Subject: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Dover on Sat, 30 Jan 2010 20:20:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This may well be the end of Faggotfox. It's nothing more than a bloated, RAM-hungry Chrome now.

https://chrome.google.com/extensions?hl=en-US

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by BlueThen on Sat, 30 Jan 2010 20:40:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's actually been there for quite awhile, but only the recent Dev versions could use it.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Lone0001 on Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:52:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NoScript or GTFO!

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Sun. 31 Jan 2010 21:49:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 30 January 2010 17:52NoSript or GTFO! yeah if it has noscript, I'll probly switch.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Creed3020 on Thu, 04 Feb 2010 20:38:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Chrome just got that much better. I have a few extensions installed and I really enjoy the bookmark sync. My desktop pc and laptop are now the same set of bookmarks which saves me time when doing school work.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by IAmFenix on Thu, 04 Feb 2010 22:22:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

installing, gonna edit after install. EDIT:Better than firefox

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by archerman on Thu, 04 Feb 2010 22:43:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

this is the best.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by nope.avi on Fri, 05 Feb 2010 02:27:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If a browser is significantly affecting your computer's performance it's time for an upgrade.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by The Party on Fri, 05 Feb 2010 18:31:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

All browsers are fast, but they slow down when you add addons to them. Although sometimes it is computers that slow them down aswell....such as if there are more process running then normal or your CPU is slow.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Sean on Fri, 05 Feb 2010 19:33:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

FireFox > chrome tbh.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Dover on Fri, 05 Feb 2010 21:55:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Azazel wrote on Fri, 05 February 2010 11:33FireFox > chrome tbh.

Obviously not. What does Faggotfox have over Chrome now, besides rabid fanboys?

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Altzan on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 01:50:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I never really understood why Firefox is so popular. The only good reason I heard was all the plugins, and that's just because the users made them - they could have easily congregated to another browser instead.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by InternetThug on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 02:13:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

man im glad i still use internet explorer...this topic just made me feel 100x less nerdier than all of u..

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 03:00:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Altzan wrote on Fri, 05 February 2010 19:50I never really understood why Firefox is so popular. The only good reason I heard was all the plugins, and that's just because the users made them they could have easily congregated to another browser instead.

Because back in the day it was pretty much just IE and Firefox being the only popular browsers. Similar to PC vs Macs right now.

Then Chrome came along. It lacked some features of Firefox though, so people still like Firefox. Though, with Chrome having plugins now, I'm gonna wait until it gets a good noscript and adblock plugin to switch.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by BlueThen on Sat. 06 Feb 2010 03:20:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Fri, 05 February 2010 21:00Altzan wrote on Fri, 05 February 2010 19:50I never really understood why Firefox is so popular. The only good reason I heard was all the plugins, and that's just because the users made them - they could have easily congregated to another browser instead.

Because back in the day it was pretty much just IE and Firefox being the only popular browsers. Similar to PC vs Macs right now.

Then Chrome came along. It lacked some features of Firefox though, so people still like Firefox. Though, with Chrome having plugins now, I'm gonna wait until it gets a good noscript and adblock plugin to switch.

Just to point out...


(dev version):

Toggle Spoiler

and (google's extension library): Toggle Spoiler

File Attachments

1) disable-javscript.gif, downloaded 595 times



2) adblock.gif, downloaded 601 times



Google chrome extensions

ad

Extensions

Most popular Most recent

Top rated

Featured

Are you a developer?

Publish your extensions

Results 1 - 10 of 57 for ad



AdBlock by gundlach

★★★★★ (3847) - 292,262 users

AdBlock for Chrome! Blocks ads all over the web. Rated 4.5



AdThwart by ttjoseph

**** (1622) - 131,145 users

Blocks ads using the Firefox AdBlock Plus filter engine. Kiss



Facebook ads remove, by alessio.dallapiazza

Remove ads from facebook by clshack.it



Webmail Ad Blocker by jason@mywebber.com

**** (21) - 719 users

Block ads on the right hand side of the screen when using Ya



Google Ads Search remover by alessio.dallapiazza

**** (25) - 396 users

Google Ads Search remover by clshack.

Hide ads/banner in search result.



Surf Via Anonymouse Hidemyass without ads by

★★★★☆ (8) - 69 users

Surf Via Anonymouse Hidemyass without ads. This script, rer



Anonymouse Ads Remover by Said Saraçoğlu

**** (7) - 79 users

Remove ads when anonymously surfing through anonymouse



<u>GrooveShark without ads.</u> by gkhn

★★★☆☆ (14) - 212 users

Remove ads from GrooveShark



<u>Grooveshark Ad Hider</u> by benb1337

***** (45) - 219 users

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Lone0001 on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 07:05:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yes but that is nothing like NoScript

Also, Permit Cookies or GTFO (another good one I forgot to mention)

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Herr Surth on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 13:52:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Is the Chrome TOS acceptable by now?

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by BlueThen on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 15:00:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 01:05Yes but that is nothing like NoScript

Also, Permit Cookies or GTFO (another good one I forgot to mention)

Honestly, the only reason you use those things is because you don't feel safe using FireFox. Chrome already has loads of security integrated, and updated as often as needed. Stuff like NoScript is unnecessary when you're already surfing in a safe browser

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Boofst0rm on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 15:52:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

chrome > all

nuff said

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by Lone0001 on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 18:01:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

BlueThen wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 10:00Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 01:05Yes but that is nothing like NoScript

Also, Permit Cookies or GTFO (another good one I forgot to mention)

Honestly, the only reason you use those things is because you don't feel safe using FireFox. Chrome already has loads of security integrated, and updated as often as needed. Stuff like NoScript is unnecessary when you're already surfing in a safe browser

No... it's because I like to be safe while browsing and so I can allow the sites I want.

No browser is completely secure, you can't say any browser is.

PS. Chrome is also not exactly compatible with everything.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by nope.avi on Sat, 06 Feb 2010 22:23:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

BlueThen wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 10:00Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 01:05Yes but that is nothing like NoScript

Also, Permit Cookies or GTFO (another good one I forgot to mention)
Honestly, the only reason you use those things is because you don't feel safe using FireFox.
Chrome already has loads of security integrated, and updated as often as needed. Stuff like NoScript is unnecessary when you're already surfing in a safe browser
Noscript also blocks tons of ads that slip by adblock.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions Posted by Dover on Sun, 07 Feb 2010 00:12:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tion Forum Mossage 12 Hoply to Mossage

Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 10:01 PS. Chrome is also not exactly compatible with everything.

It's compatible with everything that matters. Unless you're a Linuxfag you should be fine.

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions

Posted by BlueThen on Sun, 07 Feb 2010 14:54:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dover wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 18:12Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 10:01 PS. Chrome is also not exactly compatible with everything.

It's compatible with everything that matters. Unless you're a Linuxfag you should be fine. They're already developing version for Mac and Linux, that you can get early access to: http://dev.chromium.org/getting-involved/dev-channel#TOC-Subscribing-to-a-channel

Subject: Re: Chrome now has extensions Posted by danpaul88 on Sat, 20 Feb 2010 12:02:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

BlueThen wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 15:00Lone0001 wrote on Sat, 06 February 2010 01:05Yes but that is nothing like NoScript

Also, Permit Cookies or GTFO (another good one I forgot to mention) Honestly, the only reason you use those things is because you don't feel safe using FireFox. Chrome already has loads of security integrated, and updated as often as needed. Stuff like NoScript is unnecessary when you're already surfing in a safe browser

It's not just a question of security. Using AdBlock and NoScript I can prevent all the junk I am not interested in looking at or using from being downloaded to my PC, hence reducing page load times by reducing the amount of stuff to be loaded. Why should I wait an extra 10 seconds to load every single page because some JavaScript wants to fart around loading useless crud in the background or because some silly flashing advertisements I won't click on anyway are being downloaded?

I don't use Firefox because it's the fastest or most secure, I use it because I happen to like the layout of the user interface and the features it offers. If people have a problem with my personal preference they can go flush their head down a toilet.