fault because you still post there knowing what a bunch of twats they are, no matter how mature and civilised your posts are by comparison?

Well, I suppose that does make a wild flailing kind of sense (though you really need to go out of your way to see it), but one would think there are far better targets for criticism here?

See my response to kadoosh re: renegade server.

PapaElbo wroteIf you come to a community and start a thread about something you know will cause problems then you are deliberately asking for trouble and therefore, you are by definition a disruptive influence to that community.

So to take the most obvious example, would you rather I didn't tell them my plans for the ladder at all?

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Quote:Who is treating you with contempt and why is the level astonishing?

Are you blind?

No. Do you tend to over-exaggerate? Quite possibly! Also, please keep this greivance in perspective. When you say "the Jelly Community" you probably mean the handful of clanwars players who frequent the jelly servers. Not the ENTIRE community.

I see various people coming in here and trying to respond to your claims regarding the Jelly community. If you feel like you are being treated with contempt then i would refer you to the second part of the above quote. Why would this be astonishing considering the magnitude of what you are proposing to do to us?[/quote]

I wasn't talking about this forum, I was talking about the jelly forum. As for trying to excuse it by this current topic, perhaps you can then explain the fact that it's been happening for a year or more.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:No, I didn't say I would particularly enjoy a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain.

I said I'm rather surprised at the Jelly community's apparently total indifference to a moderator cheating while being a moderator, and continually lying to everyone to cover himself while doing so. But when they see someone with different opinions on the pointsfix, or someone daring to speak out about their control of the gamelistings, grab a stick and get in line, eh?

So, you are surprised at the lack of a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain? Nice mature and responsible attitude.

You turned that post - a very telling statement about the true priorities of the Jelly community - into an argument against me?

I almost feel like commending you on the extraordinary amount of logic you must've had to suppress to do that.

PapaElbo wroteYou cant compare a reaction to say a mod who was caught cheating to a mod who has just advocated the forced removal of our server's nicks for the benefit of this mods "other" community of which he is also a senior member.

So let's ignore all posts that were made after I started this topic and just focus on the ones before it. It's not like this thread turned the Jelly community from courteous towards me into frothing lunatics. The mouths have been foaming for some time.

PapaElbo wroteI became aware of you Spooky when i first came to Jelly and admired your level of commitment to the job and how you would calmly and objectively put your point across in each case. But, lately you have been losing it and in this thread particularly your calm objective attitude has gone completely out the window with the first post.

No, it hasn't. My method of argument has not changed in the slightest.

PapaElbo wroteAnd here you are, stabbing our community in the back
No? Just criticising the a00 monopoly.

PapaElbo wroteI just think if you had been more objective and had not made up your own conclusions in your original post, then we wouldnt be here 13 pages later talking more about you rather than your issues.

It was as objective as any other post of mine, including the ones you "admired". Since you now feel you're on the receiving end and this is the only thing that's changed, let's not have you telling me to be objective again, eh?

PapaElbo wroteQuote:That's not the impression I get.

Well, it is the impression i get. Its not really that hard to understand.

Roni can't be that bright, then.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Read what I said to Roni. He couldn't think of a response and was too humiliated to try... see if you can do better.I have no clue what you mean by this? Is it this?

It's what i said to Roni about what happened when I decided to use the pointsfix. it was sabotaged

by a group of cheaters through a campaign of lies, manipulation and rigged polls. Roni supported the saboteurs instead of the admin. He was not alone in this view; quite a few others did too.

People say they want each community to have a choice, pointsfix or no. But when people support the cheaters who subvert a community's decision, they instantly destroy their own credibility to say this.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Has it ever occurred to you that there isn't actually anything wrong with me disagreeing with you on something?

Under normal circumstances, there wouldn't be anything wrong with you disagreeing with me or anyone else for that matter.

Whoops. What a crippling blow to your earlier argument.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:I personally couldn't care less about how Jelly servers are run

Untrue. Jelly is supposedly irresponsible with their servers and their "monopoly" must be halted!! No?

No, because my arguments to support the position I expressed in this thread don't need to include Jelly servers being run poorly.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:or even how the forums were run (it really doesn't bother me that the community's full of bullies who treat people like shit when you've done nothing wrong to them).

Untrue. Gross exaggeration. One or two idiots does not constitute a community of bullies. I'm starting to think you have a huge blindspot. Go look at the Jelly forums.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:I only care about their strangehold on the game listings and their fanatical inability to even maturely debate the issue at all.

I think everyone has a fanatical inability to debate with you Spoony. Did i not try to maturely debate with you?

Since you used the word "try", I suppose I have to say yes. To the best of your abilities, I've no doubt.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:"I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes"

Untrue.

How so? Please enlighten me on what i care about.

No, I said it was untrue that I threatened to steal Jelly's server nicks for my own purposes. That's a sentence which contains almost as many errors as it does actual words, which is almost praiseworthy in its limited way.

PapaElbo wrote"and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums"

Not only untrue but grossly hypocritical.

How so? Please enlighten me on what i care about.

Once again, the thing I said was untrue was "the bad mouthing of my community", not "you care

about this".

PapaElbo wroteThe Jelly Community, in your view, holds too much "power".
Yes.

PapaElbo wroteThey have committed no crime to justify your criticism of this "power".
Read what you just said.

A crime is not necessary to justify criticism of power. It is legitimate to say "one single community shouldn't have this authority" even if they aren't abusing it.

PapaElbo wroteYou just think that this "power" would be better of in the hands of the group you represent. TT.
Yes, and the evidence supports it.

Once again... when TT is criticised about the pointsfix, we went to extraordinary lengths to accommodate different viewpoints, and eventually gave the community everything they wanted. When Jelly is criticised about their game listing monopoly, they say: fuck off, we don't have to explain ourselves to you.

This alone says a great deal about who's in a better position to handle this power.

PapaElbo wroteThe server nicks were fairly obtained through the established procedure of the time.
Frankly, I don't believe the established procedure of the time bore the Renegade game listings in mind.

PapaElbo wroteYou have a problem with a small number of the community - mostly clanwars or anti pointsfix people who you provoke specifically to create disruption within the community. That is neither responsible nor mature.
I don't provoke anybody specifically. I will certainly defend myself when I'm attacked, though, as I continually have been at Jelly for a year or so.

PapaElbo wroteYou also forgot:

3. you could be doing this because you want to promote your own style of gameplay, have your own servers with the pointsfix at the top of the listings.
 4. you could be doing this because you are pissed at Jelly or the community at large and want some kind of revenge.
 5. you could be doing this because you want TT to have a more visible role in the community considering the lack of updates over the last two years.
- That's right. I certainly could have mentioned these other false accusations the Jelly community made as an excuse for not facing the actual criticism.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:TT considers itself answerable to the community. We proved that with the pointsfix. Jelly has made no secret at all of the fact they DON'T consider themselves answerable to the community.

Well, maybe because Jelly are a member OF the community so with your logic, TT should be answerable to Jelly. No?

Um, we actually DID prove we are answerable to the wider community, including jelly. jelly's proven they don't consider themselves answerable to anybody. i don't think you're acknowledging this extremely important point.

PapaElbo wroteTT is an organisation in charge of XWIS, the TT patch and the community forums and probably a ton of other stuff i have no idea about. Since TT is a merger of several teams, projects and companies then sure, ill accept TT as the impartial community leader. The second they start up their own servers, then they become competitors and therefore no longer impartial. Ah, right, so impartiality is the issue. Therefore the names are better in the hands of the Jelly servers, who use as many as they like and give them out based on their own personal preferences.

Sigh...

[quote title=PapaElbo wrote]Quote:Precisely because we're better than the Jelly community.

Opinion is not fact and "better" is a subjective term. Better how?

see above re: far better track record in terms of accountability, approachability, maturity, unbiased...

PapaElbo wroteOh, this arrogance is really annoying me. You claimed responsibility over something that required none for your own personal gain.

If this is your honest viewpoint, go back to the very start of this thread and start again.

PapaElbo wroteYou stired up tensions in the Jelly Community right before coming here, possibly deliberately.

Is this another case of "you post there where you know the community's full of twats who'll flame you even though you do nothing wrong, which in fact means you're doing something wrong by saying anything at all no matter how civilised"?

PapaElbo wroteYou have proven nothing except how we can expect TT to deal with the community in future.

Indeed.

After it's been made perfectly apparent how utterly unqualified Jelly is to handle this power, and how much better TT would be, we still probably aren't going to act upon it even though we seem to have the power to do so.

For the second time in a row, I'm the "better man". And yes, you can continue to expect this level of reasonableness and maturity from me in the future, no matter how contemptibly your community treats me.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 01:15:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 17:58is there anyone that doesn't instantly think of Spoonly when they see that?
Hmmm.

For Tireless Rebutter there is no such thing as a trivial dispute.
There certainly are very trivial disputes.

He regards all challenges as barbarians at the gates.
If I was to choose a metaphor, I think I'd probably go with wasps.

His unflagging tenacity in making his points numbs and eventually wears down the opposition.
Understatement. The word "infuriates" ought to be in there too.

Confident that his arguments are sound
This applies to most people, but when people lose an argument they say it about the winner as if it's an insult.

Tireless Rebutter can't understand why he is universally loathed.
if you're talking about Jelly, I can certainly understand that. If you remember, we had a little chat about it a while ago when I was playing anonymously. You agreed with what I said.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 02:02:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ugh, god sakes.

Oh well, maybe i could "try" to respond to all that now with my limited skills and poor logic. But, i think i will save myself a headache and just go to bed.

Maybe i will come back tomorrow to point out all the obvious flaws with Spoonly's retort. Or, i might just accept as others have that trying to reason with him is ultimately futile.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 02:19:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoonly wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:15liquidv2 wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 17:58is there anyone that doesn't instantly think of Spoonly when they see that?
Hmmm.

For Tireless Rebutter there is no such thing as a trivial dispute.
There certainly are very trivial disputes.

He regards all challenges as barbarians at the gates.

If I was to choose a metaphor, I think I'd probably go with wasps.

His unflagging tenacity in making his points numbs and eventually wears down the opposition. Understatement. The word "infuriates" ought to be in there too.

Confident that his arguments are sound

This applies to most people, but when people lose an argument they say it about the winner as if it's an insult.

Tireless Rebutter can't understand why he is universally loathed.

if you're talking about Jelly, I can certainly understand that. If you remember, we had a little chat about it a while ago when I was playing anonymously. You agreed with what I said.

lol, awesome.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [masterkna](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 02:37:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you claim you aren't attacking the community.. yet you call all of us without discrimination a bunch of twats that are foaming at the mouth.. k, i think im done with this place

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 02:38:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:10

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10 You post, or visit, a place you aren't welcome for what ever reason. People there generally don't like you, or the way you conduct your opinion pieces. You know for a damn fact your opinion is going to start a flame war there.

None of this constitutes fault on my part.

Imagine you play on a particular server and the regulars hate you for nothing more than the fact you always win, and let's assume you don't play unfairly in any way; you don't cheat, you abide by the rules and you don't talk shit to anybody. But everyone despises you because they can't stand losing and it's what always happens to them when you join.

Should you be criticised for this? Just because it freaks them out, does this put you at fault?

If the regulars hated me for what ever reason I would stay away from there. I'm not a drama hound. I don't go play places to see how many people I can piss off. If I know damn good and well that they hate me, and I join their server anyway and someone starts giving me shit, then Yes it IS my fault. I had the opportunity to avoid the situation and I did not. Skill level or not if you are Knowingly going into somewhere that you know you are going to be involved in some shit then yes you should stay away. If you go in then you are just as much at fault as the people giving you shit.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10As my example you chose to ignore, You walk into a Bar and try to tell them their lifestyles are wrong then wonder why you are on the verge of being barred from there.

Well, I don't think comparing the Jelly community to a gay bar is a particularly good analogy... a gay bar is probably friendlier.

While that seems kind of funny It is a relevant comparison. You go into the Jelly forums and basically tell them the way they play via the point system is wrong and the point fix is right. This is you telling them that their choices are wrong. This is instigating something. You know they don't like it and you do it anyway. You bring it on yourself. Is it justified? Hell I don't know I don't visit Jelly's forums to see what they say in response, BUT if you continue to do it knowing they are going to react that way then yes you are at fault.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10You put yourself at fault for putting yourself in the situation. If you need me to elaborate I will later.

see above re: a renegade server

Answered above re:re: a renegade server

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10I'm starting to feel like a broken record here. You Entered an establishment you are not welcome in. They haven't banned you so you are able to do so. However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them. Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear. This puts you at fault as you are the instigator.

Everyone else is always talking about the pointsfix. It's fairly rare I actually start the debate. I did start the recent thread about the ladder, but that's something that was totally new. As for talking about CW, clearshot started that - he wanted to make the ladder look bad somehow, so he made up a bunch of ridiculous lies about my history on clanwars.cc. I just caught him out.

Well, yes, I suppose if someone tries that kind of character assassination against you and you catch him red-handed, you probably will agitate him.

I never said anything specific about CW.cc. I just keep seeing people saying you talk about the points fix and CW.cc there. I don't know any of the situations you just said. I'm not getting involved in them.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10I know nothing of this so I won't even bother getting involved.

You kinda already did; "However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them. Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear."

Way to take a broad comment and try to make it look like I know what the fuck you are talking about when it comes to CW.cc or anything else you talk about on jelly. That was a very dishonest move on your part there and not appreciated.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10As I said they will flame you there apparently for saying Hi. What makes you think they will read past title=Spoony and give 2 shits what you say? My best advice to you is stay away from there.
Thanks for the advice, I think I'll take it.

I hope for your mental sanity you do take it. It seems as though it's putting you under some unneeded stress.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 03:02:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38If the regulars hated me for whatever reason I would stay away from there. I'm not a drama hound. I don't go play places to see how many people I can piss off. If I know damn good and well that they hate me, and I join their server anyway and someone starts giving me shit, then Yes it IS my fault. I had the opportunity to avoid the situation and I did not. Skill level or not if you are knowingly going into somewhere that you know you are going to be involved in some shit then yes you should stay away. If you go in then you are just as much at fault as the people giving you shit.
"just as much at fault"?

Just as much? Really?

If you think: let these idiots attack me relentlessly for no reason, I'll just play the game - i.e. if you refuse to be bullied into staying away - that makes you "just as much at fault"? You really, really think this?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38While that seems kind of funny it is a relevant comparison. You go into the Jelly forums and basically tell them the way they play via the point system is wrong and the point fix is right. This is you telling them that their choices are wrong. This is instigating something.
At this point I would like you to link us to a specific example. (Nobody else do it for him, please.)

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38You know they don't like it and you do it anyway. You bring it on yourself. Is it justified? Hell I don't know I don't visit Jelly's forums to see what they say in response, BUT if you continue to do it knowing they are going to react that way then yes you are at fault.
I don't accept your logic. If I think something ought to be said I'll say it. I won't be bullied into silence. Perhaps you are more easily cowed. And I absolutely don't accept that the person who rises above the retards and simply expresses his opinion even though he'll get flamed for it is "just as much at fault" as the idiots who are flaming him for nothing worse than a disagreement of opinion. It seems incredibly stupid, and not only that, I appear to be the only person you're actually criticising, so perhaps you don't actually think the faults are equal?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38I never said anything specific about CW.cc. I just keep seeing people saying you talk about the points fix and CW.cc there. I don't know any of the

situations you just said.

No, evidently you don't, since you said I was the instigator.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38Way to take a broad comment and try to make it look like I know what the fuck you are talking about when it comes to CW.cc or anything else you talk about on jelly. That was a very dishonest move on your part there and not appreciated. Er... you're saying it's dishonest of me to assume you knew what you were talking about?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38I hope for your mental sanity you do take it. It seems as though it's putting you under some unneeded stress.
On the contrary. Being the better man, and seeing one's opposition prove it for you more and more with every post, is not stressful at all.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 03:24:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 20:10Cunin wroteWell that was said even when pointsfix was used in NS, but years passed and the ladder is still rewarding point-whorers, so let us have some doubts about that rebalancing work.

Also renegade isn't a deathmatch game, I don't think that a ladder for this game can be made, a ladder that takes account of what players are doing instead of how many points they earned. Ladders can still be used for other modes, but for AOW I don't think that a really balanced ladder could ever be made.

I've been putting a great deal of thought into making the new ladder as good as it can be, we'll probably have some announcements about it fairly soon once we get a bit closer.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10Are you willing to admit you knowingly bring it on yourself?

I will assert that I will say what I think even if a few retards are gonna freak out over it. I don't think the word "admit" is appropriate there... it's not an admission, it's an assertion.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10You post, or visit, a place you aren't welcome for what ever reason. People there generally don't like you, or the way you conduct your opinion pieces. You know for a damn fact your opinion is going to start a flame war there. None of this constitutes fault on my part.

Imagine you play on a particular server and the regulars hate you for nothing more than the fact you always win, and let's assume you don't play unfairly in any way; you don't cheat, you abide by the rules and you don't talk shit to anybody. But everyone despises you because they can't stand losing and it's what always happens to them when you join.

Should you be criticised for this? Just because it freaks them out, does this put you at fault?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10As my example you chose to ignore, You walk into a Bar and try to tell them their lifestyles are wrong then wonder why you are on the verge of being barred from there.

Well, I don't think comparing the Jelly community to a gay bar is a particularly good analogy... a gay bar is probably friendlier.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10 You put yourself at fault for putting yourself in the situation. If you need me to elaborate I will later.
see above re: a renegade server

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10 I'm starting to feel like a broken record here. You Entered an establishment you are not welcome in. They haven't banned you so you are able to do so. However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them. Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear. This puts you at fault as you are the instigator.

Everyone else is always talking about the pointsfix. It's fairly rare I actually start the debate. I did start the recent thread about the ladder, but that's something that was totally new. As for talking about CW, clearshot started that - he wanted to make the ladder look bad somehow, so he made up a bunch of ridiculous lies about my history on clanwars.cc. I just caught him out.

Well, yes, I suppose if someone tries that kind of character assassination against you and you catch him red-handed, you probably will agitate him.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10 I know nothing of this so I won't even bother getting involved.

You kinda already did; "However you continuously post things that you know will agitate them. Pointsfix, something about CW.cc here or there that you know they don't care about or want to hear."

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10 If you don't want to see him lynched then why bring it up?

The explanation is in the post you just quoted. I just find it very telling their lack of anger towards msgtpain... as prulez said, you complain about what you care about.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 12:10 As I said they will flame you there apparently for saying Hi. What makes you think the will read past title=Spoony and give 2 shits what you say? My best advise to you is stay away from there.

Thanks for the advice, I think I'll take it.

Roni wrote The truth is that you don't have better judgment than Jelly or his community. He is still running a successful community that you or I are not. I am sure you will come up with some bs story about how the community didn't want pointfix so they sabotaged your CC league. Please stfu with your rants about being a failure as a leader.

Clanwars was doing OK when I left Renegade to look after a relative with cancer. If these priorities make me a "failure as a leader", I suppose I must accept that. But that's a low blow even by your standards, roni.

Roni wrote You complain about having a pure server up on the top list but you fail to even persuade Crimson (who happens to have a top listed name) to do this and she is part of TT. You can't even get that server owner on your own committee to agree with your opinions.

What a hopeless argument. N00bstories has been there since before I even bought the game.

Roni wroteHe has lied over and over about what people are stating as opinions
Must you further dismantle your already-shaky credibility on this forum with your false accusations?

Roni wroteand he just can't fathome the thought that people actually enjoy the game the way it was designed and rolled out to the Renegade community.
Um yes I can, that's why I support your right to play without pointsfix.

Roni wroteNo one is stopping Spoony from having a pure server. No one stopping Spoony from anything.

Except for that little episode when I wanted to use the pointsfix in the clanwars league, and a small group of cheaters actively sabotaged it... and you supported them over me. You can say you support a community's choice of pointsfix or not, but you've already proven you don't.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:You can tell a sentence is gonna be bullshit when this is how it starts.

Or, i could have just been tired at 4am and that is why the response didnt match the quote. Again you have invented a fiction in your head just to make me look stupid and posted that instead of responding to what i actually wrote.

So once again it's my fault your posts don't make sense? Jeez.

PapaElbo wroteWhy should i have to go back and pull specific quotes out? I dont keep copies of everthing or links to specific posts. If anyone is even still bothered with reading this thread then they will know what i am referring to. I certainly shouldnt have to point it out to you Spoony. I didn't ask for links, just examples. Thankfully you're about to give them.

PapaElbo wroteRandom incidents - your clanwars baggage, mgstpain, your assertion that the jelly community are all bullies.

Seemingly unrelated issues - clanwars, TT patches, the pointsfix, pure servers, your new ladder vs the Jelly Community and Jelly's A00 nicks.
ok.

clanwars? this could mean one of two things.

1. clearshot (jelly moderator) lying to the community again and again and again to try to make me look bad because this is the only way he could think of to criticise the ladder.

^^ since he brought this up, i fail to see why i'm at fault here. all i did was prove that every single word he said was a lie.

2. what happened when i wanted to use the pointsfix in clanwars. this is not irrelevant at all, because i mention it in reply to people saying "we just want to use the pointsfix in our community spoony, why cant you accept that?" to which the answer is: i do accept it, it's fine with me, but when i wanted to use the pointsfix in MY community and a bunch of cheaters sabotaged it, you were on their side instead of mine. That's not irrelevant, that's an incredibly damning rebuttal to someone else's bullshit.

msgtpain is irrelevant. yes, indeed... most of jelly thinks the same. nobody really minded what happened there, compared to the outrage at my having differing opinions on the pointsfix and

whatnot

new ladder? is that irrelevant? we're trying to revamp the official ladder and i'm just telling people my ideas. obviously a lot of people cared about the ladder, otherwise they wouldn't have gotten so angry at it not being the way they wanted. to them, it's not irrelevant.

PapaElbo wroteDrawing your own conclusions - see your original post for that one. It is not an objective assessment of the situation, it is a diatribe of false invention, one sided opinionation and conclusions based upon fiction stated as fact.

if that were the case, one would think you wouldn't have such a hard time refuting it.

PapaElbo wroteIf you want to do it right, then get someone who is independant, get them to post the problem (if there even is one) without prejudice or favor and then discuss it properly - preferably in private with the other server owners but certainly in public if that is the preference. ah, the jelly community tries to think of another way to avoid answering the criticism. "get someone else to say it."

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Besides, plenty of the Jelly community do this to me all the time, they've done it several times in this thread, and they usually get caught lying in the attempt. You don't seem to mind that.

So its an eye for an eye then Spoony? Nice responsible and mature attitude.
no, because i don't accept that i'm doing it.

you said: spoony does X.

i said: no i'm not, but the jelly community are.

you then say: HAH! SO YOU'RE DOING IT OUT OF REVENGE!

me (very slowly): no, i'm not doing it at all, but your friends are and you don't seem to mind.

PapaElbo wrotePlus a little invention again. How do you know what i mind or dont mind.
I only see one person you're currently furious at.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Oh, so you admit it's NOT justified then?

Oh, so you admit you DO jump to conclusions then?

Sidestepping the question yet again.

PapaElbo wroteLet me go through it again. I said you bring it on yourself becasue you know the attitudes of most people at Jelly. You are intelligent enough to know what response you will receive whether it is on the pointsfix, about clanwar issues or just general chat or catching cheats. I didnt say it was justified. I also didnt say it was unjustified.

You provoke people to be extreme in their opinions (probably deliberately) becasue if they were not 100% absolutely sure, you would pick them apart. So, i believe, you reap what you sow. That is all.

So in a nutshell your argument is: people treat you like shit even though you don't do anything wrong, but this still means it's your fault because you still post there knowing what a bunch of twats they are, no matter how mature and civilised your posts are by comparison?

Well, I suppose that does make a wild flailing kind of sense (though you really need to go out of your way to see it), but one would think there are far better targets for criticism here?

See my response to kadoosh re: renegade server.

PapaElbo wroteIf you come to a community and start a thread about something you know will cause problems then you are deliberately asking for trouble and therefore, you are by definition a disruptive influence to that community.

So to take the most obvious example, would you rather I didn't tell them my plans for the ladder at all?

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Quote:Who is treating you with contempt and why is the level astonishing?

Are you blind?

No. Do you tend to over-exaggerate? Quite possibly! Also, please keep this greivance in perspective. When you say "the Jelly Community" you probably mean the handful of clanwars players who frequent the jelly servers. Not the ENTIRE community.

I see various people coming in here and trying to respond to your claims regarding the Jelly community. If you feel like you are being treated with contempt then i would refer you to the second part of the above quote. Why would this be astonishing considering the magnitude of what you are proposing to do to us?

I wasn't talking about this forum, I was talking about the jelly forum. As for trying to excuse it by this current topic, perhaps you can then explain the fact that it's been happening for a year or more.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:No, I didn't say I would particularly enjoy a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain.

I said I'm rather surprised at the Jelly community's apparently total indifference to a moderator cheating while being a moderator, and continually lying to everyone to cover himself while doing so. But when they see someone with different opinions on the pointsfix, or someone daring to speak out about their control of the gamelistings, grab a stick and get in line, eh?

So, you are surprised at the lack of a lynch-mob aimed at msgtpain? Nice mature and responsible attitude.

You turned that post - a very telling statement about the true priorities of the Jelly community - into an argument against me?

I almost feel like commending you on the extraordinary amount of logic you must've had to suppress to do that.

PapaElbo wroteYou cant compare a reaction to say a mod who was caught cheating to a mod who has just advocated the forced removal of our server's nicks for the benefit of this mods "other" community of which he is also a senior member.

So let's ignore all posts that were made after I started this topic and just focus on the ones before it. It's not like this thread turned the Jelly community from courteous towards me into frothing lunatics. The mouths have been foaming for some time.

PapaElbo wroteI became aware of you Spoon when i first came to Jelly and admired your level of commitment to the job and how you would calmly and objectively put your point across in each case. But, lately you have been losing it and in this thread particularly your calm objective attitude has gone completely out the window with the first post.

No, it hasn't. My method of argument has not changed in the slightest.

PapaElbo wroteAnd here you are, stabbing our community in the back
No? Just criticising the a00 monopoly.

PapaElbo wroteI just think if you had been more objective and had not made up your own conclusions in your original post, then we wouldn't be here 13 pages later talking more about you rather than your issues.

It was as objective as any other post of mine, including the ones you "admired". Since you now feel you're on the receiving end and this is the only thing that's changed, let's not have you telling me to be objective again, eh?

PapaElbo wroteQuote:That's not the impression I get.
Well, it is the impression i get. It's not really that hard to understand.
Roni can't be that bright, then.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Read what I said to Roni. He couldn't think of a response and was too humiliated to try... see if you can do better. I have no clue what you mean by this? Is it this?
It's what i said to Roni about what happened when I decided to use the pointsfix. it was sabotaged by a group of cheaters through a campaign of lies, manipulation and rigged polls. Roni supported the saboteurs instead of the admin. He was not alone in this view; quite a few others did too.

People say they want each community to have a choice, pointsfix or no. But when people support the cheaters who subvert a community's decision, they instantly destroy their own credibility to say this.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Has it ever occurred to you that there isn't actually anything wrong with me disagreeing with you on something?

Under normal circumstances, there wouldn't be anything wrong with you disagreeing with me or anyone else for that matter.

Whoops. What a crippling blow to your earlier argument.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:I personally couldn't care less about how Jelly servers are run

Untrue. Jelly is supposedly irresponsible with their servers and their "monopoly" must be halted!!
No?

No, because my arguments to support the position I expressed in this thread don't need to include Jelly servers being run poorly.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:or even how the forums were run (it really doesn't bother me that the community's full of bullies who treat people like shit when you've done nothing wrong to them).

Untrue. Gross exaggeration. One or two idiots does not constitute a community of bullies.
I'm starting to think you have a huge blindspot. Go look at the Jelly forums.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:I only care about their strangehold on the game listings and their fanatical inability to even maturely debate the issue at all.

I think everyone has a fanatical inability to debate with you Spoony. Did i not try to maturely debate with you?

Since you used the word "try", I suppose I have to say yes. To the best of your abilities, I've no doubt.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:"I only care about your threats to steal Jelly's server nicks for your own purposes"
Untrue.

How so? Please enlighten me on what i care about.

No, I said it was untrue that I threatened to steal Jelly's server nicks for my own purposes. That's a sentence which contains almost as many errors as it does actual words, which is almost praiseworthy in its limited way.

PapaElbo wrote"and the bad mouthing of my community on these forums"
Not only untrue but grossly hypocritical.

How so? Please enlighten me on what i care about.

Once again, the thing I said was untrue was "the bad mouthing of my community", not "you care about this".

PapaElbo wroteThe Jelly Community, in your view, holds too much "power".
Yes.

PapaElbo wroteThey have committed no crime to justify your criticism of this "power".
Read what you just said.

A crime is not necessary to justify criticism of power. It is legitimate to say "one single community shouldn't have this authority" even if they aren't abusing it.

PapaElbo wroteYou just think that this "power" would be better of in the hands of the group you represent. TT.
Yes, and the evidence supports it.

Once again... when TT is criticised about the pointsfix, we went to extraordinary lengths to accommodate different viewpoints, and eventually gave the community everything they wanted. When Jelly is criticised about their game listing monopoly, they say: fuck off, we don't have to explain ourselves to you.

This alone says a great deal about who's in a better position to handle this power.

PapaElbo wroteThe server nicks were farily obtained through the established procedure of the time.

Frankly, I don't believe the established procedure of the time bore the Renegade game listings in

mind.

PapaElbo wroteYou have a problem with a small number of the community - mostly clanwars or anti pointsfix people who you provoke specifically to create disruption within the community. That is neither responsible nor mature.

I don't provoke anybody specifically. I will certainly defend myself when I'm attacked, though, as I continually have been at Jelly for a year or so.

PapaElbo wroteYou also forgot:

3. you could be doing this because you want to promote your own style of gameplay, have your own servers with the pointsfix at the top of the listings.

4. you could be doing this because you are pissed at Jelly or the community at large and want some kind of revenge.

5. you could be doing this because you want TT to have a more visible role in the community considering the lack of updates over the last two years.

That's right. I certainly could have mentioned these other false accusations the Jelly community made as an excuse for not facing the actual criticism.

PapaElbo wroteQuote:TT considers itself answerable to the community. We proved that with the pointsfix. Jelly has made no secret at all of the fact they DON'T consider themselves answerable to the community.

Well, maybe because Jelly are a member OF the community so with your logic, TT should be answerable to Jelly. No?

Um, we actually DID prove we are answerable to the wider community, including jelly. jelly's proven they don't consider themselves answerable to anybody. i don't think you're acknowledging this extremely important point.

PapaElbo wroteTT is an organisation in charge of XWIS, the TT patch and the community forums and probably a ton of other stuff i have no idea about. Since TT is a merger of several teams, projects and companies then sure, ill accept TT as the impartial community leader. The second they start up their own servers, then they become competitors and therefore no longer impartial. Ah, right, so impartiality is the issue. Therefore the names are better in the hands of the Jelly servers, who use as many as they like and give them out based on their own personal preferences.

Sigh...

PapaElbo wroteQuote:Precisely because we're better than the Jelly community.

Opinion is not fact and "better" is a subjective term. Better how?

see above re: far better track record in terms of accountability, approachability, maturity, unbiased...

PapaElbo wroteOh, this arrogance is really annoying me. You claimed responsibility over something that required none for your own personal gain.

If this is your honest viewpoint, go back to the very start of this thread and start again.

PapaElbo wrote You stired up tensions in the Jelly Community right before coming here, possibly deliberately.

Is this another case of "you post there where you know the community's full of twats who'll flame you even though you do nothing wrong, which in fact means you're doing something wrong by saying anything at all no matter how civilised"?

PapaElbo wrote You have proven nothing except how we can expect TT to deal with the community in future.

Indeed.

After it's been made perfectly apparent how utterly unqualified Jelly is to handle this power, and how much better TT would be, we still probably aren't going to act upon it even though we seem to have the power to do so.

For the second time in a row, I'm the "better man". And yes, you can continue to expect this level of reasonableness and maturity from me in the future, no matter how contemptibly your community treats me.

i find it hard to believe that the only people in the entire world that dislike spoony are people from the jelly community

in fact i don't believe it

and another thing i don't believe is that people only dislike spoony because he likes the pointmod

i believe that had every single post speaking out against spoony on the jelly forums been deleted that he would have been displeased, and probably would have tried harder to get a reaction out of people, because that's how he does things

then he posts and posts and just keeps posting until the average person cannot post anymore, but spoony has proven he is not the average person

take a look at the response he typed up in about 5 minutes above and tell me the picture below does not describe him one hundred percent

File Attachments

1) [Debunked.PNG](#), downloaded 612 times



Flame
WARRIOR
by MIKE

Flame Warrior Roster

[NEXT WARRIOR](#)
[PREVIOUS](#)

For **Tireless Rebutter** there is no dispute. He regards all challenges. His unflagging tenacity and eventually wears down to his arguments are sound, Tireless understand why he is universal.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 03:33:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:02kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38If the regulars hated me for what ever reason I would stay away from there. I'm not a drama hound. I don't go play places to see how many people I can piss off. If I know damn good and well that they hate me, and I join their server anyway and someone starts giving me shit, then Yes it IS my fault. I had the opportunity to avoid the situation and I did not. Skill level or not if you are Knowingly going into somewhere that you know you are going to be involved in some shit then yes you should stay away. If you go in then you are just as much at fault as the people giving you shit.

"just as much at fault"?

Just as much? Really?

If you think: let these idiots attack me relentlessly for no reason, I'll just play the game - i.e. if you refuse to be bullied into staying away - that makes you "just as much at fault"? You really, really think this?

would you be flamed if you didn't go to a place you know they hated you?

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38 While that seems kind of funny It is a relevant comparison. You go into the Jelly forums and basically tell them the way they play via the point system is wrong and the point fix is right. This is you telling them that their choices are wrong. This is instigating something.

At this point I would like you to link us to a specific example. (Nobody else do it for him, please.) you want me to go register at jelly so I can find you links? Do you post things on the points fix there? Do these things state that playing without the points fix is wrong? It's quite simple as I've see the threads in n00bstories from you debating I can only assume they are somewhat close, although probably more heated. To answer your request No I will not go register at Jelly so I can browse through topics I don't care about.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38 You know they don't like it and you do it anyway. You bring it on yourself. Is it justified? Hell I don't know I don't visit Jelly's forums to see what they say in response, BUT if you continue to do it knowing they are going to react that way then yes you are at fault.

I don't accept your logic. If I think something ought to be said I'll say it. I won't be bullied into silence. Perhaps you are more easily cowed. And I absolutely don't accept that the person who rises above the retards and simply expresses his opinion even though he'll get flamed for it is "just as much at fault" as the idiots who are flaming him for nothing worse than a disagreement of opinion. It seems incredibly stupid, and not only that, I appear to be the only person you're actually criticising, so perhaps you don't actually think the faults are equal?

If they are giving you shit then yes I would criticize them. I don't know what they are saying as I have stated in what you just quoted there, "I DON'T GO TO JELLY". If they are giving you shit then yeah it's probably not deserved. But it is happening and you have the power to make it stop. If you continue to go there then yes you are just as much at fault for putting yourself in the position.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38 I never said anything specific about CW.cc. I just keep seeing people saying you talk about the points fix and CW.cc there. I don't know any of the situations you just said.

No, evidently you don't, since you said I was the instigator.

Rinse repeat. You want to do this again? If you post in a topic involving anything they will flame you. If you post about the pointsfix they will flame you. If you post about CW.cc they will flame you. If you post about cats fucking on your fire escape they will flame you. Get it. I'm not addressing anything specific. You know damn well if you post a flame is coming. As soon as you post it, you instigated it on yourself. If you can't see that then get help.

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38 Way to take a broad comment and try to make it look like I know what the fuck you are talking about when it comes to CW.cc or anything else you talk about on jelly. That was a very dishonest move on your part there and not appreciated. Er... you're saying it's dishonest of me to assume you knew what you were talking about? Even after saying I don't know anything about CW.cc You assume I know what's going on with

CW.cc.... nice logic there

Quote:

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38I hope for your mental sanity you do take it. It seems as though it's putting you under some unneeded stress.

On the contrary. Being the better man, and seeing one's opposition prove it for you more and more with every post, is not stressful at all.

Do what ever you want I'm done with you. It's pointless to try to logically have a discussion with someone who is only trying to play the victim.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:07:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrotei find it hard to believe that the only people in the entire world that dislike spoony are people from the jelly community

in fact i don't believe it

I didn't say that was the case, simply pointed out that the jelly community is obviously what we're talking about here.

liquidv2 wroteand another thing i don't believe is that people only dislike spoony because he likes the pointmod

I don't believe that either; bitterness at losing arguments is certainly a big factor too, jealousy of eloquence, etc. If you notice, quite a few people who keep saying LOL ESSAY as if that's an insult often try using the same debate techniques as me when they think they can.

liquidv2 wrotei believe that had every single post speaking out against spoony on the jelly forums been deleted that he would have been displeased

I certainly would have been displeased, if only because if you have something to say to me or about me I think you should be free to just so long as I'm allowed an equal platform to respond.

liquidv2 wroteand probably would have tried harder to get a reaction out of people, because that's how he does things

Tried harder? Excuse me, but I can "get a reaction" out of the Jelly community simply by saying "actually no I don't cheat" in response to two moderators publicly and seriously claiming that I did (or two and a quarter if you count joetorp as well). It is not necessary to try to get a reaction from your community and I actually don't think I ever have.

liquidv2 wrotethen he posts and posts and just keeps posting until the average person cannot post anymore, but spoony has proven he is not the average person

I actually don't respond at all to many of the flames against me on jelly... is that consistent with your theory?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:02"just as much at fault"?

Just as much? Really?

If you think: let these idiots attack me relentlessly for no reason, I'll just play the game - i.e. if you refuse to be bullied into staying away - that makes you "just as much at fault"? You really, really think this?

would you be flamed if you didn't go to a place you know they hated you?

That's not what I asked. It seems to me an extremely odd thing to say. "If you refuse to be bullied into silence, if you speak your mind in spite of the idiots who will flame you no matter what you say, you're as bad as they are"... or is that an unfair assessment?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33 you want me to go register at jelly so I can find you links? Do you post things on the points fix there? Do these things state that playing without the points fix is wrong? It's quite simple as I've seen the threads in n00bstories from you debating I can only assume they are somewhat close, although probably more heated. To answer your request No I will not go register at Jelly so I can browse through topics I don't care about. After all your previous assertions you now admit you've never even seen any of these threads on jelly at all? Oh dear. It is true that someone might have guessed that, of course.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33 If they are giving you shit then yes I would criticize them. I don't know what they are saying as I have stated in what you just quoted there, "I DON'T GO TO JELLY". If they are giving you shit then yeah it's probably not deserved. But it is happening and you have the power to make it stop. If you continue to go there then yes you are just as much at fault for putting yourself in the position.

Ah, I believe that answers my question; you do think the two positions are equal. The person who tries to bully someone into silence despite them doing nothing wrong, and the person who refuses to be cowed into submission by it. No moral distinction between the two, in your mind.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33 Quote:kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38 Way to take a broad comment and try to make it look like I know what the fuck you are talking about when it comes to CW.cc or anything else you talk about on jelly. That was a very dishonest move on your part there and not appreciated.

Er... you're saying it's dishonest of me to assume you knew what you were talking about?

Even after saying I don't know anything about CW.cc You assume I know what's going on with CW.cc.... nice logic there

Do you think you're ahead at this point?

you say... you're the instigator because blahblahblah and you give clanwars.cc as an example. i point out that actually no, i was defending myself against a huge list of made-up-on-the-spot false accusations by a jelly moderator who simply wanted to make the ladder look bad. and you say: "it's dishonest of you to assume i knew what the fuck i was talking about"

dishonest? hardly... perhaps overly generous.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33 Do what ever you want I'm done with you. It's pointless to try to logically have a discussion with someone who is only trying to play the victim. sorry, were you trying to use logic? i'm finding it rather difficult to tell. were you trying to be logical when you said the person who tries to bully someone into submission is morally equal to the person who won't be cowed by it? were you trying to be logical when you said it was dishonest of me to assume that you actually knew what you were talking about?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:26:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 20:24i find it hard to believe that the only people in the entire world that dislike spoony are people from the jelly community
in fact i don't believe it
and another thing i don't believe is that people only dislike spoony because he likes the pointmod

i believe that had every single post speaking out against spoony on the jelly forums been deleted that he would have been displeased, and probably would have tried harder to get a reaction out of people, because that's how he does things


then he posts and posts and just keeps posting until the average person cannot post anymore, but spoony has proven he is not the average person

take a look at the response he typed up in about 5 minutes above and tell me the picture below does not describe him one hundred percent

It doesn't describe him. I don't mind him. I find his logical, direct style refreshing and easy to follow. Therefore he isn't universally loathed, and your image is wrong.

File Attachments

1) [Incorrect.jpg](#), downloaded 763 times



Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:34:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can understand everything, but multi-quote-replying to a lolpic... XD

Oh and I can't see where liquid said he's universally loathed, he just pointed out how many more people may hate him, which can't be wrong because there may always be other people hating someone, other than the ones that we know about.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:48:46 GMT

Everyone flaming spoony needs to quit right NOW! The man has done nothing but express his opinions on the A000 names and has backed it up with flawless debate. How can any of you NUBS not agree with Spoony after he has clearly proven EVERYONE to be incorrect. Clearly 95% of you idiots have some sort of mental disorder since you can't understand spoonys easy to read but rather lengthy posts. Spoony you're a man among men, you keep arguing and debating your point even when the vast majority disagrees with you. I'm thankful you don't fold under opposition from every direction where ever you go! It takes a special person to fight a battle alone and not care what anyone thinks of you. God Bless you sir!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Dover](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 06:07:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cunin wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:34 Oh and I can't see where liquid said he's universally loathed

Did you read the image?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Roni](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 06:41:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 00:48 Everyone flaming spoony needs to quit right NOW! The man has done nothing but express his opinions on the A000 names and has backed it up with flawless debate. How can any of you NUBS not agree with Spoony after he has clearly proven EVERYONE to be incorrect. Clearly 95% of you idiots have some sort of mental disorder since you can't understand spoonys easy to read but rather lengthy posts. Spoony you're a man among men, you keep arguing and debating your point even when the vast majority disagrees with you. I'm thankful you don't fold under opposition from every direction where ever you go! It takes a special person to fight a battle alone and not care what anyone thinks of you. God Bless you sir!

lol.. This is truly classic...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 13:00:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think that image describes spoony 100%.

If at first people don't agree with your opinions, then keep repeating them over and over until they eventually give in because spoony surely won't give up EVER.

I bet if someone kept quoting the same 1 line sentence in here and it was not something spoony agreed with he would continue to post multi quote essays repeating the same stuff to the same sentence.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 13:55:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Had a nice chat with Raven yesterday. He's a cool dude, tbh. Just to include a positive note in this topic .

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 14:09:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 15:55Had a nice chat with Raven yesterday. He's a cool dude, tbh. Just to include a positive note in this topic .
You can't have done...the Jelly community is evil...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 14:33:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i currently think the same about raven, the difference between him and rest of the input from the jelly community is striking.

grant89uk wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 08:00I think that image describes spoony 100%.

If at first people don't agree with your opinions, then keep repeating them over and over until they eventually give in because spoony surely won't give up EVER.
uh, there's not much evidence of that.

pointsfix debate? we went to great lengths to try to accommodate other opinions, although it eventually became obvious that nobody was capable of changing their minds so we just said: fine, keep the pointsbug in your server.

this one? again, nobody can actually refute what i said (instead they just try to think of reasons why they don't have to answer to anyone), and despite the fact we actually could take the a00 names away, i'm satisfied not to... in my mind it's enough for this thread to show the situation for what it is.

i suppose you could say my reaction to both of these situations is "giving up", although i prefer to call it "being the better man".

Rcmorr09 wroteEveryone flaming spoony needs to quit right NOW!

They don't need to quit. If they want to say something against them, let them say so... for example, when a convicted pointpusher lied to you again and again and again to make me look bad, you still sided with him even after it turned out everything he said was a lie, and you carried on treating me with the same contempt you did when you thought he was telling you the truth. So by all means go ahead and say so, so everyone can see what a masochistic puppet you are, and how little you value honesty and fairplay and diligence to the renegade clan community.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 17:03:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahhh. Sleeping was much better.

But what do i find in the last post overnight?

Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 09:33Rcmorr09 wroteEveryone flaming spoony needs to quit right NOW!

They don't need to quit. If they want to say something against them, let them say so... for example, when a convicted pointpusher lied to you again and again and again to make me look bad, you still sided with him even after it turned out everything he said was a lie, and you carried on treating me with the same contempt you did when you thought he was telling you the truth. So by all means go ahead and say so, so everyone can see what a masochistic puppet you are, and how little you value honesty and fairplay and diligence to the renegade clan community.

Honestly, if that doesnt make my point....

Spoony, do you have these all written down? Like on notepad or something - copy/paste. I would hate to have to remember all that word for word.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 18:23:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PapaElbo wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 13:03Ahhh. Sleeping was much better.

But what do i find in the last post overnight?

Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 09:33Rcmorr09 wroteEveryone flaming spoony needs to quit right NOW!

They don't need to quit. If they want to say something against them, let them say so... for example, when a convicted pointpusher lied to you again and again and again to make me look bad, you still sided with him even after it turned out everything he said was a lie, and you carried on treating me with the same contempt you did when you thought he was telling you the truth. So by all means go ahead and say so, so everyone can see what a masochistic puppet you are, and

how little you value honesty and fairplay and diligence to the renegade clan community.

Honestly, if that doesnt make my point....

Spoony, do you have these all written down? Like on notepad or something - copy/paste. I would hate to have to remember all that word for word.

Papa, You are obviously jealous of spoonys superior memory! Spoony is just superior to all of us and that's why I have jumped ship and am backing spoony 100%

So spoony I have cut my strings and am no longer a masochistic puppet, I got your back bro!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Hitman](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 18:26:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

his strings weren't able to hold his 280 pounds anymore so he broke loose LOL

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [RadioactiveHell](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 18:44:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 08:55Had a nice chat with Raven yesterday. He's a cool dude, tbh. Just to include a positive note in this topic .

Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 09:33i currently think the same about raven, the difference between him and rest of the input from the jelly community is striking.

Woah, raven's my lover, get your hands off of him!

Also, I dont see much value in this thread anymore. Both sides have made valid points and are now beginning to restate arguments/reduce themselves to namecalling...Lock pl0x.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoon](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 20:16:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PapaElbo wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 12:03Ahhh. Sleeping was much better.

But what do i find in the last post overnight?

Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 09:33Rcmorr09 wroteEveryone flaming spoony needs to quit right NOW!

They don't need to quit. If they want to say something against them, let them say so... for

example, when a convicted pointpusher lied to you again and again and again to make me look bad, you still sided with him even after it turned out everything he said was a lie, and you carried on treating me with the same contempt you did when you thought he was telling you the truth. So by all means go ahead and say so, so everyone can see what a masochistic puppet you are, and how little you value honesty and fairplay and diligence to the renegade clan community.

Honestly, if that doesnt make my point....

Spoony, do you have these all written down? Like on notepad or something - copy/paste. I would hate to have to remember all that word for word.

Why would I need to write that down? It was only a month ago, and it's rare someone's ever stabbed me in the back as deeply as clearshot did.

I ran the clanwars league for years and always did so fairly... clearshot was one of its most regular users and he owes me nothing but thanks. But he hates the pointsfix, so when i talk about my ideas for the ladder, all he can do is make up a HUGE list of ridiculous, offensive lies about me just to try to discredit the new ladder (i.e. "i advise everyone here to stay away from this ladder, cos here's what spoony did on the old one...."). It's amazing that nobody has the slightest bad word to say about this kind of behaviour. But when I criticise a00 names, it's an outrage. That's odd, because my statements were not personal attacks on Jelly, and they were actually honest... so if you endlessly bitch at me but say nothing about clear, doesn't this say a little about your priorities?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 20:21:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SoQRadio wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 13:44Goztow wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 08:55Had a nice chat with Raven yesterday. He's a cool dude, tbh. Just to include a positive note in this topic .

Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 09:33i currently think the same about raven, the difference between him and rest of the input from the jelly community is striking.

Woah, raven's my lover, get your hands off of him!

Also, I dont see much value in this thread anymore. Both sides have made valid points and are now beginning to restate arguments/reduce themselves to namecalling...Lock pl0x.

LOL Radio <3..

well thanks guys. Same goes to all of you

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 11 Oct 2009 21:29:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

do you feel better now Spoony

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Sun, 11 Oct 2009 22:08:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sigh

Spoonys right.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 12 Oct 2009 03:37:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alot of this thread is TL;DR, but I'll say it for Spoony's sake:

You're a fucking asshole if you have to discredit people and play around peoples backs and have to have people who never post here come on here and gang up on someone just because you personally do not want something that can potentially make this game much better, not to mention has had NUMEROUS attempts at compromise yet you refuse them.

That being said, I do believe this topic needs to be locked, aswell as any further pointsfix arguments. It'll only lead to the minority looking like the majority, confusion rising, blame being thrown around, false accusations made, and more people being douchebags.

Either that, or have them heavily moderated... although the repercussions of that will be "OMG MODS R BIASED!1" posts and general stupidity arising.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Mon, 12 Oct 2009 04:40:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he should be good for another month or so

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 12 Oct 2009 05:41:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sun, 11 October 2009 20:37 That being said, I do believe this topic needs to be locked, aswell as any further pointsfix arguments. It'll only lead to the minority looking

like the majority, confusion rising, blame being thrown around, false accusations made, and more people being douchebags.

Either that, or have them heavily moderated... although the repercussions of that will be "OMG MODS R BIASED!1" posts and general stupidity arising.

Quote:Moderators: Also keep in mind that you are not a moderator. You do not decide when threads are locked, posts are deleted, etc., and therefore should not post as such in threads. The "Report Message" and Private Message features are there for a reason. You are encouraged to use them when needed.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Mon, 12 Oct 2009 10:59:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 12 October 2009 05:37A lot of this thread is TL;DR, but I'll say it for Spoony's sake:

You're a fucking asshole if you have to discredit people and play around people's backs and have to have people who never post here come on here and gang up on someone just because you personally do not want something that can potentially make this game much better, not to mention has had NUMEROUS attempts at compromise yet you refuse them.

So just because the Jelly community doesn't post on here much, makes them assholes for coming and defending their actions? And you've overlooked the fact that Jelly did offer to compromise, but Spoony carried on so they withdrew it.

I have to say kudos to Spoony for getting a 14 page thread out of this server names debate, considering it is pointless when the TT patch comes into play. Well done.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 12 Oct 2009 11:52:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Mon, 12 October 2009 00:41quote title=Quote:]Moderators: Also keep in mind that you are not a moderator. You do not decide when threads are locked, posts are deleted, etc., and therefore should not post as such in threads. The "Report Message" and Private Message features are there for a reason. You are encouraged to use them when needed.[/quote]
my bad, although since your attention is already brought to it, I'd figure that'd make it redundant to report it now...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [killer783](#) on Tue, 13 Oct 2009 19:58:12 GMT

Spoony wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:44killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:38end of they day jelly is not giving any a00 names to TT live with it

Yes, I've already noticed the Jelly community's complete stubbornness, refusal to negotiate, and contempt towards anybody challenging their monopoly. I've remarked upon it several times.

Thanks for proving it yet again.

killer783 wrote on Wed, 07 October 2009 10:38and how do you deserve it just because you making a patch?? bullshit yea your doing something for the whole of ren but that doesnt make you fucking rene gods wow.....

Someone must have this control. That's obvious. Someone WILL have it. Right now it's in the complete, unchallengeable control of the Jelly community, and any fool can just look at this thread and see they are absolutely not qualified to use it. Look at the despicable way they were treating me even BEFORE i posted this thread, look at them saying "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it".

I put it to you that TT is by far better qualified to handle this. When TT gets criticised on the pointsfix, for example, we went to incredible lengths to accommodate people who disagreed with us, we suggested many compromises, and in the end we just gave people everything they wanted.

After reading that ^^, give me a one word answer: who do you think is better trusted with the authority over the gamelistings? Jelly or TT? Please, if you seriously think it's Jelly, then say so, so everyone can see what a biased idiot you are. And if you've actually got a brain and you think it's TT, then maybe you should say so to Jelly.

biased idiot jeesh coming from a guy who probably has TT wallpaper TT bed sheets wtf... who deserves the authority the people who made the names in the first place.

i agree with you to a certain point but dont agree with TT having control.. because if that happens how biased will you be to your *favourite* communities... its like swings and roundabouts.

take a little break and get some air.....

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 13 Oct 2009 23:36:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

killer783 wrote on Tue, 13 October 2009 14:58biased idiot jeesh coming from a guy who probably has TT wallpaper TT bed sheets wtf...

killer783 wrote on Tue, 13 October 2009 14:58who deserves the authority the people who made the names in the first place.

So you agree it is, to some extent, authority? And what's your view on the guy who seized all the renegade serials a few years back?

killer783 wrote on Tue, 13 October 2009 14:58i agree with you to a certain point but dont agree with TT having control.. because if that happens how biased will you be to your *favourite* communities...

None at all; we'd do things as fairly as we possibly could.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 11:56:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 01:36killer783 wrote on Tue, 13 October 2009 14:58i agree with you to a certain point but dont agree with TT having control.. because if that happens how biased will you be to your *favourite* communities...

None at all; we'd do things as fairly as we possibly could.

Fairly? Thats still in your own opinion.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 11:57:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 12:56Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 01:36killer783 wrote on Tue, 13 October 2009 14:58i agree with you to a certain point but dont agree with TT having control.. because if that happens how biased will you be to your *favourite* communities...

None at all; we'd do things as fairly as we possibly could.

Fairly? Thats still in your own opinion.

Why don't I do it then? If anything, given as Jelly (even after this topic) still ATM me, I'm going to be biased towards them!

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 12:01:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 06:56Fairly? Thats still in your own opinion.
have you actually read this thread?

i've talked about accountability and transparency... i've talked about how one group has been endorsed by EA (and to some extent XWIS) to handle renegade issues like this.

you say: it's just gonna be your opinion instead of jelly's? uh no, that just shows you aren't reading. it would be a committee who actually considered themselves answerable to the wider community, would explain their actions instead of just saying "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" (again, compare track records here"... and, bonus, has been given a level of endorsement by the owners of Renegade.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 19:35:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 14:01Acey#GW wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 06:56Fairly? Thats still in your own opinion.
have you actually read this thread?

i've talked about accountability and transparency... i've talked about how one group has been endorsed by EA (and to some extent XWIS) to handle renegade issues like this.

you say: it's just gonna be your opinion instead of jelly's? uh no, that just shows you aren't reading. it would be a committee who actually considered themselves answerable to the wider community, would explain their actions instead of just saying "fuck off, there's nothing you can do about it" (again, compare track records here"... and, bonus, has been given a level of endorsement by the owners of Renegade.

I've read the entire thread. I think it's great that TT are endorsed by EA but I really think they don't give a shit about it. It was just keep the community off their backs.

I have my reservations about certain members of TT, but its not really relevant to this. I think the Jelly community was reasonable at times. (Raven for example) But everyone reacts different, and IMO, you went about this wrongly. Thus provoking bad reactions.

A private discussion would have sorted an amicable solution. But all this had done is distance a sizeable chunk of the renegade community away from the rest.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 20:22:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, because a private discussion instantly breeds irrefutable doubt over whether the agreements are truly fair, thus the whole proceedings must be public, in a thread like this one.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 20:46:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 14:35I have my reservations about certain members of TT, but its not really relevant to this. I think the Jelly community was reasonable at times. (Raven for example) But everyone reacts different, and IMO, you went about this wrongly. Thus provoking bad reactions.

A private discussion would have sorted an amicable solution. But all this had done is distance a sizeable chunk of the renegade community away from the rest.

Excuse me, but I get a "bad reaction" from the Jelly community no matter what I do. For example, saying "actually no I haven't cheated" in response to two moderators publicly asserting that I did (or two and a quarter if you count joetorp) provoked the same kind of vitriol we see in this thread.

Secondly, as I've said, the fact the a00 names have always been dealt with through shady deals behind closed doors is precisely the problem in the first place.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 04:04:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SPOONY YOU AR NOT JUST A BIG JACKAS AND YOU THE POINTSFIX JUST YOU CREATE
BALANCE FUCK YOU BIG JAKAS YOUR POINTSFIX IS BAD YOU WANT I WRUGHT THIS
THE POINTSFIX IS BAD HEY IS 1 YEAR
YOUR PROMESS POINTFIX WIRH A OPTION TO DESABLE IT AND IS DONT JUST SPOONY I
TELL IT ALL REPLYER IN THIS FORUM I DESLIKE YOU.

That about sums up people's arguments against Spoonny on this issue.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Dover](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 04:38:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 21:04SPOONY YOU AR NOT JUST A BIG
JACKAS AND YOU THE POINTSFIX JUST YOU CREATE BALANCE FUCK YOU BIG JAKAS
YOUR POINTSFIX IS BAD YOU WANT I WRUGHT THIS THE POINTSFIX IS BAD HEY IS 1
YEAR
YOUR PROMESS POINTFIX WIRH A OPTION TO DESABLE IT AND IS DONT JUST SPOONY I
TELL IT ALL REPLYER IN THIS FORUM I DESLIKE YOU.

That about sums up people's arguments against Spoonny on this issue.

Really? What you wrote was not only humorous, but shows respect for the history and tradition of these forums. I'd call it borderline blasphemous to compare anything that's been posted so far in this thread to the I DESLIKE YOU.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 06:34:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 22:46

Secondly, as I've said, the fact the a00 names have always been dealt with through shady deals behind closed doors is precisely the problem in the first place.
Win.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 06:35:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 23:38GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 21:04SPOONY YOU AR NOT JUST A BIG JACKAS AND YOU THE POINTSFIX JUST YOU CREATE BALANCE FUCK YOU BIG JAKAS YOUR POINTSFIX IS BAD YOU WANT I WRUGHT THIS THE POINTSFIX IS BAD HEY IS 1 YEAR YOUR PROMESS POINTFIX WIRH A OPTION TO DESABLE IT AND IS DONT JUST SPOONY I TELL IT ALL REPLYER IN THIS FORUM I DESLIKE YOU.

That about sums up people's arguments against Spoony on this issue.

Really? What you wrote was not only humorous, but shows respect for the history and tradition of these forums. I'd call it borderline blasphemous to compare anything that's been posted so far in this thread to the I DESLIKE YOU.

Please tell me you're joking...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 22:25:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 22:46Acey#GW wrote on Wed, 14 October 2009 14:35I have my reservations about certain members of TT, but its not really relevant to this. I think the Jelly community was reasonable at times. (Raven for example) But everyone reacts different, and IMO, you went about this wrongly. Thus provoking bad reactions.

A private discussion would have sorted an amicable solution. But all this had done is distance a sizeable chunk of the renegade community away from the rest.
Excuse me, but I get a "bad reaction" from the Jelly community no matter what I do. For example, saying "actually no I haven't cheated" in response to two moderators publicly asserting that I did (or two and a quarter if you count joetorp) provoked the same kind of vitriol we see in this thread.

Secondly, as I've said, the fact the a00 names have always been dealt with through shady deals behind closed doors is precisely the problem in the first place. I'm not saying you don't, what I

meant was that you were going to get a bad reaction for doing it. Surely you couldn't have expected anything different?

My other point still stands, all this has done is distance one community away from the rest. Over something which becomes IRRELEVANT when the team you are part of, finishes their work.

Was there really any need for this?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 22:31:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Thu, 15 October 2009 17:25I'm not saying you don't, what I meant was that you were going to get a bad reaction for doing it. Surely you couldn't have expected anything different?

you say nothing about the appalling way i've been treated by the jelly community despite absolutely no provocation, but you criticise me for... um, what, exactly? "you should have expected it"? well, i did expect more of the same, but i say what i think... and the consequences of other people's intolerance to dissenting opinion can, in my view, go fuck themselves. i'm not terribly sorry to tell you that you're being manipulated.

Acey#GW wrote on Thu, 15 October 2009 17:25My other point still stands, all this has done is distance one community away from the rest.
you mean people have finally seen the situation for what it is and how its monopolisers have reacted to legitimate criticism?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Thu, 15 Oct 2009 22:50:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 16 October 2009 00:31Acey#GW wrote on Thu, 15 October 2009 17:25I'm not saying you don't, what I meant was that you were going to get a bad reaction for doing it. Surely you couldn't have expected anything different?

you say nothing about the appalling way i've been treated by the jelly community despite absolutely no provocation, but you criticise me for... um, what, exactly? "you should have expected it"? well, i did expect more of the same, but i say what i think... and the consequences of other people's intolerance to dissenting opinion can, in my view, go fuck themselves. i'm not terribly sorry to tell you that you're being manipulated.

Acey#GW wrote on Thu, 15 October 2009 17:25My other point still stands, all this has done is distance one community away from the rest.
you mean people have finally seen the situation for what it is and how its monopolisers have reacted to legitimate criticism?

Woah! I wasn't in any way criticising you. And in no way would i be manipulated over issues within a game. I am in by no means defending the Jelly community, i'm just raising a few points. Reasoned debate and all that?

So you're okay with fracturing the already small renegade community?

But i have to say you avoided the main point of the post. The one about the server names becoming irrelevant when TT completes the patch?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 16 Oct 2009 06:41:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have a feeling that the 'interim period' (from now until the TT push by EA) will be more important than most of us think, which would explain why Spoony started this.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Fri, 16 Oct 2009 08:46:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It depends on how long this interim period is going to be, surely?

Do we even have an estimate on when the patch will be done?(i haven't seen one)

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 16 Oct 2009 08:54:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acey#GW wrote on Fri, 16 October 2009 10:46It depends on how long this interim period is going to be, surely?

Do we even have an estimate on when the patch will be done?(i haven't seen one)

I have no reasons to believe we'll see anything TT related before 2010...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [reborn](#) on Fri, 16 Oct 2009 10:23:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If there was a possible resolution before the release of TT it would be preferable.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Xpert](#) on Sat, 17 Oct 2009 04:05:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Thu, 15 October 2009 00:04SPOONY YOU AR NOT JUST A BIG JACKAS AND YOU THE POINTSFIX JUST YOU CREATE BALANCE FUCK YOU BIG JAKAS YOUR POINTSFIX IS BAD YOU WANT I WRUGHT THIS THE POINTSFIX IS BAD HEY IS 1 YEAR
YOUR PROMESS POINTFIX WIRH A OPTION TO DESABLE IT AND IS DONT JUST SPOONY I TELL IT ALL REPLYER IN THIS FORUM I DESLIKE YOU.

That about sums up people's arguments against Spooky on this issue.

Best post I read in this whole 15 page topic. It made sense.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sat, 17 Oct 2009 18:33:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so spooky, tell me: have you had any success with your posts so far?

y/n.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Good-One-Driver](#) on Sun, 18 Oct 2009 04:56:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hof?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 18 Oct 2009 07:15:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

do you even know what that means

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sun, 18 Oct 2009 17:11:36 GMT

Summary please?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Sun, 18 Oct 2009 18:50:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jelly left the thread, citing some form of personal attack by Spooky (Check page 13-14 I think). He actually requested that I remove his account from these forums, it is neither policy, nor am I able to do that, so I haven't, but it's quite clear he doesn't care, which is a pity.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 18 Oct 2009 22:00:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

not really

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Herr](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2009 17:54:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why would someone hand this power over from a person who, as you stated, has never abused it before to a team that contains a few people who have proven their self not to be trustworthy at all, in the past. A person who deletes her own topics questioning this same matter where obviously all of the replies vote against her, or someone who has always been supported by the Jellycomm but decided to suddenly change his mind and backstab the community for it contains a few people who disagree with his opinion.

Im not saying TT is bad or can't be trusted, not even close, it contains several close friends who I do honor for all their efforts for the community.

I just find it a hard decision giving these nicknames to a group that contains a few people of who I think, can't handle the power. Especially when they currently remain in the hands of someone who I would trust with my most valuable belongings.

Considering the EA support argument, we both know EA couldn't care less about Westwood's old stuff. Of course they will welcome the first group with open arms who offer support to a game that is bleeding to death. I do not really consider that trustworthy.

F.Y.I. This is not meant as hate towards TT. Like I said, TT contains several friends who I believe are really using TT as the right way to fight for the existence of Renegade and are not power-happy or anything like that. I really hope they keep up the excellent work they have put in the renegade community so far.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2009 17:57:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Then, as I've already stated, give them to someone neutral, for example, (although by the very fact I've been moderating this topic, not me) myself, as if there would be any bias, it would be back towards Jelly.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2009 18:07:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 11:54Why would someone hand this power over from a person who, as you stated, has never abused it before to a team that contains a few people who have proven their self not to be trustworthy at all, in the past.
like i said, if TT handled it we'd handle it responsibly and with transparency.

Herr wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 11:54or someone who has always been supported by the Jellycomm but decided to suddenly change his mind and backstab the community for it contains a few people who disagree with his opinion.
i don't think you could possibly have gotten the situation any more wrong than this sentence.

Herr wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 11:54Considering the EA support argument, we both know EA couldn't care less about Westwood's old stuff. Of course they will welcome the first group with open arms who offer support to a game that is bleeding to death. I do not really consider that trustworthy.
excuse me, but no. they don't give away authority like control over a game's patcher lightly. it is true they don't care about renegade as much as they should, but that doesn't follow from this.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2009 18:18:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

this is still going on?

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2009 19:34:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so say we all. wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 12:18this is still going on?
I think at this point it's people attempting to ad hominem Spoony or attempt to destroy his reputation...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2009 22:43:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nah, i think they've given that up for the most part

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 27 Oct 2009 10:45:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 18:54 Why would someone hand this power over from a person who, as you stated, has never abused it before to a team that contains a few people who have proven their self not to be trustworthy at all, in the past. A person who deletes her own topics questioning this same matter where obviously all of the replies vote against her, or someone who has always been supported by the Jellycomm but decided to suddenly change his mind and backstab the community for it contains a few people who disagree with his opinion.

Im not saying TT is bad or can't be trusted, not even close, it contains several close friends who I do honor for all their efforts for the community.

I just find it a hard decision giving these nicknames to a group that contains a few people of who I think, can't handle the power. Especially when they currently remain in the hands of someone who I would trust with my most valuable belongings.

Considering the EA support argument, we both know EA couldn't care less about Westwood's old stuff. Of course they will welcome the first group with open arms who offer support to a game that is bleeding to death. I do not really consider that trustworthy.

F.Y.I. This is not meant as hate towards TT. Like I said, TT contains several friends who I believe are really using TT as the right way to fight for the existence of Renegade and are not power-happy or anything like that. I really hope they keep up the excellent work they have put in the renegade community so far.

That's why it would perhaps be a good decision to create a commission that gets to set rules on this topic after which they can judge all communities in regard to the set rules. The communities that score best will get the highest (available) nick and so forth.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Wed, 28 Oct 2009 00:01:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 11:54

Considering the EA support argument, we both know EA couldn't care less about Westwood's old stuff. Of course they will welcome the first group with open arms who offer support to a game that is bleeding to death. I do not really consider that trustworthy.

I hate to offend anyone that disagrees, but from what I've seen of EA, this is how they roll, if they can get something done cheap do it, if they don't have to do anything at all while at the same time improving a project, don't even second guess.

If EA was really with us they would have given us the actual Ren source. All EA sees us as is a group of people that will clean up some of their half assed shit.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 28 Oct 2009 07:43:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[quote title=Jerad wrote on Wed, 28 October 2009 01:01]Herr wrote on Mon, 26 October 2009 11:54

If EA was really with us they would have given us the actual Ren source. All EA sees us as is a group of people that will clean up some of their half assed shit.

To be fair, we don't know if they didn't give the source code to TT. I would never release the source code to the public if I were EA. Cheaters would find way too nice .

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 28 Oct 2009 16:46:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep, and if they did give us the source code or parts of it, we certainly wouldn't be allowed to tell anyone about it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 28 Oct 2009 17:04:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the idea that EA would give away control at this level - source code, control of patches etc - the idea that EA would give it lightly is just ridiculous. sure they don't care about the game as much as they ought to...

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Thu, 29 Oct 2009 18:21:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Wed, 28 October 2009 01:43Jerad Gray wrote on Wed, 28 October 2009 01:01If EA was really with us they would have given us the actual Ren source. All EA sees us as is a group of people that will clean up some of their half assed shit.

To be fair, we don't know if they didn't give the source code to TT. I would never release the source code to the public if I were EA. Cheaters would find way too nice .

LOL, only like 300 people at most on-line, don't see why anyone even cares about cheaters anymore. All the servers that I've ever played on are so laggy that everyone could be cheating and it'd still seem the same. Only time I have ever been able to see that someone was cheating in

game was a time when some person was blowing up the harvester with one pistol hit, and that was years ago. And please not that I didn't say release the source to everyone, I just meant TT team, which they didn't otherwise you guys wouldn't be having to reverse engineer another engine to mimic renegades engine.

Anyways, by not giving you the source EA can deny any responsibility if something does go wrong, IE: makes it easier for people to cheat, or everyone quits renegade because more people whom play online like the game as is then you think, type deals.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 28 October 2009 11:04 the idea that EA would give away control at this level - source code, control of patches etc - the idea that EA would give it lightly is just ridiculous. sure they don't care about the game as much as they ought to...

I know of several companies that give out open source for the game engine once the game's usefulness has passed, Relic, Valve, and I don't play many games so there are many more I'm sure.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 28 October 2009 10:46 Yep, and if they did give us the source code or parts of it, we certainly wouldn't be allowed to tell anyone about it.

I hope to god you guys don't have the source, things should be going along a lot faster if you did, EA throws out plenty of half assed games in a years time, all you guys are doing is touching one of them up. So I think not, I think EA has forced you guys to do it the hard way, thus I can understand this taking a while.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Fri, 30 Oct 2009 14:56:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Of course, it would go faster if we had all the source, but we don't have that. And as Crimson says, if we would have it then we wouldn't be allowed to tell it thanks to NDA's which we would then have had to sign :{

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [tanzying](#) on Tue, 03 Nov 2009 13:44:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

To me, Spoony seems to be more interested in winning the argument on why jelly should give up the a00 names, rather than actually getting jelly to give the names up. I believe that the latter will not automatically follow if he succeeds in the former.

Many people have posted against Spoony, citing his past behaviour in other debates (the impression I get is that this mostly refers to the pointfix debates) as a reason why his opinion should not be considered. Some have also included insults directed at him. I believe that these points, whether justified or unjustified, are irrelevant to the topic of discussion.

However, Spoony's reactions to such posts have been consistent. When he feels that someone has wrongly accused him, he responds immediately and heatedly to defend himself and

demonstrate his displeasure. Occasionally, he will also send his opponents messages with sarcastic and scathing tones (examples would include sentences ending with 'eh?' , 'hmmm?', 'excuse me, but no'). He is entitled to do all this. It's his personal opinion and personal style of argument.

Another thing Spoonly consistently does is to state that TT is more qualified than the Jelly community to wield the authority of the a00 nicknames, because he thinks that TT is respected for their work on renegade and was accommodating to dissenters on the pointsfix debate, whereas Jelly has not been so accommodating on the a00 name issue. I cannot say whether such a view is correct, especially since I haven't even read the pointsfix debate. Spoonly also consistently uses words like 'appaling', 'ridiculous', 'despicable' etc to describe how the Jelly community has been treating him. Again, nothing wrong with this. It's his personal opinion and personal style of argument.

People do not appreciate being called appaling, ridiculous, despicable, liars, [insert negative term here], whether they have actually been so or not. Similarly, people also do not appreciate being told that they are being unreasonable and that the other party is being 'the better man'. It provokes an instinctive angry reaction in them, the very same reaction that I believe Spoonly experiences when he is charged by what he views as an unfair accusation.

Angry people start to dislike the person who made them angry. It's human nature. Whether they are angry for a logical reason or not is another issue altogether.

Spoonly may not mind people being angry at him or disliking him. But what he DOES want is for Jelly to give up the a00 names. This can be done either through EA or XWIS intervention (unlikely), or if Jelly himself decides to do so. If Jelly is angry at him, why should he? Even if it is logically the fair thing to do. Emotions decide a fair portion of human action as well.

(This paragraph is entirely my hypothesis) Spoonly may have directed the bulk of his replies to the Jelly community, and not Jelly personally. But whether or not he has directly angered Jelly himself, I think it is possible that every reply Spoonly makes to a Jelly community member subconsciously angers Jelly himself. Firstly, the *JELLY* community bears Jelly's own name. Secondly, Jelly likely knows and thinks well of some of the community members that have been offended by Spoonly, and humans tend to stand up for their friends instinctively. Thirdly, Spoonly makes a few statements that seem to generalize the Jelly community, of which Jelly himself is a part of. (/hypothesis)

Spoonly, you could have discussed this privately with Jelly first. I believe that one big reason why Jelly is angry with you is because you chose to discuss this matter with massive publicity. I don't know what kind of relationship the two of you have in renegade/real life. But surely it is possible to be a little accommodating on your side? If it was really important to you that the issue be publicised so that public scrutiny can ensure it's not a shady deal behind closed doors, you could have first privately persuaded Jelly to the point where he would be prepared to come out on your side OUT OF GOODWILL when you eventually went public. You could then have all the public scrutiny you wanted.

People keep telling us, "Jelly owns the names, he can do whatever he likes with them". If Jelly, OUT OF GOODWILL, thinks that relinquishing the a00 names (or whatever compromise can be

reached) is a good idea, this statement would not be so problematic.

Spoony, whether you win the logical debate or not, the only way you'll get Jelly to give up the a00 names is through positive emotions. You talk about TT having been the 'better man' in the pointsfix debates. I don't know, I wasn't there. Why don't you be an even better man by not trying to rub this into your opponents? Why let them bait you into angry outbursts which they will only use to further derail this topic? Is the Renegade community, or defending your logic and yourself against character assassination attempts, more important? Stop creating so much anger, so that people can think with logic instead of emotions.

I think its great that you are willing to spend so much effort and time on the Renegade community, doing what you believe to be in its best interests. It's earned my respect, and I wish you good fortune in your endeavours. I offer my opinion and suggestion in hopes that it will expedite your good work.

tl;dr. Spoony, you need to win more hearts and less minds.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 03 Nov 2009 14:12:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Many people have posted against Spoony, citing his past behaviour in other debates (the impression I get is that this mostly refers to the pointfix debates) as a reason why his opinion should not be considered.
and since I keep asking what i've actually done wrong in these past debates and nobody can answer, i hope you can see it for the bullshit cop-out it is.

excuse me, but i never refused to consider anybody's opinion on the pointsfix NO MATTER HOW pathetically they acted.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44However, Spoony's reactions to such posts have been consistent. When he feels that someone has wrongly accused him, he responds immediately and heatedly to defend himself and demonstrate his displeasure. Occasionally, he will also send his opponents messages with sacarstic and scathing tones (examples would include sentences ending with 'eh?' , 'hmmm?' , 'excuse me, but no'). He is entitled to do all this. It's his personal opinion and personal style of argument.
all true, and i don't see what's wrong with defending myself when i'm attacked.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Another thing Spoony consistantly does is to state that TT is more qualified than the Jelly community to wield the authority of the a00 nicknames, because he thinks that TT is respected for their work on renegade and was accomodating to dissenters on the pointsfix debate, wheras Jelly has not been so accomodating on the a00 name issue. I cannot say whether such a view is correct, especially since I haven't even read the pointsfix debate. Spoony also consistently uses words like 'appaling', 'ridiculous', 'despicable' etc to describe how the Jelly community has been treating him. Again, nothing wrong with this. It's his personal opinion and personal style of argument.
again, true.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 People do not appreciate being called appalling, ridiculous, despicable, liars, [insert negative term here], whether they have actually been so or not.

you lose me at "whether they have actually been so or not". wtf? listen to what you're saying. you're saying if someone cheats or whatever, you shouldn't say so in case you hurt their feelings because then you'll be the bad guy?

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 Similarly, people also do not appreciate being told that they are being unreasonable and that the other party is being 'the better man'. It provokes an instinctive angry reaction in them, true, of course.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 the very same reaction that I believe Spooky experiences when he is charged by what he views as an unfair accusation. no, not the very same reaction at all. in one case the person is angry because they're being shown up for what they are, in the other case they're angry because they're being falsely accused. enormous difference between the two.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 Spooky may not mind people being angry at him or disliking him.

true again, i do what i think's right and i say what i think, regardless of whether it will upset people or decrease my popularity. i think everybody has noticed this by now. you can, at least, credit me with brutal honesty.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 But what he DOES want is for Jelly to give up the a00 names. This can be done either through EA or XWIS intervention (unlikely), or if Jelly himself decides to do so. If Jelly is angry at him, why should he? Even if it is logically the fair thing to do. Emotions decide a fair portion of human action as well.

hypothetical question for you. when people were demanding a server-side choice of the pointsfix or not, and if we (TT) decided to refuse this because we were angry at being asked, would you be saying "well, if TT are angry at you, why should they give you this?"

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 (This paragraph is entirely my hypothesis) Spooky may have directed the bulk of his replies to the Jelly community, and not Jelly personally. But whether or not he has directly angered Jelly himself, I think it is possible that every reply Spooky makes to a Jelly community member subconsciously angers Jelly himself.

well, for quite a while i was a jelly community member; i've donated to the server a few times, i played there a lot, i used to be a moderator, i was there when it very first started, i led the jelly team in quite a few community matches. and yet when i'm the one under attack for no reason (i'll give you two examples, 1. two moderators publicly assert that i cheat, i say no i don't, i get relentlessly attacked by the community just for saying i didn't cheat. 2. a jelly moderator goes to incredible lengths to lie to everybody to slander my reputation at clanwars, for the sole and specific reason that he hates the pointsfix and he wants to make the official ladder look bad somehow) - when this is done to me, is jelly angry? if he was he didn't show it.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44 Firstly, the *JELLY* community bears Jelly's own name. Secondly, Jelly likely knows and thinks well of some of the community members that have

been offended by Spooky, and humans tend to stand up for their friends instinctively.
see above for the flaw

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Thirdly, Spooky makes a few statements that seem to generalize the Jelly community, of which Jelly himself is a part of. (/hypothesis)
generalisation is not always unreasonable.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Spooky, you could have discussed this privately with Jelly first. I believe that one big reason why Jelly is angry with you is because you chose to discuss this matter with massive publicity. I don't know what kind of relationship the two of you have in renegade/real life. But surely it is possible to be a little accomodating on your side? If it was really important to you that the issue be publicised so that public scrutiny can ensure it's not a shady deal behind closed doors, you could have first privately persuaded Jelly to the point where he would be prepared to come out on your side OUT OF GOODWILL when you eventually went public. You could then have all the public scrutiny you wanted.
I never used this as a defence during the pointsfix debates.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44You talk about TT having been the 'better man' in the pointsfix debates. I don't know, I wasn't there. Why don't you be an even better man by not trying to rub this into your opponents?
This is yet another example of someone asking "why is spooky trying to do Y" when spooky is actually doing X. the question is meaningless. ask me why i'm doing X and then the question is worth answering.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Is the Renegade community, or defending your logic and yourself against character assassination attempts, more important?
It sounds like you're saying: when people lie to make you look bad, don't defend yourself because if you do, you'll offend your accusers and this will damage the Renegade community.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Stop creating so much anger, so that people can think with logic instead of emotions.
firstly, if somebody can't think with logic then i put it to you that it's their own problem. secondly, most of the time the anger is not justified. again, when several jelly mods publicly asserted that i cheated and i said actually no i didn't, they reacted with anger. when i said i liked the pointsfix and i gave lots of reasons, they reacted with anger. shall i go on?

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44I think its great that you are willing to spend so much effort and time on the Renegade community, doing what you believe to be in its best interests. It's earned my respect, and I wish you good fortune in your endeavours. I offer my opinion and suggestion in hopes that it will expedite your good work.

tl;dr. Spooky, you need to win more hearts and less minds.
if you strip away the rhetoric you've basically said: when you're attacked, don't defend yourself because if you do you'll anger your accusers. thanks for the advice, but no thanks.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 03 Nov 2009 19:34:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 08:12tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Many people have posted against Spoony, citing his past behaviour in other debates (the impression I get is that this mostly refers to the pointfix debates) as a reason why his opinion should not be considered.

and since I keep asking what i've actually done wrong in these past debates and nobody can answer, i hope you can see it for the bullshit cop-out it is.

excuse me, but i never refused to consider anybody's opinion on the pointsfix NO MATTER HOW pathetically they acted.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44However, Spoony's reactions to such posts have been consistent. When he feels that someone has wrongly accused him, he responds immediately and heatedly to defend himself and demonstrate his displeasure. Occasionally, he will also send his opponents messages with sarcastic and scathing tones (examples would include sentences ending with 'eh?' , 'hmmm?' , 'excuse me, but no'). He is entitled to do all this. It's his personal opinion and personal style of argument.

all true, and i don't see what's wrong with defending myself when i'm attacked.

I think you just answered your own question.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Tue, 03 Nov 2009 21:49:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Unless you quoted the wrong part, or I can no longer comprehend English, Spoony wasn't asking a question... :s

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings

Posted by [tanzying](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 01:59:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44People do not appreciate being called appalling, ridiculous, despicable, liars, [insert negative term here], whether they have actually been so or not.

you lose me at "whether they have actually been so or not". wtf? listen to what you're saying. you're saying if someone cheats or whatever, you shouldn't say so in case you hurt their feelings because then you'll be the bad guy?

I said "whether they have actually been so or not" because you have used these negative adjectives to describe some of the jelly members in previous debates. Since I have never read these other debates, I simply put that in to show that I am unable to judge whether such descriptions are justified.

Quote:tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44the very same reaction that I believe Spooky experiences when he is charged by what he views as an unfair accusation. no, not the very same reaction at all. in one case the person is angry because they're being shown up for what they are, in the other case they're angry because they're being falsely accused. enormous difference between the two.

Fine. Anyway, both parties are angry. Whatever reasons may cause this are not my point. My point is the fact that both are angry. But I'm fine with retracting the above quote if you don't like your reason being compared to theirs.

Quote:tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44But what he DOES want is for Jelly to give up the a00 names. This can be done either through EA or XWIS intervention (unlikely), or if Jelly himself decides to do so. If Jelly is angry at him, why should he? Even if it is logically the fair thing to do. Emotions decide a fair portion of human action as well. hypothetical question for you. when people were demanding a server-side choice of the pointsfix or not, and if we (TT) decided to refuse this because we were angry at being asked, would you be saying "well, if TT are angry at you, why should they give you this?"

In this hypothetical scenario, TT are being angry to the point of not being able to reason with them. If my goal was to show the public that TT is wrong in acting this way, I would have used logical reasoning on a public forum to do it.

If, however, my goal was to STOP TT from acting this way, and anger has influenced them to the point where they will not change their mind after they have been publicly, logically proven wrong, then yes, I would be working on reducing TT's anger. and yes, I would tell those with similar intent that "Well, TT are anrgy at us, they won't be giving us this because of that.' And I would add, "Let's work on getting TT less angry at us so that hopefully they'll listen to reason".

Quote:tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 07:44Spooky, you could have discussed this privately with Jelly first. I believe that one big reason why Jelly is angry with you is because you chose to discuss this matter with massive publicity. I dont know what kind of relationship the two of you have in renegade/real life. But surely it is possible to be a little accomodating on your side? If it was really important to you that the issue be publicised so that public scrutiny can ensure it's not a shady deal behind closed doors, you could have first privately persuaded Jelly to the point where he would be prepared to come out on your side OUT OF GOODWILL when you eventually went public. You could then have all the public scrutiny you wanted. I never used this as a defence during the pointsfix debates.

I never said you did. I haven't read them.

Quote:true again, i do what i think's right and i say what i think, regardless of whether it will upset people or decrease my popularity. i think everybody has noticed this by now. you can, at least, credit me with brutal honesty.

Quote:firstly, if somebody can't think with logic then i put it to you that it's their own problem. secondly, most of the time the anger is not justified. again, when several jelly mods publicly asserted that i cheated and i said actually no i didn't, they reacted with anger. when i said i liked

the pointsfix and i gave lots of reasons, they reacted with anger. shall i go on?

Quote:if you strip away the rhetoric you've basically said: when you're attacked, don't defend yourself because if you do you'll anger your accusers. thanks for the advice, but no thanks.

If someone couldn't think logically and got himself into a situation I didn't care about, I too would say that it's his/her own problem.

However, you are trying to push a few causes here because you care about the community. Your efforts are being hindered due to negative emotional baggage you have with some of its members. Therefore, their problem of being unable to think logically is giving you problems.

You can't expect everyone on the planet to suddenly become a logical person overnight. Leaders in the real world trying to affect change get all sorts of critics too. They take potshots from the media, public and the like, assaults on their personal credibility etc, and some of these attacks are obviously emotional and completely unreasonable. The good ones don't take the bait. They may have sound logical backing, but if it seems that logic alone will not win their cause, then they don't press it. They negotiate diplomatically and with tact to win emotions over. They work to fill the atmosphere with goodwill and make it more conducive, so that when logic is needed again it is finally listened to.

Of course they are entitled to defend themselves and descend with brutal honesty and condemnations upon people who attack them without logic (unless there is a large enough logical crowd present to shout down the illogical ones, but this is not always present). They would only do this if they didn't care about the larger issue. If they do care, they would be shooting themselves in the foot by doing this.

I never said don't defend yourself at all. I say it is fine to defend yourself, but do it in a less incendiary way. For example:

Quote:and since I keep asking what i've actually done wrong in these past debates and nobody can answer, i hope you can see it for the bullshit cop-out it is.

excuse me, but i never refused to consider anybody's opinion on the pointsfix NO MATTER HOW pathetically they acted.

It's fine if you think that it is "bullshit cop-out" and that people have been acting "pathetically". But the message could have gotten across equally well without inciting so much backlash if you had said:

"I don't understand what I have been doing wrong in these past debates, no one has clarified this with me so far."

"I am still and will always be open to considering anyone's opinion on the pointfix, provided it is logical."

The relationship between you and Raven seems to have gotten better since the start of this thread. I'm not exactly sure why, but I suspect mutual concessions had something to do with it.

Spoony, voicing your opinion IS NOT WRONG. Defending yourself IS NOT WRONG. Being brutally honest IS NOT WRONG. You are free to do all this and more if you choose.

I'm telling you that I don't think these methods are effective
,insofar as your goal of getting Jelly to give up the a00 nicks is concerned.

Subject: Re: The Pointfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 02:08:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59I said "whether they have actually been so or not" because you have used these negative adjectives to describe some of the jelly members in previous debates. Since I have never read these other debates, I simply put that in to show that I am unable to judge whether such descriptions are justified.
That wasn't really the meat of the statement, was it? You go out of your way to say "people don't appreciate being called such-and-such".

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59Fine. Anyway, both parties are angry. Whatever reasons may cause this are not my point. My point is the fact that both are angry. But I'm fine with retracting the above quote if you don't like your reason being compared to theirs.
Good.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59If someone couldn't think logically and got himself into a situation I didn't care about, I too would say that it's his/her own problem.

However, you are trying to push a few causes here because you care about the community. Your efforts are being hindered due to negative emotional baggage you have with some of its members. Therefore, their problem of being unable to think logically is giving you problems.
...which is entirely their fault.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59I never said don't defend yourself at all. I say it is fine to defend yourself, but do it in a less incendiary way. For example:
the examples you give are several orders of magnitude more civilised than the way i've been treated, and yet who in the equation do you criticise?

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59It's fine if you think that it is "bullshit cop-out" and that people have been acting "pathetically". But the message could have gotten across equally well without inciting so much backlash if you had said:

"I don't understand what I have been doing wrong in these past debates, no one has clarified this with me so far."

"I am still and will always be open to considering anyone's opinion on the pointfix, provided it is logical."

i've said both these things again and again and again and again, and they still reacted with anger and bile every single time. when you said you hadn't read the pointfix debates, you certainly spoke true.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59 The relationship between you and Raven seems to have gotten better since the start of this thread. I'm not exactly sure why, but I suspect mutual concessions had something to do with it.

no, it's because he's behaved in a completely different way to everybody else. this is the one and only reason.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tanzying](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 04:14:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 20:08

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59 If someone couldn't think logically and got himself into a situation I didn't care about, I too would say that it's his/her own problem.

However, you are trying to push a few causes here because you care about the community. Your efforts are being hindered due to negative emotional baggage you have with some of its members. Therefore, their problem of being unable to think logically is giving you problems. ...which is entirely their fault.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 19:59 I never said don't defend yourself at all. I say it is fine to defend yourself, but do it in a less incendiary way. For example: the examples you give are several orders of magnitude more civilised than the way i've been treated, and yet who in the equation do you criticise?

Perhaps. But it is you, not them, who wants a change in the status quo. If you care so much about this a00 name issue, the onus is on you to go out of your way to engage them.

There are three outcomes to this. Which one do you really want?

1. You decide you have had enough of your opponents' treatment and decide not to care anymore. The a00 names stay with their owner because no one cares anymore.

2. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up, countering every attack launched at you on the way. The a00 names stay with their owner because you have soured relationships too much for them to even consider it.

3. You make an honest, sincere attempt to rebuild ties, persevering in spite of all provocations, calming your opponents to the point where they are again willing to listen to reason. Then, you bring back your logical reasoning, albeit with none of the scathing, sarcastic phrases, and eventually manage to get jelly to give up the names, or at least compromise on the matter.

The current outcome seems to be no. 2. But hey, the future can yet be changed with effort.

And if you happen to be hoping for no. 4, where:

4. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up. Your opponents suddenly have an epiphany where they realize that they have been unreasonable, publicly admit it and apologize to you, and give up the names, just as you think they should have done all along.

...it only requires a little realism and pragmatism to see why this is a bit too much to hope for.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 05:26:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 22:142. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up, countering every attack launched at you on the way. The a00 names stay with their owner because you have soured relationships too much for them to even consider it.

you were doing so well right up until the point where you said that it was me who "soured the relationship". i've been incredibly civilised and reasonable with the jelly community in the face of unbelievable provocation, and if you don't understand that yet, don't post again until you do.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 22:143. You make an honest, sincere attempt to rebuild ties, persevering in spite of all provocations, calming your opponents to the point where they are again willing to listen to reason. Then, you bring back your logical reasoning, albeit with none of the scathing, sarcastic phrases, and eventually manage to get jelly to give up the names, or at least compromise on the matter.

i've spent months and months trying to do that (although this was before i posted about a00), and the vitriol towards me gets more and more intense. again, if you don't understand this, don't post again until you do.

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 22:144. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up. Your opponents suddenly have an epiphany where they realize that they have been unreasonable, publicly admit it and apologize to you, and give up the names, just as you think they should have done all along.
one person in the jelly community has done precisely that... you've already mentioned his name.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [reborn](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 09:00:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 23:14

Perhaps. But it is you, not them, who wants a change in the status quo. If you care so much about this a00 name issue, the onus is on you to go out of your way to engage them.

There are three outcomes to this. Which one do you really want?

1. You decide you have had enough of your opponents' treatment and decide not to care anymore. The a00 names stay with their owner because no one cares anymore.
2. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up, countering every attack launched at you on the way. The a00 names stay with their owner because you have soured relationships too much for them to even consider it.
3. You make an honest, sincere attempt to rebuild ties, persevering in spite of all provocations, calming your opponents to the point where they are again willing to listen to reason. Then, you bring back your logical reasoning, albeit with none of the scathing, sarcastic phrases, and eventually manage to get jelly to give up the names, or at least compromise on the matter.

The current outcome seems to be no. 2. But hey, the future can yet be changed with effort.

And if you happen to be hoping for no. 4, where:

4. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up. Your opponents suddenly have an epiphany where they realize that they have been unreasonable, publicly admit it and apologize to you, and give up the names, just as you think they should have done all along.

...it only requires a little realism and pragmatism to see why this is a bit too much to hope for.

I happen to agree with tanzying on most of what he has been saying. My interpretation of what he has been saying can be summarised as the following:

It doesn't matter that you're right (and you are right), and you've proved your point. They're the guys with the upper hand, so try not to piss them off. What would you prefer, acknowledgement that you're right, or the actual nicknames?

While getting both should not be too much to ask for, it seems unlikely to happen.

However, tanzying seems to be saying this because he believes that if you change tactics now, there is a chance you'll get the nicks. I seriously doubt this, and I think that tanzying is either naive to believe that, or just really hopefull.

Having said this, tanzying has not realised that there is a 5th option, or cared not to mention it. This being that someone gets awfully pissed off with the boring pointless debating, knows that Spooky is right and decides to take matters into there own hands.

There are a number of ways to remove the Jelly servers from the top of the list, but they are all dirty tactics.

There are several people capable of doing this, and quite a few of them are actually in the TT group.

I think Jelly should remember this when he tells us all to fuck off, and watch his mouth.

It's extremely annoying to witness this injustice, being fully capable of changing the situation, but

sitting on your hands because you do not wish to sink to that level.

To be fair it would probably result in server warfare if it went ahead, but still, it wouldn't hurt for Jelly to be civil at least and bare this in mind when he runs his mouth.

I think it's shown a great amount of patience and depth of character from the people capable of doing this, to sit on there hands and not follow through.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 09:07:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 03:00but they are all dirty tactics.

There are several people capable of doing this, and quite a few of them are actually in the TT group.

I think Jelly should remember this when he tells us all to fuck off, and watch his mouth.

it wouldn't hurt for Jelly to be civil at least and bare this in mind when he runs his mouth.

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 03:00I think it's shown a great amount of patience and depth of character from the people capable of doing this

Yes, it has.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 10:42:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 10:00tanzying wrote on Tue, 03 November 2009 23:14 Perhaps. But it is you, not them, who wants a change in the status quo. If you care so much about this a00 name issue, the onus is on you to go out of your way to engage them.

There are three outcomes to this. Which one do you really want?

1. You decide you have had enough of your opponents' treatment and decide not to care anymore. The a00 names stay with their owner because no one cares anymore.
2. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up, countering every attack launched at you on the way. The a00 names stay with their owner because you have soured relationships too much for them to even consider it.
3. You make an honest, sincere attempt to rebuild ties, persevering in spite of all provocations, calming your opponents to the point where they are again willing to listen to reason. Then, you

bring back your logical reasoning, albeit with none of the scathing, sarcastic phrases, and eventually manage to get jelly to give up the names, or at least compromise on the matter.

The current outcome seems to be no. 2. But hey, the future can yet be changed with effort.

And if you happen to be hoping for no. 4, where:

4. You prove to everyone logically that jelly is being unreasonable in giving the names up. Your opponents suddenly have an epiphany where they realize that they have been unreasonable, publicly admit it and apologize to you, and give up the names, just as you think they should have done all along.

...it only requires a little realism and pragmatism to see why this is a bit too much to hope for.

I happen to agree with tanzying on most of what he has been saying. My interpretation of what he has been saying can be summarised as the following:

It doesn't matter that you're right (and you are right), and you've proved your point. They're the guys with the upper hand, so try not to piss them off. What would you prefer, acknowledgement that you're right, or the actual nicknames?

While getting both should not be too much to ask for, it seems unlikely to happen.

However, tanzying seems to be saying this because he believes that if you change tactics now, there is a chance you'll get the nicks. I seriously doubt this, and I think that tanzying is either naive to believe that, or just really hopeful.

Having said this, tanzying has not realised that there is a 5th option, or cared not to mention it. This being that someone gets awfully pissed off with the boring pointless debating, knows that Spoony is right and decides to take matters into their own hands.

There are a number of ways to remove the Jelly servers from the top of the list, but they are all dirty tactics.

There are several people capable of doing this, and quite a few of them are actually in the TT group.

I think Jelly should remember this when he tells us all to fuck off, and watch his mouth.

It's extremely annoying to witness this injustice, being fully capable of changing the situation, but sitting on your hands because you do not wish to sink to that level.

To be fair it would probably result in server warfare if it went ahead, but still, it wouldn't hurt for Jelly to be civil at least and bare this in mind when he runs his mouth.

I think it's shown a great amount of patience and depth of character from the people capable of doing this, to sit on their hands and not follow through.

Yay, a post which is actually:

A) Readable, not a post with 100's bits of quotes

B) Makes sense.

C) Is rather unbalanced, as it names Spoony's faults as well as Jelly's faults

Now hope that at least one of those two sees the problem we have here.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [tanzying](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 11:46:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 03:00

My interpretation of what he has been saying can be summarised as the following:

It doesn't matter that you're right (and you are right), and you've proved your point. They're the guys with the upper hand, so try not to piss them off. What would you prefer, acknowledgement that you're right, or the actual nicknames?

This is correct.

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 03:00

However, tanzying seems to be saying this because he believes that if you change tactics now, there is a chance you'll get the nicks. I seriously doubt this, and I think that tanzying is either naive to believe that, or just really hopeful.

This is also correct. I realise that both my scenarios in which the a00 nicks end up being given up, specifically outcomes 3 and 4, require large amounts of faith/naivete/wishful thinking to believe in. I state my preference for outcome 3 because I have much greater faith in Spooky's capabilities to rebuild relationships if he tries than in the Jelly community, the majority of whom seem content to hurl attack after attack at him (Raven is a very pleasant exception). Of course, Spooky has also been returning counterattack after counterattack, but I have a feeling that if a reconciliation does happen, Spooky will have been the one that started it off. He is already capable of impeccable logic. Why shouldn't I believe in him more?

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 03:00 Having said this, tanzying has not realised that there is a 5th option, or cared not to mention it.

This is the option of brute force. Reborn is right that this outcome would be the final nail in the coffin of Renegade community relationships. I don't want to steer matters onto a path so severely detrimental to all involved. But I will acknowledge this possibility and echo Reborn's praise for the restraint of the powers-that-be.

reborn wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 03:00 While getting both should not be too much to ask for

I wholeheartedly agree with this as well.

I am going to be clear on my motives for entering this discussion.

I care for the Renegade community a little. I think it would be nice if the community became better. I like Spooky's idea of giving control of the a00 names to an impartial authority, who then decides

how to distribute them while maintaining transparency in the process. To me, Spoony's proposition makes perfect logical sense.

I have not read the pointsfix debates, so I can't yet make a final judgement on it. But I do know that I don't really like the old point system, and I have a hunch that the pointsfix is something that I will strongly favour once I know more about its details.

I think Spoony has come up with great ideas which will benefit the community if implemented. He is capable of solid logic and has the tenacity to push his causes. He could do the community a whole lot of good.

Why don't his causes succeed, then? Because of his 'brutal honesty'. Because of the way he doesn't care whether he steps on any toes, just so long as his logic is sound. To be fair, it is also because a large amount of people have a invalid prejudice against his person. But the first two factors, if not the direct cause of the last one, are certainly very much involved.

It gets to the point where every cause Spoony is publicly affiliated with suffers for it, because whenever he tries to advocate one, a group of people hell-bent on opposing him show up and use inappropriate tactics to stall discussion. I don't have a problem with people thinking Spoony is terrible, as that's their own opinion, but how is it in any way related to whether Jelly should or should not give up the names?

I do not think people should be using such inappropriate tactics. But I know that such people will always be around, and nothing I or anyone else can do will make them go away. Spoony telling them that it is 'entirely their fault' certainly won't make them disappear out of embarrassment.

The presence of such people is an inherent constraint in the Renegade community (in fact, in most communities). The reason I'm posting all this is that I hope I will be able to persuade Spoony into working around this constraint rather than spend all day lambasting its existence, considering it beneath his notice, and believing it should and will go away by itself. I hope that Spoony will be able to initiate negotiations rather than confrontations in the future by ditching his undoubtedly civilized but still incredibly acidic manner of responding to his opponents.

I feel a need here to re-emphasize that I don't think Spoony's personal style of argument is wrong, and therefore he cannot/shouldn't use it. I think Spoony's style is ineffective given the current community climate, and everyone would be better off if he didn't use it.

As I said before, I believe Spoony can truly do some good for renegade. I'm posting in the hopes that he will realise a better way to do it.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 12:17:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: This is the option of brute force. Reborn is right that this outcome would be the final nail in

the coffin of Renegade community relationships. To be frank, I don't see many Renegade community relationships, especially not with the Jelly community who seem to from my POV have retired completely from the other communities (including different irc server, little presence at this forum, little direct interaction with most other communities).

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [raven](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2009 16:05:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Wed, 04 November 2009 14:17Quote:This is the option of brute force. Reborn is right that this outcome would be the final nail in the coffin of Renegade community relationships. To be frank, I don't see many Renegade community relationships, especially not with the Jelly community who seem to from my POV have retired completely from the other communities (including different irc server, little presence at this forum, little direct interaction with most other communities).

Where do you base your information off of? We're usually the ones scheduling comm matches with other communities. IRC wise, we're linked to 2 other renegade communities (n00bless and Exodus).. the only bit remotely accurate is little presence on these forums.

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 05 Nov 2009 05:53:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the difference between most of the people on this forum and most of the people on the jelly forum is that most of the people on the jelly forum actually play renegade and don't know inside jokes about small fish

you're saying that like it's a bad thing

for the record we're more in touch with other communities than you'd think; and, to be fair, we left one irc network and joined another that several other renegade communities use, so what does it matter

Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 05 Nov 2009 08:43:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Put that way, i see your point.
