
Subject: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 10:26:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Possibly.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [Gohax](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 14:14:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, I have been shocked but not surprised.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [shaitan](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 14:49:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not even shocked.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [Hypnos](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 15:15:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doesn't surprise me at all.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [reborn](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 15:43:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not surprised, but I am shocked. So yes, I guess it is possible.

How dare they assume that control without being properly empowered to do so?! That's abhorrent!

The individuals involved are to blame, but I wonder if that blame extends to the Church, too?

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [ehhh](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 15:57:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You generally use one or the other, your surprised if something was a little unexpected, shocked if something was very unexpected.

Edit: Just saw the link, what the...

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [NACHO-ARG](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 16:48:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

not surprised at all, shity stuf like this hapend every days all acros the globe, though it is amazing that a single network of this moters fucker had been operative for so long, so may be the church have some implications in this and not just a couple of priest and nuns.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2011 23:10:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The funny thing is that somewhere, someone in the catholic church will defend this by citing something in the bible somewhere, and then everyone else will back them.

also, doesn't surprise me at all

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Wed, 19 Oct 2011 15:18:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 17 October 2011 17:10The funny thing is that somewhere, someone in the catholic church will defend this by citing something in the bible somewhere, and then everyone else will back them.

also, doesn't surprise me at all
However it shocks you I take it? Otherwise the statement you made is rather off topic.

I don't really think so, beings in order to be surprised you can't really have known about it, and if you always knew about it I'd have a hard time believing that you were shocked by it on a daily basses, but never felt any surprise that it was happening.

I mean, being shocked about information is a rather short term effect until you get used to it, and if it was enough to shock you I'd think you'd be surprised... otherwise it wouldn't be that shocking.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2011 06:35:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I love how spoony always has to make a post of anything related to religion

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2011 12:11:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

and i love how every time i point to an organisation that does horrifically bad things, you act like i'm the one who's behaving abnormally by saying so

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2011 12:42:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's probably the worst part of any large group that believes in something (Whether it be God, Jesus, Super Jesus, a global conspiracy, xenu, or whatever stupid bullshit people come up with). They almost always seem legitimately surprised when someone disagrees- thus they react as if you're saying "Uh, sex doesn't make babies. They come from storks".

And if not surprised, they instantly reject what you have to say (regardless of how much they let you talk to make themselves feel nice), usually tagging you in their mind as some negative group associated with their belief (that can often be lumped in with any other negative group as "them" or "they"). See: Westboro Baptist Church for an example.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2011 13:07:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

btw blueyez

Toggle SpoilerSpoon wrote on Mon, 07 February 2011 06:13firstly, blu3y3z, do you wanna have a crack at my reply to your last comment about religion?

Spoon wrote on Fri, 14 January 2011 04:02(SSnipe) -BLU3Y3Z- wrote on Fri, 14 January 2011 04:45I always thought of it this way, if there is a god, why take your chances? if there is not a god then ok but if there is, its to late your fucked when you die this is what religion always boils down to in the end... threats.

by "take your chances" i presume you mean being honest about having doubts about the extremely specific claims made by religion, bearing in mind the complete lack of evidence for them.

secondly, belief isn't a choice. i don't know how your mind works, but i can't choose to believe something even if i'm threatened with punishment if i don't.

thirdly, there are several contradictory religions who make this threat. the pope says only catholics are going to heaven and other non-catholic christians are going to hell with all the other atheists. some protestant churches say the same thing in reverse. islam says all non-muslims are going to hell. who's right, blu3y3z? which of these if any are correct, and which are liars and frauds?

fourthly, you're saying this god of yours is going to torture people just for not being convinced of his existence (again, see above re: complete lack of evidence). what a colossal prick. what a cruel, merciless monster this god of yours seems to be. you want to worship something as evil as that? what does this say about you?

Quote:but its more then that its a faith that brings you happiness and most people who I know who are religious are good hard working people, while most people who dont believe him so they think they can create all chaos they want which led to horrible things. Yes alot of people take religion and turn it into something bad cults, terrorist, but we only notice them for that reason while more of the world has crime create form the ones who don't believe.

interesting you say that. you're pro-torture and anti-freedom of religion, and the reason you take these extraordinary positions is religion. you wouldn't think that if it wasn't for religion, surely. you talk about people "taking religion and turning it into cults and terrorists". well, i presume you consider yourself a moderate, yet you're anti-freedom of religion and pro-torture as a punishment for not being the right religion. as for the fundamentalists, i hope you're aware that islamic jihadism isn't a misinterpretation or corruption of the quran and hadith. i invite you to read them.

"most people don't believe him so they think they can create all chaos they want" - the religious always like to find a hidden agenda for anyone who doesn't believe their extremely specific claims. it can't be because you've got fuck all evidence or because your holy book is revolting, can it?

"more of the world has crime create form the ones who don't believe."

in america, the proportion of prison inmates who are atheists is significantly lower than the proportion of atheists in the free population. i wouldn't necessarily argue that this proves anything, but you said it. as for the rest of the world, the more secular countries always do best in societal health - low crime, good education, good health, low teenage pregnancy etc.

if someone said i was pro-torture and anti-freedom of religion, i would definitely want to correct them.

secondly...

(SSnipe) -BLU3Y3Z- wrote on Mon, 07 February 2011 00:25wow spooony really? another anti-religion crusade.....

yes, here's yet another case of a religion behaving in an almost indescribably evil manner. as if we needed another. you'll notice i've generally left Sikhs and Quakers alone, for example; why do you think that might be?

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [reborn](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2011 13:15:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 27 October 2011 09:07you'll notice i've generally left Sikhs and Quakers alone, for example; why do you think that might be?

You're a sikher?

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 28 Oct 2011 09:25:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol @ the multifaiith gathering

the pope's such a dishonest little turd

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2011 03:23:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I respect ya spoony, but damn lol

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2011 11:15:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah, i figured it probably stumped you the first time, that's why you pretended you didn't read it.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2011 18:49:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

relevant: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DcUP4pMaBj0>

(skip to 2:25)

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [slosha](#) on Thu, 03 Nov 2011 03:23:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why is not not surprising that Catholics participate in human trafficking?

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Taz](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 11:41:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lol, spoony.

I don't believe in god and i dislike the church myself, but what's with the hatred against religion? Sure, they abuse power and some do bad... but it's not like all non-religious folks are clean of such horrific acts.

Religion can be great as long as one keeps it to himself and doesn't turn you into a narrow-minded prick. Even though it tends to do that.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoon](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 15:58:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tell me taz - if this thread was about Coca-Cola and some of the horrific things they've been caught doing, would you be replying to ask me what's my hatred of soft drinks all about?

the topic's about a specific organisation that's been kidnapping children from their parents and selling them, and using forged documents to cover it up etc. doing this for decades to thousands and thousands of children. if this was the only bad thing we knew about the catholic church (and let's not mince words, it isn't even the worst thing we know about them) it would put the organisation in the bad books of anyone of normal moral sensibilities. you see this and your only comment is to ask spoony why he hates religion? please don't let this be your only reaction. have more self-respect than that.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 16:03:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

@ Taz

I am not sure how him pointing out that the Catholic church did these horrible adoption-schemes (that emotionally destroyed the lives of so many people) amounts to him having a "hatred against religion."

And you ignore the crime by making it into a "how-many-skeletons-you-got-in-your-closet" contest between religious vs non-religious folks.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Taz](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 16:48:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm just curious why you're always lashing out on religion, but rarely see you do the same on anything else.

It just strikes me as odd. Perhaps a little fanatical?

But don't think for a second i'm approving (if true) what the church did. I know my fair share of evil from them through personal experiences.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 17:00:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Have you ever seen me "lash out" at - let's pick a couple of examples - Sikhs or Quakers? Can you find a single post where I rant about those?

Isn't the problem more likely to be the fact that the Catholic Church keeps getting caught doing horrifically bad things? Kidnapping children from their parents and then selling them? Then a guy like me makes a civilised post about it on a forum, and that's 'fanatical'.

You even say you know first-hand how badly this organisation behaves. Why, then, do you think I'm the one with the explaining to do?

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [TankClash](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 17:20:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't tell me some of you are basing religion off of a lie they told themselves "oh god told me to steal and sell children to raise money for the church!" nonsense...

You can't base the actions of an individual sects against a religious group as a whole.

Faulty generalization.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Taz](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 17:30:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:00Have you ever seen me "lash out" at - let's pick a couple of examples - Sikhs or Quakers? Can you find a single post where I rant about those?

Isn't the problem more likely to be the fact that the Catholic Church keeps getting caught doing horrifically bad things? Kidnapping children from their parents and then selling them? Then a guy

like me makes a civilised post about it on a forum, and that's 'fanatical'.

You even say you know first-hand how badly this organisation behaves. Why, then, do you think I'm the one with the explaining to do?

Whenever i read your posts around here, it's got something to do with religion and how bad it is. (atleast, most of it)

I'm sorry for not instantly jumping on your mindless bandwagon in which we all spit on religion and religious organizations, but i've grown tired of that. If anything, it's just as bad as evangelical folks telling me i'm going to hell for not believing in god and shoving it down my throat.

Like tankclash pointed out last page, the actions of a few individuals cannot be attributed to an entire organization.

That's like saying atheists are evil because stalin and mao murdered millions.

I think it's atheists like you who give atheism a bad name. Instead of forcing it down someone's throat, try to be more assertive.

In response, you'll be more likely to get some respect.

If you got personal problems with religion, go get some professional help and try to let it go.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 19:02:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30

Whenever i read your posts around here, it's got something to do with religion and how bad it is. (atleast, most of it)

How often do you read these forums, then? Unless you specifically mean heated discussions and debates... but Spoonys also probably one of the few people who actually play Renegade.

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30 I'm sorry for not instantly jumping on your mindless bandwagon in which we all spit on religion and religious organizations,

"I'm not going to join your bandwagon of objectively criticizing organizations that rely on indoctrination and brainwashing!"

Seriously, I have my own religious beliefs, but as time goes on, I realize how fucked up a lot of religious organizations can be.

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30 If anything, it's just as bad as evangelical folks telling me i'm going to hell for not believing in god and shoving it down my throat.

ou don't have to read Spoonys posts- especially ones about religion.

But how often can you "swear" in public? How often can you publicly claim homosexuality is not a sin without getting at LEAST a couple of "IT SAYS SO IN THE BIBLE" folks? How often can you

say "I think that a woman should have the right to her own body, even if it means doing something I disagree with such as abortion. The government should have no right to tell her what to do." without getting a fuckton of guilt tripping speeches and images about how abortion is terrible.

It's like going "COME ON GUYS STOP PICKING ON THIS REALLY POPULAR BAND THEY NEED TO MAKE MONEY TOO STOP IT YOU GUYS I DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO LISTEN TO THIS" on a video about a less than popular band that is entirely dwarfed by the hypothetical popular band.

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30Like tankclash pointed out last page, the actions of a few individuals cannot be attributed to an entire organization.

You're entirely right. I don't get why Christians are seen as charitable, either.

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30That's like saying atheists are evil because stalin and mao murdered millions.

No... it's not like that, it's not like that at all. Granted, that's a logical argument for when someone says "ATHEISM IS PEACEFUL THOUGH AND ALL OUR WORLD PROBLEMS WOULD BE SOLVED IF NO ONE WAS RELIGIOUS!", but that's not even what's being said here.

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30I think it's atheists like you who give atheism a bad name. Instead of forcing it down someone's throat, try to be more assertive.

In response, you'll be more likely to get some respect.

lol wait what

Usually being too assertive can be seen as "forcing it down someone's throat"

But anyway, I used to see Spooky as an arrogant cock, but as time goes by I see why he has a heavy disdain for at least organized religion. He's a lot better and well rounded than, say, some stupid 15 year old cock on youtube who "hates religion" because his mom and dad want him to go to church (even though they don't force him and understand his decision).

Taz wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:30If you got personal problems with religion, go get some professional help and try to let it go.

"Doc, I got some problems. I don't think people should have the right to force others what to do based solely on their own convictions that are fueled by a combination of generational indoctrination, brainwashing, and environmental conditioning. You gotta help me, doc." makes perfect sense!

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Thu, 17 Nov 2011 19:06:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TankClash wrote on Mon, 07 November 2011 10:20Don't tell me some of you are basing religion off of a lie they told themselves "oh god told me to steal and sell children to raise money for the church!" nonsense...

Or because they think Catholic parents will be better parents, and that they are so sure of this that they think they have the right to overrule the wishes of the actual parents. Also see the thing in the article about Franco's influence (Franco always being a staunch ally of the Catholic Church)

Quote:You can't base the actions of an individual sects against a religious group as a whole.

Faulty generalization.

Decades. Thousands and thousands and thousands of children and their parents' lives ruined. You don't see anything institutional about that? You don't think anyone in the Catholic Church knew what was going on? Haven't you noticed the way they react when one of their employees rapes a child?

Taz wroteWhenever i read your posts around here, it's got something to do with religion and how bad it is. (atleast, most of it)

Don't you think there is anything bad in that article?

Quote:I'm sorry for not instantly jumping on your mindless bandwagon in which we all spit on religion and religious organizations

If your position had any credibility before you said that, it has less now.

Quote:but i've grown tired of that. If anything, it's just as bad as evangelical folks telling me i'm going to hell for not believing in god and shoving it down my throat.

And now even less. I think you would have to try very hard indeed to find a statement from me where I threaten someone with horrific punishment for not being the right religion. Don't be a fucking idiot.

Quote:Like tankclash pointed out last page, the actions of a few individuals cannot be attributed to an entire organization.

That's like saying atheists are evil because stalin and mao murdered millions.

No, it's not. Firstly, the Catholic Church is a specific organisation, run by specific people. One might be justified in saying "The Catholic Church is evil because it did X and Y" (and there are certainly enough evil Xs and Ys in this case) - though it wouldn't justify saying that all Catholics are evil. "Atheism" isn't a specific organisation. You could say "Stalin's regime in Russia" was evil, for example, but that has no connection to myself whatsoever; neither myself nor Stalin think the Judeo-Christian god is real, but I expect neither you nor Hitler think the Hindu gods are real; so what? What connection does this mean you and Hitler share?

Secondly, see above re: institutionalisation

Quote:I think it's atheists like you who give atheism a bad name.

There you have it, ladies and gentlemen.

I link to a report about some truly horrific actions by a specific organisation. And in doing so, I "give atheism a bad name."

I'm starting to think you've completely lost your mind.

Quote:Instead of forcing it down someone's throat, try to be more assertive.

In response, you'll be more likely to get some respect.

I'm not sure what you think I'm forcing down anybody's throat with this thread. Atheism? What thread have you been reading? Or are you just... thick as pigshit?

And given how obvious it is that you -a- don't have a fucking clue what you're talking about and -b- don't seem to care very much about anything important, what makes you think your respect is worth my attention?

Quote:If you got personal problems with religion, go get some professional help and try to let it go. I think the people who need professional help are the ones whose lives have been ruined by the actions described in this article. Hopefully it will be available to them. Hopefully the criminals who inflicted this misery upon them will be held accountable. But I suspect you're more concerned with me.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2011 04:48:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 17 November 2011 12:06 Quote:Like tankclash pointed out last page, the actions of a few individuals cannot be attributed to an entire organization.

That's like saying atheists are evil because stalin and mao murdered millions.

No, it's not. Firstly, the Catholic Church is a specific organisation, run by specific people. One might be justified in saying "The Catholic Church is evil because it did X and Y" (and there are certainly enough evil Xs and Ys in this case) - though it wouldn't justify saying that all Catholics are evil. "Atheism" isn't a specific organisation. You could say "Stalin's regime in Russia" was evil, for example, but that has no connection to myself whatsoever; neither myself nor Stalin think the Judeo-Christian god is real, but I expect neither you nor Hitler think the Hindu gods are real; so what? What connection does this mean you and Hitler share?

well-worded there; it's interesting that Hitler is always left out whenever a religious person uses this argument and cites Stalin and Mao.

Atheism isn't an institution, organization, philosophy, or creed. It isn't even a way of life let alone a belief system. It is simply a description and goes along with me saying I have black eyes and black hair.

If I were use "theism" and "deism" to describe an organization, how asinine would I look? That's how asinine it is when "atheism" is seen as an organization/belief system.

As far as Stalin and Mao killing religious people, it has nothing to do with them not personally having a god to believe in. Both dictators targeted the religious because they were political enemies of communism. In their plans, the church had to be subdued if communism was to have had its way; hence the deaths of millions of people (which included other political enemies of communism who didn't prescribe to any religion as well).

Hitler is always left out of these debates (cos it is well-known he was religious). But who in their right mind would cite Hitler and his belief in God/his pro-Christian stance and equate that with Christians today? Wouldn't that be totally stupid? Amazingly, you see some folks cite Stalin/Mao and equate them with atheists! What a disgrace...

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [reborn](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2011 06:55:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're right, starbuzz.

Too many people describe others as "an atheist", rather than just "athiest". It's not a club, it's a description.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2011 07:13:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

actually, when people try to say atheism was bad because the worst mass murderers were atheists, hitler used to be the first name cited, and they thought it was case closed by doing so. the fact hitler was never an atheist at all doesn't actually refute this argument because it isn't even an argument in the first place. not to mention how obviously theocratic stalin and mao's regimes were, not to mention all the other terrible dictators and genocides that were religious... they always seem to be left out of the debate.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2011 12:12:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

To be fair, mao and stalin didn't base their crusades upon religion. hitler just took advantage of the pre-existing hatred a lot of Catholics held... I wouldn't quite say he was really catholic, though religion can still be blamed for the holocaust.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2011 12:41:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

everything about stalin's and mao's regimes was religious... the concept of an infallible leader who can't be questioned, the reliance upon faith instead of evidence, the concept of thoughtcrime as something that can be legislated and punished, the concept of everything good that happens being thanks to the supreme being's kindness and wisdom while everything bad that happens is a plot by his enemy...

you often hear it said that stalin was anti-religion. no, he was anti-rival-religion, like religion invariably is when it has the power to be.

as for hitler, it's too simplistic to call him a catholic (it's much closer to the truth than calling him an atheist would be, but that's besides the point). it's true that european fascism literally was the catholic right-wing in the beginning, it's true that the catholic church held cosy relations with every fascist regime in europe until the very end (even after the death of hitler) and it's true that the

catholic church was an officially anti-semitic organisation all the way until the 1960's (the thing that made it change its mind on that was the general shift in the zeitgeist rather than the holocaust). but hitler himself was a kind of weird blend of nordic blood myths, leader worship, all that aryan nonsense, and the anti-semitism of fascism's catholic origins.

and hitler didn't just flick a switch and make everyone in germany hate jews all of a sudden - anti-semitism had been bubbling away in europe for centuries thanks to the christian churches, especially the catholic church.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 18 Nov 2011 20:26:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hitler and Stalin acted the way they did because they were dicks. There are Catholic dicks, atheist dicks, Islamic dicks, and circumcised Jewish dicks.

Dicks will pick the most convenient excuse to advance their dickery and religion is a particularly easy one.

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Taz](#) on Thu, 05 Jan 2012 19:29:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 17 November 2011 12:06wall-o-text

And you think venting your anger here on this small forum is going to change things even one single bit?

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 05 Jan 2012 21:18:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bit of a tangential question, but oh well... guess my last reply completely stumped you but you don't have the good grace to just stop posting

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 05 Jan 2012 22:12:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Taz wrote on Thu, 05 January 2012 12:29Spoony wrote on Thu, 17 November 2011
12:06wall-o-text

And you think venting your anger here on this small forum is going to change things even one
single bit?

LOL those silly people and their logic. Can't they see that it just does nothing? FAIL @ YOU
GUYS, LOL! LOSERS!

Subject: Re: Is it possible to be shocked but not surprised?

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Mon, 09 Jan 2012 23:57:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why can't people admit when they're wrong
or accept defeat, for that matter

it's like fighting a bunch of coked-out retards; they just keep getting back up because they don't
know it's over
