

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Gizbotvas](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 23:38:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The Onion is a satire newspaper started here in Madison, WI. Quite funny.

Back in January of 2001, the Onion ran this headline. keep in mind, this was before any problems with China, Al-Quieda, Afghanistan, Iraq, North Korea, or even the economic slump.  
[http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush\\_nightmare.html](http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush_nightmare.html)

Not just funny, so dead-on accurate, it almost seems precognitive.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 23:54:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Accurate, how? It's a bunch of satire. :rolleyes:

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:25:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

GizbotvasThe Onion is a satire newspaper started here in Madison, WI. Quite funny.

Back in January of 2001, the Onion ran this headline. keep in mind, this was before any problems with China, Al-Quieda, Afghanistan, Iraq, North Korea, or even the economic slump.  
[http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush\\_nightmare.html](http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush_nightmare.html)

Not just funny, so dead-on accurate, it almost seems precognitive.

Here in chicago the Onion is the "poor people" newspaper.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [bigjoe14](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:31:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I get The Onion Radio News on the local rock station. Some of them are funny.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:43:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not totally dead-on. They forgot that old, Bush organizing the Twin Tower thing to get a war on.

Or, maybe, just possibly, The eight years of "peace" (See Bosnia/Kosovo) allowed terrorists to get strong enough to attack us.

I am so tired of peacenicks with all the freedom we provide, criticizing the very government that provides the freedom, and safety to speak out.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Creed3020](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 03:23:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Above: Soldiers at Ft. Bragg march lockstep in preparation for America's return to aggression"

Ya Aggression is a good word to describe this at hand.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 05:11:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peace!? Clinton bombed Iraq, Somalia, Kosovo (sp) and Bosnia.

The economy was falling 3 months PRIOR to the 2000 elections. I know I lost a lot of money in the market during that time. So in fact the recession started under Clinton.

Do you think Al Gore could have handled 9-11? Look how he reacted during the elections. The outcome was the right choice. Legally Bush won. Our Constitution had a fail-safe system that worked in the event of a tie.

Al Gore would have cowered in the fetal position in a corner somewhere.

Giz, you voted for Jim Doyle didn't you?

For all of you from outside of Wisconsin, Jim Doyle's campaign crew was caught on camera buying votes from mentally challenged people. He also claims that nothing is wrong with the election system. He even had convicted felons cast absentee ballots for him. In Wisconsin felons do not have voting rights, unless they vote for Democrats.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:16:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098I am so tired of peacenicks with all the freedom we provide, criticizing the very

government that provides the freedom, and safety to speak out.

Well...welcome to America...if you dont like freedom of speech...then get the fuck out. I'm tired of you war mongers talking shit about people that would rather live in peace than pick fights where they can be easily avoided. :rolleyes:

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:45:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesKIRBY098I am so tired of peacenicks with all the freedom we provide, criticizing the very government that provides the freedom, and safety to speak out.

Well...welcome to America...if you dont like freedom of speech...then get the fuck out. I'm tired of you war mongers talking shit about people that would rather live in peace than pick fights where they can be easily avoided. :rolleyes:

Once again.....You are the only living proof of the missing link.

If people like me "got the fuck out", America would be the smoldering pacifist ruin of what was once a great nation. We are great because people like Bush stand up for whats right, including your right to make a complete ass of yourself. You need to respect the authorities even when you don't like thier choices. I doubt a whiny, self serving, manic depressive child like yourself could possibly ever grasp the concept of self sacrifice for a greater good.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:59:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you for proving your ignorance. I respect the authorities...Bush is a better president than alot of people we could have...Pacifists stand up for what is right as well...I'm not killing any innocent civilians by refusing to pull a gun on another man...am I? I have never killed another man...I haven't gotten into a fight since the 6th grade...am I hurting people by that? Does it offend you when I say "I dont like killing innocent civilians and babies"? I hope it does to be honest...it would explain your idiocy

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:06:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesThank you for proving your ignorance. I respect the authorities...Bush is a better president than alot of people we could have...Pacifists stand up for what is right as well...I'm not killing any innocent civilians by refusing to pull a gun on another man...am I? I have never killed

another man...I haven't gotten into a fight since the 6th grade...am I hurting people by that? Does it offend you when I say "I dont like killing innocent civilians and babies"? I hope it does to be honest...it would explain your idiocy

How exactly have I or any other veteran of the Gulf War, "KILLED BABIES"?

Do they teach this garbage in pacifist training? And you calling me ignorant is the equivalent of Saadaam calling Bush an "Evil MAN". Your "qualified opinion" is worthless to try to consider global politics. I seriously wonder where you get this information.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:14:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hmm...your right...when we bomb people...we only hit Saddam Hussein. We're that accurate...and we can contain the nukes blast so that it will stay within 3 feet of him...and we'll warn everyone to stay away from him

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:16:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

@Kirby  
dude, he's in highschool. Smack dab in the middle of his socialist/pacifist/communist indoctrination.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:25:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yes...that's right...everyone in high school is a moron...we all lack the intelligence to make educated decisions...I mean...what do I know about life in 3rd world countries? what do I know about death and destruction? God...I didn't think about that. I mean...I've never even left america...I've never seen half the stuff you talk about.

Oh wait...I just remember that I lived in 3rd world countries for a good portion of my life where I was judged against simply because I'm American.

If Bush is so just...why isn't he doing anything about Venezuela or North Korea. The people of Venezuela are trying to get their dictator out of their country...but is he helping a country that wants our help? NO...he is not. He is allowing that to happen. Bush's priorities are screwed up...Iraq shouldn't be everything

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:30:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukesyes...that's right...everyone in high school is a moron...we all lack the intelligence to make educated decisions...I mean...what do I know about life in 3rd world countries?

Apparently, not a lot.  
<http://www.n00bstories.com/renforums/viewtopic.php?t=626&start=25>

So, you won't mind if I don't regard your opinion as intelligent? Ok, thanks. :rolleyes:

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [John Shaft Jr.](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:35:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NodbuggerGizbotvasThe Onion is a satire newspaper started here in Madison, WI. Quite funny.

Back in January of 2001, the Onion ran this headline. keep in mind, this was before any problems with China, Al-Quieda, Afghanistan, Iraq, North Korea, or even the economic slump.  
[http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush\\_nightmare.html](http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush_nightmare.html)

Not just funny, so dead-on accurate, it almost seems precognitive.

Here in chicago the Onion is the "poor people" newspaper.

No its not. I know alot of people here in Chicago that read the Oinon and are not poor.

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:36:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

John Shaft Jr.NodbuggerGizbotvasThe Onion is a satire newspaper started here in Madison, WI. Quite funny.

Back in January of 2001, the Onion ran this headline. keep in mind, this was before any problems with China, Al-Quieda, Afghanistan, Iraq, North Korea, or even the economic slump.  
[http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush\\_nightmare.html](http://www.theonion.com/onion3701/bush_nightmare.html)

Not just funny, so dead-on accurate, it almost seems precognitive.

Here in chicago the Onion is the "poor people" newspaper.

No its not. I know alot of people here in Chicago that read the Oinon and are not poor.

---

Hey...but here's to profiling...right?

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:42:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesHey...but here's to profiling...right?

Ironic that that is exactly what you've been doing in our prior conversation for 30 minutes in 3 different topics. But that's just my humble "babykilling" opinion.

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:47:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098Duke of NukesHey...but here's to profiling...right?

Ironic that that is exactly what you've been doing in our prior conversation for 30 minutes in 3 different topics. But that's just my humble "babykilling" opinion.

actually, if you read what I say, I never called you a baby killer. I said that America kills innocent people, including babies. I said nothing about individuals...I said that the army does...which is a what? That's right...a FACT

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:52:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hmmmmmm, I was part of the American military machine. Never killed ONE baby. Not once. Didn't even wound one, although I did drop my cousin once when I was a kid.

There goes that argument.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:57:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098Hmmmmmm, I was part of the American military machine. Never killed ONE baby. Not once. Didn't even wound one, although I did drop my cousin once when I was a kid.

There goes that argument.

---

oh wait...I'm sorry...what did I just say in the post before that?

Quote:actually, if you read what I say, I never called you a baby killer. I said that America kills innocent people, including babies. I said nothing about individuals...I said that the army does...which is a what? That's right...a FACT

hmm...there goes your argument

---

---

Subject: Re: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:58:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesKIRBY098Duke of NukesHey...but here's to profiling...right?

Ironic that that is exactly what you've been doing in our prior conversation for 30 minutes in 3 different topics. But that's just my humble "babykilling" opinion.

actually, if you read what I say, I never called you a baby killer. I said that America kills innocent people, including babies. I said nothing about individuals...I said that the army does...which is a what? That's right...a FACT

And it's a fact of life that civilians will die in war. Saddam has killed over 1 MILLION CIVILIAN LIVES IN 1 WAR! So who is the baby killer. I was Army for 8 years, so calling the Army baby killers is calling me a baby killer. Choose your fights wisely as to avoid a black eye. Duke, you are going to go blind in this fight.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:08:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

good thing you can understand english...

we use bombs, yes? we use the weapons of mass destruction that we are fighting against, yes? Did I say that you personally shot a baby? no, I didn't. This isn't a judgement of your character...this is a judgement of what we do in a war situation.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:16:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

When was the last time the US has used poison gas, biological or nuclear weapons.

The US:

Nukes? Were used to END WWII and saved more lives than the bombs took.

Poison gas? Last time poison gas was used by the US, was WWI.

---

Biological weapons? NEVER! We had them, but never used.

Iraq:

Nukes? Not YET.

Poison gas? 1992, against a Kurdish uprising in Northern Iraq.

Biological weapons? 1988, against Iran and against his own people.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:21:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

please dont start with the Atom bomb dropping...we only dropped it when we did because we wanted to beat Russia to the mark

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:25:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes please dont start with the Atom bomb dropping...we only dropped it when we did because we wanted to beat Russia to the mark

Negative. You really think America just kills civilians on any old whim dont you...

This is why we dropped 'da bombs'

"I believe I interpret the will of the Congress and of the people when I assert that we will not only defend ourselves to the uttermost but will make very certain that this form of treachery shall never endanger us again. "

Franklin D. Roosevelt's "Day of Infamy" Speech

To the Congress of the United States:

<http://www.ibiblio.org/hyperwar/PTO/EastWind/Infamy.html>

Cha-Ching...try again !

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:28:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes yes...that's right...everyone in high school is a moron...we all lack the intelligence to make educated decisions...I mean...what do I know about life in 3rd world countries? what do I know about death and destruction? God...I didn't think about that. I mean...I've never even left america...I've never seen half the stuff you talk about.

Oh wait...I just remember that I lived in 3rd world countries for a good portion of my life where I was judged against simply because I'm American.

If Bush is so just...why isn't he doing anything about Venezuela or North Korea. The people of Venezuela are trying to get their dictator out of their country...but is he helping a country that wants our help? NO...he is not. He is allowing that to happen. Bush's priorities are screwed up...Iraq shouldn't be everything  
he is doing things about venezuela and north korea... in venezuela, we are pressuring the government to talk with the rebels and we have been 'talking' with coup leaders and rebels. this means(for the uninformed) we are quietly backing and supporting and training the rebels trying to overthrow chavez. in north korea, we are allowing japan, s.korea, china and russia to take the point on everything while standing behind them and boosting military presence to let n.korea know that if they take the next step in a confrontation, we will be there to slap them down immediately. iraq isn't everything, it is only one piece which we need to take care of.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:30:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes please dont start with the Atom bomb dropping...we only dropped it when we did because we wanted to beat Russia to the mark  
Buddy,  
LOL. That was not the major reason. BTW Russia declaired war on Japan AFTER the bombs were doped and they didn't have an army in the area for almost 1 month after declairing war. Did you know that Japan and Russia were still at war until 1992? They still were arguing over some islands. This is where the Japaneese Red Army started. Russia funded and trained them. BTW Japan ended up with the islands.

The Russian Theory was developed by people against atomic weapons. They wanted to undermine any reason the US gave for dropping the bomb. The Japaneese would have fought to the death if we tried to do a D-Day type of invasion. The bomb prevented millions from getting killed.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:36:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

at the time we dropped them, japan was very close to surrender. the dropping of the bombs clinched that and allowed us to avoid having to land on japan. the bombs saved many lives not only during the war but after the war for many years and it is continuing to do so.  
dropping atom bombs in an uninhabited desert would not have deterred anyone. dropping atom bombs on cities where the destruction could be seen in all it's glory has served to keep many small conflicts from escalating into large conflicts

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:44:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You must also remember that the second bomb was dropped ONLY because Japan couldn't believe that 1 bomb from 1 plane did that kind of damage.

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:44:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

elmo...what you just said about Venezuela...that's what Al Queda did. We are training people in Venezuela to kill their leader. We need a political solution to get him out of office...not train people to kill him.

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:11:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukeselmo...what you just said about Venezuela...that's what Al Queda did. We are training people in Venezuela to kill their leader. We need a political solution to get him out of office...not train people to kill him.  
we are doing both. fact is, chavez controls the military and instantly puts down any resistance. it is necessary to train people to defend themselves while at the same time show them how to influence the outcome they desire without alot of bloodshed. the oil strike is a perfect example, hit them in their wallets rather than shoot them in the head.

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:17:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

it's not an oil strike...it's a national strike. Everything is on strike...even the unemployed workers union (yes...there is one of those there)

actually...alot of the oil companies have gone back in and are working again...although not at an even considerable amount of their original productivity.

My main point is that there is a country that wants our support...needs our support...and we're pretty much just giving them scraps. A small occupational force would end any conflict the Venezuelan army can put up...which they would more than likely back down...thus boosting Americas popularity.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:29:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

first of all... a small occupational force would be declaring war on a sovereign country.  
second... wouldn't that make us 'war-mongers'?  
i realize it is a national strike, but oil grabs the headlines.  
the people want our help, but we can't just go in and occupy every country with antigovernment rebels.

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:31:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

it doesn't quite sound like how I meant it...but it's 3:30 in the morning and I cant think of another way to put it...lets just leave it at something more should be done

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:36:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i agree, 'something should be done' it is just unclear as to what more we can do. quietly supporting peaceful resistance is the best thing to try first in my opinion. if that doesn't work or if the situation escalates, then other options should be considered.

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 17:23:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Normally I find the Onion funny, but that article is just fucked up. Clinton caused his fair share (if not more) of this crap. Clinton made false promises to "get the men" that bombed the USS Cole and all the other shit that Al-Quaeda pulled during Clinton's Presidency... but he did nothing except spend millions going after Bill fucking Gates. What a waste!

How can you say Clinton was completely peaceful while he was dropping bombs and starting up military action to distract media attention while he was under fire for his sexual misconduct? (Remember "Wag the Dog"?)

Kirby and K9, I am in complete agreement with everything you've said thus far in all the threads in this forum.

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

---

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:53:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

CrimsonKirby and K9, I am in complete agreement with everything you've said thus far in all the threads in this forum.

no one ever agrees with me, now i'm sad

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:58:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spot, I just read your "rambling" post where you said you were just going to stop typing.. I was going to reply and say not to stop because your opinions are welcomed and appreciated, at least by me. Then I read your post above and had to reply.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:55:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

spotelmoi agree, 'something should be done' it is just unclear as to what more we can do. quietly supporting peaceful resistance is the best thing to try first in my opinion. if that doesn't work or if the situation escalates, then other options should be considered.

I have begged the question to our dear friend Duke with no response.

What are the other options ? After 12years of the same song and dance what diplomatic options do we have left ? Saddam has constantly lied to the world why should 'the future' be considered any different ? The most important point is this. When does it stop ? And when the world does say STOP NOW ! THIS IS ENOUGH ! How do you enforce it ? We have economic 'encouragement' currently in place but Saddam doesn't care. He rakes in \$\$ building MORE palaces while people starve and get substandard facilities he provides to them (food, medical etc..)

So to reiterate(sp?)

What other options ?

When is the deadline ? (a real deadline not a ever moving one)

If he fails to comply how to you enforce international law ?

When people say we need more time I say twelve years was plenty of time for Saddam to change his ways and totally comply with the UN. Twelve years NOT months...repeat after me, years not months. Now repeat after George W., days NOT weeks. Now this seems to be hours not days..

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:32:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We weren't even talking about Iraq...seriously...fucking learn what people are talking about before you join in...quit embarrassing yourself

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:33:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesWe weren't even talking about Iraq...seriously...fucking learn what people are talking about before you join in...quit embarrassing yourself

Who the fuck are you talking to...

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:35:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://www.msnbc.com/news/887654.asp?0cv=CB30>

Here are the type of things that protesters are indirectly and sometimes directly defending.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [RhinoX35](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:41:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sad story, However, 20 years ago the us was backing Saddam and was giving him weapons to use against Iran.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:24:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

RhinoX35Sad story, However, 20 years ago the us was backing Saddam and was giving him weapons to use against Iran.

Good point and thats a long story. In short my enemies enemy is my friend. Same situation happend with the Solviets. They were our buddies in WWII then we had the 'Cold War' with them. So this isn't even close to the first time this happened. In the Iran vs Iraq war of the 80's when Iraq invaded Iran they were using Solviet gear whereas the Iranians where using US hardware. After we realized, hey giving this crazy fuck weapons may not be such a hot idea we stopped.

Having all the facts is very important when practicing iconography.

---

---

Subject: OT: Gizbotvas & the Onion- view of the war  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:58:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

RhinoX35Sad story, However, 20 years ago the us was backing Saddam and was giving him weapons to use against Iran.

Sad to say that so did France, Germany, Italy and almost all of NATO. The US broke off ties with Iraq prior to the attack. France, Germany and a few other countries kept on dealing. So there was a conflict of interest in the UN Security Council, where France, Germany and Russia stood to loose money from Iraq. Well, they will loose alot more now.

Your attempt to say the US was the soul backer of Iraq back then is WRONG!

---