
Subject: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [Hitman](#) on Thu, 20 Oct 2011 15:39:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

headshot

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Thu, 20 Oct 2011 18:33:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am surprised you can't even come close to spelling his name correctly since you are obviously so interested in news about him...

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [ehhh](#) on Thu, 20 Oct 2011 18:41:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

obviously spelled it wrong on purpose.

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Thu, 20 Oct 2011 18:54:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/magazine/3600483.stm>

Dont really think you can say spelling it anyway is wrong lol...

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Sat, 22 Oct 2011 19:02:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

danpaul88 wrote on Thu, 20 October 2011 11:33I am surprised you can't even come close to spelling his name correctly since you are obviously so interested in news about him...

There is no correct latin spelling of his name, i can give you the arabic one though, lemme write it down.


The trouble is, the first letter of his surname, is Qaf, which is a deep throat Q sort of sound, which has no equivalent in any european language. It can be written as K, KH, Q or G, since it can be any of those sounds.

The second trouble point is the Dhaal, with is a low-tongue flat Dh or Th sound, which also has no equivalent in european. This letter is also doubled with a shadda, which means its actually Ddhh. This is written as D or DD, T or TT, DH or TH.

There are also other nuances such as the middle Alif in the name, which is actually an elongated A/E, but not elongated enough to be written as AA EE, which causes trouble in some transcriptions in distinguishing between fatha and alif, in which there IS a compensation being made by typing AA or EE.

File Attachments

1) [IMG_54721.jpg](#), downloaded 908 times



Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Sat, 22 Oct 2011 19:08:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, that's very interesting information, didn't realise the typical Latin spelling was simply the best approximation of his name. Nevertheless the topic starter still didn't use any of the typical spelling uses by the media, hence it looks like he just made it up as he went along...

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [EaZiE](#) on Fri, 04 Nov 2011 06:24:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This has undoubtedly proved once again that...

All Humans Are Violence!

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 04 Nov 2011 15:20:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So am I the only one who thinks it's rather barbaric the way the news media (and a lot of the USA as a whole) treated the whole situation? They basically paraded around going "WHOO GHADAFFI'S DEAD YEAHH", as if he was osama II. Yeah, he was a dick to Libya, and it was appropriate to show his death all around Libya... but, really? I mean, if Obama or Bush died, even the people in the US who absolutely hated their guts and practically called for their death anyway would whine about it if anyone else basically gave the news the same treatment.

It's kind of hypocritical

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [Gohax](#) on Fri, 04 Nov 2011 15:25:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MxMYkFLgyhk&feature=watch_response_rev

About 10-11 minutes, he starts talking about Libya. IF that's true, I'm rather shocked :/ Talks about Ghadaffi (or however you spell his damn name) actually not the Tyrant everyone believed him to be.

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [ehhh](#) on Fri, 04 Nov 2011 17:18:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What I did read about him was quite awfully, how he apparently got assaulted by several troops, even after he gave up, nevermind the news people in libya |:

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [nope.avi](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 02:38:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Fri, 04 November 2011 11:20So am I the only one who thinks it's rather barbaric the way the news media (and a lot of the USA as a whole) treated the whole situation? They basically paraded around going "WHOO GHADAFFI'S DEAD YEAHH", as if he was osama II. Yeah, he was a dick to Libya, and it was appropriate to show his death all around Libya... but, really? I mean, if Obama or Bush died, even the people in the US who absolutely hated their guts and practically called for their death anyway would whine about it if anyone else basically gave the news the same treatment.

It's kind of hypocritical

You can't say it's hypocritical based on your hypothetical situation

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 02:54:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can because the general attitude is still there. Terorists brutally kill our soldiers then they cheer?
DISGUSTING AND BARBARIC

Ghadaffi gets killed brutally even when he begs for his life? HOORAY YEAHHHH AWESOME
USA USA USA

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 02:57:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if what the soldiers did is the same as what he did in your eyes then i can see why you think what you do
it seems confusing to me, though

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 03:17:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who your victim(s) is/are doesn't matter. A victim is still a victim regardless. Any labeling you put on them is just for your own personal conscious appeasement.

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by **GEORGE ZIMMER** on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 03:23:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Sun, 06 November 2011 20:17Who your victim(s) is/are doesn't matter. A victim is still a victim regardless. Any labeling you put on them is just for your own personal conscious appeasement.

This. I mean yeah, dude was awful to Libya, and if he was executed (after going through a proper court system, etc) I wouldn't have minded. But the whole idea of "WE NEED TO PUNISH THEM AS BRUTALLY AS POSSIBLE" has done fucking nothing for the advancement of humanity. All it does is gratify someone else. It doesn't reverse anything they've done.

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by **liquidv2** on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 03:49:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

true, but that's unrelated to you suggesting that because some people highly dislike obama and bush that it would be ok for the media to swarm it and portray it as good for them to be brutalized and murdered as Gaddafi was

you're comparing a dictator mass murderer to two unpopular american elected officials

Subject: Re: kadhafi got owned

Posted by **Hitman** on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 16:51:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

danpaul88 wrote on Sat, 22 October 2011 12:08Thanks, that's very interesting information, didn't realise the typical Latin spelling was simply the best approximation of his name. Nevertheless the topic starter still didn't use any of the typical spelling uses by the media, hence it looks like he just made it up as he went along...

do u see the flag by my name?

it says BELGIUM, and guess what einstein, here that is the most used name for him in the media

my god...
